# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AVIATION AND SPACEPORT OFFICE



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exe	ecutive Summary	1
1.	Introduction	7
2.	Airfield Pavement Network Definition and Pavement Inventory	18
3.	Airfield Pavement Condition	25
4.	Pavement Performance	33
5.	Airfield Pavement Maintenance Policies and Costs	36
6.	Major Pavement Rehabilitation Needs	44
7.	Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Planning	47
8.	Visual Aid Exhibits	50
9.	Recommendations	51
LIS	ST OF TABLES	
Ta	ble I: Condition Summary by Branch	2
	ble II: Condition Summary by Pavement Facility Use	
Ta	ble III: Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs for Apalachicola Regional Airpor	rt 4
Ta	ble IV: 10-Year Preventative Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation	5
Ta	ble 1-1: Sampling Rate Schedule for SAPMP PCI Survey Inspections	15
Ta	ble 2-1: Recent and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction	20
Ta	ble 2-2: Pavement Inventory Summary	22
Ta	ble 2-3: Airfield Pavement Inventory Details	23
Ta	ble 3-1: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Asphalt Concrete	26
Ta	ble 3-2: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Portland Cement Concrete	27
Ta	ble 3-3: Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary	30
	ble 5-1: Recommended AC, AAC, and APC Maintenance and Repair Poli	icy
 Tal	ble 5-2: Recommended PCC Maintenance and Repair Policy	
	ble 5-3: Critical and Minimum Service Level PCI for General Aviation Airpo	
	ble 5-4: Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation Activity Based on PCI	
	ble 5-5: AC Maintenance Unit Costs	
	ble 5-6: PCC Maintenance Unit Costs	42

	bilitation Activities and Unit Costs by Condition for Genera									
Table 6-1: Summ	nary of Major Rehabilitation	45								
Table 7-1: 10-Yea	ar Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary	47								
LIST OF FIGURE	ES .									
Figure 1-1: Pave	ment Life Cycle	13								
Figure 1-2: Flexib	ole Pavement, Asphalt Concrete	16								
Figure 1-3: Rigid	Pavement, Portland Cement Concrete	17								
Figure 2-1: Airfiel	ld Pavement Type	23								
Figure 3-1: Airfiel	ld Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary	29								
Figure 3-2: Perce	entage of Pavement Area by Condition Rating by Use	31								
Figure 4-1: Runw	ay Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	34								
Figure 4-2: Taxiw	ay Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	34								
Figure 4-3: Apror	n Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	35								
Figure 6-1: 10-Ye	ear Major Rehabilitation Budget Scenario Analysis	46								
Figure 7-1: 10-Ye	ear Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary	48								
APPENDICES										
Appendix A	Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit									
	Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit									
	Pavement Geometry Inventory									
	Work History Report									
Appendix B	Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit									
Appendix C	Pavement Condition Index Inventory Branch Condition Report									
пррепак	Section Condition Report									
Appendix D	Pavement Performance Prediction Table									
	Pavement Performance by Pavement Use									
Appendix E	Year-1 Preventative Activities									
Appendix F	Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Exhibit									
Appendix C	Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Table									
Appendix G Appendix H	Photographs Distress Data - Re-inspection Report									
Appendix II	ppendix H Distress Data - Re-inspection Report									

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

In 2012, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Central Aviation Office selected a team lead by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and including their subconsultants Peneul Consulting, LLC, Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, and All About Pavements, Inc., to provide services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of updating the existing Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP). This work is to be completed over the fiscal years of 2013 and 2014.

The tasks required to achieve this objective at each participating airport specifically included the following:

- Obtain recent construction history from the airport to update the Pavement Network Definition Exhibits using CADD from the previous SAPMP update.
- Update the airport pavement inventory data (construction history, geometry, identification, and classification) based on airport information provided.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP MicroPAVER database files and system tables for the purpose of analyzing field data for Pavement Condition Index (PCI) calculation of current pavement condition
- Development of pavement performance models for the approximation of future pavement performance.
- Development of a maintenance and repair plan, and a 10-year major rehabilitation program to address the pavement needs based on condition.
- Development of planning level opinions of probable costs for pavement preservation and rehabilitation.

During June and July 2013, a PCI survey inspection was performed at Apalachicola Regional Airport. The results of the inspection indicate that, based on ASTM 5340-11, the airport's airfield pavement facilities had an overall areaweighted average PCI 68, representing a Fair overall network condition. Table I summarizes the overall condition summary by network level branch in comparison to the FDOT recommended minimum service level.

Table I: Condition Summary by Branch

Branch Name	Area Weighted PCI	PCI Range	Average Condition Rating	FDOT Minimum Service Level	MicroPAVER Minimum PCI	Action Required
APRON	63	63	FAIR	60	65	Х
RUNWAY 14-32	70	64 - 73	FAIR	75	65	Χ
RUNWAY 18-36	68	57 - 74	FAIR	75	65	Χ
RUNWAY 6-24	76	75 - 77	SATISFACTORY	75	65	
TAXIWAY A	67	65 - 74	FAIR	65	65	
TAXIWAY A1	49	43 - 68	POOR	65	65	Х
TAXIWAY A2	72	71 - 77	SATISFACTORY	65	65	
TAXIWAY A3	60	56 - 74	FAIR	65	65	Х
TAXIWAY A5	58	41 - 68	FAIR	65	65	Х
TAXIWAY B1	72	67 - 75	SATISFACTORY	65	65	
TAXIWAY B2	65	62 - 75	FAIR	65	65	Х
TAXIWAY B3	58	51 - 82	FAIR	65	65	Х
TAXIWAY B4	60	54 - 79	FAIR	65	65	Χ
TAXIWAY C	XIWAY C 68 67 - 72 FAIR		FAIR	65	65	
TAXIWAY C1	77	71 - 79 SATISFACTORY		65	65	
TAXIWAY C2			65	65		
TAXIWAY D	61	58 - 71	FAIR	65	65	Х

For project level planning and inspection development; the airfield pavement facilities have been divided at the branch level based on facility use and designation, and at the section level based on pavement construction history, composition (e.g. asphalt versus concrete), aircraft traffic operations, and pavement surface conditions. Table II provides the overall area weighted condition of the pavement based on facility branch use.

Table II: Condition Summary by Pavement Facility Use

Use	Average Area- Weighted PCI	Condition Rating
Runway	71	SATISFACTORY
Taxiway	66	FAIR
Apron	63	FAIR



Based on the inspection performed at the airport for this SAPMP update; the current conditions were determined using the collected PCI distress data. PCI values were computed and used to identify pavement facilities that were below the defined critical PCI as sections that would benefit from immediate major rehabilitation activity. These pavement sections that were determined to be below the critical PCI would most likely benefit from long-term major rehabilitative construction activity rather than localized, short-term maintenance and repairs.

The Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs, or projects that are recommended to be completed because the pavement is below the critical PCI, were developed on the assumption that there is an unlimited repair budget. These projects include:

- Runway 18-36 Section 6310
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Runway 14-32 Section 6110
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Apron Section 4105
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B4 Section 345
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway D Section 335
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B3 Section 325
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B2 Section 315
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A3 Section 240
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.

- Taxiway A1 Section 230
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural distresses, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A Section 220
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A5 Section 205, 210
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.

The section level projects that were identified as Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs are in Table III.

Table III: Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs for Apalachicola Regional Airport

Branch ID Section ID		Major Rehabilitation Costs	PCI Before M&R	Rehabilitation Activity	PCI After M&R
RW 18-36	6310	\$ 2,626,249.88	56	PCC Restoration	100
RW 14-32	6110	\$ 2,561,029.88	63	PCC Restoration	100
AP	4105	\$ 9,799,733.04	62	PCC Restoration	100
TW B4	345	\$ 299,109.99	53	PCC Restoration	100
TW D	335	\$ 409,679.98	57	PCC Restoration	100
TW B3	325	\$ 347,139.98	51	PCC Restoration	100
TW B2	315	\$ 347,139.98	61	PCC Restoration	100
TW A3	240	\$ 346,799.98	55	PCC Restoration	100
TW A1	230	\$ 447,159.40	43	PCC Restoration	100
TW A	220	\$ 1,541,999.93	64	PCC Restoration	100
TW A5	205	\$ 315,349.99	66	PCC Restoration	100
TW A5	210	\$ 235,425.94	41	PCC Restoration	100
	Total =	\$19,276,817.97			

The SAPMP uses historic pavement condition data from the previous inspections to develop pavement performance models. These pavement performance models are used to create PCI prediction curves to estimate future pavement conditions based on the historic trends. The section areas, prediction curves, and current condition data were used to develop a 10-year major rehabilitation program. Major rehabilitation costs for each year of the 10-year program are based on general unit costs for pavement repairs and not detailed cost



estimates that are typically prepared for a construction set of bid documents. Additionally, preventative maintenance level repair budgets were estimated for a 10-year duration. Table IV provides an annual summary of the 10-year Preventative Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation planning level cost opinions for the airfield pavement facilities at the airport. Refer to Section 6 of this report for additional information.


Table IV: 10-Year Preventative Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation

Year	Preventative		Preventative Major M&R		Total Year Cost	
2014	\$	758,940.00	\$	18,961,467.98	\$	19,720,407.98
2015	\$	754,896.19	\$	1,857,440.11	\$	2,612,336.30
2016	\$	823,102.50	\$	-	\$	823,102.50
2017	\$	831,597.99	\$	1,512,869.53	\$	2,344,467.52
2018	\$	385,511.80	\$	12,649,266.52	\$	13,034,778.32
2019	\$	425,138.22	\$	3,478,656.74	\$	3,903,794.96
2020	\$	330,057.02	\$	6,493,399.37	\$	6,823,456.39
2021	\$	466,867.15	\$	564,020.13	\$	1,030,887.28
2022	\$	639,887.30	\$	134,277.62	\$	774,164.92
2023	\$	851,751.19	\$	-	\$	851,751.19
Total		\$6,267,749.36		\$45,651,398.00	\$	51,919,147.36

The success of the repair program for your airport depends on the timely implementation of preservation, localized maintenance and repairs, and major rehabilitation work activities. If work is completed as scheduled, your airport will probably experience an improvement to the overall area-weighted average PCI. Though this analysis was performed with the assumption of an "unlimited budget", the purpose has been to identify specific projects over the course of 10-years for each pavement section where the condition is projected to fall below the critical PCI. The costs depicted in this study are intended to aid the airports in planning level budgets. Prior to construction work, it is recommended that the airport perform additional investigation at the design level to better estimate costs associated with the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation activity discussed.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The State of Florida has more than 100 public airports that are vital to the Florida economy as well as the economy of the United States. The aviation system in Florida allows the State to capitalize on an increasingly global marketplace. Florida's system of commercial service and general aviation airports are important to businesses throughout the entire State. Air travel is essential to tourism, Florida's number one industry.



There are millions of square feet of pavement infrastructure that consists of runways, taxiways, aprons, ramps, and other areas of airports that are vital to the support and safety of aircraft operations. Timely pavement maintenance repair and major rehabilitation of these pavements will support the airport in operating safely, efficiently, economically and without excessive down time.

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Central Aviation Office implemented the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) in 1992. In 2012, the FDOT Central Aviation Office selected a team led by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and including Peneul Consulting, LLC, Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, and All About Pavements, Inc., to provide services in support of the Central Aviation Office Program Manager. The continued evaluation and update of the existing SAPMP is to be completed over fiscal years 2013 and 2014.

This individual airport airfield pavement evaluation report discusses the work performed, a summary of findings, condition analysis results, and recommendations for maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning associated with the SAPMP update. It also briefly describes the procedures used to ensure that the appropriate engineering and scientific standards of care, quality, budget, schedules, and safety requirements were implemented during the performance of this work.

## 1.1 Purpose of Pavement Evaluation Report

The purpose of this Airfield Pavement Evaluation Report is to:

- Describe, briefly, the SAPMP goals, procedures, and responsibilities of the program's participants.
- Provide a brief technical explanation on pavement management principles, standard practices, objectives, and benefits of implementation.
- Outline procedures used to coordinate, collect, evaluate and report pavement inspection results at this airport.
- Analyze and utilize condition results for the development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation based on pavement performance trends.

# 1.2 FDOT Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program

In 1992, the FDOT implemented the SAPMP to improve the knowledge of pavement conditions at public airports in the Florida Airports System, identify maintenance and rehabilitation needs at each airport, automate pavement infrastructure information management, and establish standards to address future needs. The 1992 SAPMP implementation provided the FDOT and the participating airports valuable information for establishing and performing timely and appropriate pavement rehabilitation.

During the 1992-1993 implementations and again during the 1998-1999 updates; the SAPMP performed the development of proprietary software for pavement



management system analysis. This development allowed for the creation of pavement management database file system populated with airport attributes and condition data. The pavement management database was used to establish maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation (M&R) policies, M&R budget costs, and the development of recommendations for performing routine pavement preservation maintenance. This system, known as AIRPAV, was initially developed during the 1992-1993 SAPMP implementation for the analysis of distress data. The AIRPAV system was used again in the 1998-1999 SAPMP update.

In 2004, the SAPMP update included the review of the AIRPAV software compared to other industry available non-proprietary software packages. As a result of this review, MicroPAVER was selected for implementation of the system update. MicroPAVER was developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering Research Laboratory for the purpose of pavement management. Data from the 1998-1999 FDOT SAPMP update, which was built upon the initial 1992-1993 implementation of AIRPAV, was reviewed and converted to be compatible with the MicroPAVER system. This data conversion included all documented pavement facility, classification, type, history, geometry, PCI condition data and pertinent attributes gathered from airport feedback at the time. This information was used to develop the inventory of each participating airport's pavement facilities in a consistent format. This was the development of Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits. These inventory exhibits visually depicted the branch, section, and sample units that were based upon the pavement construction history and composition information provided by each airport.

In 2006-2008, the SAPMP was updated again with continued use of the MicroPAVER system. Based on the distress data collected, a maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning program was developed for each airport. As part of this SAPMP update, the procedures for the inspection and the collection of the pavement distress data were documented, and an interactive website (<a href="http://www.dot.state.fl.us/aviation/pavement.shtm">http://www.dot.state.fl.us/aviation/pavement.shtm</a>) was established for input of data.

In 2010-2012, the SAPMP was updated using new GPS integrated technology to digitally collect pavement distress data. Interactive GIS map files were developed from updated Airfield Pavement Network Definition Maps to aid pavement condition inspectors in the collection of sample distress data. The

data collected was utilized to develop pavement performance models to predict future pavement PCI values and make recommendations for major rehabilitation.

Currently, airports participating in the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Grant Program are required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to develop and implement a pavement maintenance program to be eligible for funding (FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements). This program requires detailed inspection of airfield pavement conditions by trained personnel. The inspections are required to be performed at least once a year or every three years, if the pavement is inspected in accordance to the PCI survey procedure (such as ASTM International D 5340 Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys). The previous 2010-2012 SAPMP update utilized the ASTM D 5340-04 released in 2004, in lieu of the 2010/2011 edition, in order to maintain consistent database integrity and benefit of pavement performance models from previous inspections.

# 1.3 Organization

# FDOT Central Aviation Office Program Manager

The FDOT Central Office Airport Engineering Manager serves as the Aviation Office Program Manager (AO-PM) for the SAPMP. The AO-PM monitors the work performed by the Consultant. The AO-PM has review and approval authority for each program task and manages the day-to-day details of the SAPMP and the pertinent updates.

The AO-PM reports updates and milestones to the FDOT State Aviation Manager and Aviation Development Administrator.

### Consultant

The Consultant, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and their team consisting of Peneul Consulting, LLC, Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, and All About Pavements, Inc. provide technical and administrative assistance to the AO-PM during the execution of the update to the SAPMP. The efforts include updating the airport pavement inventory data, performing the condition survey inspections, evaluating the airfield pavement conditions and updating the SAPMP based upon procedures outlined in the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements and ASTM D 5340.

### Airport Role

The airports are the ultimate client for each condition survey inspection performed at their respective airfields as part of the SAPMP. The individual airports will be provided final deliverables prepared by the Consultant that have been reviewed and approved by the AO-PM. The airport should provide a current Airport Layout Plan (ALP) to the Consultant and, if they participated in the previous SAPMP, indicate any construction activity that has been performed since the previous inspections.

### **FDOT District Offices**

The seven FDOT District Offices, specifically the Aviation Representatives, provide vital support to the SAPMP update and the AO-PM. Each District supports the SAPMP's on-going efforts of provided representative construction trend costs and practices through the Florida Airports System. Each District Office receives copies of individual Airfield Pavement Evaluation Reports for the airport facilities located within their respective districts.

## 1.4 Introduction to Pavement Types and Pavement Management

#### **Pavement Basics**

A pavement is a prepared surface designed to provide a continuous smooth ride at all taxi, takeoff, and landing speeds and to support an estimated amount of traffic loading for a certain number of years. Pavements are composed of a combination of constructed layers of subgrade soils, subbases, base course material, and surface level courses. There are mainly two types of pavements:

- Flexible Pavement, a composition of bituminous asphalt concrete (AC) surface, base, and subbase layers.
- Rigid Pavement, a composition of Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) surface, base, and subbase layers.

Both pavement types use a combination of layered materials and thicknesses in order to support the traffic loads (both magnitude and repeated application) and protect the underlying subgrade soil. Flexible pavements dissipate applied loads from layer to layer until the load magnitude is small enough to be supported by the subgrade soil. In rigid pavements, the PCC layer supports the majority of the structural load applied, and the base or subbase layer is constructed to provide a smooth, level, and continuous platform that provides uniform support for PCC slabs.

A small percentage of airfield pavements within the Florida Airports System are composed of hybrid 'composite pavement' sections that may include both AC pavement and PCC pavement. The two known composite pavements are AC surface over PCC (APC) and PCC over AC (White Topping).

Due to the different nature of the pavement types, construction, and their materials; flexible and rigid pavements have different modes of failure and fatigue. This results in varying deterioration and distress development. Understanding the mechanics and modes of failure of the pavement types will assist the engineers in making timely, adequate, consistent, and economical maintenance repairs and major rehabilitation to the pavement structures at each airfield.

## The Concept of an Airfield Pavement Management System

The SAPMP is a program that provides the Florida Airports System an opportunity to implement and/or maintain a proactive Airfield Pavement Management System (APMS) in a consistent manner at a regular schedule. The SAPMP Airfield Pavement Management System consists of pavement inventory, pavement construction and history, condition survey inspections, pavement performance modeling, maintenance recommendations, and major rehabilitation planning. The various elements of the APMS are used by experienced engineers to identify critical pavement preservation pavements, make or rehabilitation recommendations, and approximate pavement performance. The APMS as a whole is used by an airport's stakeholders, managing agencies, engineers, and planners as a tool in decision making for future project planning, budgeting, and scheduling of activities for its airfield pavement infrastructure.

A benefit of an active APMS is it provides an understanding of an airport's pavement performance trends for the purpose of project planning. Based on the performance trend of their pavements, an airport can schedule pavement maintenance and rehabilitation prior to when the pavement section has deteriorated to a condition that would require reconstruction. The use of pavement performance trends will help airports plan M&R and Rehabilitation projects in a manner and sequence that maximizes benefit and minimizes costs. Figure 1-1, which is based upon the FAA Advisory Circular 150 5380-7A Airport Pavement Management Program, illustrates how pavement generally deteriorates over time and the relative cost of rehabilitation and reconstruction throughout its life.






Figure 1-1: Pavement Life Cycle

Source: FAA Advisory Circular 150 5380-7A Airport Pavement Management Program

Note that during approximately the first 75% of a pavement's life, it performs relatively well. After that, however, it begins to deteriorate rapidly. The number of years a pavement stays in 'Good' and 'Satisfactory' conditions depends on how well it is proactively maintained. As the Figure 1-1 demonstrates, the cost of maintaining the pavement above critical condition before rapid deterioration occurs is much less compared to maintaining pavements after substantial deterioration has occurred.

Pavements tend to deteriorate at an accelerated rate when actual traffic loading exceeds the original design assumptions and when limited resources are available for maintenance and repair (M&R) efforts. Planned maintenance and rehabilitation, essentially preserving pavements and delaying condition deterioration, help airport (managers, agencies, and engineers) maximize the use of their budgets and prolong the life of their pavements. An APMS provides a tool to schedule planned maintenance and major rehabilitation efforts based on a consistent methodology of condition assessment. This consistent methodology of pavement condition assessment allows for the development of pavement performance models to help forecast future pavement conditions.

Part of the implementation of the APMS is the clear identification and inventorying of pavement infrastructure that needs to be managed specifically within the airport (owner, manager, and agencies) responsibility. Another aspect of the APMS is development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation policies that align with the expectations of pavement performance and are based on ability to fund the types of work identified. Once there is an understanding of the cause and extent of pavement distresses, appropriate maintenance and rehabilitation can be planned. By using representative construction costs based on historic bid trends; planning level budget costs can be developed on a multiyear duration.

## Airfield Pavement Inspection Methodology for the SAPMP

Pavement condition assessment requires the application of professional judgments regarding the condition of the pavement. The SAPMP airfield pavement condition survey inspections assess pavement, comparing it to a set of standards in ASTM D 5340-11. As part of this update, SAPMP has adopted the changes made in updates to ASTM D 5340-11. These include the separation of Weathering and Raveling into two distinct flexible pavement distresses, and the addition of the Alkali-Silica Reactivity distress for rigid pavement distresses. The change in distress classification, as described in ASTM D 5340-11, may result in small variances in the PCI values from the previous inspection analysis.

The pavement condition surveys assess the functional condition of the pavement surface based on surface distresses as defined by the ASTM D 5340-11. Typically, deficiencies within a pavement structure will eventually reflect to the pavement surface as distresses described within ASTM D 5340-11. The SAPMP is specifically a visual evaluation and analysis based on the ASTM D 5340-11. The structural condition and relative support of the pavement layers can be directly quantified using non-destructive deflection testing (NDT) as well as other indepth engineering evaluation or sampling and testing methods.

For the SAPMP update, only visual surveys were performed. Further structural and geotechnical testing should be conducted to determine design level rehabilitation and/or reconstruction needs should the airport proceed to the design process.

In preparation for the PCI survey inspections, the airfield pavements for each airport are divided into branches, sections, and sample units as established by FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B and ASTM D 5340. Further discussion of the process of inventorying and categorizing pavement facilities by use,

composition, and history can be found in SECTION 2 AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION and PAVEMENT INVENTORY.

Sample units are uniformly divided areas of pavement that are defined for inspection. Sample unit sizes are approximately  $5,000 \pm 2,000$  square feet for flexible AC pavements and  $20 \pm 8$  slabs for rigid PCC pavements. Prior to conducting the field condition survey inspections, the sampling plan was developed for the airfield pavements based on updates to the previous inspection sampling based on the available knowledge of construction updates. The sample rate adopted for the SAPMP is depicted on Table 1-1.

Table 1-1: Sampling Rate Schedule for SAPMP PCI Survey Inspections

Flexible Pavements Asphalt Concrete							
Number of Sample Units in Section	Number of Sai	Taxiways, Aprons, Others					
1 - 4	1	1					
5 - 10	2	1					
11 - 15	3	2					
16 - 30	5	3					
31 - 40	7	4					
41 - 50	8	5					
≥ 51	20% but ≤ 20	10% but ≤ 10					

Rigid Pavements Portland Cement Concrete						
Number of Sample Units in Section	Number of Sai	mple Units to Inspect  Taxiways,  Aprons, Others				
1 - 3	1	1				
4 - 6	2	1				
7 - 10	3	2				
11 - 15	4	2				
16 - 20	5	3				
21 - 30	7	3				
31 - 40	8	4				
41 - 50	10	5				
≥ 51	20% but ≤ 20	10% but ≤ 10				

The sample units to be inspected were determined through a systematic random sampling technique to provide an unbiased representation of sample units for each pavement facility. The sample unit locations had been determined in such a way that they are distributed evenly throughout each defined pavement section area. In certain cases when no representative distresses are observed in the field, additional sample units were added.

The distress quantities and severity levels from each inspected sample unit are used to compute the PCI value and rating for each Section using the ASTM D 5340-11 and MicroPAVER software. Figures 1-2 and 1-3 depict graphical representations of the color ranges associated with PCI values and ranges with

a photograph of airfield pavement that exhibited the conditions for both flexible and rigid pavements respectively.

REPRESENTATIVE PAVEMENT SURFACE REPAIR PCI PCI **ACTIVITIES** ROUTINE MAINTENANCE Pavements with PCI indexes above 85, or 'Good' may require periodic 86 - 100 90 joint/crack sealing and local patching. PAVEMENT PRESERVATION Pavements with PCI conditions ranging from 'Satisfactory' to 'Good' 65 - 85 70 may require surface treatments (seal coat), thin overlays, and/or joint/crack sealing. MAJOR REHABILITATION Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 64, or within the range 40 40 - 64 of 'Poor' to 'Fair' conditions may require major rehabilitation such as pavement mill and overlay or PCC restoration activity. MAJOR REHABILITATION 15 may require major reconstruction.

Figure 1-2: Flexible Pavement, Asphalt Concrete




Figure 1-3: Rigid Pavement, Portland Cement Concrete

Using the ASTM D 5340-11 standard seven qualitative ranges, the SAPMP provides a PCI value and a standard qualitative condition rating for the pavement facilities inspected.

# AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION AND PAVEMENT INVENTORY

Apalachicola Regional Airport (AAF) consists of three runways; RW 6-24 which is 150-ft wide by 5,271-ft long, RW 14-32 which is 150-ft wide by 5,425-ft long and RW 18-36 which is 150-ft wide by 5,251-ft long. The apron pavement extends from the 6 end of RW 6-24 and continues around towards the 36 end of RW 18-36. Apalachicola Regional Airport is served by two main taxiways, Alpha and Charlie, with access throughout the airport being achieved through a network of ten taxiway facilities to direct traffic off of the runways. Each of these taxiways are 75-ft wide. The pavement throughout the runways, taxiways and apron is composed of Portland Cement Concrete.

It is important to note that the aforementioned runway data in addition to the remaining airfield pavement facilities geometric attributes may vary slightly from the geometry used in the condition exhibit in Appendix B and the major rehabilitation exhibit in Appendix F based on field measurements.

Apalachicola Regional Airport was originally constructed in 1939 as the Army Air Corps. Once expanded in February 1942, it became a Flexible Gunnery School under 2136th Army Air Forces Base Unit, a sub-base and auxiliary airfield to nearby Tyndall Field. Apalachicola Regional was turned over to the Army Corps of Engineers in February of 1947, after being inactivated in September 1945. The airport eventually discharged to the War Assets Administration (WAA) and was deeded to the municipality of Apalachicola and became a civil airport.

The airport is located in Franklin County, Florida and is operated by the County. This airport is designated as a General Aviation airport and is located in District 3 of the Florida Department of Transportation.

### 2.1 Network Definition

The airfield pavements within each airport network are separated into manageable units within the FDOT SAPMP MicroPAVER database system, organizing pavement data by similar use and constructive history.

### Branch and Section Identification

Each airport's airfield pavement network is generally subdivided into separate Branches (runways, taxiways, aprons/ramps, or others) that have distinctly different functional identifications and uses. Each Branch is further subdivided

into Sections as defined by pavement location, composition, and construction history. A Section is typically understood to be a project level subdivision within a Branch feature. Sections are manageable units to organize data collection and are treated individually during the maintenance and major rehabilitation planning process. A pavement rank (primary, secondary, or tertiary) is assigned to each Section based on its importance and type of use to airport operations. The pavement rankings designated for each section at this airport were defined by the previous SAPMP, unless changes were communicated by the airport. These Sections are further subdivided into condition survey sample units based on the methodology described in ASTM D 5340.

## Airfield Pavement System Inventory and Network Definition Update

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory and Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits are developed individually for each participating airport. Based on information requested of and provided by the airport, the airfield pavements are evaluated on designation updates, and recent or anticipated pavement construction activity. As mentioned previously, a Section is defined partially by its construction history; this variable that factored in the performance and condition of the pavement section.

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit, Figure A-2 in Appendix A, is a snapshot of recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction activity communicated by the airport since the last SAPMP update. Construction activities identified include maintenance and repair activity, rehabilitation, and airfield pavement expansion efforts. Maintenance and repair activity may include; surface treatments, crack sealing, patching, slab replacement, and others. Both maintenance and rehabilitation activities are identified at the pavement section level. This type of work may result in an increase in overall Section PCI since the last inspection. Major rehabilitation efforts may include; asphalt milling and overlay, and full depth pavement reconstruction. This type of effort will result in a resetting of the pavement section PCI value to 100 due to the nature of the work. Lastly, airfield pavement expansions are accounted for as new inventory and assigned a section PCI of 100. Typically the new pavement sections are not inspected due to its condition; however these pavements are incorporated into the SAPMP pavement database. When possible, these changes are reflected in the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit, in Appendix A, prior to the field inspection. The updates are typically discussed and confirmed with airport personnel at the beginning and end of condition survey inspections to ensure accuracy.



The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit depicts the airport's pavement limits with Branch and Section delineations. This exhibit also includes the subdivision on Section areas into sample units and is used to identify those sample units that are to be inspected. The previous SAPMP Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits were used as a base. Updates and information provided by each airport was reviewed and the exhibits were revised appropriately. Characteristics that are considered include; airfield configuration, branch designations (magnetic declination, Airport Layout Plan updates) and pavement composition. The exhibit serves not only as a primary guide for the airfield inspectors but also allows specific distresses found in the re-inspection report to be geographically located.

Due to recent and anticipated construction efforts; pavement area sections may have been consolidated and created which will affect the total number of sample units to be inspected based upon the methods described in ASTM D 5340 and from the sampling rate schedule. Table 2-1 summarizes the recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction efforts communicated by the airport.

Table 2-1: Recent and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction

Construction Year	Section Location	Work Type/Pavement Section
-	-	No Information Provided

### Airfield Pavement Network Definition & Geographic Information System (GIS)

As part of this SAPMP update, geographic information system (GIS), global positioning system (GPS), and digital data collection were integrated into the Pavement Inspection Methodology at each airport. Using AutoCAD Civil 3D, ArcMap, ArcPad, and FDOT Survey and Mapping Office Aerial Photography; digital navigation maps have been developed for each airport to represent the SAPMP pavement inventory attributes. These navigation maps were used with field data tablets to assist survey teams as they performed condition inspections by navigating pavement infrastructure and collecting distress data.

# 2.2 Pavement Inventory

The detailed pavement inventory database was updated to reflect the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit, in Appendix A, updates and field inspection results. Table 2-2 and Figure 2-1 provides a summary of the pavement inventory attributes at Apalachicola Regional Airport-(AAF) for this SAPMP update.

Table 2-2: Pavement Inventory Summary

		3		
Airfield Pavem	ent Network	Definition		
Number of Branches	17			
Number of Sections		33		
Sample Units		146		
Airfield	Pavement l	Jse		
Use	Area (SF)	Relative Area (%)		
Runway	2,303,994	54%		
Taxiway	967,353	23%		
Apron	979,973	23%		
Total =	4,251,320	100%		
Airfield	Pavement T	ype		
Туре	Area (SF)	Relative Area (%)		
Asphalt Concrete (AC)	0	0%		
Asphalt Overlay (AAC)	0	0%		
Portland Cement Concrete (PCC)	4,251,320	100%		
AC over PCC (APC)	0	0%		



□PCC - Portland Cement Concrete Pavement

Figure 2-1: Airfield Pavement Type

Specific details to each Branch and Section such as; name, geometry, age, rank, surface type, and construction history are provided in Table 2-3.

Table 2-3: Airfield Pavement Inventory Details

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	True Area (SF)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	262,625	S	PCC	1/1/1940	11	44
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6305	525,250	S	PCC	1/1/1940	18	87
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6210	249,270	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	10	43
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6205	498,541	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	18	83
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	256,103	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	10	44
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	512,205	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	17	86
APRON	AP	4105	979,973	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	14	200
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	350	10,983	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	1	2
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	345	29,911	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	2	7
TAXIWAY D	TW D	340	15,082	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	1	2

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	True Area (SF)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
Taxiway D	TW D	335	40,968	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	2	8
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	330	10,600	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	1	2
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	325	34,714	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	2	8
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	320	10,600	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	1	2
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	315	34,714	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	2	8
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	310	15,572	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	1	3
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	305	29,556	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	2	6
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	255	10,441	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	1	2
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	250	35,036	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	2	8
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	245	10,796	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	1	2
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	240	34,680	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	2	9
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	235	11,058	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	1	2
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	230	32,807	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	2	8
TAXIWAY A	TW A	225	75,620	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	2	15
TAXIWAY A	TW A	220	154,200	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	5	31
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	210	16,092	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	1	3
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	205	31,535	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	1	6
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	160	34,877	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	2	8
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	155	10,613	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	1	2
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	150	34,830	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	2	8
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	145	10,646	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	1	2
TAXIWAY C	TW C	110	77,718	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	3	16
TAXIWAY C	TW C	105	153,704	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6	32

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER. \* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey.

### 3. AIRFIELD PAVEMENT CONDITION

Airfield pavement distresses and condition were surveyed in accordance with the methods outlined in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B and ASTM D 5340-11. These procedures define distress type, severity, and quantity for sampling areas within each defined pavement section area to analyze and determine the PCI value and condition rating.

The program has been updated from ASTM D 5340-04, released in 2004, to ASTM D 5340-11, released in 2011, for this SAPMP update. The primary updates include the separation of certain distress types and the addition of new types with corresponding changes to PCI calculation. These changes in distress classification may result in small variances in the PCI values from the previous inspection analyses.

# 3.1 Inspection Methodology

A pavement condition survey inspection is performed by measuring the amount and severity of defined pavement distresses observed within the boundaries of sample units. These distresses, as defined by ASTM D 5340, are generally caused by traffic fatigue loading, exposure to climate and elements, and other airfield specific factors. This data is collected by field personnel experienced in pavement condition survey inspection. Data collection is then transferred into the FDOT MicroPAVER database system. MicroPAVER is used to calculate PCI values using the methodology described in ASTM D 5340-11. The values are calculated for each sample and extrapolated on a Section level to determine an area-weighted PCI value ranging from 0 to 100 and one of seven condition ratings. Tables 3-1 and 3-2 describe the distresses as defined by the ASTM D 5340-11 and adopted for the SAPMP procedures.

Table 3-1: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Asphalt Concrete

Code	Distress	Primary Mechanisms
41	Alligator Cracking	Load / Fatigue Failure
42	Bleeding	Construction Quality/ Mix Design
43	Block Cracking	Climate / Age
44	Corrugation	Load / Construction Quality
45	Depression	Subgrade Quality
46	Jet Blast	Aircraft
47	Joint Reflection - Cracking	Climate / Prior Pavement
48	Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking	Climate / Age
49	Oil Spillage	Aircraft / Vehicle
50	Patching	Utility / Pavement Repair
51	Polished Aggregate	Repeated Traffic Loading
52	Raveling	Climate / Load
53	Rutting	Repeated Traffic Loading
54	Shoving	PCC Pavement Growth / Movement
55	Slippage Cracking	Load / Pavement Bond
56	Swelling	Climate / Subgrade Quality
57	Weathering	Climate

Source: U.S. Army CERL, FDOT Airfield Inspection Reference Manual

Table 3-2: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Portland Cement Concrete

Code	Distress	Primary Mechanisms
61	Blow-up	Climate / Alkali Silica Reaction
62	Corner Break	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses
63	Linear Cracking	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses / Shrinkage Stresses
64	Durability Cracking	Freeze-Thaw Cycling
65	Joint Seal Damage	Material Deterioration / Construction Quality
66	Small Patch	Pavement Repair
67	Large Patch/Utility Cut	Utility / Pavement Repair
68	Popout	Freeze-Thaw Cycling
69	Pumping	Load Repetition / Poor Joint Sealant
70	Scaling/Crazing	Construction Quality / Freeze- Thaw Cycling
71	Faulting	Load Repetition / Subgrade Quality
72	Shattered Slab	Overloading
73	Shrinkage Cracking	Construction Quality / Load
74	Joint Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material
75	Corner Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material
76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	Construction Quality / Climate

Source: U.S. Army CERL, FDOT Airfield Inspection Reference Manual

# 3.2 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Results

From the condition survey inspection performed in 2013 at Apalachicola Regional Airport, the overall weighted average PCI value is 68 representing a condition rating of FAIR.

Overall the airport exhibited pavement distresses associated with climate and age distress. Due to the similar original construction date of all of the PCC pavements throughout the airfield, the distresses observed are relatively consistent.

Runways 6-24, 14-32 and 18-36 all exhibited similar pavement distresses throughout which consisted mostly of map cracking, longitudinal, transverse and diagonal cracking, corner breaks, joint and corner spalling, joint seal damage,

patching, shrinkage cracking and shattered slabs. All of these distresses varied in severity but most were classified as either low or medium severity. A significant amount of low severity small patches where observed which show that recently repairs were made to locations where joint spalling, corner spalling and corner breaks previously existed.

The taxiways and apron pavement exhibited very similar distresses to all of the runways which is to be expected based on the similar age of all of the PCC pavements. For the most part, these distresses were indicative of both climate and age related failures.

Appendix B contains Table B-1 and an Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit, Figure B-1, which depicts the PCI results by Section, and Appendix C contains MicroPAVER reports of PCI results by Branch and Section. Appendix H includes detailed distress data generated by MicroPAVER for each inspected sample unit.

The pavement condition at Apalachicola Regional Airport is represented in Figure 3-1 in accordance with the condition categories and PCI scale referenced in ASTM D 5340. Further detail is provided in Table 3-3 which describes the breakdown of the airport's airfield conditions according to area and use.

Appendix B contains Table B-1 summarizes the Section Condition values and the Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit, Figure B-1, that depicts the PCI results by Section. Appendix H is dedicated to the reporting of the specific airfield pavement distress data collected at the time of the inspection for this update.

Figure 3-1: Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary





Table 3-3: Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary

Airfield Pavement Use					
Use	Average Area- Weighted PCI	Condition Rating			
Runway	71	SATISFACTORY			
Taxiway	66	FAIR			
Apron	63	FAIR			
Condition Area					
Condition Rating	Area (SF)	Relative Area (%)			
Good	-	0%			
Satisfactory	2,162,664	51%			
Fair	1,975,132	46%			
Poor	113,524	3%			
Very Poor	-	0%			
Serious	-	0%			
Failed	-	0%			


Approximately 51% of the airfield network is in Satisfactory and Fair condition; while the remaining 3% of the network is in a Poor condition. Table 3-3 provides a breakdown of total area for each pavement by condition rating. Figures 3.2 a, b, c depict the condition rating of the airfield pavement by Branch Use. Photographs taken during the condition survey inspection are included in Appendix G. The photographs included are intended to be representative of the distress observed.

Figure 3-2: Percentage of Pavement Area by Condition Rating by Use

### (a) Runway




## (b) Taxiway





# (c) Apron



### PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE

Pavement performance models are developed from the distress data collected for the SAPMP for the Florida Airports System. This data is consolidated in a database and organized by inspection date, pavement type, age, pavement use, and airport category. The pavement performance models are used to develop broad prediction models, also known as pavement condition deterioration curves.

The consolidation of the Florida Airports System's pavement infrastructure within the FDOT SAPMP is based on data that have been collected in a consistent method of measurement. The historic pavement condition, or performance trend, has been compiled throughout the system with data from the inception of the SAPMP. This data is processed into models that have been analyzed and developed into prediction curves based upon pavement characteristics. These characteristics include; climate, construction material, and operations. Each model has been developed based on the following criteria:

AIRPORT TYPE (Primary, Regional Reliever, or General Aviation)

>FACILITY USE (Runway, Taxiway, or Apron)

>>FACILITY SURFACE TYPE (AC, AAC, APC, or PCC)

The historic trends of pavement performance at Florida airport facilities for all performance models are consolidated within the program database. This information is utilized in the prediction of pavement performance based on the current PCI determined from the inspections that took place between 2013 and 2014. Major rehabilitation is planned based on the predicted PCI. The intent of this is for both the individual airport and the FDOT District personnel to be aware of anticipated major rehabilitation work based on condition.

Each airport's airfield pavement section condition, for a given inspection year, is one data point that was used as the basis of each performance trend using a performance model based on pavements of similar background. Figures 4-1, 4-2, and 4-3 represent the pavement performance prediction at Apalachicola Regional Airport based on pavement use. Each figure depicts the FDOT recommended Minimum Service Level PCI value for each pavement type.



Figure 4-1: Runway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary





Figure 4-2: Taxiway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary






Figure 4-3: Apron Pavement Performance Prediction Summary

Pavement performance modeling to predict the future PCI is primarily done to predict PCI at the Section level for the purpose of planning Major Rehabilitation work. In Appendix D, Table D-1 represents the predicted area-weighted PCI by Section for the airport's airfield pavement infrastructure.

### 5. AIRFIELD PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND COSTS

#### 5.1 Policies

Airfield Pavement Maintenance policies are guidance on pavement construction methods used to develop, maintain, repair, and rehabilitate pavement infrastructure based on distresses encountered during the condition surveys.

Maintenance refers to the repair and preservation-type activities that are applied locally to specific distress types on the pavement. These activities for the SAPMP are considered preventative and corrective in nature and are highly recommended to help improve pavement performance and extend pavement life. The SAPMP maintenance policies are based on the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B and guidance provided in the FDOT Airfield Pavement Repair Manual.

For the purpose of the SAPMP; the maintenance repair needs that are identified and quantified are based solely on the pavement distresses observed and recorded at the time of the inspection. Based on a specific distress type and severity observed, a particular repair work type is recommended and quantified based on the extrapolated section distresses. The repair program identified is specific to the current distresses. Future maintenance planning budgets are based on this initial determination. Tables 5-1 and 5-2 provide the list of maintenance activities incorporated into the SAPMP MicroPAVER database to treat specific distress types and severities.

Table 5-1: Recommended AC, AAC, and APC Maintenance and Repair Policy

Surface Type	Distress Code	Distress Name	Severity	Maintenance Work Type	Work Unit	
	41	Alligator Cracking	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet	
	42	Bleeding	N/A	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet	
	43	Block Cracking	L	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet	
	43	Block Cracking	M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet	
	44	Corrugation	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet	
	45	Depression	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet	
	46	Jet Blast Erosion	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet	
	47	Joint Reflection Cracking	L	Crack Sealing	Linear Feet	
Φ	47	Joint Reflection Cracking	M, H	M, H Full Depth Pavement Patch		
ncret C)	48	Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking	L, M, H	Crack Sealing	Linear Feet	
Flexible Asphalt Concrete (AC, AAC, APC)	49	Oil Spillage	L, M	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet	
Asph C, AA	49	Oil Spillage	Н	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet	
exible (A(	50	Patch and Utility Patching	М	Crack Sealing	Linear Feet	
<u> </u>	50	Patch and Utility Patching	Н	Square Feet		
	51	Polished Aggregate	L, M, H	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet	
	52	Raveling	L, M	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet	
	52	Raveling	Н	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet	
	53	Rutting	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet	
	54	Shoving	L, M, H	Grinding / Removal	Square Feet	
	55	Slippage Cracking	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet	
	56	Swelling	M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet	
	57	Weathering	M, H	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet	

Table 5-2: Recommended PCC Maintenance and Repair Policy

Surface Type	Distress Code	Distress Name	Severity	Maintenance Work Type	Work Unit
	61	Blowup	L, M, H	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet
	62	Corner Break	L, M, H	Partial Patch - PCC	Square Feet
	63	Longitudinal/Transverse/Diagonal Cracking	Н	Crack Sealing - PCC	Linear Feet
	64	Durability Cracking	M, H	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet
	65	Joint Seal Damage	L, M, H	Joint Seal Repair (Local)	Linear Feet
	66	Patching, Small	M, H	Square Feet	
Rigid Pavement (PCC)	67	Patching, Large	M, H	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet
igid P.	68	Popouts	L	Crack Sealing - PCC	Linear Feet
α.	69	Pumping	L, M, H	Slab Stabilization / Slab Jacking	Square Feet
	70	Scaling/Map Cracking/Crazing	L, M	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	Square Feet
	70	Scaling/Map Cracking/Crazing	Н	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet
	71	Settlement / Faulting	L	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	Square Feet
	71	Settlement / Faulting	M, H	Slab Stabilization / Slab Jacking	Square Feet
	72	Shattered Slab	L, M, H	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet

Surface Type	Distress Code	Distress Name	Severity	Maintenance Work Type	Work Unit
	73	Shrinkage Cracks	N/A	Crack Sealing - PCC	Linear Feet
	74	Longitudinal/Transverse Joint Spalling	L, M, H	Partial Patch - PCC	Square Feet
	75	Corner Spalling	L, M, H	Partial Patch - PCC	Square Feet
	76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	L	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	M	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	Square Feet
	76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	Н	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet

Though proactive pavement maintenance and preservation is highly recommended in an APMS; it is recognized that pavement that has deteriorated below a certain PCI will require a major rehabilitation rather than localized maintenance and repair work. Major rehabilitation is recommended when the pavement condition decreases below a critical point such that the deterioration is extensive or the rate of deterioration is so great that maintenance repair efforts are no longer cost-efficient. This critical point is called "Critical PCI". The critical PCI levels for different pavement and branch types were established by the FDOT and were used in this update to develop a maintenance and major rehabilitation plan for the airport. Sections that are above the "Critical PCI" levels will be recommended for maintenance, repair, and preservation treatments, assuming there are no significant load-related distresses. For those Sections below the Critical PCI, the recommended action will consist of major rehabilitation work. This approach is used for the current Section's PCI value and the predicted PCI value for future rehabilitation.

The FDOT has recommended minimum service level PCI for airports based on pavement facility use, airport type, and expected loading frequency. This minimum service level PCI is recommended to ensure the pavement provides a safe operational surface and efficiently uses maintenance and rehabilitation budgets. Separately, the Critical PCI is a value based on historic pavement performance trends and costs. It is at a PCI value of 65, for most airports, at which major rehabilitation is recommended over maintenance level efforts.



Table 5-3 identifies the FDOT recommended PCI by use and the critical PCI value for the most important pavements at the airport. This is due to the condition of the pavement and the cost effectiveness of the work. A very important concept of a good pavement management system is the proactive preservation of pavements that are above Critical PCI condition. Conversely, allowing pavement to deteriorate beyond maintenance and performing "worst first" major rehabilitation may cost much more over the life of a pavement.

Table 5-3: Critical and Minimum Service Level PCI for General Aviation Airports

Use	FDOT Recommended PCI	Critical PCI
Runway	75	65
Taxiway	65	65
Apron	60	65

Based on historic trends of pavement performance and industry standard practices in pavement maintenance and rehabilitation, the SAPMP included general guidance on construction activity based on condition PCI, as shown on Table 5-4. It is recommended that further investigation of underlying pavement conditions is performed at the design phase.

Table 5-4: Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation Activity Based on PCI

Category	PCI Range	
Maintenance	<ul> <li>Crack Sealing (AC/PCC)</li> <li>Partial Depth Patching (AC)</li> <li>Full Depth Patching (AC/PCC)</li> <li>Surface Treatment (AC)</li> </ul>	75 - 90
Rehabilitation	<ul><li>Mill and Overlay (AC)</li><li>Concrete Pavement Restoration (PCC)</li></ul>	40 - 74
	Full Depth Pavement Reconstruction	0 - 39

The PCI standard scale ranges from a value of 0, typically representing a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100 which typically represents a pavement in new or good condition. Generally, airfield pavement sections with

a PCI of 75 or higher that are not exhibiting distresses due to aircraft loading will benefit from maintenance activities such as crack sealing, patching, and surface treatments. Pavement sections with PCI values within the range of 40 to 74 may require major rehabilitation, such as a mill and overlay. Lastly, pavement sections with a PCI value of 40 or less are recommended to undergo pavement reconstruction. Generally pavement reconstruction is the only practical means of restoration due to the substantial distresses observed in the pavement structure. Since PCI values are based solely on the visual determination of pavement distresses and deterioration, this method does not provide a direct measure of structural integrity.

#### 5.2 Unit Costs

The FDOT SAPMP developed and updated the maintenance and major rehabilitation costs based on public cost databases for airport and highway pavement construction. Additionally, cost data collected from FDOT and FAA sponsored projects in the Florida Airports System were utilized to identify construction cost trends across the state.

The maintenance, repair, and preservation activity costs have been updated and developed using readily available construction cost data at the time of this update. The costs depicted in this report for both maintenance and major rehabilitation are intended for planning purposes.

# 5.3 Maintenance, Repair, and Major Rehabilitation

FDOT recognizes that although pavement mill and overlay is recommended for flexible asphalt concrete pavement within a PCI range from 40 to 74, it is conceivable that airports may not have adequate funding to perform this type of major rehabilitation. A comprehensive surface treatment; such as GSB-88 and Microsurfacing, as a maintenance rehabilitation activity, can be used in lieu of asphalt concrete pavement mill and overlay. However, it should be understood that these measures provide only a short term extension of pavement life. While the cost of surface treatments are significantly lower than that of pavement mill and overlay, it is not intended or implied to be a full rehabilitative measure for long term benefit. Table 5-5 and Table 5-6 provide budget costs associated with the work types shown in the table.

Table 5-5: AC Maintenance Unit Costs

Surface Type	Maintenance Work Type	Cost	Work Unit
4)	Full Depth Pavement Patch	\$5.00	Square Feet
. Concrete APC)	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	\$3.00	Square Feet
alt Co C, AP(	Seal Coat Treatment	\$0.55	Square Feet
Asph (C, AA	Crack Sealing	\$2.75	Linear Feet
Flexible Asphalt (AC, AAC, A	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	\$0.55	Square Feet
<u>.                                    </u>	Grinding / Removal	\$2.10	Square Feet

Table 5-6: PCC Maintenance Unit Costs

Surface Type	Maintenance Work Type	Cost	Work Unit
	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	\$45.00	Square Feet
	Partial Patch - PCC	\$19.10	Square Feet
nent	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$4.25	Linear Feet
Rigid Pavement (PCC)	Joint Seal Repair (Local)	\$3.00	Linear Feet
Rigid	Slab Stabilization / Slab Jacking	\$45.00	Square Feet
	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	\$1.00	Square Feet
	Seal Coat Treatment	\$1.00	Square Feet

As part of the SAPMP update, the distress data observed at each airport during the inspection is extrapolated on a section basis to make maintenance recommendations. These recommendations are a direct result of the distress types, severities, and quantities observed at the time of inspection. The maintenance recommendations and planning costs are correlated with the airport's airfield pavement network's overall area weighted PCI and used to plan future maintenance costs. Future maintenance costs are planning budgets that are not specific to a pavement section, but are estimates for the entire airfield. Table 5-7 provides budget costs associated with the rehabilitation activities.

Table 5-7: Rehabilitation Activities and Unit Costs by Condition for General Aviation Airports

Category	Activity	PCI Range	Cost/SqFt
	Mill and Overlay (AC)	40. 74	\$8.00
Rehabilitation	<ul> <li>Concrete Pavement Restoration (PCC)</li> </ul>	40 - 74	\$10.00
	• Full Depth Pavement Reconstruction	0 - 39	\$15.00

A cost scale has been developed based on PCI to develop planning level budgets for the airfield pavements. The cost scale is adjusted by project year based on an assumed inflation rate of 3%. In Appendix E, Table E-1 summarizes the Year-1 maintenance and repair recommendations based on the most recent inspection. The summary in Table E-1 does not take into account any rehabilitation activities, but rather summarizes preventative activities for all PCI ranges, including below critical PCI sections.

### 6. MAJOR PAVEMENT REHABILITATION NEEDS

As part of the SAPMP, major pavement rehabilitation planning is developed based on current and predicted PCI in comparison with the Critical PCI. The Critical PCI has been determined based on the historic trends of pavement condition relative to the benefit of maintenance and repair activities. Pavement sections determined to have a PCI less than that of the Critical PCI are assumed to have deteriorated to a point at which maintenance and repair level activity would provide little benefit.

The objective of the major pavement rehabilitation needs analysis is to provide planning level projects within an airport's airfield pavement network. Major rehabilitation activities are recommended when a pavement section has deteriorated below the Critical PCI value from a functionality perspective. In addition, major rehabilitation is also recommended when the Section PCI is above the Critical PCI but the Section has load-related PCI distresses. However, most major rehabilitation work is recommended when the Section PCI is below the Critical PCI, which is when maintenance and repair level activities are not considered to be cost effective.

Major rehabilitation is identified within the SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or "resetting" of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Such activities could include; mill and hot-mix asphalt overlay and re-construction. This analysis was conducted with no constraints to budgets as a means to identify all pavement projects based on Critical PCI for a 10-year duration. It is recommended that the airport use this as a planning tool for future project development and prioritization. Table 6-1 depicts the major rehabilitation work identified on the pavement section level based on current and predicted pavement PCI.

Table 6-1: Summary of Major Rehabilitation

	Table 0-1. Suffilliary of Major Reflabilitation												
Year	Branch Name	Section ID	Major M&R Costs*	PCI Before M&R	M&R Activity	PCI After M&R							
2014	RUNWAY 18-36	6310	\$ 2,626,249.88	56	PCC Restoration	100							
2014	RUNWAY 14-32	6110	\$ 2,561,029.88	63	PCC Restoration	100							
2014	APRON	4105	\$ 9,799,733.04	62	PCC Restoration	100							
2014	TAXIWAY B4	345	\$ 299,109.99	53	PCC Restoration	100							
2014	TAXIWAY D	335	\$ 409,679.98	57	PCC Restoration	100							
2014	TAXIWAY B3	325	\$ 347,139.98	51	PCC Restoration	100							
2014	TAXIWAY B2	315	\$ 347,139.98	61	PCC Restoration	100							
2014	TAXIWAY A3	240	\$ 346,799.98	55	PCC Restoration	100							
2014	TAXIWAY A1	230	\$ 447,159.40	43	PCC Restoration	100							
2014	TAXIWAY A	220	\$ 1,541,999.93	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2014	TAXIWAY A5	205	\$ 315,349.99	66	PCC Restoration	100							
2014	TAXIWAY A5	210	\$ 235,425.94	41	PCC Restoration	100							
2015	TAXIWAY B1	310	\$ 160,391.59	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2015	TAXIWAY A1	235	\$ 113,897.39	65	PCC Restoration	100							
2015	TAXIWAY C	105	\$ 1,583,151.13	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2017	TAXIWAY D	340	\$ 164,805.08	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2017	TAXIWAY A2	250	\$ 382,847.81	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2017	TAXIWAY C1	155	\$ 115,971.11	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2017	TAXIWAY C	110	\$ 849,245.53	65	PCC Restoration	100							
2018	RUNWAY 18-36	6305	\$ 5,911,734.75	65	PCC Restoration	100							
2018	RUNWAY 14-32	6105	\$ 5,764,912.13	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2018	TAXIWAY A3	245	\$ 121,509.93	65	PCC Restoration	100							
2018	TAXIWAY A	225	\$ 851,109.72	65	PCC Restoration	100							
2019	RUNWAY 6-24	6210	\$ 2,889,722.35	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2019	TAXIWAY B2	320	\$ 122,883.05	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2019	TAXIWAY B1	305	\$ 342,635.03	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2019	TAXIWAY C2	145	\$ 123,416.31	65	PCC Restoration	100							
2020	RUNWAY 6-24	6205	\$ 5,952,839.98	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2020	TAXIWAY A2	255	\$ 124,670.99	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2020	TAXIWAY C2	150	\$ 415,888.40	65	PCC Restoration	100							
2021	TAXIWAY B4	350	\$ 135,077.04	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2021	TAXIWAY C1	160	\$ 428,943.09	64	PCC Restoration	100							
2022	TAXIWAY B3	330	\$ 134,277.62	64	PCC Restoration	100							
		Total =	\$45,966,748.00										

<sup>\*</sup>Costs are adjusted for inflation at 3%.



The 10-year major rehabilitation program addresses those pavement sections that have a current or project PCI that is below the Critical PCI of 65 during the 10-year analysis period. The unconstrained or "unlimited budget" Major Rehabilitation Program is compared to a "No Major Rehabilitation Program" scenario in Figure 6-1. As shown, if no major rehabilitation work is completed in the next 10 years at your airport, the average PCI may be 31 points less than a plan that provides timely repairs to the airfield pavements.




Figure 6-1: 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Budget Scenario Analysis

### 7. PREVENTATIVE AND MAJOR REHABILITATION PLANNING

The preventative and major rehabilitation results include activities that are based on distresses observed and unconstrained by budget limits. FDOT recognizes that the projects identified as Year-1 needs in 2013, based on condition, may exceed a typical annual budget level. It is recommended that each airport further evaluate each project's feasibility and desirability based on the airport's future development plans and budgeting scenarios.

In an effort to identify appropriate budget levels, the 10-year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation analysis evaluated projected budget needs based on predicted PCI of each pavement section. Table 7-1 and Figure 7-1 provides a summary of the expected preventative and major rehabilitation for each program year.

Table 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary

Program Year	Preventative			ajor Rehabilitation	Total Year Costs		
2014	\$	758,940.00	\$	18,961,467.98	\$	19,720,407.98	
2015	\$	754,896.19	\$	1,857,440.11	\$	2,612,336.30	
2016	\$	823,102.50	\$	-	\$	823,102.50	
2017	\$	831,597.99	\$	1,512,869.53	\$	2,344,467.52	
2018	\$	385,511.80	\$	12,649,266.53	\$	13,034,778.33	
2019	\$	425,138.22	\$	3,478,656.74	\$	3,903,794.96	
2020	\$	330,057.02	\$	6,493,399.37	\$	6,823,456.39	
2021	\$	466,867.15	\$	564,020.13	\$	1,030,887.28	
2022	\$	639,887.30	\$	134,277.62	\$	774,164.92	
2023	\$	851,751.19	\$	-	\$	851,751.19	
				Total =	\$	51,919,147.37	






Figure 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary

According to the most recent inspections at the time of this update; the following pavement sections were identified as a Year-1 need for major rehabilitation:

- Runway 18-36 Section 6310
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Runway 14-32 Section 6110
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Apron Section 4105
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B4 Section 345
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway D Section 335
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B3 Section 325
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.

- Taxiway B2 Section 315
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A3 Section 240
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A1 Section 230
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural distresses, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A Section 220
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A5 Section 205, 210
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.

.

Appendix E summarizes the preventative repair recommendations for Year-1 and Appendix F provides an exhibit, Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation, that depicts the recommended major rehabilitation on the airfield pavement network according to work type and year.

### 8. VISUAL AID EXHIBITS

#### 8.1 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit in Appendix A depicts the airfield layout in a manner that defines the airfield pavement infrastructure as branches, sections, and sample units in accordance with the ASTM D 5340-11. The exhibits are prepared and updated with information provided by the airport and from aerial imagery from the FDOT Surveying and Mapping publications.

### 8.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit in Appendix A depicts any recent airfield pavement construction activity reported by the airport. The exhibit is intended to identify pavement sections that may have changed in geometry and pavement composition that would affect the section delineation. The information provided in the Airport Response Form was used as the basis of the changes and confirmed with the airport personnel at the time of inspection.

### 8.3 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit in Appendix B has been prepared based on the section condition analysis of the distress data collected during the recent condition index rating survey. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with associated condition rating colors and PCI values.

# 8.4 Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit in Appendix F has been prepared based on the section pavement performance model and major rehabilitation analysis. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with associated rehabilitation activity, program year, and the planning level costs.

## 8.5 Airfield Pavement Condition Survey Inspection Photographs

During the field condition survey inspection; inspectors photographed representative distress types observed. Select photographs are provided in Appendix G to provide visual support to special pavement conditions or distresses observed.

### 9. RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations were made based on the 2013 condition survey inspection, condition analysis, and maintenance/rehabilitation analysis results:


- Runway 18-36 Sections 6305 and 6310
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Runway 6-24 Sections 6205 and 6210
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Runway 14-32 Sections 6105 and 6110
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Apron Sections 4105
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B4 Sections 345 and 350
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway D Sections 335 and 340
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B3 Sections 325 and 330
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B2 Sections 315 and 320
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B1 Sections 305 and 310
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A2 Sections 250 and 255
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A1 Sections 230 and 235
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.



- Taxiway A Sections 220 and 225
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A5 Sections 210 and 205
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway C1 Sections 155 and 160
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway C2 Sections 145 and 150
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway C Sections 105 and 110
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A3 Sections 240 and 245
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to structural, climate and age of pavement.

# APPENDIX A

- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION EXHIBIT
- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT SYSTEM INVENTORY EXHIBIT
- PAVEMENT GEOMETRY INVENTORY
- WORK HISTORY REPORT












**AAF** 





### **LEGEND**

PROJECTS YEAR 2010
PROJECTS YEAR 2011
PROJECTS YEAR 2012
PROJECTS YEAR 2013
PROJECTS YEAR 2014
PROJECTS YEAR 2015
PROJECTS YEAR 2016
PROJECTS YEAR 2016
PROJECTS YEAR 2017
PROJECTS YEAR 2017
PROJECTS YEAR 2018
PROJECTS YEAR 2019

RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.

K:\WP9_Aviation\142179	022\CACO\PLANSHEETS\A	AF - APALACHICOLA REGIO	AND ARPORT\EXHBITS\(	002-AAF-IM/EXTORY.deg	PLOTTED: November 18,	2013 - 2:4	4 PM, BY: Bon	n, Art
DESIGNED:	KHA	DRAWN:	KHA	CHECKED:	KHA	D	DATE:	2013
NUMBER	DATE	REVISIONS						









Table A-1: Pavement Geometry Inventory

Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	True Area (FT²)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Last Insp. Date	Total Samples
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6310	10,440	25	262,625	S	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	44
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6305	5,220	100	525,250	S	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	87
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	RUNWAY	6210	9,870	25	249,270	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	43
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	RUNWAY	6205	4,950	100	498,541	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	83
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	RUNWAY	6110	10,400	25	256,103	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	44
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	RUNWAY	6105	5,200	100	512,205	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	86
APRON	AP	APRON	4105	3,600	250	979,973	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	200
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	TAXIWAY	350	430	12	10,983	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	2
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	TAXIWAY	345	430	50	29,911	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	7
TAXIWAY D	TW D	TAXIWAY	340	700	12	15,082	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	2
TAXIWAY D	TW D	TAXIWAY	335	560	50	40,968	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	8
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	TAXIWAY	330	500	12	10,600	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	2
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	TAXIWAY	325	420	50	34,714	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	8
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	TAXIWAY	320	500	12	10,600	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	2
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	TAXIWAY	315	420	50	34,714	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	8
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	TAXIWAY	310	600	12	15,572	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	3
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	TAXIWAY	305	570	50	29,556	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	6
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	255	500	12	10,441	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	2
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	250	450	50	35,036	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	8
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	245	500	12	10,796	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	2
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	240	450	50	34,680	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	9
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	235	470	12	11,058	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	2
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	230	420	50	32,807	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	8
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	225	3,030	12	75,620	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	15
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	220	3,100	50	154,200	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	31



Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	True Area (FT²)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Last Insp. Date	Total Samples
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	TAXIWAY	210	600	25	16,092	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	3
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	TAXIWAY	205	500	50	31,535	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	6
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	TAXIWAY	160	460	50	34,877	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	8
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	TAXIWAY	155	500	16	10,613	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	2
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	TAXIWAY	150	460	50	34,830	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	8
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	TAXIWAY	145	500	16	10,646	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	2
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	110	3,045	25	77,718	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	6/5/2013	16
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	105	3,045	50	153,704	Р	PCC	1/1/1940	6/5/2013	32

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER.

\* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey.

Work

Code

Date

Work

Description

# **Work History Report**

1 of 5 Pavement Database:FDOT Network: AAF Branch: AP (APRON) Section: 4105 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: APRON 250.00 Ft Rank P Length: 3,600,00 Ft Width: True Area: 979,973.35 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Code Date Description ( in) M&R 01/01/2006 \$0 0.00 False **IMPORTED BUILT** 01/01/1940 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Branch: RW 14-32 (RUNWAY 14-32) Section: 6105 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: RUNWAY Rank P Length: 5,200.00 Ft Width: 100.00 Ft True Area:512,205.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Cost Comments Date Code Description ( in) M&R 01/01/2006 SL-PC Slab Replacement - PCC 0.00 False 01/01/1940 **IMPORTED BUILT** 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Branch: RW 14-32 (RUNWAY 14-32) Surface: PCC Section: 6110 L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: RUNWAY True Area:256.103.00 SqF Rank P Length: 10.400.00 Ft Width: 25.00 Ft Work Work Work Thickness Major Cost Comments M&R Date Code Description ( in) **BUILT** 01/01/1940 IMPORTED 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Branch: RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36) Section: 6305 Surface: PCC Network: AAF L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: RUNWAY True Area:525,250.00 SqF Rank S Length: 5.220.00 Ft Width: 100.00 Ft Work Work Major Thickness Comments Cost Description Date Code ( in) M&R 01/01/2008 SL-PC Slab Replacement - PCC \$0 0.00 False **IMPORTED BUILT** 01/01/1940 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Branch: RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36) Section: 6310 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: RUNWAY Rank S Length: 10,440.00 Ft Width: 25.00 Ft True Area:262,625.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Description Date Code ( in) M&R 01/01/1940 IMPORTED **BUILT** 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Section: 6205 Branch: RW 6-24 (RUNWAY 6-24) Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: RUNWAY Rank P Length: 4,950.00 Ft Width: 100.00 Ft True Area:498.541.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Description Code M&R ( in) **IMPORTED BUILT** 01/01/1940 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Surface: PCC Network: AAF Branch: RW 6-24 (RUNWAY 6-24) Section: 6210 L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: RUNWAY Rank P Length: 9,870.00 Ft Width: 25.00 Ft True Area:249.270.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Description Date Code M&R ( in) Slab Replacement - PCC 01/01/2008 SL-PC \$0 0.00 False 01/01/1940 **IMPORTED BUILT** 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT (TAXIWAY A) Network: AAF Branch: TW A Section: 220 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 3,100.00 Ft Width: 50.00 Ft True Area:154,200.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description (in) M&R 01/01/1940 **IMPORTED BUILT** 10.00 EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT True Network: AAF Branch: TW A (TAXIWAY A) Section: 225 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1942 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 3,030.00 Ft Width: 12.50 Ft True Area: 75,620.00 SqF

Thickness

( in)

Cost

Major

M&R

Comments

01/01/1940

**IMPORTED** 

**BUILT** 

# **Work History Report**

2 of 5 Pavement Database:FDOT 01/01/1942 IMPORTED BUILT 10.00 True EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT (TAXIWAY A1) Network: AAF Branch: TW A1 Section: 230 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: TAXIWAY True Area: 32,807.00 SqF Rank P Length: 420.00 Ft Width: 50.00 Ft Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description M&R ( in) 01/01/1940 IMPORTED **BUILT** 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Branch: TW A1 (TAXIWAY A1) Section: 235 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1942 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 470.00 Ft Width: 12.50 Ft True Area: 11.058.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description M&R ( in) 01/01/1942 **IMPORTED BUILT** 10.00 True EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Branch: TW A2 (TAXIWAY A2) Section: 250 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 450.00 Ft Width: 50.00 Ft True Area: 35,036.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Date Code Description Cost ( in) M&R 01/01/1940 IMPORTED **BUILT** 10.00 EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT True Network: AAF Branch: TW A2 (TAXIWAY A2) Section: 255 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1942 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 500.00 Ft Width: 12.50 Ft True Area: 10.441.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Description M&R Date Code ( in) 01/01/1942 IMPORTED **BUILT** 10.00 EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT True Network: AAF Branch: TW A3 (TAXIWAY A3) Section: 240 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 450.00 Ft 50.00 Ft Width: True Area: 34.680.00 SqF Work Work Thickness Work Major Comments Description Cost M&R Date Code ( in) 01/01/1940 IMPORTED **BUILT** 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Branch: TW A3 (TAXIWAY A3) Section: 245 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1942 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 500.00 Ft 12.50 Ft True Area: 10,796.00 SqF Width: Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Description Cost Date Code M&R ( in) **IMPORTED BUILT** 10.00 01/01/1942 True EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Branch: TW A5 (TAXIWAY A5) Section: 205 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 500.00 Ft Width: 50.00 Ft True Area: 31.535.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Description Cost Date Code ( in) M&R 01/01/1940 IMPORTED BUILT 10.00 EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT True Branch: TW A5 (TAXIWAY A5) Network: AAF Section: 210 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1942 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 600.00 Ft Width: 25.00 Ft True Area: 16,092.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Description Cost Date Code ( in) M&R 01/01/1942 IMPORTED **BUILT** 10.00 True EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Branch: TW B1 (TAXIWAY B1) Section: 305 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 570.00 Ft Width: 50.00 Ft True Area: 29.556.00 SqF Major Work Work Work Thickness Comments Cost Description Date Code ( in) M&R

10.00

True

EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT

L.C.D.: 01/01/1942 Use: TAXIWAY

Branch: TW B1

Network: AAF

01/01/1942

01/01/1940

INITIAL

**IMPORTED** 

# **Work History Report**

Pavement Database:FDOT

(TAXIWAY B1) Section: 310 Surface: PCC

Width:

12.50 Ft

3 of 5

True Area: 15,572.00 SqF

Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description ( in) M&R 01/01/1942 **IMPORTED BUILT** 10.00 True EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT

600.00 Ft

 Network:
 AAF
 Branch:
 TW B2
 (TAXIWAY B2)
 Section:
 315
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 01/01/1940
 Use:
 TAXIWAY
 Rank P Length:
 420.00 Ft
 Width:
 50.00 Ft
 True Area:
 34.714.00 SqF

Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description ( in) M&R 01/01/1940 **BUILT** EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT IMPORTED 10.00 True

Rank P Length:

 Network:
 AAF
 Branch:
 TW B2
 (TAXIWAY B2)
 Section:
 320
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 01/01/1942
 Use:
 TAXIWAY
 Rank P Length:
 500.00 Ft
 Width:
 12.50 Ft
 True Area:
 10,600.00 SqF

Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description (in) M&R 01/01/1942 BUILT 10.00 **IMPORTED** True EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT

 Network:
 AAF
 Branch:
 TW B3
 (TAXIWAY B3)
 Section:
 325
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 01/01/1940
 Use:
 TAXIWAY
 Rank P Length:
 420.00 Ft
 Width:
 50.00 Ft
 True Area:
 34.714.00 SqF

Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description ( in) M&R 01/01/1940 IMPORTED **BUILT** 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT

 Network:
 AAF
 Branch:
 TW B3
 (TAXIWAY B3)
 Section:
 330
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 01/01/1942
 Use:
 TAXIWAY
 Rank P Length:
 500.00 Ft
 Width:
 12.50 Ft
 True Area:
 10.600.00 SqF

Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost M&R Date Code Description ( in) **IMPORTED** 01/01/1942 **BUILT** 10.00 True

01/01/1942 IMPORTED BUILT 10.00 True EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT

 Network:
 AAF
 Branch:
 TW B4
 (TAXIWAY B4)
 Section:
 345
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 01/01/1940
 Use:
 TAXIWAY
 Rank P Length:
 430.00 Ft
 Width:
 50.00 Ft
 True Area:
 29.911.00 SqF

Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description ( in) M&R 01/01/1940 INITIAL \$0 **Initial Construction** 0.00 True

**Initial Construction** 

**BUILT** 

 Network:
 AAF
 Branch:
 TW B4
 (TAXIWAY B4)
 Section:
 350
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 01/01/1942
 Use:
 TAXIWAY
 Rank P Length:
 430.00 Ft
 Width:
 12.50 Ft
 True Area:
 10.983.00 SqF

Work Work Work Date Code Description Cost (in) M&R Comments

 Network:
 AAF
 Branch:
 TW C
 (TAXIWAY C)
 Section:
 105
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 01/01/1940
 Use:
 TAXIWAY
 Rank P Length:
 3,045.00 Ft
 Width:
 50.00 Ft
 True Area;153,704.00 SqF

0.00

10.00

True

True

EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT

\$0

Work Work Date Code Description Cost Thickness (in) Mag Comments

 Network:
 AAF
 Branch:
 TW C
 (TAXIWAY C)
 Section:
 110
 Surface:
 PCC

 L.C.D.:
 01/01/1942
 Use:
 TAXIWAY
 Rank P Length:
 3,045.00 Ft
 Width:
 25.00 Ft
 True Area:
 77,718.00 SqF

Work Work Work Thickness Maior Comments Cost Description Date Code ( in) M&R IMPORTED BUILT 10.00 01/01/1942 True EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT

Date:07/30/20	013

# **Work History Report**

4 of 5

Pavement Database:FDOT Network: AAF Branch: TW C1 (TAXIWAY C1) Section: 155 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1942 Use: TAXIWAY 500.00 Ft 16.00 Ft Rank P Length: Width: True Area: 10,613.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description ( in) M&R BUILT 01/01/1942 **IMPORTED** 10.00 EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT True Network: AAF Branch: TW C1 (TAXIWAY C1) Section: 160 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 460.00 Ft Width: 50.00 Ft True Area: 34.877.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description ( in) M&R 01/01/1940 **IMPORTED** BUILT EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT 10.00 True (TAXIWAY C2) Surface: PCC Network: AAF Branch: TW C2 Section: 145 L.C.D.: 01/01/1942 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 500.00 Ft Width: 16.00 Ft True Area: 10,646.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description ( in) M&R 01/01/1942 BUILT 10.00 **IMPORTED** True EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Branch: TW C2 (TAXIWAY C2) Section: 150 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 460.00 Ft Width: 50.00 Ft True Area: 34.830.00 SqF Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description ( in) M&R **BUILT** 01/01/1940 IMPORTED 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Branch: TW D (TAXIWAY D) Section: 335 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1940 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 560.00 Ft Width: 50.00 Ft True Area: 40.968.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description ( in) M&R **IMPORTED** 01/01/1940 **BUILT** 10.00 True EST 1940 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT Network: AAF Branch: TW D (TAXIWAY D) Section: 340 Surface: PCC L.C.D.: 01/01/1942 Use: TAXIWAY Rank P Length: 700.00 Ft Width: 12.50 Ft True Area: 15,082.00 SqF Work Work Work Thickness Major Comments Cost Date Code Description ( in) M&R 01/01/1942 **IMPORTED BUILT** 10.00 EST 1942 8-10" PCC PAVEMENT True

# Work History Report

5 of 5


Pavement Database:FDOT

Summary:

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
	0	979,973.35	.00	
BUILT	31	4,210,426.35	10.00	.00
Initial Construction	2	40,894.00	.00	.00
Slab Replacement - PCC	3	1,286,725.00	.00	.00

# APPENDIX B

- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX RATING EXHIBIT
- PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX INVENTORY



APALACHICOLA MUNICIPAL AIRPORT FRANKLIN COUNTY, FLORIDA FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - AVIATION AND SPACEPORT OFFICE

Table B-1: Pavement Condition Index Inventory

Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	True Area (FT²)	Section Rank	Surface Type	PCI	PCI Category	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6310	262,625	S	PCC	57	Fair	11	44
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6305	525,250	S	PCC	74	Satisfactory	18	87
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	RUNWAY	6210	249,270	Р	PCC	75	Satisfactory	10	43
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	RUNWAY	6205	498,541	Р	PCC	77	Satisfactory	18	83
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	RUNWAY	6110	256,103	Р	PCC	64	Fair	10	44
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	RUNWAY	6105	512,205	Р	PCC	73	Satisfactory	17	86
APRON	AP	APRON	4105	979,973	Р	PCC	63	Fair	14	200
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	TAXIWAY	350	10,983	Р	PCC	79	Satisfactory	1	2
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	TAXIWAY	345	29,911	Р	PCC	54	Poor	2	7
TAXIWAY D	TW D	TAXIWAY	340	15,082	Р	PCC	71	Satisfactory	1	2
TAXIWAY D	TW D	TAXIWAY	335	40,968	Р	PCC	58	Fair	2	8
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	TAXIWAY	330	10,600	Р	PCC	82	Satisfactory	1	2
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	TAXIWAY	325	34,714	Р	PCC	51	Poor	2	8
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	TAXIWAY	320	10,600	Р	PCC	75	Satisfactory	1	2
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	TAXIWAY	315	34,714	Р	PCC	62	Fair	2	8
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	TAXIWAY	310	15,572	Р	PCC	67	Fair	1	3
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	TAXIWAY	305	29,556	Р	PCC	75	Satisfactory	2	6
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	255	10,441	Р	PCC	77	Satisfactory	1	2
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	250	35,036	Р	PCC	71	Satisfactory	2	8
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	245	10,796	Р	PCC	74	Satisfactory	1	2
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	240	34,680	Р	PCC	56	Fair	2	9
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	235	11,058	Р	PCC	68	Fair	1	2
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	230	32,807	Р	PCC	43	Poor	2	8
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	225	75,620	Р	PCC	74	Satisfactory	2	15
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	220	154,200	Р	PCC	65	Fair	5	31

Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	True Area (FT²)	Section Rank	Surface Type	PCI	PCI Category	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	TAXIWAY	210	16,092	Р	PCC	41	Poor	1	3
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	TAXIWAY	205	31,535	Р	PCC	68	Fair	1	6
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	TAXIWAY	160	34,877	Р	PCC	79	Satisfactory	2	8
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	TAXIWAY	155	10,613	Р	PCC	71	Satisfactory	1	2
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	TAXIWAY	150	34,830	Р	PCC	78	Satisfactory	2	8
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	TAXIWAY	145	10,646	Р	PCC	76	Satisfactory	1	2
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	110	77,718	Р	PCC	72	Satisfactory	3	16
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	105	153,704	Р	PCC	67	Fair	6	32

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER.

\* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey.

# APPENDIX C

- BRANCH CONDITION REPORT
- SECTION CONDITION REPORT

Date: 7 /30/2013

# **Branch Condition Report**

Pavement Database: FDOT NetworkID: AAF

Branch ID	Number of Sections	Sum Section Length (Ft)	Avg Section Width (Ft)	True Area (SqFt)	Use	Average PCI	PCI Standard Deviation	Weighted Average PCI
AP (APRON)	1	3,600.00	250.00	979,973.35	APRON	63.00	0.00	63.00
RW 14-32 (RUNWAY 14-32)	2	15,600.00	62.50	768,308.00	RUNWAY	68.50	4.50	70.00
RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36)	2	15,660.00	62.50	787,875.00	RUNWAY	65.50	8.50	68.33
RW 6-24 (RUNWAY 6-24)	2	14,820.00	62.50	747,811.00	RUNWAY	76.00	1.00	76.33
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	2	6,130.00	31.25	229,820.00	TAXIWAY	69.50	4.50	67.96
TW A1 (TAXIWAY A1)	2	890.00	31.25	43,865.00	TAXIWAY	55.50	12.50	49.30
TW A2 (TAXIWAY A2)	2	950.00	31.25	45,477.00	TAXIWAY	74.00	3.00	72.38
TW A3 (TAXIWAY A3)	2	950.00	31.25	45,476.00	TAXIWAY	65.00	9.00	60.27
TW A5 (TAXIWAY A5)	2	1,100.00	37.50	47,627.00	TAXIWAY	54.50	13.50	58.88
TW B1 (TAXIWAY B1)	2	1,170.00	31.25	45,128.00	TAXIWAY	71.00	4.00	72.24
TW B2 (TAXIWAY B2)	2	920.00	31.25	45,314.00	TAXIWAY	68.50	6.50	65.04
TW B3 (TAXIWAY B3)	2	920.00	31.25	45,314.00	TAXIWAY	66.50	15.50	58.25
TW B4 (TAXIWAY B4)	2	860.00	31.25	40,894.00	TAXIWAY	66.50	12.50	60.71
TW C (TAXIWAY C)	2	6,090.00	37.50	231,422.00	TAXIWAY	69.50	2.50	68.68
TW C1 (TAXIWAY C1)	2	960.00	33.00	45,490.00	TAXIWAY	75.00	4.00	77.13
TW C2 (TAXIWAY C2)	2	960.00	33.00	45,476.00	TAXIWAY	77.00	1.00	77.53

1 of 3

Date: 7 /30/2013

# **Branch Condition Report**

2 of 3

Pavement Database: FDOT NetworkID: AAF

Sum Section Avg Section Length Width Number of PCI Weighted True Area Average PCI **Branch ID** Use Length (Ft) Average PCI Sections Standard (SqFt) (Ft) Deviation TW D (TAXIWAY D) 2 1,260.00 31.25 56,050.00 **TAXIWAY** 64.50 6.50 61.50 Date: 7 /30/2013

# **Branch Condition Report**

Pavement Database: FDOT

Use Category	Number of Sections	Total Area (SqFt)	Arithmetic Average PCI	Average PCI STD.	Weighted Average PCI
APRON	1	979,973.35	63.00	0.00	63.00
RUNWAY	6	2,303,994.00	70.00	7.12	71.49
TAXIWAY	26	967,353.00	67.46	10.77	66.49
AII	33	4,251,320.35	67.79	10.12	68.39

3 of 3

Date: 7 /30/2013

#### **Section Condition Report**

Pavement Database: FDOT

NetworkID: AAF

Last Age Section ID Surface Hee Branch ID Last Rank Lanes True Area PCI Inspection Αt (SqFt) Date Inspection Date AP (APRON) Ρ 4105 01/01/1940 PCC **APRON** 0 979,973.35 06/05/2013 73 63.00 RW 14-32 (RUNWAY 14-32) 01/01/1940 PCC **RUNWAY** Ρ 512,205.00 06/05/2013 6105 73 73.00 RW 14-32 (RUNWAY 14-32) 6110 01/01/1940 PCC **RUNWAY** Ρ 0 256,103.00 06/05/2013 73 64.00 RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36) 6305 01/01/1940 PCC **RUNWAY** S 525,250.00 06/05/2013 0 73 74.00 RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36) PCC RUNWAY 6310 01/01/1940 S 0 262.625.00 06/05/2013 57.00 73 RW 6-24 (RUNWAY 6-24) Ρ 6205 01/01/1940 PCC **RUNWAY** 0 498,541.00 06/05/2013 73 77.00 RW 6-24 (RUNWAY 6-24) 6210 01/01/1940 PCC **RUNWAY** Ρ 249,270.00 06/05/2013 75.00 TW A (TAXIWAY A) 220 01/01/1940 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 0 154,200.00 06/05/2013 73 65.00 TW A (TAXIWAY A) 225 01/01/1942 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 0 75,620.00 06/05/2013 71 74.00 TW A1 (TAXIWAY A1) PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 32,807.00 06/05/2013 230 01/01/1940 0 73 43.00 TW A1 (TAXIWAY A1) Ρ PCC **TAXIWAY** 235 01/01/1942 0 11,058.00 06/05/2013 71 68.00 TW A2 (TAXIWAY A2) 250 01/01/1940 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 0 35,036.00 06/05/2013 73 71.00 TW A2 (TAXIWAY A2) 255 01/01/1942 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 10,441.00 06/05/2013 77.00 TW A3 (TAXIWAY A3) Ρ 240 01/01/1940 PCC **TAXIWAY** 0 34.680.00 06/05/2013 73 56.00 TW A3 (TAXIWAY A3) 01/01/1942 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 10,796.00 06/05/2013 71 74.00 245 0 TW A5 (TAXIWAY A5) Р 205 01/01/1940 PCC **TAXIWAY** 0 31,535.00 06/05/2013 68.00 73 TW A5 (TAXIWAY A5) 210 01/01/1942 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 0 16,092.00 06/05/2013 71 41.00 TW B1 (TAXIWAY B1) 01/01/1940 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 0 29,556.00 06/05/2013 305 73 75.00 TW B1 (TAXIWAY B1) PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 310 01/01/1942 0 15,572.00 06/05/2013 71 67.00 TW B2 (TAXIWAY B2) PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 315 01/01/1940 0 34,714.00 06/05/2013 73 62.00 TW B2 (TAXIWAY B2) PCC **TAXIWAY** Р 71 320 01/01/1942 0 10,600.00 06/05/2013 75.00 TW B3 (TAXIWAY B3) 325 01/01/1940 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 0 34,714.00 06/05/2013 73 51.00 TW B3 (TAXIWAY B3) 330 01/01/1942 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 0 10,600.00 06/05/2013 71 82.00 TW B4 (TAXIWAY B4) PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 29,911.00 06/05/2013 345 01/01/1940 73 54.00 TW B4 (TAXIWAY B4) 350 01/01/1942 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 0 10.983.00 06/05/2013 71 79.00 TW C (TAXIWAY C) PCC **TAXIWAY** 153,704.00 06/05/2013 105 01/01/1940 0 73 67.00

1 of 3

Date: 7 /30/2013

#### **Section Condition Report**

Pavement Database: FDOT N

NetworkID: AAF

Last Age Surface **Branch ID** Section ID Last Use Rank Lanes True Area PCI Αt Inspection Const. (SqFt) Date Inspection Date TW C (TAXIWAY C) Ρ 110 01/01/1942 PCC **TAXIWAY** 0 77,718.00 06/05/2013 71 72.00 TW C1 (TAXIWAY C1) 155 01/01/1942 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 0 10,613.00 06/05/2013 71 71.00 TW C1 (TAXIWAY C1) 160 01/01/1940 PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 0 34,877.00 06/05/2013 73 79.00 TW C2 (TAXIWAY C2) 145 01/01/1942 PCC TAXIWAY Ρ 0 10,646.00 06/05/2013 71 76.00 TW C2 (TAXIWAY C2) Р 150 01/01/1940 PCC **TAXIWAY** 0 34,830.00 06/05/2013 78.00 73 TW D (TAXIWAY D) Ρ 01/01/1940 PCC **TAXIWAY** 40,968.00 06/05/2013 335 0 73 58.00 TW D (TAXIWAY D) PCC **TAXIWAY** Ρ 0 15,082.00 06/05/2013 340 01/01/1942 71.00

2 of 3

Date: 7 /30/2013

### **Section Condition Report**

Pavement Database: FDOT

Age Category	Average Age At Inspection	Total Area (SqFt)	Number of Sections	Arithmetic Average PCI	PCI Standard Deviation	Weighted Average PCI
over 40	72.21	4,251,320.35	33	67.79	10.27	68.39
All	72.21	4,251,320.35	33	67.79	10.27	68.39

3 of 3

# APPENDIX D

- PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE PREDICTION
- PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE BY PAVEMENT USE




Table D-1: Pavement Performance Prediction

Branch	Section	Current			Pavei	ment P	erform	nance	Mode	I - PCI		
ID	ID	PCI	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
RW 18-36	6310	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	50	50	49
RW 18-36	6305	74	73	70	68	65	63	61	60	58	57	55
RW 6-24	6210	75	74	71	69	66	64	62	60	59	57	56
RW 6-24	6205	77	75	73	70	68	66	64	62	60	58	57
RW 14-32	6110	64	63	61	59	58	56	55	54	53	52	51
RW 14-32	6105	73	72	69	67	65	63	61	59	57	56	55
AP	4105	63	62	60	58	57	56	54	53	52	52	51
TW B4	350	79	77	75	72	70	67	65	63	61	59	58
TW B4	345	54	53	52	52	51	50	50	49	49	49	49
TW D	340	71	70	67	65	63	61	59	58	56	55	54
TW D	335	58	57	56	55	53	52	52	51	50	50	49
TW B3	330	82	80	78	75	72	70	68	65	63	61	60
TW B3	325	51	51	50	50	49	49	49	49	48	48	48
TW B2	320	75	74	71	69	66	64	62	60	59	57	56
TW B2	315	62	61	59	58	56	55	54	53	52	51	50
TW B1	310	67	66	64	62	60	58	57	55	54	53	52
TW B1	305	75	74	71	69	66	64	62	60	59	57	56
TW A2	255	77	75	73	70	68	66	64	62	60	58	57
TW A2	250	71	70	67	65	63	61	59	58	56	55	54
TW A3	245	74	73	70	68	65	63	61	60	58	57	55
TW A3	240	56	55	54	53	52	51	51	50	50	49	49
TW A1	235	68	67	65	63	61	59	57	56	55	54	53
TW A1	230	43	43	42	42	41	41	40	40	40	39	39
TW A	225	74	73	70	68	65	63	61	60	58	57	55
TW A	220	65	64	62	60	58	57	56	54	53	52	51
TW A5	210	41	41	40	40	39	39	38	38	38	37	37
TW A5	205	68	67	65	63	61	59	57	56	55	54	53
TW C1	160	79	77	75	72	70	67	65	63	61	59	58


Branch	Section	Current			Pavei	ment P	erform	nance	Mode	I - PCI		
ID	ID	PCI	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
TW C1	155	71	70	67	65	63	61	59	58	56	55	54
TW C2	150	78	76	74	71	69	67	64	62	61	59	57
TW C2	145	76	74	72	69	67	65	63	61	59	58	56
TW C	110	72	71	68	66	64	62	60	58	57	56	54
TW C	105	67	66	64	62	60	58	57	55	54	53	52

Figure D-1: Pavement Performance by Pavement Use


#### (a) Runway



#### (b) Taxiway



### (c) Apron



## APPENDIX E

YEAR-1 PREVENTATIVE ACTIVITIES

Table E-1: Year-1 Preventative Activities

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	638.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 12,195.27
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	23,465.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 70,394.86
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	SMALL PATCH	М	Slab Replacement - PCC	1,977.30	SqFt	\$45.00	\$ 88,977.28
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	5,838.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 111,513.52
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	519.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 9,912.31
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	FAULTING	М	Restoration - PCC/CRCP	79.10	Ft	\$45.00	\$ 3,559.09
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	SHAT. SLAB	L	Slab Replacement - PCC	988.60	SqFt	\$45.00	\$ 44,488.64
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	408.70	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 1,736.93
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	102.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 1,951.24
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	JOINT SPALL	Н	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	63.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 1,219.53
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	372.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 7,113.91
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	170.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 3,252.07
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6310	CORNER SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	10.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 203.25
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6305	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	624.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 11,924.26

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6305	JT SEAL DMG	M	Joint Seal - PCC	62,540.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 187,619.62
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6305	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	10,703.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 204,441.45
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6305	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	2,220.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 42,402.67
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6305	FAULTING	M	Restoration - PCC/CRCP	386.70	Ft	\$45.00	\$ 17,400.00
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6305	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	356.80	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 1,516.37
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6305	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	793.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 15,153.75
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6305	JOINT SPALL	M	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	374.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 7,154.56
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6305	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	585.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 11,178.99
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6210	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	664.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 12,682.38
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6210	JT SEAL DMG	L	Joint Seal - PCC	8,873.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 26,618.95
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6210	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	674.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 12,885.29
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6210	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	1,079.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 20,616.47
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6210	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	242.90	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 1,032.18
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6210	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	132.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 2,536.48
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6210	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	387.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 7,398.05

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6210	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	188.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 3,593.34
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6210	CORNER SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	11.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 211.37
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6205	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	740.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 14,134.36
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6205	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	19,766.70	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 59,299.88
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6205	JT SEAL DMG	L	Joint Seal - PCC	26,355.60	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 79,066.51
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6205	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	27,442.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 524,158.63
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6205	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	300.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 5,744.20
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6205	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	947.30	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 4,026.21
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6205	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	29.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 565.37
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6205	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	801.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 15,312.22
RUNWAY 6-24	RW 6-24	6205	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	444.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 8,480.62
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	CORNER BREAK	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	279.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 5,345.36
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	139.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 2,672.68
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	CORNER BREAK	Н	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	139.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 2,672.68
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	JT SEAL DMG	M	Joint Seal - PCC	23,375.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 70,124.86

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	SMALL PATCH	М	Slab Replacement - PCC	1,083.30	SqFt	\$45.00	\$ 48,750.00
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	LARGE PATCH	М	Slab Replacement - PCC	1,083.30	SqFt	\$45.00	\$ 48,750.00
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	POPOUTS	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	108.30	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 460.42
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	SCALING	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	355.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 6,788.60
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	11,373.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 217,235.36
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	447.80	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 1,903.30
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	361.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 6,904.42
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	JOINT SPALL	Н	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	35.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 668.17
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	251.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 4,810.82
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	CORNER SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	35.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 668.17
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	209.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 4,009.02
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6110	CORNER SPALL	Н	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	11.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 222.72
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	CORNER BREAK	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	164.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 3,144.33
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	329.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 6,288.66
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	JT SEAL DMG	L	Joint Seal - PCC	3,664.70	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 10,994.10

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	58,635.30	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 175,905.53
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	40,560.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 774,699.62
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	SCALING	M	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	418.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 7,986.59
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	1,672.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 31,946.38
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	FAULTING	M	Restoration - PCC/CRCP	305.90	Ft	\$45.00	\$ 13,764.71
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	878.10	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 3,731.96
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	932.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 17,817.86
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	197.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 3,773.19
RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	6105	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	535.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 10,219.07
APRON	AP	4105	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	851.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 16,265.16
APRON	AP	4105	CORNER BREAK	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	2,128.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 40,662.90
APRON	AP	4105	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	113,150.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 339,449.32
APRON	AP	4105	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	5,407.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 103,283.77
APRON	AP	4105	SHAT. SLAB	L	Slab Replacement - PCC	3,296.40	SqFt	\$45.00	\$ 148,339.30
APRON	AP	4105	Shat. Slab	М	Slab Replacement - PCC	3,296.40	SqFt	\$45.00	\$ 148,339.30

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
APRON	AP	4105	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	1,752.00	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 7,446.18
APRON	AP	4105	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	1,561.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 29,819.46
APRON	AP	4105	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	1,021.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 19,518.19
APRON	AP	4105	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	1,561.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 29,819.46
APRON	AP	4105	CORNER SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	141.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 2,710.86
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	350	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	258.90	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 776.78
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	350	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	73.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 1,397.89
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	350	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	6.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 114.66
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	350	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	6.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 114.66
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	345	CORNER BREAK	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	310.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 5,921.01
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	345	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	2,101.30	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 6,303.98
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	345	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	393.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 7,519.69
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	345	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	59.10	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 250.98
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	345	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	45.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 863.48
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	345	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	46.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 888.15

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	V	Vork Cost
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	345	CORNER SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	6.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	123.35
TAXIWAY B4	TW B4	345	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	38.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	740.13
TAXIWAY D	TW D	340	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	425.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	1,275.00
TAXIWAY D	TW D	340	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	492.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	9,399.61
TAXIWAY D	TW D	340	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	5.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	96.37
TAXIWAY D	TW D	340	CORNER SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	5.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	96.37
TAXIWAY D	TW D	340	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	30.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	578.22
TAXIWAY D	TW D	335	CORNER BREAK	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	206.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	3,947.34
TAXIWAY D	TW D	335	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	620.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	11,842.02
TAXIWAY D	TW D	335	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	1,515.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	4,544.99
TAXIWAY D	TW D	335	JT SEAL DMG	L	Joint Seal - PCC	1,515.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	4,544.99
TAXIWAY D	TW D	335	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	787.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	15,039.37
TAXIWAY D	TW D	335	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	236.20	Ft	\$4.25	\$	1,003.94
TAXIWAY D	TW D	335	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	43.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	822.36
TAXIWAY D	TW D	335	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	20.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	394.73

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	V	Vork Cost
TAXIWAY D	TW D	335	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	94.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	1,809.20
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	330	JT SEAL DMG	L	Joint Seal - PCC	300.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	900.00
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	330	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	16.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	308.39
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	325	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	80.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	1,541.93
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	325	CORNER BREAK	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	80.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	1,541.93
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	325	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	2,260.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	6,779.99
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	325	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	205.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	3,916.50
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	325	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	12.30	Ft	\$4.25	\$	52.29
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	325	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	26.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	513.98
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	325	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	48.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	925.16
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	325	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	80.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	1,541.93
TAXIWAY B3	TW B3	325	CORNER SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	6.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	128.49
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	320	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	300.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	900.00
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	315	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	113.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	2,158.70
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	315	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	2,260.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	6,779.99

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	315	SHAT. SLAB	L	Slab Replacement - PCC	875.00	SqFt	\$45.00	\$ 39,375.00
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	315	SHAT. SLAB	М	Slab Replacement - PCC	875.00	SqFt	\$45.00	\$ 39,375.00
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	315	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	17.20	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 73.20
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	315	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	67.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 1,295.22
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	315	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	47.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 899.46
TAXIWAY B2	TW B2	315	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	65.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 1,259.24
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	310	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	362.50	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 1,087.50
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	310	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	874.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 16,710.41
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	310	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	9.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 171.33
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	310	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	35.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 685.30
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	305	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	1,542.50	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 4,627.49
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	305	JT SEAL DMG	Н	Joint Seal - PCC	1,542.50	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 4,627.49
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	305	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	246.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 4,699.80
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	305	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	29.50	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 125.49
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	305	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	16.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 308.39

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	V	Vork Cost
TAXIWAY B1	TW B1	305	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	40.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	770.97
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	255	JT SEAL DMG	M	Joint Seal - PCC	300.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	900.00
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	255	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	7.70	Ft	\$4.25	\$	32.68
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	255	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	4.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	80.31
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	255	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	12.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	240.93
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	250	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	2,425.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	7,274.99
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	250	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	459.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	8,772.97
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	250	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	22.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	431.74
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	250	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	28.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	539.68
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	250	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	9.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	179.89
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	245	JT SEAL DMG	Н	Joint Seal - PCC	300.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	900.00
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	240	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	2,425.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	7,274.99
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	240	Shat. Slab	L	Slab Replacement - PCC	1,093.80	SqFt	\$45.00	\$	49,218.75
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	240	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	21.50	Ft	\$4.25	\$	91.50
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	240	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	11.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	224.86

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Co	ost
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	235	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	281.30	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 843	3.75
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	235	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	515.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 9,847	<sup>1</sup> .21
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	235	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	154.70	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 657	7.34
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	235	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	16.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 323	3.07
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	235	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	12.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 242	2.30
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	230	CORNER BREAK	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	334.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 6,383	3.59
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	230	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	557.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 10,639	).32
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	230	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	2,266.60	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 6,799	).70
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	230	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	176.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 3,377	1.98
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	230	SHAT. SLAB	М	Slab Replacement - PCC	862.50	SqFt	\$45.00	\$ 38,812	2.50
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	230	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	135.80	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 577	7.27
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	230	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	111.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 2,127	1.86
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	230	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	55.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 1,063	3.93
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	230	CORNER SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	27.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 531	1.97
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	230	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	102.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 1,950	).54

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	\	Vork Cost
TAXIWAY A	TW A	225	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	1,887.30	Ft	\$3.00	\$	5,661.99
TAXIWAY A	TW A	225	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	925.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	17,677.86
TAXIWAY A	TW A	225	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	34.70	Ft	\$4.25	\$	147.51
TAXIWAY A	TW A	225	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	94.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	1,812.44
TAXIWAY A	TW A	225	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	56.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	1,087.47
TAXIWAY A	TW A	220	CORNER BREAK	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	32.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	616.77
TAXIWAY A	TW A	220	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	506.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	9,677.45
TAXIWAY A	TW A	220	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	17,005.40	Ft	\$3.00	\$	51,016.13
TAXIWAY A	TW A	220	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	643.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	12,290.36
TAXIWAY A	TW A	220	SHAT. SLAB	L	Slab Replacement - PCC	250.00	SqFt	\$45.00	\$	11,250.00
TAXIWAY A	TW A	220	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	608.70	Ft	\$4.25	\$	2,586.79
TAXIWAY A	TW A	220	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	364.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	6,957.65
TAXIWAY A	TW A	220	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	101.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	1,935.49
TAXIWAY A	TW A	220	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	269.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	5,147.11
TAXIWAY A	TW A	220	CORNER SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	42.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	806.45

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	V	Vork Cost
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	210	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	1,325.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	3,974.99
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	210	FAULTING	Н	Restoration - PCC/CRCP	340.00	Ft	\$45.00	\$	15,300.00
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	210	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	66.90	Ft	\$4.25	\$	284.45
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	210	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	9.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	174.75
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	210	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	36.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	699.01
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	205	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	400.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	7,647.97
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	205	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	2,700.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	8,099.98
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	205	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	5,085.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	97,129.27
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	205	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	61.00	Ft	\$4.25	\$	259.35
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	205	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	50.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	956.00
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	160	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	2,480.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	7,439.99
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	160	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	1,435.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	27,415.52
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	160	SHAT. SLAB	L	Slab Replacement - PCC	875.00	SqFt	\$45.00	\$	39,375.00
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	160	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	37.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	719.57
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	160	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	28.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	539.68

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	١	Vork Cost
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	155	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	67.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	1,295.22
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	155	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	524.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	1,572.00
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	155	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	5.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	107.94
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	150	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	2,480.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	7,439.99
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	150	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	17.20	Ft	\$4.25	\$	73.20
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	150	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	47.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	899.46
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	150	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	37.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	719.57
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	145	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	524.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	1,572.00
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	145	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	9.40	Ft	\$4.25	\$	39.93
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	145	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	10.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	196.25
TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	145	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	5.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	98.12
TAXIWAY C	TW C	110	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	6,815.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	20,445.08
TAXIWAY C	TW C	110	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	1,031.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	19,706.76
TAXIWAY C	TW C	110	FAULTING	М	Restoration - PCC/CRCP	314.50	Ft	\$45.00	\$	14,151.72
TAXIWAY C	TW C	110	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	25.80	Ft	\$4.25	\$	109.63

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
TAXIWAY C	TW C	110	Joint Spall	M	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	33.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 646.55
TAXIWAY C	TW C	110	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	112.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 2,155.16
TAXIWAY C	TW C	110	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	112.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 2,155.16
TAXIWAY C	TW C	105	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	163.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 3,130.12
TAXIWAY C	TW C	105	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	16,697.50	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 50,092.40
TAXIWAY C	TW C	105	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	1,665.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 31,802.00
TAXIWAY C	TW C	105	SHRINKAGE CR	N	Crack Sealing - PCC	74.90	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 318.44
TAXIWAY C	TW C	105	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	232.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 4,434.33
TAXIWAY C	TW C	105	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	32.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 626.02
TAXIWAY C	TW C	105	CORNER SPALL	Н	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	13.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 260.84
TAXIWAY C	TW C	105	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	273.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 5,216.86
TAXIWAY C	TW C	105	CORNER SPALL	M	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	27.30	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 521.69
								Total =	\$ 4,914,889.46

### APPENDIX F

- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT 10-YEAR MAJOR REHABILITATION
   EXHIBIT
- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT 10-YEAR MAJOR REHABILITATION
   TABLE




Table F-1: Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Table

Year	Branch Name	Section	Major M&R Costs*	PCI Before	M&R Activity	PCI After
2014	RUNWAY 18-36	ID 6310	\$ 2,626,249.88	M&R 56	PCC Restoration	M&R 100
2014	RUNWAY 18-30	6110	\$ 2,561,029.88	63	PCC Restoration	100
2014	APRON	4105	\$ 9,799,733.04	62	PCC Restoration	100
2014	TAXIWAY B4	345	\$ 299,109.99	53	PCC Restoration	100
2014	TAXIWAY D	335	\$ 409,679.98	57	PCC Restoration	100
2014	TAXIWAY B3	325	\$ 347,139.98	51	PCC Restoration	100
2014	TAXIWAY B2	315	\$ 347,139.98	61	PCC Restoration	100
2014	TAXIWAY A3	240	\$ 346,799.98	55	PCC Restoration	100
2014	TAXIWAY A1	230	\$ 447,159.40	43	PCC Restoration	100
2014	TAXIWAY A	220	\$ 1,541,999.93	64	PCC Restoration	100
2014	TAXIWAY A5	205	\$ 315,349.99	66	PCC Restoration	100
2014	TAXIWAY A5	210	\$ 235,425.94	41	PCC Restoration	100
2014	TAXIWAY B1	310	\$ 160,391.59	64	PCC Restoration	100
2015	TAXIWAY A1	235	\$ 113,897.39	65	PCC Restoration	100
2015	TAXIWAY C	105	\$ 1,583,151.13	64	PCC Restoration	100
2017	TAXIWAY D	340	\$ 164,805.08	64	PCC Restoration	100
2017	TAXIWAY A2	250	\$ 382,847.81	64	PCC Restoration	100
2017	TAXIWAY C1	155	\$ 115,971.11	64	PCC Restoration	100
2017	TAXIWAY C	110	\$ 849,245.53	65	PCC Restoration	100
2018	RUNWAY 18-36	6305	\$ 5,911,734.75	65	PCC Restoration	100
2018	RUNWAY 14-32	6105	\$ 5,764,912.13	64	PCC Restoration	100
2018	TAXIWAY A3	245	\$ 121,509.93	65	PCC Restoration	100
2018	TAXIWAY A	225	\$ 851,109.72	65	PCC Restoration	100
2019	RUNWAY 6-24	6210	\$ 2,889,722.35	64	PCC Restoration	100
2019	TAXIWAY B2	320	\$ 122,883.05	64	PCC Restoration	100
2019	TAXIWAY B1	305	\$ 342,635.03	64	PCC Restoration	100
2019	TAXIWAY C2	145	\$ 123,416.31	65	PCC Restoration	100
2020	RUNWAY 6-24	6205	\$ 5,952,839.98	64	PCC Restoration	100
2020	TAXIWAY A2	255	\$ 124,670.99	64	PCC Restoration	100
2020	TAXIWAY C2	150	\$ 415,888.40	65	PCC Restoration	100
2021	TAXIWAY B4	350	\$ 135,077.04	64	PCC Restoration	100
2021	TAXIWAY C1	160	\$ 428,943.09	64	PCC Restoration	100
2022	TAXIWAY B3	330	\$ 134,277.62	64	PCC Restoration	100
	<u> </u>	Total =	\$45,966,748.00			
<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<u> </u>

## APPENDIX G

PHOTOGRAPHS



Runway 18-36, Section 6305, Sample Unit 374 – Low and Medium Severity (74) Joint Spalling, Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage



Runway 18-36, Section 6305, Sample Unit 374 -Low Severity (71) Settlement or Faulting



Runway 18-36, Section 6305, Sample Unit 374 -Low Severity (75) Corner Spalling, Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage



Runway 18-36, Section 6310, Sample Unit 564 –Low Severity (66) Small Patching, Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse and Diagonal Cracking, Shrinkage Cracks (73)



Runway 18-36, Section 6310, Sample Unit 564 – Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse and Diagonal Cracking



Runway 18-36, Section 6305, Sample Unit 350 – Medium Severity (74) Joint Spalling, Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse and Diagonal Cracking



Runway 16-36, Section 6310, Sample Unit 544 – Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Low Severity (72) Shattered Slab



Runway 18-36, Section 6305, Sample Unit 344 – Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Low Severity (66) Small Patching



Runway 16-36, Section 6310, Sample Unit 116 – High Severity (74) Joint Spalling, Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage



Runway 6-24, Section 6210, Sample Unit 108 - Low Severity (71) Settlement of Faulting, Low Severity (74) Joint Spalling



Runway 6-24, Section 6205, Sample Unit 312 - Low Severity (70) Scaling, Map Cracking, Crazing



Runway 6-24, Section 6210, Sample Unit 540 - Low Severity (74) Joint Spalling, Medium Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking





Runway 14-32, Section 6105, Sample Unit 301 – Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking



Runway 14-32, Section 6110, Sample Unit 504 -Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Low Severity (62) Corner Break, Low Severity (70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing, Medium Severity (66) Small Patching, Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage



Runway 14-32, Section 6110, Sample Unit 104 - Medium Severity (62) Corner Break



Runway 14-32, Section 6110, Sample Unit 548 -High Severity (74) Joint Spalling, Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage



Taxiway C, Section 110, Sample Unit 206 - Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Medium Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Medium Severity (71) Faulting



Taxiway C, Section 110, Sample Unit 206 - Medium Severity (71) Faulting



Taxiway C1, Section 160, Sample Unit 103 - Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage



Taxiway C1, Section 160, Sample Unit 103 - Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking





Taxiway C, Section 105, Sample Unit 129 - Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, High Severity (75) Corner Spalling



Taxiway D, Section 335, Sample Unit 103 – Low Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Medium Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Low and Medium Severity (62) Corner Break, (73) Shrinkage Cracking



Apron, Section 4105, Sample Unit 210 – Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Low and Medium Severity (74) Joint Spalling, Low Severity (75) Corner Spalling



Taxiway A5, Section 205, Sample Unit 101 – Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Low Severity (62) Corner Break, Low Severity (70) Scaling, Map Cracking, Crazing

# APPENDIX H

DISTRESS DATA – RE-INSPECTION REPORT

Report Generated Date: July 30	, 2013					
Network: AAF Nan	ne: APALACHICOLA REG	IONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: AP Nan	ne: APRON		Use: APRON	Area: 979	9,973.35SqFt	
Section: 4105 of Surface: PCC F.	1 From: - amily: FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: P
Area: 979,973.35SqFt	Length: 3,600.00Ft	Width	: 250.00Ft			
Slabs: 3,692 Slab W		Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	113,150.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0		8.5	,	
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 To	tal Samples: 200 Su	rveyed: 14				
Conditions: PCI: 63	1					
nspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 107 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 74		
55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	6.00 Slabs			
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs			
53 LINEAR CRACKING		L	2.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	rc	M N	1.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	<u> </u>	IN	1.00 Slabs	Commence:		
Sample Number: 110 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 83		
55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	3.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	G	N	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 166 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 67		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	G	N	3.00 Slabs			
56 SMALL PATCH		L	1.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	1.00 Slabs			
75 CORNER SPALLING 53 LINEAR CRACKING		L L	1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs			
72 SHATTERED SLAB		L	1.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	G	N	4.00 Slabs			
Sample Number: 210	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 54		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	4.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	7.00 Slabs			
53 LINEAR CRACKING		M	2.00 Slabs			
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	8.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING	_	M	2.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	G	N	1.00 Slabs	comments:		
Sample Number: 214 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 53		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 Slabs			
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	6.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	G	N	1.00 Slabs	comments:		

### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30,	2013						
	2013		т	2 00	Olaba	Commanda	
75 CORNER SPALLING			L		Slabs Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			L			Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			M		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			M		Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK			M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 302 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 83	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			M	20.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 352 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 72	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			M	20.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 359 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 45	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			M	20.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	G		N	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			L	8.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			M		Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK			L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK			M		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			M		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 360	Type: R	Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 35	
Sample Comments:							
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			M	20.00	Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK			M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3		N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			M		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
72 SHATTERED SLAB			M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 370 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 78	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			M	20.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			M		Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	G		N		Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 402 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		20.00Slabs		PCI = 61	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			M	20 00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			М		Slabs	Comments:	
OD TIMENI CIVACITING			1,1	1.00	DIADS	COMMETICS.	

### FDOT

Report Generated Date. July 30, 2013				
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 412 Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 72	
Sample Comments:				
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs		
74 JOINT SPALLING	${f L}$	2.00 Slabs		
62 CORNER BREAK	${f L}$	1.00 Slabs		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs		
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 512 Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 48	
Sample Comments:				
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	3.00 Slabs		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	3.00 Slabs		
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs		
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	1.00 Slabs		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs		
75 CORNER SPALLING	М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 565 Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 60	
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L L	3.00 Slabs		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	<u>н</u> М	1.00 Slabs		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L L	6.00 Slabs		
74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING	ы М	1.00 Slabs		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L L	1.00 Slabs		
75 CORNER SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING	<u>н</u> М	1.00 Slabs		
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	M L	1.00 Slabs		
O / DANGE PAICH/UIIDIII	ш	1.00 Slabs	COMMETICS.	

#### **FDOT**

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013  Network: AAF Name: APALACHICOLA REC	GIONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: RW 14-32 Name: RUNWAY 14-32		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 7	68,308.00SqFt	
Section: 6105 of 2 From: - Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: P
Area: 512,205.00SqFt Length: 5,200.00F	t Width:	100.00Ft			
Slabs: 2,080 Slab Width: 20.00Ft Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Slab Length: Lanes: 0	12.50Ft	Joint Length	62,300.00Ft	
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 86 S Conditions: PCI: 73 Inspection Comments:	urveyed: 17				
Sample Number: 301 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 64		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs		•	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	4.00 Slabs		:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs		:	
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	$\mathbf L$	2.00 Slabs		:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	4.00 Slabs			
70 SCALING/CRAZING	M	1.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs			
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	s Comments		
Sample Number: 303 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 64		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs		:	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs			
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	3.00 Slabs			
62 CORNER BREAK	М	1.00 Slabs			
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	6.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	5.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	5.00 Slabs	s Comments	<u> </u>	
Sample Number: 306 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 72		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	S Comments	:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	comments:	:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	6.00 Slabs	comments:	:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	5.00 Slabs	comments:	:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs		:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs			
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	s Comments	1	
Sample Number: 310 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 79		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	comments:	:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	7.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	5.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs		:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	5.00 Slabs	comments:	:	
Sample Number: 316 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 73		

### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30,	2013					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK		L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	8.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3	N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING		L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 323 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 64	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M		Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L		Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	<del>J</del>	N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING		L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING		M		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 330 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 82	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	5.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	G	N	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 335 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 80	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	9.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	9.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 337 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 82	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH		L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	5.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING		L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 344 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 77	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L		Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH		L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING		L		Slabs	Comments:	
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILIT	ГҮ	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 351 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 76	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING		М	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	G	N	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
T 4 = 0 = 1 = 0 = 1 = 1 = 1						
74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING		L		Slabs Slabs	Comments:	

G. 1 N. 1		24.0001.1	DOI 00
Sample Number: 358 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 80
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	24.00 Slabs	comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	_ L	5.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	5.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 366 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 80
Sample Comments:			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	3.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	4.00 Slabs	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	7.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	s Comments:
Sample Number: 372 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 84
Sample Comments:	ı nca.	27.0031a05	1 01 - 07
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	comments:
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	13.00 Slabs	comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs	comments:
Canala Nambana 277 Tanan B	A	24.0001.1	PCI = 59
Sample Number: 375 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PC1 = 39
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	24.00 Slabs	comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	2.00 Slabs	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	3.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	3.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	3.00 Slabs	
-			
Sample Number: 377 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 80
Sample Comments:	24	04 00 01 -1-	G
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	4.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING	N	1.00 Slabs	
	L	5.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING	M L	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALLLING	П	1.00 Slabs	s Comments.
Sample Number: 384 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 53
Sample Comments:			
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00 Slabs	comments:
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING	M	3.00 Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	3.00 Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	comments:

#### FDOT

Network: AAF Name: APALACHICOLA REC	GIONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: RW 14-32 Name: RUNWAY 14-32		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 70	58,308.00SqFt	
Section: 6110 of 2 From: - Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: P
Area: 256,103.00SqFt Length: 10,400.00F Slabs: 1,040 Slab Width: 20.00Ft Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Section Comments:	t Width Slab Length: Lanes: 0		Joint Length:	23,375.00Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 44 S Conditions: PCI: 64 Inspection Comments:	urveyed: 10				
Sample Number: 104 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 60		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
58 POPOUTS	N	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	11.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	H	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 120 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 69		
Sample Comments:					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 132 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 66		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 COINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING	L L	10.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 156 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 67		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 176 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 50		

### FDOT

Powert Conserved Dates Index 20, 2012					
Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	${ t L}$	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 504 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 57	
Sample Comments:					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M		Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	М		Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
			Slabs		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L			Comments:	
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
G 1 N 1 700 T -		2100===		DCI (0	
Sample Number: 520 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 60	
Sample Comments:	T. /*	24 00	Slabs	Commonta	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M			Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L		Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	Н		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING 70 SCALING/CRAZING	H M	1.00		Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING		1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING  Sample Number: 532 Type: R		1.00	Slabs		
70 SCALING/CRAZING  Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments:	M Area:	1.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs	Comments: PCI = 64	
70 SCALING/CRAZING  Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M Area:	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00	Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING  Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING	Area: M L	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments: PCI = 64	
70 SCALING/CRAZING  Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	M Area:	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING  Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING	Area: M L	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments: Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING  Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Area:  M L N	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING	Area:  M L N L	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING	Area:  M L N L M	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING	Area:  M L N L M	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING	Area:  M L N L M L	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING Sample Number: 548 Type: R	Area:  M L N L M L	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: PCI = 71	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Area:  M L N L M L M Area:	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING	Area:  M L N L M L M L	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 1.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING	Area:  M L N L M L M L N L	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 1.00 3.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING	Area:  M L N L M L M L N L	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 1.00 3.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING	Area:  M L N L M L M L N L	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 1.00 3.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING	Area:  M L N L M L M L M L M L	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 1.00 3.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 Sample Number: 568 Type: R	Area:  M L N L M L M L N L	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 1.00 3.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 Sample Number: 568 Type: R Sample Number: 568 Type: R	Area:  M L N L M L M L M L Area:	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 1.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 Sample Number: 568 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 LINEAR CRACKING	Area:  M L N L M L M L M L Area:	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 1.00 3.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00Slabs	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 Sample Number: 568 Type: R Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING	Area:  M L N L M L M L M L Area:  Area:  L L M H	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 1.00 3.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00Slabs	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 Sample Number: 568 Type: R Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 71 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Area:  M L N L M L M L M L Area:  Area:  L N L N H	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 1.00 3.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00Slabs	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 Sample Number: 568 Type: R Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 71 SPALLING 72 JOINT SPALLING	Area:  M L N L M L M L M L N L N L N L N L N	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 1.00 3.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 1.00 2.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	
Sample Number: 532 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 548 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 Sample Number: 568 Type: R Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 71 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Area:  M L N L M L M L M L Area:  Area:  L N L N H	1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 6.00 1.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 64  Comments:	

#### **FDOT**

Network: AAF Name	e: APALACHICOLA REG	SIONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: RW 18-36 Name	e: RUNWAY 18-36		Use: RUNWAY	Area:	787,875.00SqFt	
Section: 6305 of Surface: PCC Fa	2 From: - mily: FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: S
Area: 525,250.00SqFt	Length: 5,220.00Ft	Width	100.00Ft			
Slabs: 2,088 Slab Wi	dth: 20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 62,540.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Tota Conditions: PCI: 74 Inspection Comments:	al Samples: 87 Su	urveyed: 18				
Sample Number: 302 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 74		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	24.00 Slabs		:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	2.00 Slabs			
70 SCALING/CRAZING	_	L	18.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3	N	2.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING		M L	1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs			
75 CORNER SPALLING		п	2.00 STab	s Comments	•	
Sample Number: 308 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 82		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
62 CORNER BREAK		L	1.00 Slabs			
66 SMALL PATCH		L	2.00 Slabs	s Comments	:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	1.00 Slabs	s Comments	:	
71 FAULTING		${f L}$	1.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 311 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 68		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	24.00 Slabs			
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	1.00 Slabs			
62 CORNER BREAK		L	2.00 Slabs			
66 SMALL PATCH	~	L	3.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	<del>j</del>	N	3.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING		L M	1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs			
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	2.00 Slabs			
Sample Number: 314 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 76		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	24.00 Slabs	Comments	:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	1.00 Slabs		:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	2.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00 Slabs			
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	5.00 Slabs	s Comments	:	
Sample Number: 320 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 80		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	_	M	24.00 Slabs			
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3	N	1.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	8.00 Slabs	s Comments	:	

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013					
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 326 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 74	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 329 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 54	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M		Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L		Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 332 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 81	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	M		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 338 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 83	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 344 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 75	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L		Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 350 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 72	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	24 00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L		Slabs	Comments:	
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	M		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	

### FDOT

Sample Number: 256	Typa	D	Aron		24.0051555		PCI = 82
Sample Number: 356	Type:	К	Area:		24.00Slabs		rC1 - 02
Sample Comments: 66 SMALL PATCH				L	7 00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING				L		Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING				Г		Slabs	Comments:
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				М		Slabs	Comments:
OJ OUTNI SEAL DAMAGE				1*1	24.00	DIADS	Colline ITCS .
Comple Number 202	Trimor	D	A #00.		24 0001-1-		PCI = 81
Sample Number: 362	Type:	K	Area:		24.00Slabs		FCI = 81
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				M	24.00	Clahe	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH				L		Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	<b>-</b>			N		Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	0			L		Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING				L		Slabs	Comments:
						22000	
Sample Number: 368	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 80
Sample Comments:	31						
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH				L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
70 SCALING/CRAZING				L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING				L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING				M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
Sample Number: 374	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 70
Sample Comments:							
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING				L		Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING				L	11.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING				M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING				L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
Sample Number: 377	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 80
Sample Comments:					0.4.00	al 1	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				M		Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH				L		Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING				L		Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING				L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:
Sample Number: 380	Tunar	D	A **aa*		24 0001-1		PCI = 71
Sample Number: 380 Sample Comments:	Type:	K	Area:		24.00Slabs		1 (1 – / 1
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING				L		Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING				M		Slabs	Comments:
66 SMALL PATCH				L		Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING				L		Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING				L		Slabs	Comments:
					2.00	DIGDO	
Sample Number: 386	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 57
Sample Comments:	71 -						
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING				L	5.00	Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING				L		Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING				M		Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	G			N		Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING				L		Slabs	Comments:

### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013					
Network: AAF Name: APALACHICOLA REGI	IONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: RW 18-36 Name: RUNWAY 18-36		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 78	87,875.00SqFt	
Section: 6310 of 2 From: - Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA-PCC	XX 1.4	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: S
Area: 262,625.00SqFt Length: 10,440.00Ft Slabs: 1,044 Slab Width: 20.00Ft Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Section Comments:	Width Slab Length Lanes: 0		Joint Length:	23,465.00Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 44 Sur Conditions: PCI: 57 Inspection Comments:	rveyed: 11				
Sample Number: 100 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 73		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 116 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 43		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	L L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	H	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	12.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 136 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 55		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 168 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 49		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		

### FDOT

Paport Generated Date: July 30	2012						
Report Generated Date: July 30,	2015		T	F 00	al '	Q - :	
74 JOINT SPALLING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			M		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 180	Type: R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 62	
Sample Comments:			ът	24.00	alaba	O = ==== = = + = +	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			M		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	7		M		Slabs Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	J		N		Slabs	Comments:	
			L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			L	4.00	STADS	Comments:	
Sample Number: 184 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 63	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
71 FAULTING			L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
71 FAULTING			M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			M		Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3		N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	_		L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
-							
Sample Number: 504 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 71	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3		N	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 524	Type: R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 58	
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			М	24 00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	<u>-</u>		N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	3		L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			Г		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			М		Slabs	Comments:	
					21022		
Sample Number: 544 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 53	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			M		Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			M	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
72 SHATTERED SLAB			L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3		N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING			L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING			L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 564 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 65	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE			M	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING			L		Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH			L		Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH			M		Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3		N		Slabs	Comments:	

### FDOT

_ 1				
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 580 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 41	
Sample Comments:				
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	8.00 Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:	

#### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30,	2013						
Network: AAF Name	e: APALACHICOLA REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT					
Branch: RW 6-24 Name	e: RUNWAY 6-24		Use: RUI	NWAY	Area: 747	7,811.00SqFt	
Section: 6205 of Surface: PCC Fair	2 From: - mily: FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -		Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: P
Area: 498,541.00SqFt	Length: 4,950.00Ft	Width		t			
Slabs: 1,980 Slab Wid		Slab Length:	12.50Ft		Joint Length:	59,300.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0					
Section Comments:							
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Conditions: PCI: 77 Inspection Comments:	l Samples: 83 Sur	veyed: 18					
Sample Number: 301 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 93		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	;	N	3.00		Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00		Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 302 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 91		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	;	N	8.00		Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00		Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 309 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 96		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	24.00		Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	1	N	1.00		Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00	STabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 312 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 72		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	24.00		Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L -	1.00		Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	1.00		Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	1	L N	6.00 3.00		Comments: Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	6.00		Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	1.00		Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	2.00		Comments:		
-	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 81		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	24.00	Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	1.00		Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Ţ	N	4.00		Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00		Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 320 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 69		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	24.00		Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:		

### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013					
63 LINEAR CRACKING	ħΛ	1 00	Slabs	Commonts	
	M			Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L		Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 323 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 64	
55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	m L	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
53 LINEAR CRACKING	M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	5.00	Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 330 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 74	
Sample Comments: 55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
56 SMALL PATCH	L L		Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L L		Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINI SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING	Г		Slabs	Comments:	
, J CORNER SPAULING	П	3.00	STANS	COMMETTES.	
Sample Number: 333 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 83	
55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
52 CORNER BREAK	m L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
56 SMALL PATCH	m L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	m L	6.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	3.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	$_{ m L}$	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 337 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 87	
55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
56 SMALL PATCH	L		Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 344 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 85	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1 00	Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 351 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs		PCI = 71	
53 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2 00	Slabs	Comments:	
53 LINEAR CRACKING 53 LINEAR CRACKING	М		Slabs	Comments:	
			Slabs	Comments:	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L				
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N T		Slabs Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	3.00	STADS	Comments:	

### FDOT

1			
G 1 X 1 25 5			DOL 02
Sample Number: 357 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 82
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	s Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	4.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N N	2.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 364 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 80
Sample Comments:	111000	21100014105	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L	24.00 Slabs	s Comments:
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	4.00 Slabs	s Comments:
71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	5.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L L	3.00 Slabs	
		3.00 51421	o commerces
Sample Number: 371 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 59
Sample Comments:			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	2.00 Slabs	comments:
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	2.00 Slabs	comments:
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	4.00 Slabs	comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs	s Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	5.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 374 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 63
Sample Comments:			
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	s Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	s Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	2.00 Slabs	s Comments:
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	3.00 Slabs	comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Slabs	comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs	comments:
-			
Sample Number: 378 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 71
Sample Comments:			
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	s Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	s Comments:
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	5.00 Slabs	comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs	comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	
Sample Number: 381 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 75
Sample Comments:			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Slabs	
62 CORNER BREAK	L	2.00 Slabs	s Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	comments:
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	5.00 Slabs	comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	3.00 Slabs	comments:

FDOT

74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2	2013					
Network: AAF Name	: APALACHICOLA REG	IONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: RW 6-24 Name	: RUNWAY 6-24		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 747	7,811.00SqFt	
	2 From: - nily: FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: P
Area: 249,270.00SqFt	Length: 9,870.00Ft	Width	: 25.00Ft			
Slabs: 987 Slab Wid		Slab Length	: 12.50Ft	Joint Length:	22,182.50Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total	Samples: 43 Su	rveyed: 10				
Conditions: PCI : 75						
Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 108	Гуре: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 75		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
56 SMALL PATCH		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING		N L	1.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING		<u>г</u> М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
, i doini biindino			1.00 51455			
Sample Number: 124 'Sample Comments:	Гуре: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 76		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING		N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING		L L	9.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		П	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 140 'Sample Comments:	Гуре: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 67		
62 CORNER BREAK		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING		N	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING		L L	5.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
-	Гуре: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 74		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILIT	Y	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING		N	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 508 Sample Comments:	Гуре: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 71		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		

#### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30,	2013							
66 SMALL PATCH				L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
71 FAULTING				L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING				L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING				L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING				M	2.00	Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING				M		Slabs	Comments:	
·								
Sample Number: 524	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 82	
Sample Comments:	TJPC.				2		101 02	
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				L	24.00	Slabs	Comments:	
62 CORNER BREAK				L		Slabs	Comments:	
66 SMALL PATCH				L		Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Ţ			N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	J			L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING				L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING				ш	2.00	DIADS	Commencs.	
Sample Number: 540	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 76	
Sample Comments:	7 F							
63 LINEAR CRACKING				L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING				M	1.00	Slabs	Comments:	
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3			N		Slabs	Comments:	
74 JOINT SPALLING				L		Slabs	Comments:	
75 CORNER SPALLING				L		Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Number: 560	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 85	
Sample Number: 560 Sample Comments:	Type:	R	Area:		24.00Slabs		PCI = 85	
-	Type:	R	Area:	L		Slabs	PCI = 85  Comments:	
Sample Comments:	Type:	R	Area:	L L	4.00	Slabs Slabs		
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING	Type:	R	Area:		4.00		Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING				L	4.00 1.00 1.00	Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572	Type:		Area:	L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572 Sample Comments:				L L	4.00 1.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE				L L	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00	Slabs Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: PCI = 78 Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING	Type:			L L L	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Type:			L L L N	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments:  PCI = 78  Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING	Type:			L L L N L	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING	Type:			L L L L L L	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING	Type:			L L L N L	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING	Type:	R	Area:	L L L L L L	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 76 SAMPLE SAMPL	Type:	R		L L L L L L	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572 Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 76 Sample Number: 580 Sample Comments:	Type:	R	Area:	L L L N L L M	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments: PCI = 67	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 76 JOINT SPALLING 77 Sample Number: 580  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Type:	R	Area:	L L L N L L M	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 76 JOINT SPALLING 77 SAMPLE	Type:	R	Area:	L L L N L L L M	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 4.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 76 JOINT SPALLING  Sample Number: 580  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 62 CORNER BREAK	Type:	R	Area:	L L L N L L M	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 4.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING	Type:	R	Area:	L L L N L L M	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 4.00 1.00 2.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:	
Sample Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 572  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 75 CORNER SPALLING 76 JOINT SPALLING  Sample Number: 580  Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 62 CORNER BREAK	Type:	R	Area:	L L L N L L M	4.00 1.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 2.00 2.00 3.00 1.00 24.00Slabs 24.00 4.00 1.00 2.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	Comments:	

### FDOT

Network: AAF Name: APALACHICOLA REGIO	NAL AIRPORT					
Branch: TW A Name: TAXIWAY A		Use: TAXIV	VAY A	rea: 229	9,820.00SqFt	
Section: 220 of 2 From: - Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Z	one:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: P
Area: 154,200.00SqFt Length: 3,100.00Ft Slabs: 679 Slab Width: 20.00Ft Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Section Comments:	Width Slab Length: Lanes: 0		Joi	nt Length:	17,000.00Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 31 Surv Conditions: PCI: 65 Inspection Comments:	reyed: 5					
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI =	50		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	7.00 Sl		mments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	3.00 Sl		mments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	3.00 Sl		mments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Sl		mments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	3.00 Sl		mments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Sl		omments:		
Sample Number: 106 Type: A Sample Comments:	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI =	49		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	6.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	6.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	2.00 Sl		mments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB	L	1.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	3.00 Sl		omments:		
Sample Number: 109 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI =	73		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	24.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	5.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Sl		mments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	1.00 Sl		mments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00 Sl		mments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Sl		mments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Sl		mments:		
Sample Number: 117 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI =	55		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	5.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	${f L}$	4.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	${f L}$	2.00 Sl	abs Co	mments:		
	_					
75 CORNER SPALLING	m L	5.00 Sl	labs CC	mments:		
	L M	5.00 SI 1.00 SI		mments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING			abs Co			

### FDOT

70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
Sample Number: 125 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 83
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	comments:

### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

74 JOINT SPALLING

	ACHICOLA REGIONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: TW A Name: TAXIV	VAY A	Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 22	29,820.00SqFt	
Section: 225 of 2 F Surface: PCC Family: FD	From: -	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Area: 75,620.00SqFt Length:	3,030.00Ft Wie	dth: 12.50Ft	_one.	caregory.	1
Slabs: 134 Slab Width:	20.00Ft Slab Leng rade: 0.00 Lanes: 0		Joint Length:	1,881.25Ft	
Section Comments:					
Conditions: PCI:74	Surveyed: 2				
Conditions: PCI: 74 Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 210 Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 78		
Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 210 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:				
Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 210 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Area:	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 210 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALLING	Area:	20.00 Slabs 6.00 Slabs			
Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 210 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Area:	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 210 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  Sample Number: 222 Type: R	Area:  M L L	20.00 Slabs 6.00 Slabs 9.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 210 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Area:  M L L N	20.00 Slabs 6.00 Slabs 9.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 210 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 75 CORNER SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  Sample Number: 222 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:  M L L L N	20.00 Slabs 6.00 Slabs 9.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: PCI = 70		

1.00 Slabs

Comments:

#### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

62 CORNER BREAK

62 CORNER BREAK

75 CORNER SPALLING

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013					
Network: AAF Name: APALACHICO	OLA REGIONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: TW A1 Name: TAXIWAY A	1	Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 43	3,865.00SqFt	
Section: 230 of 2 From: - Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: P
Area: 32,807.00SqFt Length:	420.00Ft Width:	50.00Ft			
Slabs: 138 Slab Width: 12.5	OFt Slab Length:	20.00Ft	Joint Length:	2,260.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade:	<del>_</del>		C		
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 8	Surveyed: 2				
Conditions: PCI: 43	Surveyed. 2				
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 101 Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 46		
Sample Comments:					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	${f L}$	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 103 Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 39		
Sample Comments:	i ii cu.	20.0001400			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	6.00 Slabs	Comments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
	**1	2.00 51466	~		

Μ

L

M

2.00 Slabs

4.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

Comments:

Comments:

Comments:

### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

Network:	AAF	Name: Al	PALACHICOLA REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW A1	Name: TA	AXIWAY A1		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	43,865.00SqFt	
Section: Surface:	235 PCC	of 2 Family:	From: - FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Area: Slabs: 44	11,058.00SqFt	Leng Slab Width:	gth: 470.00Ft 20.00Ft	Width: Slab Length:	12.50Ft 12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 281.25Ft	
Shoulder:	Street	Гуре:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Con	nments:							
I act Incn 1	Date: 06/05/2	013 Total Sam	noles: 2 Surv	veved: 1				

Conditions: PCI: 68

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 202 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	28.00Slabs	PCI = 68
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	28.00 Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	20.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:

### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

Network: AAF Name: APALACHICOLA REC	GIONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: TW A2 Name: TAXIWAY A2		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,477.00SqFt	
Section: 250 of 2 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1940
Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA-PCC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 35,036.00SqFt Length: 450.00F	t Width:	50.00Ft			
Slabs: 140 Slab Width: 20.00Ft Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Slab Length: Lanes: 0	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	2,425.00Ft	
Section Comments:	Laies. 0				
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 8 S Conditions: PCI:71 Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 101 Type: R	Surveyed: 2  Area: 2	0.00Slabs	PCI = 74		
Sample Comments:	2.6	00 00 01 -1	G		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING	M L	20.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 103 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 2	0.00Slabs	PCI = 69		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:	:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	:	
71 FAULTING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:	:	
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	:	

### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

Network: A	AAF	Name: Al	PALACHICOLA REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: T	TW A2	Name: TA	AXIWAY A2		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,477.00SqFt	
	255 PCC	of 2 Family:	From: - FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Area: 10	),441.00SqFt	Leng	gth: 500.00Ft	Width:	12.50Ft			
Slabs: 25	S	lab Width:	20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 300.00Ft	
Shoulder:	Street Ty	ype:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				

Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 1

Conditions: PCI:77 Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 200 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	16.00Slabs		PCI = 77
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	16.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	G	N	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:

### FDOT

Network: AAF Name: APALACHICOLA REG	IONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: TW A3 Name: TAXIWAY A3		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,476.00SqFt	
Section: 240 of 2 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1940
Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA-PCC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 34,680.00SqFt Length: 450.00Ft	Width:	50.00Ft			
Slabs: 140 Slab Width: 20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	2,425.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0		_		
Section Comments:					
Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 100 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 16.	00Slabs	PCI = 63		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	16.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 102 Type: R	Area: 16	00Slabs	PCI = 49		
	Aica. 10	OOSIAUS	101 17		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M M	16.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Comments:		16.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs			
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALLING 63 LINEAR CRACKING	М	16.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALLING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING	M L M L	16.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALLING 63 LINEAR CRACKING	M L M	16.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		

#### FDOT

Sample Number:

Sample Comments:

63 LINEAR CRACKING

65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE

202

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

Type: R

Network:	AAF	Name: A	PALACHICOLA REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW A3	Name: T	AXIWAY A3		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,476.00SqFt	
Section:	245	of 2	From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1942
Surface:	PCC	Family:	FDOT-GA-PCC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	10,796.00SqFt	Len	gth: 500.00Ft	Width:	12.50Ft			
Slabs: 25	5	Slab Width:	20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 300.00Ft	
Shoulder:	Street 7	Гуре:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0		_		
Section Cor	mments:							
Last Insp.	Date: 06/05/20	)13 Total Sar	nples: 2 Sur	veyed: 1				
•	s: PCI : 74		•	·				
Inspection (	Comments:							

Area:

L

Η

22.00Slabs

10.00 Slabs

22.00 Slabs

PCI = 74

Comments:

Comments:

### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

Network: AAF	Name: Al	PALACHICOLA REGIO	NAL AIRPORT				
Branch: TW A5	Name: TA	AXIWAY A5		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	47,627.00SqFt	
Section: 205 Surface: PCC	of 2 Family:	From: - FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: P
Area: 31,535.00 Slabs: 124	SqFt Leng Slab Width:	gth: 500.00Ft 20.00Ft	Width: Slab Length:	50.00Ft 12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 2,700.00Ft	
	treet Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0	12.301 t	Joint Length	2,700.0011	
Section Comments:							

Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 6 Surveyed: 1

Conditions: PCI: 68 Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 101 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs		PCI = 68
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING		${f L}$	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
70 SCALING/CRAZING		${f L}$	10.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING		${f L}$	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	G	N	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK		L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:

#### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

Network:	AAF	Name: Al	PALACHICOLA REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW A5	Name: TA	AXIWAY A5		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	47,627.00SqFt	
	210 PCC	of 2 Family:	From: - FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Slabs: 68		Leng lab Width:	20.00Ft	Width: Slab Length:	25.00Ft 12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 1,325.00Ft	
Shoulder: Section Com	Street T	ype:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				

Conditions: PCI: 41 Inspection Comments:

Surveyed: 1

Sample Number: 202 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 41
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	1 1	M	20.00	Slabs Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKIN	IG .	N	4.00	Slabs Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	4.00	Slabs Comments:
71 FAULTING		H	5.00	Slabs Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00	Slabs Comments:

#### FDOT

Network: AAF Name: APALACHICOLA REG	GIONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: TW B1 Name: TAXIWAY B1		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,128.00SqFt	
Section: 305 of 2 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1940
Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA-PCC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 29,556.00SqFt Length: 570.00F	t Width:	50.00Ft			
Slabs: 120 Slab Width: 20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	3,085.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0		· ·		
••					
Section Comments:					
Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 2	0.00Slabs	PCI = 81		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 104 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 2	0.00Slabs	PCI = 69		
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		

#### FDOT

Conditions: PCI: 67 Inspection Comments:

Network:	AAF	Name: Al	PALACHICOLA REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW B1	Name: TA	AXIWAY B1		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,128.00SqFt	
Section:	310	of 2	From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1942
Surface:	PCC	Family:	FDOT-GA-PCC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	15,572.00SqFt	Leng	gth: 600.00Ft	Width:	12.50Ft			
Slabs: 60		Slab Width:	20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length	362.50Ft	
Shoulder:	Street	Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Con	mments:							
Last Insp. 1	Date: 06/05/2	2013 Total Sam	nples: 3 Sur	veyed: 1				

Sample Number: 204 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs		PCI = 67
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	18.00 S	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING		${f L}$	4.00 S	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00 S	Slabs	Comments:
71 FAULTING		L	4.00 S	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	1.00 S	Slabs	Comments:

#### **FDOT**

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

Network: AAF Name: APALACHICOLA REC	GIONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: TW B2 Name: TAXIWAY B2		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,314.00SqFt	
Section: 315 of 2 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1940
Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA-PCC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 34,714.00SqFt Length: 420.00Ft	t Width:	50.00Ft			
Slabs: 140 Slab Width: 20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	2,260.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0			_,	
Shoulder. Street Type. Grade. 0.00	Eures. 0				
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 8 S Conditions: PCI: 62 Inspection Comments:	urveyed: 2				
Sample Number: 100 Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 64		
Sample Comments:					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L -	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	${f L}$	4.00 Slabs	(lommon + a ·		
	_		Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB	L	5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB 62 CORNER BREAK	L L	5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB	L	5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB 62 CORNER BREAK 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  Sample Number: 102 Type: R	L L N	5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB 62 CORNER BREAK 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  Sample Number: 102 Type: R Sample Comments:	L L N	5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB 62 CORNER BREAK 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  Sample Number: 102 Type: R	L L N Area:	5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 20.00Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB 62 CORNER BREAK 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  Sample Number: 102 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L L N Area:	5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 20.00Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: PCI = 61 Comments:		
72 SHATTERED SLAB 62 CORNER BREAK 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  Sample Number: 102 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING	L L N Area:	5.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 20.00Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		

#### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

Network:	AAF	Name: Al	PALACHICOLA REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW B2	Name: TA	AXIWAY B2		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,314.00SqFt	
Section: Surface:	320 PCC	of 2 Family:	From: - FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Area:	10,600.00SqFt	Leng	gth: 500.00Ft	Width:	12.50Ft			
Slabs: 40		Slab Width:	20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 300.00Ft	
Shoulder:	Street '	Гуре:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Con	nments:							
•	Date: 06/05/2	013 Total Sam	ples: 2 Sur	veyed: 1				

Conditions: PCI: 75 Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 202	Type: R	Area:	12.00Slabs		PCI = 75
Sample Comments:					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	12.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00	Slabs	Comments:

#### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING

75 CORNER SPALLING

Network: AAF Name: AP	ALACHICOLA REGIO	NAL AIRPORT				
Time Time						
Branch: TW B3 Name: TA	XIWAY B3		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 4	5,314.00SqFt	
Section: 325 of 2 Surface: PCC Family:	From: - FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: P
Area: 34,714.00SqFt Leng	th: 420.00Ft	Width	50.00Ft			
Slabs: 100 Slab Width: Shoulder: Street Type:	20.00Ft Grade: 0.00	Slab Length: Lanes: 0	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	2,260.00Ft	
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samp	ples: 8 Surv	reyed: 2				
Conditions: PCI: 51 Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 101 Type: Sample Comments:	R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 42		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	3.00 Slabs			
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 Slabs			
62 CORNER BREAK		L	1.00 Slabs			
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK		M	1.00 Slabs			
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	4.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	1.00 Slabs			
66 SMALL PATCH		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY		L	1.00 Slabs			
Sample Number: 103 Type:	R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 59		
Sample Comments:		3.6	00 00 01 1	<b>G</b>		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 Slabs			
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	1.00 Slabs			
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	2.00 Slabs			
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	8.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00 Slabs			
70 SCALING/CRAZING		L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		

Ν

1.00 Slabs

1.00 Slabs

Comments:

Comments:

#### FDOT

Inspection Comments:

Network:	AAF	Name: A	PALACHICOLA REGI	ONAL AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW B3	Name: T.	AXIWAY B3		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,314.00SqFt	
Section: Surface:	330 PCC	of 2 Family:	From: - FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Area:	10,600.00SqFt	Len	gth: 500.00Ft	Width:	12.50Ft			
Slabs: 40	S	Slab Width:	20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	300.00Ft	
Shoulder:	Street T	Гуре:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Con	nments:							
	Date: 06/05/20	013 Total San	nples: 2 Sur	rveyed: 1				
Conditions	: PCI : 82							

Sample Number: 202	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 82
Sample Comments:				
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		L	20.00	Slabs Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00	Slabs Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	3.00	Slabs Comments:

#### **FDOT**

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

Network: AAF Name: APALACHICOL	A REGIONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: TW B4 Name: TAXIWAY B4		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	40,894.00SqFt	
Section: 345 of 2 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1940
Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA-PC	CC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 29,911.00SqFt Length: 43	80.00Ft Width:	50.00Ft			
Slabs: 96 Slab Width: 25.00F	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	2,100.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.0			Č	•	
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 7 Conditions: PCI: 54 Inspection Comments:	Surveyed: 2				
Sample Number: 95 Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 50		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs	Commonta:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING	${f L}$	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 97 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 57		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
62 CORNER BREAK	M	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		

#### FDOT

Inspection Comments:

Name: TAXIWAY B4		T			
		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 4	40,894.00SqFt	
of 2 From: - Family: FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Length: 430.00Ft	Width:	12.50Ft			
lab Width: 20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	256.25Ft	
ype: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
	Family: FDOT-GA-PCC Length: 430.00Ft Slab Width: 20.00Ft	Family: FDOT-GA-PCC  Length: 430.00Ft Width: Slab Width: 20.00Ft Slab Length:	Family: FDOT-GA-PCC  Length: 430.00Ft Width: 12.50Ft  Slab Width: 20.00Ft Slab Length: 12.50Ft	Family: FDOT-GA-PCC Zone:  Length: 430.00Ft Width: 12.50Ft  Slab Width: 20.00Ft Slab Length: 12.50Ft Joint Length:	Family: FDOT-GA-PCC Zone: Category:  Length: 430.00Ft Width: 12.50Ft  Slab Width: 20.00Ft Slab Length: 12.50Ft Joint Length: 256.25Ft

Sample Number: 100 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	26.00Slabs	PCI = 79
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	26.00 S	labs Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	2.00 S	labs Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	2.00 S	labs Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00 S	labs Comments:
71 FAULTING		L	1.00 S	labs Comments:

#### FDOT

Network: AAF Name	e: APALACHICOLA REC	GIONAL AIRPORT					
Branch: TW C Name	e: TAXIWAY C		Use: TAXI	IWAY	Area: 23	1,422.00SqFt	
	2 From: - mily: FDOT-GA-PCC	W. Id	То: -		Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1940 Rank: P
Area: 153,704.00SqFt Slabs: 609 Slab Wic Shoulder: Street Type: Section Comments:	Length: 3,045.00F0 dth: 20.00F0 Grade: 0.00	Slab Length: Lanes: 0			Joint Length:	16,697.50Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Tota Conditions: PCI: 67 Inspection Comments:	al Samples: 32 S	urveyed: 6					
Sample Number: 103 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	F	PCI = 69		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 S	labs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 S	labs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	1.00 S	labs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00 S	labs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		M	1.00 S	labs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	2.00 S	labs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 114 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	F	PCI = 55		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 S	labs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	3.00 S	labs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	2.00 S	labs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		M	1.00 S	labs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	2.00 S	labs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00 S	labs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 119 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	F	PCI = 70		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 S		Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	5.00 S		Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 S		Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3	N	1.00 S		Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	2.00 S		Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		M	1.00 S	labs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 123 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs		PCI = 58		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 S		Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	1.00 S		Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3	N	2.00 S		Comments:		
71 FAULTING		L	5.00 S		Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	5.00 S		Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00 S		Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	1.00 S	labs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 128 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs		PCI = 73		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 S		Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	2.00 S		Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	4.00 S	labs	Comments:		

#### FDOT

75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
County November 100 Tours D	A	20.0001.1	DCI - 75
Sample Number: 129 Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 75
Sample Comments:			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	H	1.00 Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	7.00 Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:

#### **FDOT**

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

74 JOINT SPALLING

73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING

Network: AAF Nam	e: APALACHICOLA REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: TW C Nam	e: TAXIWAY C		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 23	1,422.00SqFt	
Section: 110 of	2 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1942
Surface: PCC Fa	amily: FDOT-GA-PCC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 77,718.00SqFt	Length: 3,045.00Ft	Width	25.00Ft			
Slabs: 304 Slab Wi	-	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	6,826.25Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0			***************************************	
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Tot Conditions: PCI: 72 Inspection Comments:	al Samples: 16 Surv	veyed: 3				
Sample Number: 206	Type: R	Area:	18.00Slabs	PCI = 47		
Sample Comments:	1) po. 1.	111000	10.000	101		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	18.00 Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
71 FAULTING		M	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
66 SMALL PATCH		L	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 216 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 90		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 226 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 77		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:		
. COLUMNIC DITIEDLING		-	5.50 DIGDS	Commerce.		

L

N

4.00 Slabs Comments:

Comments:

1.00 Slabs

#### FDOT

Inspection Comments:

Network:	AAF	Name: A	PALACHICOLA REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW C1	Name: TA	AXIWAY C1		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,490.00SqFt	
Section: Surface:	155 PCC	of 2 Family:	From: - FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Area:	10,613.00SqFt	Leng	gth: 500.00Ft	Width:	16.00Ft			
Slabs: 42	9	Slab Width:	20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 524.00Ft	
Shoulder:	Street 7	Гуре:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Com	nments:							
Last Insp. I	Date: 06/05/20	013 Total San	nples: 2 Sur	veyed: 1				
Conditions	: PCI : 71							

Sample Number: 201 Ty	rpe: R Area:	20.00Slabs	PCI = 71
Sample Comments:			
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M 20.00	Slabs Comments:
62 CORNER BREAK		L 1.00	Slabs Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L 7.00	Slabs Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING		L 1.00	Slabs Comments:

#### FDOT

Network: AAF Name: APALACHICOLA REG	GIONAL AIRPORT				
Branch: TW C1 Name: TAXIWAY C1		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,490.00SqFt	
Section: 160 of 2 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1940
Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA-PCC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 34,877.00SqFt Length: 460.00F	t Width:	50.00Ft			
Slabs: 140 Slab Width: 20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	2,480.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0		J		
Section Comments:					
Section Comments.					
Inspection Comments:  Sample Number: 100 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 20	.00Slabs	PCI = 83		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	<del>-</del>	0 00 01 1	~		
75 CORNER SPALLLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING	F F	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING  Sample Number: 103 Type: R	L				
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING  Sample Number: 103 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 20	1.00 Slabs	Comments: PCI = 76		
70 SCALING/CRAZING  Sample Number: 103 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING 72 SHATTERED SLAB	Area: 20	1.00 Slabs .00Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 76  Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING  Sample Number: 103 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 63 LINEAR CRACKING	Area: 20  M L	1.00 Slabs .00Slabs 20.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments:  PCI = 76  Comments: Comments:		

#### FDOT

Inspection Comments:

Network:	AAF	Name: A	PALACHICOLA REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW C2	Name: TA	AXIWAY C2		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	45,476.00SqFt	
Section: Surface:	145 PCC	of 2 Family:	From: - FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Area:	10,646.00SqFt	Leng	gth: 500.00Ft	Width:	16.00Ft			
Slabs: 42	_	Slab Width:	20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 524.00Ft	
Shoulder:	Street 7	Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Con	nments:							
Last Insp.	Date: 06/05/20	013 Total San	nples: 2 Sur	veyed: 1				
Conditions	s: PCI: 76							

Sample Number: 200	Type: R	Area:	22.00Slabs	F	PCI = 76
Sample Comments:					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	22.00	Slabs	Comments:
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	3	N	1.00	Slabs	Comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	2.00	Slabs	Comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	4.00	Slabs	Comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	1.00	Slabs	Comments:

#### FDOT

Branch: TW C2 Name: TAXIWAY C2		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 4	5,476.00SqFt	
Section: 150 of 2 From: -		То: -	_	Last Const.:	01/01/1940
Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-GA-PCC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 34,830.00SqFt Length: 460.00Ft	Width:	50.00Ft			
Slabs: 140 Slab Width: 20.00Ft	Slab Length:	12.50Ft	Joint Length:	2,480.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 101 Type: R	Area: 2	0.00Slabs	PCI = 73		
Sample Comments:					
1	Area: 2	0.00Slabs 20.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs	PCI = 73  Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	М	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALLING	M L	20.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALLING 63 LINEAR CRACKING	M L L	20.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALLING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 103 Type: R	M L L N L	20.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALLING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 75 CORNER SPALLING	M L L N L	20.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 74 JOINT SPALLING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 75 CORNER SPALLING  Sample Number: 103 Type: R Sample Comments:	M L L N L	20.00 Slabs 5.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: PCI = 83		

#### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

Surface   PCC	Network: AAF Name: APALACHICOLA R	EGIONAL AIRPORT				
Surface   PC	Branch: TW D Name: TAXIWAY D		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 5	6,050.00SqFt	
Slab   128			То: -	Zone:		01/01/1940 Rank: P
Slab   128	Area: 40.968.00SaFt Length: 560.0	0Ft Width:	50.00Ft			
Shoulder:   Street Type:   Grade:   0.00   Lanes:   0				Ioint Length	3.030.00Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 8		=	12.501 t	Joint Length.	3,030.001 t	
Conditions: PCI:58   Inspection Comments:   Sample Number:   101   Type: R   Area:   20.00Slabs   PCI = 71						
Sample Comments:   65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Conditions: PCI: 58	Surveyed: 2				
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE M 20.00 Slabs Comments: 75 CORNER SPALLING L 5.00 Slabs Comments: 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 2.00 Slabs Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 75 CORNER BREAK L 2.00 Slabs Comments: 76 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00Slabs Comments: 78 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00Slabs Comments: 79 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Comments: 70 CORNER BREAK L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 71 CORNER SPALLING L 6.00 Slabs Comments: 72 CORNER SPALLING L 6.00 Slabs Comments: 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 7.00 Slabs Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 75 LINEAR CRACKING N 7.00 Slabs Comments: 76 LINEAR CRACKING M 2.00 Slabs Comments: 77 LINEAR CRACKING M 2.00 Slabs Comments: 78 LINEAR CRACKING M 2.00 Slabs Comments: 79 JOINT SPALLING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 70 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments: 70 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments:		Area: 2	0.00Slabs	PCI = 71		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 2.00 Slabs Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING M 1.00 Slabs Comments: 62 CORNER BREAK L 2.00 Slabs Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Comments: 66 CORNER BREAK L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 67 CORNER BREAK L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 68 COMMENTS: 69 CORNER BREAK L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 60 CORNER SPALLING L 6.00 Slabs Comments: 61 CORNER BREAK L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 62 CORNER BREAK L 6.00 Slabs Comments: 63 LINKAGE CRACKING N 7.00 Slabs Comments: 64 LINEAR CRACKING M 1.00 Slabs Comments: 65 LINEAR CRACKING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 66 LINEAR CRACKING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 67 JOINT SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments: 68 LINEAR CRACKING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 69 COMMENTS: 60 SLALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments: 60 COMMENTS: 61 LINEAR CRACKING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 61 LINEAR CRACKING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 62 COMMENTS: 63 LINEAR CRACKING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 64 JOINT SPALLING L 3.00 Slabs Comments: 65 COMMENTS: 66 COMMENTS: 67 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs COMMENTS:	•	M	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING  74 JOINT SPALLING  M 1.00 Slabs Comments:  Comments:  L 2.00 Slabs Comments:  Comments:		L	5.00 Slabs			
74 JOINT SPALLING  M 1.00 Slabs Comments:  L 2.00 Slabs Comments:  Sample Number: 103 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 46  Sample Comments:  65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Comments:  62 CORNER BREAK L 4.00 Slabs Comments:  75 CORNER SPALLING L 6.00 Slabs Comments:  73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 7.00 Slabs Comments:  62 CORNER BREAK M 2.00 Slabs Comments:  63 LINEAR CRACKING M 1.00 Slabs Comments:  63 LINEAR CRACKING M 1.00 Slabs Comments:  64 JOINT SPALLING L 4.00 Slabs Comments:  74 JOINT SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments:  75 SHRINKAGE CRACKING L 1.00 Slabs Comments:  76 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments:  78 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 6.00 Slabs Comments:  79 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments:	73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
CORNER BREAK  L 2.00 Slabs Comments:  Sample Number: 103 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 46  Sample Comments:  65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE  L 20.00 Slabs Comments:  62 CORNER BREAK  L 4.00 Slabs Comments:  75 CORNER SPALLING  L 6.00 Slabs Comments:  73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  N 7.00 Slabs Comments:  62 CORNER BREAK  M 2.00 Slabs Comments:  63 LINEAR CRACKING  M 1.00 Slabs Comments:  63 LINEAR CRACKING  M 1.00 Slabs Comments:  74 JOINT SPALLING  L 4.00 Slabs Comments:  75 CORMENTS:  76 SCALING/CRAZING  N 6.00 Slabs Comments:  78 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  N 6.00 Slabs Comments:  79 SCALING/CRAZING  L 3.00 Slabs Comments:	74 JOINT SPALLING	L	4.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Number: 103 Type: R Area: 20.00Slabs PCI = 46  Sample Comments:  65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	74 JOINT SPALLING	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Comments:  65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE  L 20.00 Slabs Comments:  62 CORNER BREAK  L 4.00 Slabs Comments:  75 CORNER SPALLING  L 6.00 Slabs Comments:  73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  N 7.00 Slabs Comments:  62 CORNER BREAK  M 2.00 Slabs Comments:  63 LINEAR CRACKING  M 1.00 Slabs Comments:  64 JOINT SPALLING  L 4.00 Slabs Comments:  74 JOINT SPALLING  L 1.00 Slabs Comments:  75 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  N 6.00 Slabs Comments:  76 SCALING/CRAZING  L 3.00 Slabs Comments:		L		Comments:		
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE L 20.00 Slabs Comments: 62 CORNER BREAK L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 75 CORNER SPALLING L 6.00 Slabs Comments: 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 7.00 Slabs Comments: 62 CORNER BREAK M 2.00 Slabs Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING M 1.00 Slabs Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments: 75 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 6.00 Slabs Comments: 76 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments:		Area: 2	0.00Slabs	PCI = 46		
62 CORNER BREAK  L 4.00 Slabs Comments:  75 CORNER SPALLING  L 6.00 Slabs Comments:  73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  N 7.00 Slabs Comments:  62 CORNER BREAK  M 2.00 Slabs Comments:  63 LINEAR CRACKING  M 1.00 Slabs Comments:  63 LINEAR CRACKING  L 4.00 Slabs Comments:  74 JOINT SPALLING  L 1.00 Slabs Comments:  75 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  N 6.00 Slabs Comments:  76 SCALING/CRAZING  L 3.00 Slabs Comments:	•	т.	20 00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING  L 6.00 Slabs Comments:  73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  N 7.00 Slabs Comments:  62 CORNER BREAK  M 2.00 Slabs Comments:  63 LINEAR CRACKING  M 1.00 Slabs Comments:  63 LINEAR CRACKING  L 4.00 Slabs Comments:  74 JOINT SPALLING  L 1.00 Slabs Comments:  75 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  N 6.00 Slabs Comments:  76 SCALING/CRAZING  L 3.00 Slabs Comments:						
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 7.00 Slabs Comments: 62 CORNER BREAK M 2.00 Slabs Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING M 1.00 Slabs Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments: 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 6.00 Slabs Comments: 70 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments:						
62 CORNER BREAK  M 2.00 Slabs Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING  M 1.00 Slabs Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING  L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING  L 1.00 Slabs Comments: 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING  N 6.00 Slabs Comments: 70 SCALING/CRAZING  L 3.00 Slabs Comments:						
63 LINEAR CRACKING M 1.00 Slabs Comments: 63 LINEAR CRACKING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments: 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 6.00 Slabs Comments: 70 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments:						
63 LINEAR CRACKING L 4.00 Slabs Comments: 74 JOINT SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments: 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 6.00 Slabs Comments: 70 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments:						
74 JOINT SPALLING L 1.00 Slabs Comments: 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 6.00 Slabs Comments: 70 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments:						
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 6.00 Slabs Comments: 70 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments:						
70 SCALING/CRAZING L 3.00 Slabs Comments:						
	67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		

#### FDOT

Report Generated Date: July 30, 2013

Network:	AAF	Name: A	PALACHICOLA REG	IONAL AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW D	Name: T.	AXIWAY D		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	56,050.00SqFt	
Section: Surface:	340 PCC	of 2 Family:	From: - FDOT-GA-PCC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Area: Slabs: 60	15,082.00Se	qFt Len Slab Width:	gth: 700.00Ft 20.00Ft	Width: Slab Length:	12.50Ft 12.50Ft	Joint Length	: 425.00Ft	
Shoulder:	Str	eet Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Con	nments:							
		eet Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				

Last Insp. Date: 06/05/2013 Total Samples: 2 Surveyed: 1

Conditions: PCI: 71 Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 200 Sample Comments:	Гуре: R	Area:	32.00Slabs	PCI = 71
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		M	32.00 Slabs	comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING		L	6.00 Slabs	comments:
71 FAULTING		L	4.00 Slabs	comments:
63 LINEAR CRACKING		L	3.00 Slabs	comments:
75 CORNER SPALLING		M	1.00 Slabs	comments:
74 JOINT SPALLING		L	1.00 Slabs	comments: