# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AVIATION AND SPACEPORT OFFICE



PROGRAM

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exe	ecutive Summary	1
1.	Introduction	6
2.	Airfield Pavement Network Definition and Pavement Inventory	17
3.	Airfield Pavement Condition	24
4.	Pavement Performance	32
5.	Airfield Pavement Maintenance Policies and Costs	35
6.	Major Pavement Rehabilitation Needs	43
7.	Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Planning	46
8.	Visual Aid Exhibits	48
9.	Recommendations	49

# LIST OF TABLES

Table I: Condition Summary by Branch2
Table II: Condition Summary by Pavement Facility Use
Table III: Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs for Plant City Airport
Table IV: 10-Year Preventative Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation5
Table 1-1: Sampling Rate Schedule for SAPMP PCI Survey Inspections
Table 2-1: Recent and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction
Table 2-2: Pavement Inventory Summary
Table 2-3: Airfield Pavement Inventory Details
Table 3-1: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Asphalt Concrete
Table 3-2: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Portland Cement Concrete
Table 3-3: Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary
Table 5-1: Recommended AC, AAC, and APC Maintenance and Repair Policy
Table 5-2: Recommended PCC Maintenance and Repair Policy
Table 5-3: Critical and Minimum Service Level PCI for General Aviation Airports 39
Table 5-4: Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation Activity Based on PCI
Table 5-5: AC Maintenance Unit Costs
Table 5-6: PCC Maintenance Unit Costs

Pavement Evaluation Report - Plant City Airport

Table 5-7: Rehabilitation Activities and Unit Costs by Condition for General	
Aviation Airports	42
Table 6-1: Summary of Major Rehabilitation	44
Table 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary	46

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Pavement Life Cycle	12
Figure 1-2: Flexible Pavement, Asphalt Concrete	15
Figure 1-3: Rigid Pavement, Portland Cement Concrete	16
Figure 2-1: Airfield Pavement Type	22
Figure 3-1: Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary	28
Figure 3-2: Percentage of Pavement Area by Condition Rating by Use	30
Figure 4-1: Runway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	33
Figure 4-2: Taxiway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	33
Figure 4-3: Apron Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	34
Figure 6-1: 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Budget Scenario Analysis	45
Figure 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary	47

# **APPENDICES**

Appendix A	Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit
	Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit
	Pavement Geometry Inventory
	Work History Report
Appendix B	Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit
	Pavement Condition Index Inventory
Appendix C	Branch Condition Report
	Section Condition Report
Appendix D	Pavement Performance Prediction Table
	Pavement Performance by Pavement Use
Appendix E	Year-1 Preventative Activities
Appendix F	Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Exhibit
	Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Table
Appendix G	Photographs
Appendix H	Distress Data – Re-inspection Report

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2012, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Aviation and Spaceport Office selected a team lead by *Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.* and including their subconsultants Peneul Consulting, LLC, Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, and All About Pavements, Inc., to provide services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of updating the existing Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP). This work is to be completed over the fiscal years of 2013 and 2014.

The tasks required to achieve this objective at each participating airport specifically included the following:

- Obtain recent construction history from the airport to update the Pavement Network Definition Exhibits using CADD from the previous SAPMP update.
- Update the airport pavement inventory data (construction history, geometry, identification, and classification) based on airport information provided.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP MicroPAVER database files and system tables for the purpose of analyzing field data for Pavement Condition Index (PCI) calculation of current pavement condition
- Development of pavement performance models for the approximation of future pavement performance.
- Development of a maintenance and repair plan, and a 10-year major rehabilitation program to address the pavement needs based on condition.
- Development of planning level opinions of probable costs for pavement preservation and rehabilitation.

In September 2013, a PCI survey inspection was performed at Plant City Airport. The results of the inspection indicate that, based on ASTM D 5340-11, the airport's airfield pavement facilities had an overall area-weighted average PCI of 71, representing a Satisfactory overall network condition. Table I summarizes the overall condition summary by network level branch in comparison to the FDOT recommended minimum service level.

Branch Name	Area Weighted PCI	PCI Range	Average Condition Rating	FDOT Minimum Service Level	MicroPAVER Minimum PCI	Action Required
APRON	81	68 - 100	SATISFACTORY	60	65	
RUNWAY 10-28	60	51 - 61	FAIR	75	65	Х
TAXIWAY A	65	50 - 100	FAIR	65	65	Х
Taxiway to Hangar	100	100	GOOD	65	65	
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	61	43 - 75	FAIR	65	65	Х

#### Table I: Condition Summary by Branch

For project level planning and inspection development; the airfield pavement facilities have been divided at the branch level based on facility use and designation, and at the section level based on pavement construction history, composition (e.g. asphalt versus concrete), aircraft traffic operations, and pavement surface conditions. Table II provides the overall area weighted condition of the pavement based on facility branch use.

#### Table II: Condition Summary by Pavement Facility Use

Use	Average Area- Weighted PCI	Condition Rating		
Runway	60	FAIR		
Taxiway	71	SATISFACTORY		
Apron	81	SATISFACTORY		

Based on the inspection performed at the airport for this SAPMP update; the current conditions were determined using the collected PCI distress data. PCI values were computed and used to identify pavement facilities that were below the defined critical PCI as sections that would benefit from immediate major rehabilitation activity. These pavement sections that were determined to be below the critical PCI would most likely benefit from long-term major rehabilitative construction activity rather than localized, short-term maintenance and repairs.

The Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs, or projects that are recommended to be completed because the pavement is below the critical PCI, were developed on the assumption that there is an unlimited repair budget. These projects include:

- Runway 10-28 Sections 6103, 6115, 6120
  - Mill and overlay attributed to distresses associated with climate, age, and subgrade quality of pavement.
- T-Hangars Taxiway Sections 710, 720, 725
  - Mill and overlay attributed to distresses associated with climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A Sections 110, 115, 150, 160
  - Mill and overlay attributed to distresses associated with climate and age of pavement.

The section level projects that were identified as Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs are in Table III.

Branch ID	Section ID	Major Rehabilitation Costs	PCI Before M&R	Rehabilitation Activity	PCI After M&R
RW 10-28	6120	\$ 524,999.98	61	Mill and Overlay	100
RW 10-28	6115	\$ 2,287,957.39	61	Mill and Overlay	100
RW 10-28	6103	\$ 151,059.99	51	Mill and Overlay	100
TW T-HANG	725	\$ 234,066.19	62	Mill and Overlay	100
TW T-HANG	720	\$ 75,452.82	47	Mill and Overlay	100
TW T-HANG	710	\$ 83,032.92	42	Mill and Overlay	100
TW A	160	\$ 53,828.10	55	Mill and Overlay	100
TW A	150	\$ 49,349.33	49	Mill and Overlay	100
TW A	115	\$ 340,410.18	58	Mill and Overlay	100
TW A	110	\$ 1,252,943.44	62	Mill and Overlay	100
	Total =	\$5,053,100.34			

Table III <sup>.</sup> Year-1	Major Rehabilitation	Needs for Plant	City Airport
		inceus ior riant	City Airport

The SAPMP uses historic pavement condition data from the previous inspections to develop pavement performance models. These pavement performance models are used to create PCI prediction curves to estimate future pavement conditions based on the historic trends. The section areas, prediction curves, and current condition data were used to develop a 10-year major rehabilitation program. Major rehabilitation costs for each year of the 10-year program are based on general unit costs for pavement repairs and not detailed cost estimates that are typically prepared for a construction set of bid documents. Additionally, preventative maintenance level repair budgets were estimated for a 10-year duration. Table IV provides an annual summary of the 10-year Preventative Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation planning level cost opinions for the airfield pavement facilities at the airport. Refer to Section 6 of this report for additional information.

Year	Preventative		Preventative Major M&R		Total Year Cost	
2014	\$	73,101.08	\$	5,053,100.34	\$	5,126,201.42
2015	\$	78,036.60	\$	-	\$	78,036.60
2016	\$	94,423.90	\$	-	\$	94,423.90
2017	\$	89,708.81	\$	496,499.28	\$	586,208.09
2018	\$	124,687.95	\$	-	\$	124,687.95
2019	\$	132,975.65	\$	898,599.66	\$	1,031,575.32
2020	\$	178,100.79	\$	-	\$	178,100.79
2021	\$	204,695.41	\$	571,083.54	\$	775,778.94
2022	\$	257,954.86	\$	-	\$	257,954.86
2023	\$	298,898.14	\$	-	\$	298,898.14
Total		\$1,532,583.19		\$7,019,282.82	\$	8,551,866.01


Table IV: 10-Year Preventative Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation

The success of the repair program for your airport depends on the timely implementation of preservation, localized maintenance and repairs, and major rehabilitation work activities. If work is completed as scheduled, your airport will probably experience an improvement to the overall area-weighted average PCI. Though this analysis was performed with the assumption of an "unlimited budget", the purpose has been to identify specific projects over the course of 10-years for each pavement section where the condition is projected to fall below the critical PCI. The costs depicted in this study are intended to aid the airports in planning level budgets. Prior to construction work, it is recommended that the airport perform additional investigation at the design level to better estimate costs associated with the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation activity discussed.

# SHE OF FLORE

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The State of Florida has more than 100 public airports that are vital to the Florida economy as well as the economy of the United States. The aviation system in Florida allows the State to capitalize on an increasingly global marketplace. Florida's system of commercial service and general aviation airports are important to businesses throughout the entire State. Air travel is essential to tourism, Florida's number one industry.



There are millions of square feet of pavement infrastructure that consists of runways, taxiways, aprons, ramps, and other areas of airports that are vital to the support and safety of aircraft operations. Timely pavement maintenance repair and major rehabilitation of these pavements will support the airport in operating safely, efficiently, economically and without excessive down time.

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Aviation and Spaceport Office implemented the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) in 1992. In 2012, the FDOT Aviation and Spaceport Office selected a team led by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and including Peneul Consulting, LLC, Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, and All About Pavements, Inc., to provide services in support of the Aviation and Spaceport Office Program Manager. The continued evaluation and update of the existing SAPMP is to be completed over fiscal years 2013 and 2014.

This individual airport airfield pavement evaluation report discusses the work performed, a summary of findings, condition analysis results, and recommendations for maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning associated with the SAPMP update. It also briefly describes the procedures used to ensure that the appropriate engineering and scientific standards of care, quality, budget, schedules, and safety requirements were implemented during the performance of this work.

# 1.1 Purpose of Pavement Evaluation Report

The purpose of this Airfield Pavement Evaluation Report is to:

- Describe, briefly, the SAPMP goals, procedures, and responsibilities of the program's participants.
- Provide a brief technical explanation on pavement management principles, standard practices, objectives, and benefits of implementation.
- Outline procedures used to coordinate, collect, evaluate and report pavement inspection results at this airport.
- Analyze and utilize condition results for the development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation based on pavement performance trends.

# 1.2 FDOT Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program

In 1992, the FDOT implemented the SAPMP to improve the knowledge of pavement conditions at public airports in the Florida Airports System, identify maintenance and rehabilitation needs at each airport, automate pavement infrastructure information management, and establish standards to address future needs. The 1992 SAPMP implementation provided the FDOT and the participating airports valuable information for establishing and performing timely and appropriate pavement rehabilitation.

During the 1992-1993 implementations and again during the 1998-1999 updates; the SAPMP performed the development of proprietary software for pavement

management system analysis. This development allowed for the creation of pavement management database file system populated with airport attributes and condition data. The pavement management database was used to establish maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation (M&R) policies, M&R budget costs, and the development of recommendations for performing routine pavement preservation maintenance. This system, known as AIRPAV, was initially developed during the 1992-1993 SAPMP implementation for the analysis of distress data. The AIRPAV system was used again in the 1998-1999 SAPMP update.

In 2004, the SAPMP update included the review of the AIRPAV software compared to other industry available non-proprietary software packages. As a result of this review, MicroPAVER was selected for implementation of the system update. MicroPAVER was developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering Research Laboratory for the purpose of pavement management. Data from the 1998-1999 FDOT SAPMP update, which was built upon the initial 1992-1993 implementation of AIRPAV, was reviewed and converted to be compatible with the MicroPAVER system. This data conversion included all documented pavement facility, classification, type, history, geometry, PCI condition data and pertinent attributes gathered from airport feedback at the time. This information was used to develop the inventory of each participating airport's pavement facilities in a consistent format. This was the development of Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits. These inventory exhibits visually depicted the branch, section, and sample units that were based upon the pavement construction history and composition information provided by each airport.

In 2006-2008, the SAPMP was updated again with continued use of the MicroPAVER system. Based on the distress data collected, a maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning program was developed for each airport. As part of this SAPMP update, the procedures for the inspection and the collection of the pavement distress data were documented, and an interactive website (http://www.dot.state.fl.us/aviation/pavement.shtm) was established for input of data.

In 2010-2012, the SAPMP was updated using new GPS integrated technology to digitally collect pavement distress data. Interactive GIS map files were developed from updated Airfield Pavement Network Definition Maps to aid pavement condition inspectors in the collection of sample distress data. The data collected was utilized to develop pavement performance models to predict future pavement PCI values and make recommendations for major rehabilitation.

Currently, airports participating in the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Grant Program are required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to develop and implement a pavement maintenance program to be eligible for funding (FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements*). This program requires detailed inspection of airfield pavement conditions by trained personnel. The inspections are required to be performed at least once a year or every three years, if the pavement is inspected in accordance to the PCI survey procedure (such as ASTM International D 5340 *Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys*). The previous 2010-2012 SAPMP update utilized the ASTM D 5340-04 released in 2004, in lieu of the 2010/2011 edition, in order to maintain consistent database integrity and benefit of pavement performance models from previous inspections.

# 1.3 Organization

#### FDOT Aviation and Spaceport Office Program Manager

The FDOT Central Office Airport Engineering Manager serves as the Aviation Office Program Manager (AO-PM) for the SAPMP. The AO-PM monitors the work performed by the Consultant. The AO-PM has review and approval authority for each program task and manages the day-to-day details of the SAPMP and the pertinent updates.

The AO-PM reports updates and milestones to the FDOT State Aviation Manager and Aviation Development Administrator.

#### Consultant

The Consultant, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and their team consisting of Peneul Consulting, LLC, Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, and All About Pavements, Inc. provide technical and administrative assistance to the AO-PM during the execution of the update to the SAPMP. The efforts include updating the airport pavement inventory data, performing the condition survey inspections, evaluating the airfield pavement conditions and updating the SAPMP based upon procedures outlined in the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements and ASTM D 5340.

#### Airport Role

The airports are the ultimate client for each condition survey inspection performed at their respective airfields as part of the SAPMP. The individual airports will be provided final deliverables prepared by the Consultant that have been reviewed and approved by the AO-PM. The airport should provide a current Airport Layout Plan (ALP) to the Consultant and, if they participated in the previous SAPMP, indicate any construction activity that has been performed since the previous inspections.

#### FDOT District Offices

The seven FDOT District Offices, specifically the Aviation Representatives, provide vital support to the SAPMP update and the AO-PM. Each District supports the SAPMP's on-going efforts of provided representative construction trend costs and practices through the Florida Airports System. Each District Office receives copies of individual Airfield Pavement Evaluation Reports for the airport facilities located within their respective districts.

#### 1.4 Introduction to Pavement Types and Pavement Management

#### **Pavement Basics**

A pavement is a prepared surface designed to provide a continuous smooth ride at all taxi, takeoff, and landing speeds and to support an estimated amount of traffic loading for a certain number of years. Pavements are composed of a combination of constructed layers of subgrade soils, subbases, base course material, and surface level courses. There are mainly two types of pavements:

- Flexible Pavement, a composition of bituminous asphalt concrete (AC) surface, base, and subbase layers.
- Rigid Pavement, a composition of Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) surface, base, and subbase layers.

Both pavement types use a combination of layered materials and thicknesses in order to support the traffic loads (both magnitude and repeated application) and protect the underlying subgrade soil. Flexible pavements dissipate applied loads from layer to layer until the load magnitude is small enough to be supported by the subgrade soil. In rigid pavements, the PCC layer supports the majority of the structural load applied, and the base or subbase layer is constructed to provide a smooth, level, and continuous platform that provides uniform support for PCC slabs. A small percentage of airfield pavements within the Florida Airports System are composed of hybrid 'composite pavement' sections that may include both AC pavement and PCC pavement. The two known composite pavements are AC surface over PCC (APC) and PCC over AC (White Topping).


Due to the different nature of the pavement types, construction, and their materials; flexible and rigid pavements have different modes of failure and fatigue. This results in varying deterioration and distress development. Understanding the mechanics and modes of failure of the pavement types will assist the engineers in making timely, adequate, consistent, and economical maintenance repairs and major rehabilitation to the pavement structures at each airfield.

#### The Concept of an Airfield Pavement Management System

The SAPMP is a program that provides the Florida Airports System an opportunity to implement and/or maintain a proactive Airfield Pavement Management System (APMS) in a consistent manner at a regular schedule. The SAPMP Airfield Pavement Management System consists of pavement inventory, pavement construction and history, condition survey inspections, pavement performance modeling, maintenance recommendations, and major rehabilitation planning. The various elements of the APMS are used by experienced engineers to identify critical pavement preservation pavements, make or rehabilitation recommendations, and approximate pavement performance. The APMS as a whole is used by an airport's stakeholders, managing agencies, engineers, and planners as a tool in decision making for future project planning, budgeting, and scheduling of activities for its airfield pavement infrastructure.

A benefit of an active APMS is it provides an understanding of an airport's pavement performance trends for the purpose of project planning. Based on the performance trend of their pavements, an airport can schedule pavement maintenance and rehabilitation prior to when the pavement section has deteriorated to a condition that would require reconstruction. The use of pavement performance trends will help airports plan M&R and Rehabilitation projects in a manner and sequence that maximizes benefit and minimizes costs. Figure 1-1, which is based upon the FAA Advisory Circular 150 5380-7A Airport *Pavement Management Program*, illustrates how pavement generally deteriorates over time and the relative cost of rehabilitation and reconstruction throughout its life.

#### Figure 1-1: Pavement Life Cycle



Source: FAA Advisory Circular 150 5380-7A Airport Pavement Management Program

Note that during approximately the first 75% of a pavement's life, it performs relatively well. After that, however, it begins to deteriorate rapidly. The number of years a pavement stays in 'Good' and 'Satisfactory' conditions depends on how well it is proactively maintained. As the Figure 1-1 demonstrates, the cost of maintaining the pavement above critical condition before rapid deterioration occurs is much less compared to maintaining pavements after substantial deterioration has occurred.

Pavements tend to deteriorate at an accelerated rate when actual traffic loading exceeds the original design assumptions and when limited resources are available for maintenance and repair (M&R) efforts. Planned maintenance and rehabilitation, essentially preserving pavements and delaying condition deterioration, help airport (managers, agencies, and engineers) maximize the use of their budgets and prolong the life of their pavements. An APMS provides a tool to schedule planned maintenance and major rehabilitation efforts based on a consistent methodology of condition assessment. This consistent methodology of pavement condition assessment allows for the development of pavement performance models to help forecast future pavement conditions.

Part of the implementation of the APMS is the clear identification and inventorying of pavement infrastructure that needs to be managed specifically within the airport (owner, manager, and agencies) responsibility. Another aspect of the APMS is development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation policies that align with the expectations of pavement performance and are based on ability to fund the types of work identified. Once there is an understanding of the cause and extent of pavement distresses, appropriate maintenance and rehabilitation can be planned. By using representative construction costs based on historic bid trends; planning level budget costs can be developed on a multiyear duration.

#### Airfield Pavement Inspection Methodology for the SAPMP

Pavement condition assessment requires the application of professional judgments regarding the condition of the pavement. The SAPMP airfield pavement condition survey inspections assess pavement, comparing it to a set of standards in ASTM D 5340-11. As part of this update, SAPMP has adopted the changes made in updates to ASTM D 5340-11. These include the separation of Weathering and Raveling into two distinct flexible pavement distresses, and the addition of the Alkali-Silica Reactivity distress for rigid pavement distresses. The change in distress classification, as described in ASTM D 5340-11, may result in small variances in the PCI values from the previous inspection analysis.

The pavement condition surveys assess the functional condition of the pavement surface based on surface distresses as defined by the ASTM D 5340-11. Typically, deficiencies within a pavement structure will eventually reflect to the pavement surface as distresses described within ASTM D 5340-11. The SAPMP is specifically a visual evaluation and analysis based on the ASTM D 5340-11. The structural condition and relative support of the pavement layers can be directly quantified using non-destructive deflection testing (NDT) as well as other indepth engineering evaluation or sampling and testing methods.

For the SAPMP update, only visual surveys were performed. Further structural and geotechnical testing should be conducted to determine design level rehabilitation and/or reconstruction needs should the airport proceed to the design process.

In preparation for the PCI survey inspections, the airfield pavements for each airport are divided into branches, sections, and sample units as established by FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B and ASTM D 5340. Further discussion of the process of inventorying and categorizing pavement facilities by use,

composition, and history can be found in SECTION 2 AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION and PAVEMENT INVENTORY.


Sample units are uniformly divided areas of pavement that are defined for inspection. Sample unit sizes are approximately  $5,000 \pm 2,000$  square feet for flexible AC pavements and  $20 \pm 8$  slabs for rigid PCC pavements. Prior to conducting the field condition survey inspections, the sampling plan was developed for the airfield pavements based on updates to the previous inspection sampling based on the available knowledge of construction updates. The sample rate adopted for the SAPMP is depicted on Table 1-1.

	xible Paveme sphalt Concre			Rigid Pavements Portland Cement Concrete			
	Number of Sample Units to Inspect				Number of Sample Units to Inspect		
Number of Sample Units in Section	Runway	Taxiways, Aprons, Others		Number of Sample Units in Section	Runway	Taxiways, Aprons, Others	
1 - 4	1	1		1 - 3	1	1	
5 - 10	2			4 - 6	2	1	
11 - 15	3			7 - 10	3	2	
16 - 30	5	3		11 - 15	4	2	
31 - 40	7	4		16 - 20	5	3	
41 - 50	8	5		21 - 30	7	3	
				31 - 40	8	4	
≥ 51	20% but ≤	10% but ≤ 10		41 - 50	10	5	
≥ 51	20	10% but \$ 10		≥ 51	20% but ≤ 20	10% but ≤ 10	


#### Table 1-1: Sampling Rate Schedule for SAPMP PCI Survey Inspections

The sample units to be inspected were determined through a systematic random sampling technique to provide an unbiased representation of sample units for each pavement facility. The sample unit locations had been determined in such a way that they are distributed evenly throughout each defined pavement section area. In certain cases when no representative distresses are observed in the field, additional sample units were added.

The distress quantities and severity levels from each inspected sample unit are used to compute the PCI value and rating for each Section using the ASTM D 5340-11 and MicroPAVER software. Figures 1-2 and 1-3 depict graphical representations of the color ranges associated with PCI values and ranges with a photograph of airfield pavement that exhibited the conditions for both flexible and rigid pavements respectively.



#### Figure 1-2: Flexible Pavement, Asphalt Concrete



Using the ASTM D 5340-11 standard seven qualitative ranges, the SAPMP provides a PCI value and a standard qualitative condition rating for the pavement facilities inspected.



# 2. AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION AND PAVEMENT INVENTORY

Plant City Airport (PCM) contains one runway, Runway 10-28, which is 75-ft wide by 3,948-ft long. Runway 10-28 is served by parallel Taxiway A and multiple taxiway connectors. The Airport has hangar and apron facilities on the north side of the property. The Airport runways, taxiways and aprons are constructed of asphalt concrete pavement, with the exception of one apron section constructed of Portland cement concrete.

It is important to note that the aforementioned runway data in addition to the remaining airfield pavement facilities geometric attributes may vary slightly from the geometry used in the condition exhibit in Appendix B and the major rehabilitation exhibit in Appendix F based on field measurements.

Plant City Airport was founded in 1948 to ship strawberries. The majority of Airport operations at PCM consist of general aviation aircraft, with a small portion of air taxi. This Airport is designated as a General Aviation airport and is located in District 7 of the Florida Department of Transportation.

### 2.1 Network Definition

The airfield pavements within each airport network are separated into manageable units within the FDOT SAPMP MicroPAVER database system, organizing pavement data by similar use and constructive history.

#### Branch and Section Identification

Each airport's airfield pavement network is generally subdivided into separate Branches (runways, taxiways, aprons/ramps, or others) that have distinctly different functional identifications and uses. Each Branch is further subdivided into Sections as defined by pavement location, composition, and construction history. A Section is typically understood to be a project level subdivision within a Branch feature. Sections are manageable units to organize data collection and are treated individually during the maintenance and major rehabilitation planning process. A pavement rank (primary, secondary, or tertiary) is assigned to each Section based on its importance and type of use to airport operations. The pavement rankings designated for each section at this airport were defined by the previous SAPMP, unless changes were communicated by the airport. These Sections are further subdivided into condition survey sample units based on the methodology described in ASTM D 5340.

#### Airfield Pavement System Inventory and Network Definition Update

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory and Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits are developed individually for each participating airport. Based on information requested of and provided by the airport, the airfield pavements are evaluated on designation updates, and recent or anticipated pavement construction activity. As mentioned previously, a Section is defined partially by its construction history; this variable that factored in the performance and condition of the pavement section.

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit, Figure A-2 in Appendix A, is a snapshot of recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction activity communicated by the airport since the last SAPMP update. Construction identified include activities maintenance and repair activity, major rehabilitation, and airfield pavement expansion efforts. Maintenance and repair activity may include; surface treatments, crack sealing, patching, slab replacement, and others. Both maintenance and rehabilitation activities are identified at the pavement section level. This type of work may result in an increase in overall Section PCI since the last inspection. Major rehabilitation efforts may include; asphalt milling and overlay, and full depth pavement reconstruction. This type of effort will result in a resetting of the pavement section PCI value to 100 due to the nature of the work. Lastly, airfield pavement expansions are accounted for as new inventory and assigned a section PCI of 100. Typically the new pavement sections are not inspected due to its condition; however these pavements are incorporated into the SAPMP pavement database. When possible, these changes are reflected in the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit, in Appendix A, prior to the field inspection. The updates are typically discussed and confirmed with airport personnel at the beginning and end of condition survey inspections to ensure accuracy.

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit depicts the airport's pavement limits with Branch and Section delineations. This exhibit also includes the subdivision on Section areas into sample units and is used to identify those sample units that are to be inspected. The previous SAPMP Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits were used as a base. Updates and information provided by each airport was reviewed and the exhibits were revised appropriately. Characteristics that are considered include; airfield configuration, branch designations (magnetic declination, Airport Layout Plan updates) and pavement composition. The exhibit serves not only as a primary guide for the airfield inspectors but also allows specific distresses found in the re-inspection report to be geographically located.

Due to recent and anticipated construction efforts; pavement area sections may have been consolidated and created which will affect the total number of sample units to be inspected based upon the methods described in ASTM D 5340 and from the sampling rate schedule. Table 2-1 summarizes the recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction efforts communicated by the airport.

Construction Year	Section Location	Work Type/Pavement Section
2010 EAST APRON AND TAXILANES		SURFACE SEAL
2011	taxiway to Hangar	NEW CONSTRUCTION
2013	WEST APRON	FULL RECONSTRUCTION, 2-IN BITUMINOUS SURFACE COURSE FL-334-1A, 6-IN CRUSHED AGG BASE P-209-1A, 12-IN P-152 COMPACTED SUBGRADE
2013	taxiway a	2-IN MILL AND 2-IN OVERLAY

#### Table 2-1: Recent and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction

#### Airfield Pavement Network Definition & Geographic Information System (GIS)

As part of this SAPMP update, geographic information system (GIS), global positioning system (GPS), and digital data collection were integrated into the Pavement Inspection Methodology at each airport. Using AutoCAD Civil 3D, ArcMap, ArcPad, and FDOT Survey and Mapping Office Aerial Photography; digital navigation maps have been developed for each airport to represent the SAPMP pavement inventory attributes. These navigation maps were used with field data tablets to assist survey teams as they performed condition inspections by navigating pavement infrastructure and collecting distress data.

#### 2.2 Pavement Inventory

The detailed pavement inventory database was updated to reflect the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit, in Appendix A, updates and field inspection results. Table 2-2 and Figure 2-1 provides a summary of the pavement inventory attributes at Plant City Airport-(PCM) for this SAPMP update.

Table 2-2: Pavement Inventory Summary						
Airfield Pavement Network Definition						
Number of Branches		5				
Number of Sections		23				
Sample Units		51				
Airfield	Pavement l	Jse				
AreaUse(SF)Relative Area (%)						
Runway	296,402	33%				
Taxiway	296,714	33%				
Apron	313,605	35%				
Total =	906,721	100%				
Airfield F	Pavement T	уре				
Туре	Area (SF)	Relative Area (%)				
Asphalt Concrete (AC)	366,106	40%				
Asphalt Overlay (AAC)	538,115	59%				
Portland Cement Concrete (PCC)	2,500	1%				
AC over PCC (APC)	0	0%				

# Table 2-2: Pavement Inventory Summary




Figure 2-1: Airfield Pavement Type

Specific details to each Branch and Section such as; name, geometry, age, rank, surface type, and construction history are provided in Table 2-3.

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	True Area (SF)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6120	52,500	Р	AC	1/1/2002	3	14
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6115	228,796	Р	AAC	1/1/1983	13	61
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6103	15,106	Р	AAC	1/1/2002	2	4
APRON	AP	4140	2,500	Р	PCC	1/1/2010	1	1
APRON	AP	4135	29,575	Р	AC	1/1/2008	1	5
APRON	AP	4130	77,514	Р	AC	1/1/1986	3	15
APRON	AP	4120	46,434	Р	AAC	1/1/1992	1	9
APRON	AP	4110	45,437	Р	AAC	1/1/1992	2	11
APRON	AP	4105	112,145	Р	AC	3/1/2013	3	24
Taxiway to Hangar	TW HANG	750	53,871	Р	AC	1/1/2011	2	13
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	725	23,407	Ρ	AAC	1/1/1997	2	5
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	720	6,460	Р	AC	1/1/1986	1	2

#### Table 2-3: Airfield Pavement Inventory Details

	STATE OF FLORIDS	
n		
11		
	THAT OF TRANSPO	

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	True Area (SF)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	710	5,895	Р	AAC	1/1/1986	1	2
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	705	13,043	Ρ	AAC	1/1/1992	1	4
TAXIWAY A	TW A	175	3,136	Р	AAC	1/1/2001	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	174	4,273	Р	AAC	8/1/2013	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	170	4,870	Р	AAC	8/1/2013	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	165	6,228	Р	AAC	3/1/2013	1	2
TAXIWAY A	TW A	160	5,383	Р	AAC	1/1/2001	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	150	4,773	Р	AAC	1/1/2001	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	120	6,040	Р	AAC	8/1/2013	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	115	34,041	Р	AC	1/1/2001	2	8
TAXIWAY A	TW A	110	125,294	Р	AAC	1/1/2001	6	32

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER. \* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey.

# 3. AIRFIELD PAVEMENT CONDITION

Airfield pavement distresses and condition were surveyed in accordance with the methods outlined in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B and ASTM D 5340-11. These procedures define distress type, severity, and quantity for sampling areas within each defined pavement section area to analyze and determine the PCI value and condition rating.

The program has been updated from ASTM D 5340-04, released in 2004, to ASTM D 5340-11, released in 2011, for this SAPMP update. The primary updates include the separation of certain distress types and the addition of new types with corresponding changes to PCI calculation. These changes in distress classification may result in small variances in the PCI values from the previous inspection analyses.

#### 3.1 Inspection Methodology

A pavement condition survey inspection is performed by measuring the amount and severity of defined pavement distresses observed within the boundaries of sample units. These distresses, as defined by ASTM D 5340, are generally caused by traffic fatigue loading, exposure to climate and elements, and other airfield specific factors. This data is collected by field personnel experienced in pavement condition survey inspection. Data collection is then transferred into the FDOT MicroPAVER database system. MicroPAVER is used to calculate PCI values using the methodology described in ASTM D 5340-11. The values are calculated for each sample and extrapolated on a Section level to determine an area-weighted PCI value ranging from 0 to 100 and one of seven condition ratings. Tables 3-1 and 3-2 describe the distresses as defined by the ASTM D 5340-11 and adopted for the SAPMP procedures.

Code	Distress	Primary Mechanisms
41	Alligator Cracking	Load / Fatigue Failure
42	Bleeding	Construction Quality/ Mix Design
43	Block Cracking	Climate / Age
44	Corrugation	Load / Construction Quality
45	Depression	Subgrade Quality
46	Jet Blast	Aircraft
47	Joint Reflection - Cracking	Climate / Prior Pavement
48	Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking	Climate / Age
49	Oil Spillage	Aircraft / Vehicle
50	Patching	Utility / Pavement Repair
51	Polished Aggregate	Repeated Traffic Loading
52	Raveling	Climate / Load
53	Rutting	Repeated Traffic Loading
54	Shoving	PCC Pavement Growth / Movement
55	Slippage Cracking	Load / Pavement Bond
56	Swelling	Climate / Subgrade Quality
57	Weathering	Climate

#### Table 3-1: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Asphalt Concrete

Source: U.S. Army CERL, FDOT Airfield Inspection Reference Manual

Code	Distress	Primary Mechanisms	
61	Blow-up	Climate / Alkali Silica Reaction	
62	Corner Break	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses	
63	Linear Cracking	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses / Shrinkage Stresses	
64	Durability Cracking	Freeze-Thaw Cycling	
65	Joint Seal Damage	Material Deterioration / Construction Quality	
66	Small Patch	Pavement Repair	
67	Large Patch/Utility Cut	Utility / Pavement Repair	
68	Popout	Freeze-Thaw Cycling	
69	Pumping	Load Repetition / Poor Joint Sealant	
70	Scaling/Crazing	Construction Quality / Freeze- Thaw Cycling	
71	Faulting	Load Repetition / Subgrade Quality	
72	Shattered Slab	Overloading	
73	Shrinkage Cracking	Construction Quality / Load	
74	Joint Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material	
75	Corner Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material	
76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	Construction Quality / Climate	

Table 3-2 <sup>.</sup> Airfield	Pavement	Distresses fo	or Portland	Cement Concrete
	I uvenient i		J I OITIGITG	

Source: U.S. Army CERL, FDOT Airfield Inspection Reference Manual

# 3.2 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Results

From the condition survey inspection performed in 2013 at Plant City Airport, the overall weighted average PCI value is 71 representing a condition rating of Satisfactory.

The Airport exhibited overall pavement distresses primarily associated with climate and age. Asphalt Concrete pavement distresses include block cracking, weathering, raveling, longitudinal/transverse cracking, patching and swelling. The Portland cement concrete section exhibited only shrinkage cracking distress.

The pavement on Runway 10-28 exhibited low and medium severity longitudinal/transverse cracking, low and medium severity raveling and low

severity weathering and swelling. These distresses are mostly attributed to the climate and age of the pavement. A significant amount of crack sealing reduced the severity of some medium severity cracking recorded last inspection to low severity this time.

severity Taxiway Alpha pavements exhibited low and medium longitudinal/transverse cracking, low and medium severity raveling, and low severity patching, weathering and swelling. These are primarily climate and age related distresses. Similar to the runway, a significant amount of crack sealing reduced the severity of some medium severity cracking recorded last inspection to low severity this time. In August of 2013, the main ramp connector intersection with taxiway Alpha underwent a mill and overlay rehabilitation. This pavement was not inspected based on its recent construction but has been incorporated in the network definition map.

A large portion of the apron pavement was rehabilitated in early 2013. This pavement was not inspected based on its recent construction but has been incorporated in the network definition map. The remaining portions of the apron pavement that were inspected exhibited age and climate based distresses. These included low and medium severity longitudinal/transverse cracking along with low severity weathering, raveling, patching, depression, and block cracking.

Appendix B contains Table B-1 and an Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit, Figure B-1, which depicts the PCI results by Section, and Appendix C contains MicroPAVER reports of PCI results by Branch and Section. Appendix H includes detailed distress data generated by MicroPAVER for each inspected sample unit.

The pavement condition at Plant City Airport is represented in Figure 3-1 in accordance with the condition categories and PCI scale referenced in ASTM D 5340. Further detail is provided in Table 3-3 which describes the breakdown of the airport's airfield conditions according to area and use.

Appendix B contains Table B-1 summarizes the Section Condition values and the Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit, Figure B-1, that depicts the PCI results by Section. Appendix H is dedicated to the reporting of the specific airfield pavement distress data collected at the time of the inspection for this update.





Figure 3-1: Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary


Table 3-3. Pavement Condition index rating summary						
Airfield Pavement Use						
Use	Average Area- Weighted PCI	Condition Rating				
Runway	60	FAIR				
Taxiway	71	SATISFACTORY				
Apron	81	SATISFACTORY				
	Condition Area					
Condition Rating	Area (SF)	Relative Area (%)				
Good	193,063	21%				
Satisfactory	89,053	10%				
Fair	586,988	65%				
Poor	37,617	4%				
Very Poor	-	0%				
Serious	-	0%				
Failed	-	0%				


# Table 3-3: Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary

Approximately 31% of the airfield network is in Good and Satisfactory condition; while 4% of the network is in a Poor to Serious condition. Table 3-3 provides a breakdown of total area for each pavement by condition rating. Figures 3.2 a, b, c depict the condition rating of the airfield pavement by Branch Use. Photographs taken during the condition survey inspection are included in Appendix G. The photographs included are intended to be representative of the distress observed.











# 4. PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE

Pavement performance models are developed from the distress data collected for the SAPMP for the Florida Airports System. This data is consolidated in a database and organized by inspection date, pavement type, age, pavement use, and airport category. The pavement performance models are used to develop broad prediction models, also known as pavement condition deterioration curves.

The consolidation of the Florida Airports System's pavement infrastructure within the FDOT SAPMP is based on data that have been collected in a consistent method of measurement. The historic pavement condition, or performance trend, has been compiled throughout the system with data from the inception of the SAPMP. This data is processed into models that have been analyzed and developed into prediction curves based upon pavement characteristics. These characteristics include; climate, construction material, and operations. Each model has been developed based on the following criteria:


AIRPORT TYPE (Primary, Regional Reliever, or General Aviation)

>FACILITY USE (Runway, Taxiway, or Apron)

>>FACILITY SURFACE TYPE (AC, AAC, APC, or PCC)


The historic trends of pavement performance at Florida airport facilities for all performance models are consolidated within the program database. This information is utilized in the prediction of pavement performance based on the current PCI determined from the inspections that took place between 2013 and 2014. Major rehabilitation is planned based on the predicted PCI. The intent of this is for both the individual airport and the FDOT District personnel to be aware of anticipated major rehabilitation work based on condition.

Each airport's airfield pavement section condition, for a given inspection year, is one data point that was used as the basis of each performance trend using a performance model based on pavements of similar background. Figures 4-1, 4-2, and 4-3 represent the pavement performance prediction at Plant City Airport based on pavement use. Each figure depicts the FDOT recommended Minimum Service Level PCI value for each pavement type.



#### Figure 4-1: Runway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary

#### Figure 4-2: Taxiway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary






Figure 4-3: Apron Pavement Performance Prediction Summary

Pavement performance modeling to predict the future PCI is primarily done to predict PCI at the Section level for the purpose of planning Major Rehabilitation work. In Appendix D, Table D-1 represents the predicted area-weighted PCI by Section for the airport's airfield pavement infrastructure.

#### 5. AIRFIELD PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND COSTS

#### 5.1 Policies


Airfield Pavement Maintenance policies are guidance on pavement construction methods used to develop, maintain, repair, and rehabilitate pavement infrastructure based on distresses encountered during the condition surveys.

Maintenance refers to the repair and preservation-type activities that are applied locally to specific distress types on the pavement. These activities for the SAPMP are considered preventative and corrective in nature and are highly recommended to help improve pavement performance and extend pavement life. The SAPMP maintenance policies are based on the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B and guidance provided in the FDOT Airfield Pavement Repair Manual.

For the purpose of the SAPMP; the maintenance repair needs that are identified and quantified are based solely on the pavement distresses observed and recorded at the time of the inspection. Based on a specific distress type and severity observed, a particular repair work type is recommended and quantified based on the extrapolated section distresses. The repair program identified is specific to the current distresses. Future maintenance planning budgets are based on this initial determination. Tables 5-1 and 5-2 provide the list of maintenance activities incorporated into the SAPMP MicroPAVER database to treat specific distress types and severities.

Surface Type	Distress Code	Distress Name	Severity	Maintenance Work Type	Work Unit
	41	Alligator Cracking	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	42	Bleeding	N/A	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	43	Block Cracking	L	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	43	Block Cracking	M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	44	Corrugation	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	45	Depression	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	46	Jet Blast Erosion	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	47	Joint Reflection Cracking	L	Crack Sealing	Linear Feet
D)	47	Joint Reflection Cracking	M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
ncret( C)	48	Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking	L, M, H	Crack Sealing	Linear Feet
ole Asphalt Cono (AC, AAC, APC)	49	Oil Spillage	L, M	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
Aspha C, AA(	49	Oil Spillage	Н	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
Flexible Asphalt Concrete (AC, AAC, APC)	50	Patch and Utility Patching	М	Crack Sealing	Linear Feet
E E	50	Patch and Utility Patching	Н	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	51	Polished Aggregate	L, M, H	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	52	Raveling	L, M	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	52	Raveling	Н	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	53	Rutting	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	54	Shoving	L, M, H	Grinding / Removal	Square Feet
	55	Slippage Cracking	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	56	Swelling	M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	57	Weathering	M, H	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet

### Table 5-1: Recommended AC, AAC, and APC Maintenance and Repair Policy



#### Table 5-2: Recommended PCC Maintenance and Repair Policy

Surface Type	Distress Code	Distress Name	Severity	Maintenance Work Type	Work Unit
	73	Shrinkage Cracks	N/A	Crack Sealing - PCC	Linear Feet
	74	Longitudinal/Transverse Joint Spalling	L, M, H	Partial Patch - PCC	Square Feet
	75	Corner Spalling	L, M, H	Partial Patch - PCC	Square Feet
	76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	L	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	М	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	Square Feet
	76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	Н	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet

Though proactive pavement maintenance and preservation is highly recommended in an APMS; it is recognized that pavement that has deteriorated below a certain PCI will require a major rehabilitation rather than localized maintenance and repair work. Major rehabilitation is recommended when the pavement condition decreases below a critical point such that the deterioration is extensive or the rate of deterioration is so great that maintenance repair efforts are no longer cost-efficient. This critical point is called "Critical PCI". The critical PCI levels for different pavement and branch types were established by the FDOT and were used in this update to develop a maintenance and major rehabilitation plan for the airport. Sections that are above the "Critical PCI" levels will be recommended for maintenance, repair, and preservation treatments, assuming there are no significant load-related distresses. For those Sections below the Critical PCI, the recommended action will consist of major rehabilitation work. This approach is used for the current Section's PCI value and the predicted PCI value for future rehabilitation.

The FDOT has recommended minimum service level PCI for airports based on pavement facility use, airport type, and expected loading frequency. This minimum service level PCI is recommended to ensure the pavement provides a safe operational surface and efficiently uses maintenance and rehabilitation budgets. Separately, the Critical PCI is a value based on historic pavement performance trends and costs. It is at a PCI value of 65, for most airports, at which major rehabilitation is recommended over maintenance level efforts. Table 5-3 identifies the FDOT recommended PCI by use and the critical PCI value for the most important pavements at the airport. This is due to the condition of the pavement and the cost effectiveness of the work. A very important concept of a good pavement management system is the proactive preservation of pavements that are above Critical PCI condition. Conversely, allowing pavement to deteriorate beyond maintenance and performing "worst first" major rehabilitation may cost much more over the life of a pavement.

Use	FDOT Recommended PCI	Critical PCI
Runway	75	65
Taxiway	65	65
Apron	60	65

Table 5-3: Critical and Minimum Service Level PCI for General Aviation Airports

Based on historic trends of pavement performance and industry standard practices in pavement maintenance and rehabilitation, the SAPMP included general guidance on construction activity based on condition PCI, as shown on Table 5-4. It is recommended that further investigation of underlying pavement conditions is performed at the design phase.

Table 5-4: Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation Activity Based on PCI

Category	Activity	PCI Range
	<ul> <li>Crack Sealing (AC/PCC)</li> </ul>	
Maintenance	<ul> <li>Partial Depth Patching (AC)</li> </ul>	75 00
Maintenance	<ul> <li>Full Depth Patching (AC/PCC)</li> </ul>	75 - 90
	<ul> <li>Surface Treatment (AC)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Mill and Overlay (AC)</li> </ul>	
Rehabilitation	<ul> <li>Concrete Pavement Restoration (PCC)</li> </ul>	40 - 74
	<ul> <li>Full Depth Pavement Reconstruction</li> </ul>	0 - 39

The PCI standard scale ranges from a value of 0, typically representing a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100 which typically represents a pavement in new or good condition. Generally, airfield pavement sections with



a PCI of 75 or higher that are not exhibiting distresses due to aircraft loading will benefit from maintenance activities such as crack sealing, patching, and surface treatments. Pavement sections with PCI values within the range of 40 to 74 may require major rehabilitation, such as a mill and overlay. Lastly, pavement sections with a PCI value of 40 or less are recommended to undergo pavement reconstruction. Generally pavement reconstruction is the only practical means of restoration due to the substantial distresses observed in the pavement structure. Since PCI values are based solely on the visual determination of pavement distresses and deterioration, this method does not provide a direct measure of structural integrity.

#### 5.2 Unit Costs

The FDOT SAPMP developed and updated the maintenance and major rehabilitation costs based on public cost databases for airport and highway pavement construction. Additionally, cost data collected from FDOT and FAA sponsored projects in the Florida Airports System were utilized to identify construction cost trends across the state.

The maintenance, repair, and preservation activity costs have been updated and developed using readily available construction cost data at the time of this update. The costs depicted in this report for both maintenance and major rehabilitation are intended for planning purposes.

#### 5.3 Maintenance, Repair, and Major Rehabilitation

FDOT recognizes that although pavement mill and overlay is recommended for flexible asphalt concrete pavement within a PCI range from 40 to 74, it is conceivable that airports may not have adequate funding to perform this type of major rehabilitation. A comprehensive surface treatment; such as GSB-88 and Microsurfacing, as a maintenance rehabilitation activity, can be used in lieu of asphalt concrete pavement mill and overlay. However, it should be understood that these measures provide only a short term extension of pavement life. While the cost of surface treatments are significantly lower than that of pavement mill and overlay, it is not intended or implied to be a full rehabilitative measure for long term benefit. Table 5-5 and Table 5-6 provide budget costs associated with the work types shown in the table.

Surface Type	Maintenance Work Type	Cost	Work Unit
	Full Depth Pavement Patch	\$5.00	Square Feet
ncrete C)	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	\$3.00	Square Feet
alt Co C, AP(	Seal Coat Treatment	\$0.55	Square Feet
e Asph C, AA	Crack Sealing	\$2.75	Linear Feet
Flexible Asphalt Concrete (AC, AAC, APC)	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	\$0.55	Square Feet
	Grinding / Removal	\$2.10	Square Feet

#### Table 5-5: AC Maintenance Unit Costs

#### Table 5-6: PCC Maintenance Unit Costs

Surface Type	Maintenance Work Type	Cost	Work Unit
	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	\$45.00	Square Feet
	Partial Patch - PCC	\$19.10	Square Feet
nent	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$4.25	Linear Feet
Rigid Pavement (PCC)	Joint Seal Repair (Local)	\$3.00	Linear Feet
Rigid	Slab Stabilization / Slab Jacking	\$45.00	Square Feet
	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	\$1.00	Square Feet
	Seal Coat Treatment	\$1.00	Square Feet

As part of the SAPMP update, the distress data observed at each airport during the inspection is extrapolated on a section basis to make maintenance recommendations. These recommendations are a direct result of the distress types, severities, and quantities observed at the time of inspection. The maintenance recommendations and planning costs are correlated with the airport's airfield pavement network's overall area weighted PCI and used to plan future maintenance costs. Future maintenance costs are planning budgets that are not specific to a pavement section, but are estimates for the entire airfield. Table 5-7 provides budget costs associated with the rehabilitation activities.

Category	Activity	PCI Range	Cost/SqFt
	<ul> <li>Mill and Overlay (AC)</li> </ul>		\$8.00
Rehabilitation	<ul> <li>Concrete Pavement Restoration (PCC)</li> </ul>	40 - 74	\$10.00
	Full Depth Pavement Reconstruction	0 - 39	\$15.00

# Table 5-7: Rehabilitation Activities and Unit Costs by Condition for GeneralAviation Airports

A cost scale has been developed based on PCI to develop planning level budgets for the airfield pavements. The cost scale is adjusted by project year based on an assumed inflation rate of 3%. In Appendix E, Table E-1 summarizes the Year-1 maintenance and repair recommendations based on the most recent inspection. The summary in Table E-1 does not take into account any rehabilitation activities, but rather summarizes preventative activities for all PCI ranges, including below critical PCI sections.

#### 6. MAJOR PAVEMENT REHABILITATION NEEDS

As part of the SAPMP, major pavement rehabilitation planning is developed based on current and predicted PCI in comparison with the Critical PCI. The Critical PCI has been determined based on the historic trends of pavement condition relative to the benefit of maintenance and repair activities. Pavement sections determined to have a PCI less than that of the Critical PCI are assumed to have deteriorated to a point at which maintenance and repair level activity would provide little benefit.

The objective of the major pavement rehabilitation needs analysis is to provide planning level projects within an airport's airfield pavement network. Major rehabilitation activities are recommended when a pavement section has deteriorated below the Critical PCI value from a functionality perspective. In addition, major rehabilitation is also recommended when the Section PCI is above the Critical PCI but the Section has load-related PCI distresses. However, most major rehabilitation work is recommended when the Section PCI is below the Critical PCI, which is when maintenance and repair level activities are not considered to be cost effective.


Major rehabilitation is identified within the SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or "resetting" of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Such activities could include; mill and hot-mix asphalt overlay and re-construction. This analysis was conducted with no constraints to budgets as a means to identify all pavement projects based on Critical PCI for a 10-year duration. It is recommended that the airport use this as a planning tool for future project development and prioritization. Table 6-1 depicts the major rehabilitation work identified on the pavement section level based on current and predicted pavement PCI.

Year	Branch ID	Section ID	Μ	ajor M&R Costs*	PCI Before M&R	M&R Activity	PCI After M&R
2014	RW 10-28	6120	\$	524,999.98	61	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	RW 10-28	6115	\$	2,287,957.39	61	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	RW 10-28	6103	\$	151,059.99	51	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	TW T-HANG	725	\$	234,066.19	62	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	TW T-HANG	720	\$	75,452.82	47	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	TW T-HANG	710	\$	83,032.92	42	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	TW A	160	\$	53,828.10	55	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	TW A	150	\$	49,349.33	49	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	TW A	115	\$	340,410.18	58	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	TW A	110	\$	1,252,943.44	62	Mill and Overlay	100
2017	AP	4110	\$	496,499.28	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2019	AP	4130	\$	898,599.66	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2021	AP	4120	\$	571,083.54	65	Mill and Overlay	100
		Total =	\$	7,019,282.82			

#### Table 6-1: Summary of Major Rehabilitation

\* Costs are adjusted for inflation at 3%

The 10-year major rehabilitation program addresses those pavement sections that have a current or projected PCI that are below the Critical PCI of 65 during the 10-year analysis period. The unconstrained or "unlimited budget" Major Rehabilitation Program is compared to a "No Major Rehabilitation Program" scenario in Figure 6-1. As shown, if no major rehabilitation work is completed in the next 10 years at your airport, the average PCI may be 19 points less than a plan that provides timely repairs to the airfield pavements.



#### Figure 6-1: 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Budget Scenario Analysis

#### 7. PREVENTATIVE AND MAJOR REHABILITATION PLANNING

The preventative and major rehabilitation results include activities that are based on distresses observed and unconstrained by budget limits. FDOT recognizes that the projects identified as Year-1 needs in 2013, based on condition, may exceed a typical annual budget level. It is recommended that each airport further evaluate each project's feasibility and desirability based on the airport's future development plans and budgeting scenarios.

In an effort to identify appropriate budget levels, the 10-year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation analysis evaluated projected budget needs based on predicted PCI of each pavement section. Table 7-1 and Figure 7-1 provides a summary of the expected preventative and major rehabilitation for each program year.

Program Year	Pre	ventative	Major F	Rehabilitation	Total	Year Costs
2014	\$	73,101.08	\$	5,053,100.34	\$	5,126,201.42
2015	\$	78,036.60	\$	-	\$	78,036.60
2016	\$	94,423.90	\$	-	\$	94,423.90
2017	\$	89,708.81	\$	496,499.28	\$	586,208.09
2018	\$	124,687.95	\$	-	\$	124,687.95
2019	\$	132,975.65	\$	898,599.66	\$	1,031,575.32
2020	\$	178,100.79	\$	-	\$	178,100.79
2021	\$	204,695.41	\$	571,083.54	\$	775,778.94
2022	\$	257,954.86	\$	-	\$	257,954.86
2023	\$	298,898.14	\$	-	\$	298,898.14
				Total =	\$	8,551,866.01

#### Table 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary




Figure 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary

According to the most recent inspections at the time of this update; the following pavement sections were identified as a Year-1 need for major rehabilitation:

- Runway 10-28 Sections 6103, 6115, 6120
  - Mill and overlay attributed to distresses associated with climate, age, and subgrade quality of pavement.
- T-Hangars Taxiway Sections 710, 720, 725
  - Mill and overlay attributed to distresses associated with climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A Sections 110, 115, 150, 160.
  - Mill and overlay attributed to distresses associated with climate and age of pavement.

Appendix E summarizes the preventative repair recommendations for Year-1 and Appendix F provides an exhibit, Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation, that depicts the recommended major rehabilitation on the airfield pavement network according to work type and year.

#### 8. VISUAL AID EXHIBITS

#### 8.1 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit in Appendix A depicts the airfield layout in a manner that defines the airfield pavement infrastructure as branches, sections, and sample units in accordance with the ASTM D 5340-11. The exhibits are prepared and updated with information provided by the airport and from aerial imagery from the FDOT Surveying and Mapping publications.

#### 8.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit in Appendix A depicts any recent airfield pavement construction activity reported by the airport. The exhibit is intended to identify pavement sections that may have changed in geometry and pavement composition that would affect the section delineation. The information provided in the Airport Response Form was used as the basis of the changes and confirmed with the airport personnel at the time of inspection.

#### 8.3 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit in Appendix B has been prepared based on the section condition analysis of the distress data collected during the recent condition index rating survey. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with associated condition rating colors and PCI values.

#### 8.4 Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit in Appendix F has been prepared based on the section pavement performance model and major rehabilitation analysis. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with associated rehabilitation activity, program year, and the planning level costs.

#### 8.5 Airfield Pavement Condition Survey Inspection Photographs

During the field condition survey inspection; inspectors photographed representative distress types observed. Select photographs are provided in Appendix G to provide visual support to special pavement conditions or distresses observed.


#### 9. RECOMMENDATIONS


The following recommendations were made based on the 2013 condition survey inspection, condition analysis, and maintenance/rehabilitation analysis results:

- Runway 10-28 Sections 6103, 6115, 6120
  - Mill and overlay attributed to distresses associated with climate, age, and subgrade quality of pavement.
- T-Hangars Taxiway Sections 710, 720, 725
  - Mill and overlay attributed to distresses associated with climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A Sections 110, 115, 150, 160.
  - Mill and overlay attributed to distresses associated with climate and age of pavement.
- Apron Sections 4110, 4120, 4130.
  - Mill and overlay attributed to distresses associated with climate and age of pavement.


# APPENDIX A

- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION EXHIBIT
- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT SYSTEM INVENTORY EXHIBIT
- PAVEMENT GEOMETRY INVENTORY
- WORK HISTORY REPORT











### Pavement Evaluation Report - Plant City Airport

Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	True Area (FT <sup>2</sup> )	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Last Insp. Date	Total Samples
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	RUNWAY	6120	700	75	52,500	Р	AC	1/1/2002	9/11/2013	14
<b>RUNWAY 10-28</b>	RW 10-28	RUNWAY	6115	3,051	75	228,796	Р	AAC	1/1/1983	9/11/2013	61
<b>RUNWAY 10-28</b>	RW 10-28	RUNWAY	6103	250	75	15,106	Р	AAC	1/1/2002	9/11/2013	4
APRON	AP	APRON	4140	50	50	2,500	Р	PCC	1/1/2010	9/11/2013	1
APRON	AP	APRON	4135	305	132	29,575	Р	AC	1/1/2008	9/11/2013	5
APRON	AP	APRON	4130	300	250	77,514	Р	AC	1/1/1986	9/11/2013	15
APRON	AP	APRON	4120	300	140	46,434	Р	AAC	1/1/1992	9/11/2013	9
APRON	AP	APRON	4110	320	100	45,437	Р	AAC	1/1/1992	9/11/2013	11
APRON	AP	APRON	4105	360	320	112,145	Р	AC	3/1/2013	3/1/2013	24
Taxiway to Hangar	TW HANG	TAXIWAY	750	1,000	60	53,871	Р	AC	1/1/2011	9/11/2013	13
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY	725	600	20	23,407	Р	AAC	1/1/1997	9/11/2013	5
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY	720	323	20	6,460	Р	AC	1/1/1986	9/11/2013	2
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY	710	300	20	5,895	Р	AAC	1/1/1986	9/11/2013	2
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY	705	435	30	13,043	Р	AAC	1/1/1992	9/11/2013	4
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	175	70	40	3,136	Р	AAC	1/1/2001	9/11/2013	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	174	100	40	4,273	Р	AAC	8/1/2013	8/1/2013	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	170	125	40	4,870	Р	AAC	8/1/2013	8/1/2013	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	165	65	35	6,228	Р	AAC	3/1/2013	3/1/2013	2
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	160	125	40	5,383	Р	AAC	1/1/2001	9/11/2013	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	150	120	35	4,773	Р	AAC	1/1/2001	9/11/2013	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	120	2,500	40	6,040	Р	AAC	8/1/2013	8/1/2013	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	115	700	40	34,041	Р	AC	1/1/2001	9/11/2013	8

#### Table A-1: Pavement Geometry Inventory

Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	True Area (FT <sup>2</sup> )	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Last Insp. Date	Total Samples
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	110	2,500	40	125,294	Р	AAC	1/1/2001	9/11/2013	32

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER. \* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey.

Date:09/	/25/2013		<b>story Re</b> t Database:FD	-	1 of 4
Network: P0 L.C.D.: 03/01	CM Bra 1/2013 Use: AP	anch:AP (APRON) PRON Rank PLength:	360.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 4105 Surface: AC 320.00 Ft True Area:112,145.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
03/01/2013 01/01/1986	CR-AC IMPORTED	Complete Reconstruction - AC BUILT	\$0	0.00 1.50	True 2" Bitum. Surface, 6" Crushed Agg Base, 6" Stab.Sub, 12" p-152 com sub True 1986 1.5" SI SURFACE 9" FDOT 270 6" STAB BASE
Network: P( L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Bra 1/1992 Use: AP	anch:AP (APRON) RON RankPLength:		Width:	Section: 4110 Surface: AAC 100.00 Ft True Area: 45,436.72 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/1992 01/01/1970	IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY BUILT		1.00 1.00	True 1992 1" P-401 OL True 1970 1" TYPE 1 BIT 6" LIMEROCK
Network: P( L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Bra 1/1992 Use: AP	anch:AP (APRON) PRON Rank PLength:	300.00 Ft	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4120 <b>Surface:</b> AAC 140.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 46.434.32 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/1992	IMPORTED	BUILT		1.00	True 1992 1" P-401 OL
Network: PC L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Bra 1/1986 Use: AP	anch:AP (APRON) PRON Rank PLength:	300.00 Ft	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4130 <b>Surface:</b> AC 250.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 77,514.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/1986	IMPORTED	BUILT		1.50	True 1986 1.5" TYPE S-1 BIT 9" LIMEROCK 6" STAB BASE
Network: PC L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Bra 1/2008 Use: AP	anch:AP (APRON) PRON <b>Rank</b> P Length:	305.00 Ft	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4135 <b>Surface:</b> AC 132.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 29.575.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2008	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
Network: PC L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Bra 1/2010 Use: AP	anch: AP (APRON) PRON Rank P Length:		Width:	Section: 4140 Surface: PCC 50.00 Ft True Area: 2,500.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2010	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
Network: PC L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Bra 1/2002 Use: RU	anch:RW10-28 (RUNWA INWAY RankPLength:	•	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 6103 <b>Surface:</b> AAC 75.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 15.106.00 SaF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2002 01/01/1976 01/01/1900	OL-AT IMPORTED INITIAL	Overlay - AC Thin OVERLAY Initial Construction	\$0 \$0 \$0	1.50 6.00 0.00	True 1976 6" LIMEROCK
Network: P0 L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Bra 1/1983 Use: RU	anch:RW10-28 (RUNWA JNWAY RankPLength:	•	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 6115 <b>Surface:</b> AAC 75.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 228.795.75 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/1983 01/01/1976 01/01/1960 01/01/1948	IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY OVERLAY OVERLAY BUILT	\$0	1.50 6.00 0.75 6.00	

Date:09/	/25/2013		story Re t Database:FD	-	2 of 4
Network: P0 L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Br 1/2002 Use: RU	anch:RW10-28 (RUNWA' JNWAY RankPLength:	Y 10-28 <b>)</b> 700.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 6120 Surface: AC 75.00 Ft True Area: 52,500.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2002 01/01/1976	INITIAL IMPORTED	Initial Construction OVERLAY	\$0 \$0	3.00 6.00	True 3" AC /6" Limerock / 4" Stab Subbase True 1976 6" LIMEROCK
Network: P0 L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Br 1/2001 Use: TA	anch: TWA (TAXIWA AXIWAY Rank PLength:	Y A <b>)</b> 2,500.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 110 Surface: AAC 40.00 Ft True Area:125,294.35 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2001 01/01/1993 01/01/1963	OL-AT IMPORTED IMPORTED	Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT	\$0	1.00 1.00	True         1" AC Ovly           False         1993 P-625 SEAL COAT           True         1963 1" P-401 6" P-211 28" GRANULAR           SUB         SUB
Network: P( L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Br 1/2001 Use: TA	anch: TWA (TAXIWA) XXIWAY Rank P Length:	YA <b>)</b> 700.00 Ft	Width:	Section:         115         Surface:         AC           40.00         Ft         True Area:         34,041.02         SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2001 01/01/2001	NC-AC OL-AT	New Construction - AC Overlay - AC Thin	\$0 \$0	3.00 1.00	True3" AC /6" Limerock / 4" Stab SubbaseTrue1" AC Ovly
Network: P0 L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Br 1/2001 Use: TA	anch: TWA (TAXIWA AXIWAY Rank PLength:	Y A <b>)</b> 2,500.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 120 Surface: AAC 40.00 Ft True Area: 6,040.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2001	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	0.00	T
Network: PC		New Construction - Initial	<i> </i>		True
L.C.D.: 01/01	CM Br 1/2001 Use: TA	anch: TW A (TAXIWA	+-	Width:	Section: 150 Surface: AAC 35.00 Ft True Area: 4.772.66 SqF
L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date		anch: TW A (TAXIWA)	Y A <b>)</b> 120.00 Ft	Width: Thickness (in)	Section: 150 Surface: AAC
Work	1/2001 Use: TA Work	anch: TW A (TAXIWA XXIWAY Rank P Length: Work	Y A <b>)</b> 120.00 Ft	Thickness (in)	Section:         150         Surface:         AAC           35.00         Ft         True Area:         4.772.66         SqF           Major M&R         Comments           True         1" AC Ovly           False         1933 P-625         SEAL COAT
Work Date 01/01/2001 01/01/1993 01/01/1963 Network: PC	I/2001 Use: TA Work Code OL-AT IMPORTED IMPORTED	anch: TW A (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT anch: TW A (TAXIWA	Y A) 120.00 Ft <b>Cost</b> \$0	Thickness (in) 1.00	Section:         150         Surface:         AAC           35.00         Ft         True Area:         4.772.66         SqF           Major M&R         Comments           True         1" AC Ovly           False         1933 P-625         SEAL COAT
Work Date 01/01/2001 01/01/1993 01/01/1963 Network: PC	Vork Code OL-AT IMPORTED IMPORTED CM Br	anch: TW A (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT anch: TW A (TAXIWA	Y A) 120.00 Ft <b>Cost</b> \$0 Y A) 125.00 Ft	Thickness ( in) 1.00 1.00	Section:         150         Surface:         AAC           35.00         Ft         True Area:         4.772.66         SqF           Major M&R         Comments           True         1" AC Ovly           False         1993         P-625         SEAL         COAT           True         1963         1" P-401         6" P-211         28" GRANULAR           Section:         160         Surface:         AAC
Work Date 01/01/2001 01/01/1993 01/01/1963 Network: PC L.C.D.: 01/01 Work	Vork Code OL-AT IMPORTED IMPORTED CM Br 1/2001 Use: TA Work	anch: TW A (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT anch: TW A (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work	Y A) 120.00 Ft Cost \$0 Y A) 125.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 1.00 1.00 Width: Thickness	Section:         150         Surface:         AAC           35.00         Ft         True Area:         4.772.66         SaF           Major M&R         Comments         Comments         Comments           True         1" AC Ovly         1993         P-625         SEAL COAT         True         1963         1" P-401         6" P-211         28" GRANULAR           Section:         160         Surface:         AAC         40.00         Ft         True Area:         5,382.81         SqF           Major         Comments         Comments         Comments         Comments         Comments
Work Date           01/01/2001           01/01/1993           01/01/1963           Network:         PC           L.C.D.:         01/01           Work Date         01/01/2001           01/01/1/2001         01/01/1993           01/01/1986         01/01/1986           Network:         PC	Vork Code OL-AT IMPORTED IMPORTED CM Vork Code OL-AT IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED	anch: TW A (TAXIWAY XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT anch: TW A (TAXIWAY XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT OVERLAY anch: TW A (TAXIWAY	Y A) 120.00 Ft Cost \$0 Y A) 125.00 Ft Cost \$0	Thickness (in) 1.00 1.00 Width: Thickness (in) 1.00 1.50	Section:         150         Surface:         AAC           35.00         Ft         True Area:         4.772.66         SaF           Major M&R         Comments         Comments         Comments           True         1" AC Ovly         1993         P-625         SEAL COAT           True         1963         1" P-401         6" P-211         28" GRANULAR           Section:         160         Surface:         AAC           40.00         Ft         True Area:         5,382.81         SqF           Major M&R         Comments         Comments         Comments         Comments           True         1" AC Ovly         1933         P-625         SEAL COAT         SqF           Major M&R         Comments         1933         P-625         SEAL COAT         SqF           True         1" AC Ovly         1933         P-625         SEAL COAT         SqF           True         1933         P-625         SEAL COAT         SqF         SqF         SqF
Work Date           01/01/2001           01/01/1993           01/01/1963           Network:         PC           L.C.D.:         01/01           Work Date         01/01/2001           01/01/1/2001         01/01/1993           01/01/1986         01/01/1986           Network:         PC	Vork Code OL-AT IMPORTED IMPORTED CM Vork Code OL-AT IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED	anch: TW A (TAXIWAY XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT anch: TW A (TAXIWAY XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT OVERLAY anch: TW A (TAXIWAY	Y A) 120.00 Ft Cost \$0 Y A) 125.00 Ft \$0 Y A) 65.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 1.00 1.00 Width: Thickness (in) 1.00 1.50 6.00	Section: 150       Surface: AAC         35.00 Ft       True Area: 4.772.66 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1" AC Ovly         False       1993 P-625 SEAL COAT         True       1963 1" P-401 6" P-211 28" GRANULAR         Section: 160       Surface: AAC         40.00 Ft       True Area: 5,382.81 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1" AC Ovly         False       1993 P-625 SEAL COAT         True       1" AC Ovly         False       1993 P-625 SEAL COAT         True       1" AC Ovly         False       1993 P-625 SEAL COAT         True       1986 1.5" TYPE S1 BIT         True       1986 6" TYPE 3 BASE 6" STAB BASE         Section: 165       Surface: AAC
Work Date           01/01/2001           01/01/1993           01/01/1993           01/01/1963           Network:           PC           L.C.D.:           01/01/2001           01/01/1993           01/01/1993           01/01/1986           01/01/1986           01/01/1986           Network:           PC           L.C.D.:         03/01	Vork Code OL-AT IMPORTED IMPORTED CM Br V2001 Use: TA Work Code OL-AT IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED CM Br V2013 Use: TA	anch: TW A (TAXIWAY XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT anch: TW A (TAXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Overlay - AC Thin REPAIR BUILT OVERLAY anch: TW A (TAXIWAY Rank P Length:	Y A) 120.00 Ft Cost \$0 Y A) 125.00 Ft \$0 Y A) 65.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 1.00 1.00 Width: Thickness (in) 1.00 1.50 6.00 Width:	Section: 150       Surface: AAC         35.00 Ft       True Area: 4.772.66 SqF         Major       Comments         True       1" AC Ovly         False       1993 P-625 SEAL COAT         1963 1" P-401 6" P-211 28" GRANULAR         Section: 160       Surface: AAC         40.00 Ft       True Area: 5,382.81 SqF         Major       Comments         True       1" AC Ovly         False       1993 P-625 SEAL COAT         True       1" AC Ovly         False       1993 P-625 SEAL COAT         True       1" AC Ovly         False       1993 P-625 SEAL COAT         True       1" AC Ovly         False       1993 P-625 SEAL COAT         True       1986 1.5" TYPE S1 BIT         True       1986 6" TYPE 3 BASE 6" STAB BASE         Section: 165       Surface: AAC         35.00 Ft       True Area: 6.228.00 SqF

Date:09/	/25/2013		story Re t Database:FD	-	3 of 4			
<b>Network:</b> P0 <b>L.C.D.</b> : 08/01	CM Br 1/2013 Use: TA	anch: TWA (TAXIWA XXIWAY Rank PLength:	YA <b>)</b> 125.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 170 Surface: AAC 40.00 Ft True Area: 4,869.58 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments			
08/01/2013 01/01/2001	ML-OV OL-AT	MILL and OVERLAY Overlay - AC Thin	\$0 \$0	0.00 1.00	True 2" Mill and 2" Overlay SP-12.5 True 1" AC Ovly			
01/01/1993 01/01/1963	IMPORTED IMPORTED	REPAIR BUILT	ψõ	1.00	False 1993 P-625 SEAL COAT			
Network:         PCM         Branch:         TW A         (TAXIWAY A)         Section:         174         Surface:         AAC           L.C.D.:         08/01/2013         Use:         TAXIWAY         Rank P Length:         100.00         Ft         Width:         40.00         Ft         True Area:         4,273.00         So								
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments			
08/01/2013 01/01/2001	ML-OV INITIAL	MILL and OVERLAY Initial Construction	\$0 \$0	0.00 0.00	True 2" Mill and 2" Overlay of SP-12.5 True			
<b>Network:</b> P( <b>L.C.D.:</b> 01/01	CM Br 1/2001 Use: TA	anch: TW A (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank PLength:	YA <b>)</b> 70.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 175 Surface: AAC 40.00 Ft True Area: 3.136.50 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments			
01/01/2001	OL-AT	Overlay - AC Thin	\$0	1.00	True 1" AC Ovly			
01/01/1992	IMPORTED	OVERLAY		1.00	True 1992 1" P-401 OL			
01/01/1960 01/01/1948	IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY BUILT		1.00 6.00	True 1960 1" P-401 True 1948 6" LIMEROCK			
Network: P	CM Br	anch: TW HANG (TAXIWA)	Y TO HANGAR)		Section: 750 Surface: AC			
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2011 Use: TA	(	1,000.00 Ft	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 750 <b>Surface:</b> AC 60.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 53.871.00 SqF			
L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date	1/2011 Use: TA Work Code		1,000.00 Ft	Width: Thickness (in)				
Work	Work	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work	1.000.00 Ft	Thickness	60.00         Ft         True Area: 53.871.00         SqF           Major M&R         Comments			
Work           Date           01/01/2011           Network:	Work Code NU-IN	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - Initial anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG)	1.000.00 Ft Cost	Thickness (in)	60.00         Ft         True Area: 53.871.00         SqF           Major M&R         Comments			
Work           Date           01/01/2011           Network:	Work Code NU-IN CM Br	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - Initial anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG)	1.000.00 Ft Cost \$0 ARS TAXIWAY) 435.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00	60.00         Ft         True Area: 53.871.00         SqF           Major M&R         Comments         Surface: AAC			
Work Date 01/01/2011 Network: P0 L.C.D.: 01/01 Work	Work Code NU-IN CM Br 1/1992 Use: TA Work	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - Initial anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work	1.000.00 Ft Cost \$0 ARS TAXIWAY) 435.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 Width: Thickness	60.00 Ft True Area: 53.871.00 SqF Major M&R True Section: 705 Surface: AAC 30.00 Ft True Area: 13.043.23 SqF Major Major			
Work Date 01/01/2011 Network: PC L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/1992 Network: PC	Work Code NU-IN CM Br 1/1992 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - Initial anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG,	1.000.00 Ft Cost \$0 ARS TAXIWAY) 435.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 Width: Thickness	60.00 Ft         True Area: 53.871.00 SqF           Major M&R         Comments           True         Section: 705         Surface: AAC 30.00 Ft           True Area: 13,043.23 SqF         Major M&R			
Work Date 01/01/2011 Network: PC L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/1992 Network: PC	Work Code NU-IN CM Br 1/1992 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - Initial anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG,	1.000.00 Ft Cost \$0 ARS TAXIWAY) 435.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY) 300.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 Width: Thickness (in)	60.00 Ft       True Area: 53.871.00 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       Section: 705       Surface: AAC         30.00 Ft       True Area: 13,043.23 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1992 BIT OL SECTION UNKNOWN         Section: 710       Surface: AAC			
Work Date 01/01/2011 Network: P( L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/1992 Network: P( L.C.D.: 01/01 Work	Work Code NU-IN CM Br 1/1992 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED CM Br 1/1986 Use: TA	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - Initial anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work	1.000.00 Ft Cost \$0 ARS TAXIWAY) 435.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY) 300.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) Width: Thickness	60.00 Ft       True Area: 53.871.00 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       Section: 705       Surface: AAC         30.00 Ft       True Area: 13,043.23 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1992 BIT OL SECTION UNKNOWN         Section: 710       Surface: AAC         20.00 Ft       True Area: 5.895.13 SqF         Major       Comments         Major       Comments         Section: 710       Surface: AAC         20.00 Ft       True Area: 5.895.13 SqF			
Work Date           01/01/2011           Network:           PC           L.C.D.:           01/01/1992           Network:           PC           L.C.D.:           01/01/1992           Network:           PC           L.C.D.:           01/01/1986           Network:           PC	Work Code NU-IN CM Br 1/1992 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED CM Br 1/1986 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - Initial anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG,	1.000.00 Ft Cost \$0 ARS TAXIWAY) 435.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY) 300.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) Width: Thickness	60.00 Ft       True Area: 53.871.00 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True			
Work Date           01/01/2011           Network:           PC           L.C.D.:           01/01/1992           Network:           PC           L.C.D.:           01/01/1992           Network:           PC           L.C.D.:           01/01/1986           Network:           PC	Work Code NU-IN CM Br 1/1992 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED CM Br 1/1986 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - Initial anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG,	1,000.00 Ft Cost \$0 ARS TAXIWAY) 435.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY) 300.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY)	Thickness (in) 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) Width: Thickness (in)	60.00 Ft       True Area: 53.871.00 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       Section: 705       Surface: AAC         30.00 Ft       True Area: 13,043.23 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1992 BIT OL SECTION UNKNOWN         Section: 710       Surface: AAC         20.00 Ft       True Area: 5.895.13 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1986 BIT OL SECTION UNKNOWN         Section: 710       Surface: AAC         20.00 Ft       True Area: 5.895.13 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         Section: 710       Surface: AAC         20.00 Ft       True Area: 5.895.13 SqF			
Work Date           01/01/2011           Network:           P0           L.C.D.:           01/01/1992           Network:           01/01/1992           Network:           01/01/1992           Network:           01/01/1986           Network:           01/01/1986           Network:           01/01/1986           Network:           01/01/1986           Network:           01/01/1986	Work Code NU-IN CM Br 1/1992 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED CM Br 1/1986 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED CM Br 1/1986 Use: TA	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - Initial anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description	1.000.00 Ft Cost \$0 ARS TAXIWAY) 435.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY) 300.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY) 323.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) Width: Thickness (in) Width: Thickness	60.00 Ft       True Area: 53.871.00 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True			
Work Date           01/01/2011           Network:           PC           L.C.D.:           01/01/1992           Network:           01/01/1992           Network:           01/01/1992           Network:           01/01/1986           Network:           01/01/1986           Network:           01/01/1986           Network:           01/01/1986           Network:           01/01/1986	Work Code NU-IN CM Br 1/1992 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED CM Br 1/1986 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED CM Br 1/1986 Use: TA	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - Initial anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description	1.000.00 Ft Cost \$0 ARS TAXIWAY) 435.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY) 300.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY) 323.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) Width: Thickness (in) Width: Thickness	60.00 Ft       True Area: 53.871.00 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       Section: 705       Surface: AAC         30.00 Ft       True Area: 13,043.23 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1992 BIT OL SECTION UNKNOWN         Section: 710       Surface: AAC         20.00 Ft       True Area: 5.895.13 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1986 BIT OL SECTION UNKNOWN         Section: 710       Surface: AAC         20.00 Ft       True Area: 5.895.13 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1986 BIT OL SECTION UNKNOWN         Section: 720       Surface: AC         20.00 Ft       True Area: 6.460.00 SqF         Major M&R       Comments			
Work Date           01/01/2011           Network:           PC           L.C.D.:           01/01/1992           Network:           01/01/1992           Network:           01/01/1992           Network:           01/01/1986           Network:           01/01/1986           Nork           Date           01/01/1986           Network:           PC           L.C.D.:           01/01/1986           Network:           PC	Work Code NU-IN CM Br 1/1992 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED CM Br 1/1986 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED CM Br 1/1986 Use: TA	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description New Construction - Initial anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (T-HANG, XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description	1.000.00 Ft Cost \$0 ARS TAXIWAY) 435.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY) 300.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY) 323.00 Ft Cost ARS TAXIWAY) 600.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) Width: Thickness (in) Width: Thickness (in)	60.00 Ft       True Area: 53.871.00 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       Section: 705       Surface: AAC         30.00 Ft       True Area: 13,043.23 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1992 BIT OL SECTION UNKNOWN         Section: 710       Surface: AAC         20.00 Ft       True Area: 5.895.13 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1986 BIT OL SECTION UNKNOWN         Section: 710       Surface: AAC         20.00 Ft       True Area: 5.895.13 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1986 BIT OL SECTION UNKNOWN         Section: 720       Surface: AC         20.00 Ft       True Area: 6.460.00 SqF         Major M&R       Comments         True       1986 UNKNOWN AC SECTION         Section: 725       Surface: AAC			

## Work History Report


Pavement Database:FDOT

#### Summary:

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
BUILT	13	679,180.05	2.15	2.04
Complete Reconstruction - AC	1	112,145.00	.00	
Initial Construction	7	133,588.62	.43	1.13
MILL and OVERLAY	3	15,370.58	.00	.00
New Construction - AC	1	34,041.02	3.00	
New Construction - Initial	2	59,911.00	.00	.00
OVERLAY	9	811,085.78	3.25	2.62
Overlay - AC Thin	7	192,602.92	1.07	.19
REPAIR	4	140,319.40		


# APPENDIX B

- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX RATING EXHIBIT
- PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX INVENTORY





#### **LEGEND**





Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	True Area (FT <sup>2</sup> )	Section Rank	Surface Type	PCI	PCI Category	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	RUNWAY	6120	52,500	Р	AC	61	Fair	3	14
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	RUNWAY	6115	228,796	Р	AAC	61	Fair	13	61
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	RUNWAY	6103	15,106	Р	AAC	51	Poor	2	4
APRON	AP	APRON	4140	2,500	Р	PCC	89	Good	1	1
APRON	AP	APRON	4135	29,575	Р	AC	74	Satisfactory	1	5
APRON	AP	APRON	4130	77,514	Р	AC	68	Fair	3	15
APRON	AP	APRON	4120	46,434	Р	AAC	76	Satisfactory	1	9
APRON	AP	APRON	4110	45,437	Р	AAC	68	Fair	2	11
APRON	AP	APRON	4105	112,145	Р	AC	100	Good	3	24
TAXIWAY TO HANGAR	TW HANG	TAXIWAY	750	53,871	Р	AC	100	Good	2	13
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY	725	23,407	Р	AAC	62	Fair	2	5
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY	720	6,460	Р	AC	47	Poor	1	2
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY	710	5,895	Р	AAC	43	Poor	1	2
T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY	705	13,043	Р	AAC	75	Satisfactory	1	4
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	175	3,136	Р	AAC	95	Good	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	174	4,273	Р	AAC	100	Good	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	170	4,870	Р	AAC	100	Good	1	1
Taxiway a	TW A	TAXIWAY	165	6,228	Р	AAC	100	Good	1	2
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	160	5,383	Р	AAC	55	Poor	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	150	4,773	Р	AAC	50	Poor	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	120	6,040	Р	AAC	100	Good	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	115	34,041	Р	AC	58	Fair	2	8
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	110	125,294	Р	AAC	62	Fair	6	32

Table B-1: Pavement Condition Index Inventory

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER. \* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey.

# APPENDIX C

- BRANCH CONDITION REPORT
- SECTION CONDITION REPORT

Date: 9 /25/2013

### **Branch Condition Report**

Pavement Database: FDOT NetworkID: PCM

1 of 2

Branch ID	Number of Sections	Sum Section Length (Ft)	Avg Section Width (Ft)	True Area (SqFt)	Use	Average PCI	PCI Standard Deviation	Weighted Average PCI
AP (APRON)	6	1,635.00	165.33	313,605.04	APRON	79.17	11.67	81.36
RW 10-28 (RUNWAY 10-28)	3	4,000.61	75.00	296,401.75	RUNWAY	57.67	4.71	60.49
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	9	6,305.00	38.89	194,037.92	TAXIWAY	80.00	21.49	65.54
TW HANG (TAXIWAY TO HANGAR)	1	1,000.00	60.00	53,871.00	TAXIWAY	100.00	0.00	100.00
TW T-HANG (T-HANGARS TAXIWAY)	4	1,658.00	22.50	48,804.98	TAXIWAY	56.75	12.70	61.19

Date: 9 /25/2013

### **Branch Condition Report**

Pavement Database: FDOT

Use Category	Number of Sections	Total Area (SqFt)	Arithmetic Average PCI	Average PCI STD.	Weighted Average PCI
APRON	6	313,605.04	79.17	11.67	81.36
RUNWAY	3	296,401.75	57.67	4.71	60.49
TAXIWAY	14	296,713.90	74.79	22.34	71.08
All	23	906,720.69	73.70	19.60	71.17

2 of 2

Date: 9 /25/2013	Date: 9 /25/2013       Section Condition Report         Pavement Database: FDOT       NetworkID: PCM								1 of 2	
Branch ID	Section ID	Last Const. Date	Surface	Use	Rank	Lanes	True Area (SqFt)	Last Inspection Date	Age At Inspection	PCI
AP (APRON)	4105	03/01/2013	AC	APRON	Ρ	0	112,145.00	03/01/2013	0	100.00
AP (APRON)	4110	01/01/1992	AAC	APRON	Ρ	0	45,436.72	09/11/2013	21	68.00
AP (APRON)	4120	01/01/1992	AAC	APRON	Ρ	0	46,434.32	09/11/2013	21	76.00
AP (APRON)	4130	01/01/1986	AC	APRON	Ρ	0	77,514.00	09/11/2013	27	68.00
AP (APRON)	4135	01/01/2008	AC	APRON	Ρ	0	29,575.00	09/11/2013	5	74.00
AP (APRON)	4140	01/01/2010	PCC	APRON	Ρ	0	2,500.00	09/11/2013	3	89.00
RW 10-28 (RUNWAY 10-28)	6103	01/01/2002	AAC	RUNWAY	Р	0	15,106.00	09/11/2013	11	51.00
RW 10-28 (RUNWAY 10-28)	6115	01/01/1983	AAC	RUNWAY	Ρ	0	228,795.75	09/11/2013	30	61.00
RW 10-28 (RUNWAY 10-28)	6120	01/01/2002	AC	RUNWAY	Ρ	0	52,500.00	09/11/2013	11	61.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	110	01/01/2001	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	125,294.35	09/11/2013	12	62.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	115	01/01/2001	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	34,041.02	09/11/2013	12	58.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	120	01/01/2001	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	6,040.00	01/01/2001	0	100.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	150	01/01/2001	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	4,772.66	09/11/2013	12	50.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	160	01/01/2001	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	5,382.81	09/11/2013	12	55.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	165	03/01/2013	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	6,228.00	03/01/2013	0	100.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	170	08/01/2013	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	4,869.58	08/01/2013	0	100.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	174	08/01/2013	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	4,273.00	08/01/2013	0	100.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	175	01/01/2001	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	3,136.50	09/11/2013	12	95.00
TW HANG (TAXIWAY TO HANGAR)	750	01/01/2011	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	53,871.00	09/11/2013	2	100.00
TW T-HANG (T-HANGARS TAXIWAY)	705	01/01/1992	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	13,043.23	09/11/2013	21	75.00
TW T-HANG (T-HANGARS TAXIWAY)	710	01/01/1986	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	5,895.13	09/11/2013	27	43.00
TW T-HANG (T-HANGARS TAXIWAY)	720	01/01/1986	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	6,460.00	09/11/2013	27	47.00
TW T-HANG (T-HANGARS TAXIWAY)	725	01/01/1997	AAC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	23,406.62	09/11/2013	16	62.00

Date: 9 /25/2013

## Section Condition Report

Pavement Database: FDOT

Age Category	Average Age At Inspection	Total Area (SqFt)	Number of Sections	Arithmeti c Average PCI	PCI Standard Deviation	Weighted Average PCI
0-02	0.33	187,426.58	6	100.00	0.00	100.00
03-05	4.00	32,075.00	2	81.50	10.61	75.17
11-15	11.71	240,233.34	7	61.71	15.38	60.56
16-20	16.00	23,406.62	1	62.00	0.00	62.00
21-25	21.00	104,914.27	3	73.00	4.36	72.41
26-30	27.75	318,664.88	4	54.75	11.73	62.09
All	12.26	906,720.69	23	73.70	20.04	71.17

2 of 2

# APPENDIX D


- PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE PREDICTION
- PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE BY PAVEMENT USE

Branch	Section	Current			Paver	ment P	Perform	nance	Mode	I - PCI		
ID	ID	PCI	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
RW 10-28	6120	61	61	60	58	57	56	55	55	54	53	52
RW 10-28	6115	61	61	60	60	60	59	59	58	57	56	55
RW 10-28	6103	51	51	49	48	46	45	44	42	41	40	38
AP	4140	89	88	85	82	79	76	74	71	69	66	64
AP	4135	74	73	72	71	69	69	68	67	66	66	65
AP	4130	68	68	67	66	66	65	64	64	63	62	62
AP	4120	76	75	73	71	69	68	66	65	64	63	62
AP	4110	68	68	66	65	63	62	61	61	60	59	58
AP	4105	100	95	90	86	83	80	77	75	73	72	70
TW HANG	750	100	99	96	94	91	88	86	83	81	79	77
TW T-HANG	725	62	62	61	60	59	59	58	58	57	57	57
TW T-HANG	720	47	47	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	38
TW T-HANG	710	43	42	37	34	30	27	23	19	16	12	8
TW T-HANG	705	75	75	73	72	71	70	69	67	66	65	64
TW A	175	95	94	91	89	86	84	83	81	79	78	76
TW A	174	100	98	95	92	89	87	85	83	81	80	78
TW A	170	100	98	95	92	89	87	85	83	81	80	78
TW A	165	100	97	94	91	88	86	84	82	81	79	78
TW A	160	55	55	54	52	51	49	46	42	38	34	31
TW A	150	50	49	47	43	39	35	32	28	24	21	17
TW A	120	100	98	95	92	89	87	85	83	81	80	78
TW A	115	58	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	49	48
TW A	110	62	62	61	60	59	59	58	58	57	57	57


### Table D-1: Pavement Performance Prediction

#### Figure D-1: Pavement Performance by Pavement Use


(a) Runway



#### (b) Taxiway



#### (c) Apron



# APPENDIX E

• YEAR-1 PREVENTATIVE ACTIVITIES

Pavement Evaluation Report - Plant City Airport

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	١	Work Cost
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6120	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	1,558.70	Ft	\$2.75	\$	4,286.33
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6120	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	52,500.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	28,875.24
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6115	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	28.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$	77.44
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6115	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	4,730.80	Ft	\$2.75	\$	13,009.66
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6115	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	222,248.70	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	122,237.79
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6115	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	3,027.10	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	1,664.94
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6103	DEPRESSION	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	142.70	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	713.39
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6103	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	630.40	Ft	\$2.75	\$	1,733.66
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6103	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	13,305.40	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	7,318.01
RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	6103	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	1,800.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	990.36
APRON	AP	4140	Shrinkage Cr	Ν	Crack Sealing - PCC	54.10	Ft	\$4.25	\$	230.07
APRON	AP	4135	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	8,872.50	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	4,879.92
APRON	AP	4130	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	11,843.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	6,513.70
APRON	AP	4130	L & T CR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	9,081.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$	24,973.28

#### Table E-1: Year-1 Preventative Activities





Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	V	Vork Cost
APRON	AP	4130	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	544.80	Ft	\$2.75	\$	1,498.14
APRON	AP	4120	L & T CR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	4,889.90	Ft	\$2.75	\$	13,447.18
APRON	AP	4110	DEPRESSION	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	3,364.70	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	16,823.74
APRON	AP	4110	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	2,645.90	Ft	\$2.75	\$	7,276.23
TAXIWAY TO HANGAR	TW T-HANG	725	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	135.40	Ft	\$2.75	\$	372.29
TAXIWAY TO HANGAR	TW T-HANG	725	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	21,099.90	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	11,605.05
TAXIWAY TO HANGAR	TW T-HANG	725	SHOVING	L	Grinding (Localized)	5.40	Ft	\$2.10	\$	11.32
TAXIWAY TO HANGAR	TW T-HANG	725	SHOVING	М	Grinding (Localized)	5.40	Ft	\$2.10	\$	11.32
TAXIWAY TO HANGAR	TW T-HANG	720	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	426.40	Ft	\$2.75	\$	1,172.49
Taxiway to Hangar	TW T-HANG	720	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	27.50	Ft	\$2.75	\$	75.50
TAXIWAY TO HANGAR	TW T-HANG	720	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	3,876.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	2,131.82
TAXIWAY TO HANGAR	TW T-HANG	720	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	2,584.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	1,421.21
TAXIWAY TO HANGAR	TW T-HANG	710	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	473.10	Ft	\$2.75	\$	1,300.98
TAXIWAY TO HANGAR	TW T-HANG	710	L & T CR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	194.50	Ft	\$2.75	\$	534.98
Taxiway to Hangar	TW T-HANG	710	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	3,629.90	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	1,996.48




Pavement Evaluation Report - Plant City Airport

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	١	Work Cost
Taxiway to Hangar	TW T-HANG	710	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	2,265.20	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	1,245.87
Taxiway to Hangar	TW T-HANG	705	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	1,452.10	Ft	\$2.75	\$	3,993.40
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	175	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	28.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$	77.00
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	160	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	414.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$	1,138.50
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	160	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	222.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	122.10
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	160	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	4,912.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	2,701.62
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	150	L & T CR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	542.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$	1,490.50
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	150	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	477.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	262.35
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	150	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	4,111.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	2,261.07
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	115	L & T CR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	21.10	Ft	\$2.75	\$	57.92
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	115	L & T CR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	1,923.60	Ft	\$2.75	\$	5,289.91
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	115	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	34,041.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	18,722.72
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	110	L & T CR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	13,996.40	Ft	\$2.75	\$	38,490.12
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	110	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	125,294.30	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	68,912.47
	l	·		·	L	L	I	Total =	\$	421,948.07

### APPENDIX F

- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT 10-YEAR MAJOR REHABILITATION
   EXHIBIT
- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT 10-YEAR MAJOR REHABILITATION TABLE






#### RW 13-31

LEGEND

TW A

AP S

#### PROGRAM YEAR






RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.



AIRFIELD PAVEMENT 10-YEAR MAJOR REHABILITATION EXHIBIT PCM PLANT CITY AIRPORT HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA 7 FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - AVIATION AND SPACEPORT OFFICE



#### Table F-1: Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Table

\* Costs are adjusted for inflation at 3%

# APPENDIX G

• PHOTOGRAPHS



Runway 10-28, Section 6103, Sample Unit 296 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering, Low Severity (56) Swelling



Runway 10-28, Section 6115, Sample Unit 301 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering, Low Severity (56) Swelling



Runway 10-28, Section 6115, Sample Unit 342 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 10-28, Section 6115, Sample Unit 356 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering, Low Severity (56) Swelling



Taxiway A, Section 115, Sample Unit 135 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway A, Section 110, Sample Unit 130 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway A, Section 160, Sample Unit 300 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering, Low Severity (50) Patching



Apron, Section 4130, Sample Unit 209 - Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking



Apron, Section 4110, Sample Unit 105 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (45) Depression



Taxiway T-Hang, Section 720, Sample Unit 100 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Medium Severity (52) Raveling



Taxiway T-Hang, Section 725, Sample Unit 400 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering, Medium Severity (54) Shoving

# APPENDIX H

O DISTRESS DATA – RE-INSPECTION REPORT

Network: PCM N	ame: PLANT CITY AIRP	ORT				
Branch: AP N	ame: APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	313,605.04SqFt	
Section: 4105 of			То: -		Last Const.:	03/01/2013
Surface: AC	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-C			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 112,145.00SqFt	Length: 360.0		dth: 320.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Conditions: PCI : 63	tion PCI *** Fotal Samples: 29	Surveyed: 3				
Last Insp. Date: 11/29/2011 7 Conditions: PCI: 63 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 102		Surveyed: 3 Area:	6,711.48SqFt	PCI = 64		
Conditions: PCI : 63 Inspection Comments:	Fotal Samples: 29		6,711.48SqFt 6,711.42 SqFt	PCI = 64 Comments	:	
Conditions: PCI: 63 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 102 Sample Comments: 43 BLOCK CRACKING Sample Number: 300	Fotal Samples: 29	Area:	-		::	
Conditions: PCI: 63 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 102 Sample Comments: 43 BLOCK CRACKING Sample Number: 300 Sample Comments:	Fotal Samples: 29 Type: R	Area:	6,711.42 SqFt 4,188.52SqFt	Comments		
Conditions: PCI: 63 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 102 Sample Comments: 43 BLOCK CRACKING Sample Number: 300 Sample Comments: 43 BLOCK CRACKING	Fotal Samples: 29 Type: R	Area: L Area:	6,711.42 SqFt	Comments PCI = 59	:	
Conditions: PCI: 63 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 102 Sample Comments: 43 BLOCK CRACKING	Fotal Samples: 29 Type: R	Area: L Area: L	6,711.42 SqFt 4,188.52SqFt 4,187.97 SqFt	Comments PCI = 59 Comments	:	

FDOT					
Report Generated Date: September 25, 2013					
Network:         PCM         Name:         PLANT CITY AIRPORT					
Branch: AP Name: APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	313,605.04SqFt	
Section: 4110 of 6 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1992
Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	P-AAC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:         45,436.72SqFt         Length:         320.00Ft	Wi	dth: 100.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 09/11/2013 Total Samples: 11 Sur	veyed: 2				
Conditions: PCI: 68	-				
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 105 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 64		
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 105 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt 111.00 Ft	PCI = 64 Comments	;:	
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 105 Type: R Sample Comments:					
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 105 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	111.00 Ft	Comments	::	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION Sample Number: 606 Type: R	L L	111.00 Ft 33.00 SqFt	Comments Comments	::	
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 105 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION	L L L	111.00 Ft 33.00 SqFt 646.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments	::	
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 105 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION Sample Number: 606 Type: R Sample Comments:	L L L	111.00 Ft 33.00 SqFt 646.00 SqFt 4,839.83SqFt 240.00 Ft	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 72	;:	
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 105 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION Sample Number: 606 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L L Area:	111.00 Ft 33.00 SqFt 646.00 SqFt 4,839.83SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 72 Comments	::	

Network: PCM	Name: PLANT CITY AIRPO	RΤ				
Branch: AP	Name: APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	313,605.04SqFt	
Section: 4120 Surface: AAC	of 6 From: - Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA	-AP-AAC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1992 Rank: P
Area: 46,434.32SqF	2		th: 140.00Ft	Lone.	Cutogory.	ituint. I
Shoulder: Street	Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments: Last Insp. Date: 09/11/ Conditions: PCI : 76 Inspection Comments:	2013 Total Samples: 9	Surveyed: 1				
Sample Number: 207 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	5,916.00SqFt	PCI = 76		
	L/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	$\mathbf{L}$	623.00 Ft	Comments		

FDOT	Re-mspec			
Report Generated Date: September 25, 2013				
Network: PCM Name: PLANT CITY AIRPORT				
Branch: AP Name: APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	313,605.04SqFt
Section: 4130 of 6 From: -		To: -		Last Const.: 01/01/19
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	AP-AC		Zone:	Category: Rank:
Area: 77,514.00SqFt Length: 300.00Ft	Wi	dth: 250.00Ft		
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0			
Section Comments:				
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 108 Type: R	Area:	5,112.85SqFt	PCI = 72	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	709.00 Ft	Comments	:
Sample Number: 209 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 73	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	664.00 Ft	Comments	:
Sample Number: 610 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	6,250.00SqFt	PCI = 62	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	115.00 Ft	Comments	:
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	2,500.00 SqFt	Comments	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	$\mathbf{L}$	544.00 Ft	Comments	:

FDOT											
Report Ge	enerated Date: S	September 25	, 2013								
Network:	РСМ	Name: PI	LANT CITY	AIRPORT							
Branch:	AP	Name: Al	PRON				Use: AP	RON	Area:	313,605.04SqFt	
Section: Surface:	4135 AC	of 6 Family:	From: FDOT-SA	PMP-GA-AF	P-AC		То: -		Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2008 Rank: P
Area: Shoulder: Section Cor		Leng Yype:		305.00Ft 0.00	Lanes:	Width 0	: 132.00	Ft			
	minents.										
-	Date: 09/11/20 s: PCI : 74	)13 Total Sam	nples: 5	Surv	veyed: 1						

Branch: AP Name: APRON Use: APRON Area: 313,605.04SqFt	
Section:4140of6From: -To: -Last Const.:Surface:PCCFamily:FDOT-SAPMP-GA-RW-TW-PCCZone:Category:	01/01/2010 Rank: P
Area:2,500.00SqFtLength:50.00FtWidth:50.00FtSlabs:16Slab Width:12.50FtSlab Length:12.50FtJoint Length:300.00FtShoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00Lanes:0	

Sample Comments: 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING N 11.00 Slabs Comments:

	ne-mspe				
FDOT					
Report Generated Date: September 25, 2013					
Network: PCM Name: PLANT CITY AIRPORT					
Branch: RW 10-28 Name: RUNWAY 10-28		Use: RUNWA	Area:	296,401.75SqFt	
Section: 6103 of 3 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2002
Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-R	RW-AAC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 15,106.00SqFt Length: 250.00Ft	W	idth: 75.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 09/11/2013 Total Samples: 4 Sur	rveyed: 2				
Conditions: PCI : 51 Inspection Comments:					
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R	Area:	3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 50		
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments:		•			
nspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	237.00 Ft	Comment		
nspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 56 SWELLING	L L	237.00 Ft 94.00 Sq	r Comment: IFt Comment:	s:	
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	237.00 Ft	F Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments	s: s:	
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 56 SWELLING 56 SWELLING	L L L	237.00 Ft 94.00 Sq 26.00 Sq	F Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments	s: s: s:	
nspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 56 SWELLING 56 SWELLING 52 RAVELING	L L L	237.00 Ft 94.00 Sq 26.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq	F Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments	s: s: s:	
nspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 56 SWELLING 56 SWELLING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 298 Type: R	L L L L	237.00 Ft 94.00 Sq 26.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq	F Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments	s: s: s:	
nspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 56 SWELLING 56 SWELLING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 298 Type: R Sample Comments:	L L L M Area:	237.00 Ft 94.00 Sq 26.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 750.00 Sq 3,750.00SqFt	F Comments IFt	s: s: s: s:	
nspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 56 SWELLING 56 SWELLING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING 53 RAVELING 54 Sample Number: 298 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L L L M Area:	237.00 Ft 94.00 Sq 26.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 750.00 Sq 3,750.00SqFt 76.00 Ft	PCI = 53	s: s: s: s: s:	
nspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 56 SWELLING 56 SWELLING 52 RAVELING 53 WEATHERING 54 RAVELING 55 Sample Number: 298 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING	L L L M Area:	237.00 Ft 94.00 Sq 26.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,750.00 Sq 3,750.00 Sq 3,750.00 Sq	Ft Comments AFt Comments AFt Comments AFt Comments AFt Comments AFt Comments AFt Comments AFt Comments AFt Comments AFt Comments	s: s: s: s: s:	
nspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 56 SWELLING 56 SWELLING 52 RAVELING 53 WEATHERING 54 RAVELING 55 Sample Number: 298 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 53 WEATHERING	L L L M Area:	237.00 Ft 94.00 Sq 26.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,750.00 Sq 3,750.00 Sq 3,750.00 Sq 3,606.00 Sq 3,606.00 Sq	F Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments IFt Comments	s: s: s: s: s: s: s:	
nspection Comments: Sample Number: 296 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 56 SWELLING 56 SWELLING 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING 53 AVELING 54 Sample Number: 298 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING	L L L M Area: L L L	237.00 Ft 94.00 Sq 26.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,000.00 Sq 3,750.00 Sq 3,750.00 Sq 3,750.00 Sq	PCI = 53	s: s: s: s: s: s: s: s: s:	

	Re-msp	ection Report		
FDOT Report Generated Date: September 25, 2013				
Network: PCM Name: PLANT CITY AIRPORT	,			
Branch: RW 10-28 Name: RUNWAY 10-28		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 2	96,401.75SqFt
Section: 6115 of 3 From: - Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-F		To: -	Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/1983 Category: Rank: P
-		Width: 75.00Ft	Zone.	Category: Rank: P
Area:         228,795.75SqFt         Length:         3,050.61Ft           Shared:         Shared:	-			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: (	)		
Section Comments:				
Last Insp. Date: 09/11/2013 Total Samples: 61 Su	rveyed: 13			
Conditions: PCI: 61				
Inspection Comments:				
Sample Number: 301 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 59	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	120.00 Ft	Comments	:
52 RAVELING	I	3,750.00 SqFt	Comments	:
57 WEATHERING	I	· -	Comments	:
56 SWELLING	I	104.00 SqFt	Comments	
Sample Number: 306 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 54	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	108.00 Ft	Comments	:
52 RAVELING	I	-, 1 -	Comments	:
57 WEATHERING	I	-, · · · · · · <u>-</u> ·	Comments	
56 SWELLING	I	-	Comments	
52 RAVELING	Μ	63.00 SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 311 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 55	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I		Comments:	:
52 RAVELING	I	, 1	Comments	
57 WEATHERING	I	-, <u>-</u> -	Comments	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING	M M		Comments: Comments:	
	ľ	542.00 Sqrt	conmerce.	
Sample Number: 316 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 64	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I		Comments	
52 RAVELING	I	-, <u>-</u> -	Comments	
57 WEATHERING	I	3,750.00 SqFt	Comments	
Sample Number: 321 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 64	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I		Comments:	
52 RAVELING	I	-, <u>-</u> -	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING	I	3,750.00 SqFt	Comments	
Sample Number: 326 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 64	
52 RAVELING	I	· -	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING	I	· _	Comments	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	61.00 Ft	Comments	
Sample Number: 331 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 63	

FDOT

Report Generated Date: September 25, 2013						
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	48.00		Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	3,000.00	SqFt	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	3,750.00	SqFt	Comments:	
56 SWELLING		L	28.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 336 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 64	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	79.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	3,750.00	SqFt	Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	3,750.00		Comments:	
Sample Number: 342 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 64	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	70.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	3,750.00	SqFt	Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	3,750.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 346 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 64	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	49.00	Ft	Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	3,750.00	SqFt	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	3,750.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 351 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 64	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	54.00	Ft	Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	3,750.00	SqFt	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	3,750.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 356 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 61	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	110.00	Ft	Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	3,750.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	3,750.00	-	Comments:	
56 SWELLING		L	24.00		Comments:	
Sample Number: 360 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 59	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	98.00	Ft	Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	3,510.00	SqFt	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	3,510.00	SqFt	Comments:	

FDOT					
Report Generated Date: September 25, 2013					
Network:         PCM         Name:         PLANT CITY AIRPORT					
Branch: RW 10-28 Name: RUNWAY 10-28		Use: RUNWAY	Area:	296,401.75SqFt	
Section: 6120 of 3 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2002
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-RV			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:         52,500.00SqFt         Length:         700.00Ft	W	75.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Conditions: PCI: 61 inspection Comments: Sample Number: 362 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	veyed: 3 Area:	3,750.00SqFt 166.00 Ft	PCI = 64 Comments		
DZ KAVELING	L	3,750.00 SqFt	Comments		
	L L			5:	
57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 366 Type: R		3,750.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 366 Type: R Sample Comments:	L	3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt	Comments Comments	3:	
57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 366 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L Area:	3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00SqFt	Comments Comments PCI = 62	3: 3:	
57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 366 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING	L Area: L	3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00SqFt 50.00 Ft	Comments Comments PCI = 62 Comments	5: 5: 5:	
57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 366 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING	L Area: L	3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00SqFt 50.00 Ft 3,750.00 SqFt	Comments Comments PCI = 62 Comments Comments	5: 5: 5: 5:	
57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 366 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 56 SWELLING Sample Number: 370 Type: R	L Area: L L L	3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 50.00 Ft 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt	Comments Comments PCI = 62 Comments Comments	5: 5: 5: 5:	
57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 366 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 56 SWELLING Sample Number: 370 Type: R Sample Comments:	L Area: L L L L	3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 50.00 Ft 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 16.00 SqFt	Comments Comments PCI = 62 Comments Comments Comments	3: 3: 5: 5: 5:	
57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 366 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 56 SWELLING Sample Number: 370 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L Area: L L L L L Area:	3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 Ft 3,750.00 Ft 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 16.00 SqFt 3,750.00SqFt	Comments Comments PCI = 62 Comments Comments Comments PCI = 59	5: 5: 5: 5:	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 56 SWELLING	L Area: L L L L L L L	3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 Ft 3,750.00 SqFt 3,750.00 SqFt 16.00 SqFt 3,750.00SqFt 118.00 Ft	Comments Comments PCI = 62 Comments Comments Comments PCI = 59 Comments	5: 5: 5: 5: 5:	

	Re-msp	есион керогі			
FDOT Report Generated Date: September 25, 2013					
Network: PCM Name: PLANT CITY AIRPORT					
Branch: TW A Name: TAXIWAY A		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 1	194,037.92SqFt	
Section: 110 of 9 From: - Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-T	W-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2001 Rank: P
Area: 125,294.35SqFt Length: 2,500.00Ft		Vidth: 40.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 09/11/2013 Total Samples: 32 Sur Conditions: PCI: 62 Inspection Comments:	rveyed: 6				
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,000.00SqFt	PCI = 64		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	361.00 Ft	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING	L	4,000.00 SqFt			
57 WEATHERING	L	4,000.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 105 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,000.00SqFt	PCI = 64		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	$\mathbf{L}$	289.00 Ft	Comments		
52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING	L	4,000.00 SqFt 4,000.00 SqFt	Comments		
57 WEATHERING	Ц	4,000.00 Sqrt	Comments	•	
Sample Number: 107 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,000.00SqFt	PCI = 64		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	$\mathbf{L}$	349.00 Ft	Comments		
52 RAVELING	L	4,000.00 SqFt	Comments		
57 WEATHERING	L	4,000.00 SqFt	Comments	•	
Sample Number: 115 Type: R Sample Comments: Crack Seal	Area:	4,000.00SqFt	PCI = 64		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L		Comments		
52 RAVELING	L	4,000.00 SqFt	Comments		
57 WEATHERING	L	4,000.00 SqFt	Comments	•	
Sample Number: 123 Type: R Sample Comments: Crack Seal	Area:	4,000.00SqFt	PCI = 60		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	602.00 Ft	Comments		
52 RAVELING	L	4,000.00 SqFt	Comments		
57 WEATHERING	L	4,000.00 SqFt	Comments	•	
Sample Number: 130 Type: R Sample Comments: Crack Seal	Area:	4,000.00SqFt	PCI = 57		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	788.00 Ft	Comments		
52 RAVELING	L	4,000.00 SqFt			
57 WEATHERING	L	4,000.00 SqFt	Comments	•	

DOT		1	on nop on t					
Report Generated Date: September 25, 2013								
Vetwork:         PCM         Name:         PLANT CITY AIRPORT								
Branch: TW A Name: TAXIWAY A			Use: TAXI	IWAY	Area:	194,037.928	qFt	
ection: 115 of 9 From: -			То: -			Last C	Const.:	01/01/2001
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TY	W-AC				Zone:	Catego	ory:	Rank: P
Area: 34,041.02SqFt Length: 700.00Ft		Width:	: 40.00Ft					
houlder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0						
ection Comments:								
ast Insp. Date: 09/11/2013 Total Samples: 8 Sur Conditions: PCI: 58 Inspection Comments:	rveyed: 2	2						
Conditions: PCI : 58 nspection Comments: Cample Number: 135 Type: R	rveyed: 2 Area:		000.00SqFt	PC	I = 64			
Conditions: PCI : 58 nspection Comments:	-		000.00SqFt 230.00 F		I = 64 Comment	s:		
Conditions: PCI : 58 nspection Comments: Sample Number: 135 Type: R ample Comments:	-	4,0	-	rt				
Conditions: PCI: 58 aspection Comments: Cample Number: 135 Type: R ample Comments: L8 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	-	4,( L	230.00 F	't SqFt	Comment	s:		
Conditions: PCI: 58 aspection Comments: Sample Number: 135 Type: R ample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 600 Type: R	-	4,0 L L L	230.00 F 4,000.00 S	't SqFt SqFt	Comment	s:		
Conditions: PCI: 58 nspection Comments: Cample Number: 135 Type: R ample Comments: 18 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING	Area:	4,0 L L L	230.00 F 4,000.00 S 4,000.00 S	rt SqFt SqFt PC	Comment Comment Comment	s: s:		
Conditions: PCI: 58 aspection Comments: Sample Number: 135 Type: R ample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 600 Type: R ample Comments:	Area:	4,0 L L 5,0	230.00 F 4,000.00 S 4,000.00 S 697.66SqFt	rt SqFt SqFt PC	Comment Comment I = 55	s: s:		
Conditions: PCI: 58 aspection Comments: Sample Number: 135 Type: R ample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 53 WEATHERING Sample Number: 600 Type: R ample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 49 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 41 COMMENTS: 42 COMMENTS: 43 COMMENTS: 44 COMMENTS: 45 COMMENTS: 46 COMMENTS: 47 COMMENTS: 48 COMMENTS:	Area:	4,0 L L 5,0 L	230.00 F 4,000.00 S 4,000.00 S 697.66SqFt 318.00 F 6.00 F 5,697.66 S	rt SqFt SqFt PC rt rt SqFt	Comment Comment I = 55 Comment	s: s: s: s:		
Conditions: PCI: 58 aspection Comments: Sample Number: 135 Type: R ample Comments: 18 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 600 Type: R ample Comments: 18 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 18 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 18 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 19 COMMENTS: 10	Area:	4,0 L L 5,0 M	230.00 F 4,000.00 S 4,000.00 S 697.66SqFt 318.00 F 6.00 F 5,697.66 S 5,697.66 S	rt SqFt PC rt rt SqFt SqFt	Comment Comment I = 55 Comment Comment Comment	s: s: s: s: s: s:		
Conditions: PCI: 58 aspection Comments: Sample Number: 135 Type: R ample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 53 WEATHERING Sample Number: 600 Type: R ample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 49 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 40 COMMENTS: 41 COMMENTS: 42 COMMENTS: 43 COMMENTS: 44 COMMENTS: 45 COMMENTS: 46 COMMENTS: 47 COMMENTS: 48 COMMENTS:	Area:	4,0 L L 5,0 L L	230.00 F 4,000.00 S 4,000.00 S 697.66SqFt 318.00 F 6.00 F 5,697.66 S	rt SqFt PC rt rt SqFt SqFt SqFt	Comment Comment I = 55 Comment Comment	s: s: s: s: s: s: s:		

FDOT Report Ger	nerated Date:	September 25, 2013					
Network:		Name: PLANT CITY	AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW A	Name: TAXIWAY A		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	194,037.92SqFt	
Section:	120	of 9 From: -		To: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2001
Surface:	AAC	Family: FDOT-SAP			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	6,040.00SqFt	Length: 2,	500.00Ft Wi	dth: 40.00Ft			
Shoulder:	Street	Type: Grade: (	Lanes: 0				
Section Com	nments:						
Last Insp. I Conditions		Total Samples: 0	Surveyed: 0				
Sample Nu	mber:	Type:	Area:	0.00			

Sample Number: Type: <NO VALID INSPECTIONS>

0.00

FDOT		. 1	25, 2012		speed				
Report Ger Network:	nerated Date: S	-	PLANT CITY AIRPORT						
Branch:	TW A	Name:	TAXIWAY A			Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	194,037.92SqFt	
Section: Surface:	150 AAC	of 9 Fami	From: - ly: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-T	TW-AC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2001 Rank: P
Area:	4,772.66SqFt	L	length: 120.00Ft		Width	n: 35.00Ft			
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0				
	Date: 09/11/20 :: PCI : 50 Comments:	13 Total S	Samples: 1 Su	rveyed:	1				
Sample Nu Sample Con		Ty	ype: R	Area:	4	,772.66SqFt	PCI = 50		
50 PATC					L	88.00 SqFt	Comment	s:	
48 LONG	GITUDINAL/	TRANSV	ERSE CRACKING		L	542.00 Ft	Comment	s:	
	ELING				L	4,111.00 SqFt			
	THERING				L	4,111.00 SqFt			
	ELING CHING				M L	477.00 SqFt 96.00 SqFt			
JU PAIC	UTING				ш	JO.UU SYFL	Comment	· C	

FDOT		1		nspe				
Report Genera Network: PC	ted Date: Septen	mber 25, 2013 me: PLANT C						
Branch: TV	V A Nai	me: TAXIWA	Y A		Use: TAXIWA	Y Area:	194,037.92SqFt	
Section: 16 Surface: AA			m: - T-SAPMP-GA-TW-AC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2001 Rank: P
Area: 5,3	82.81SqFt	Length:	125.00Ft	Wi	dth: 40.00Ft			
Last Insp. Date Conditions: H Inspection Comr		otal Samples:	1 Surveyed:	1				
Sample Numbe Sample Commer		Type: R	Area	1:	5,382.81SqFt	PCI = 55		
1	UDINAL/TRAN	NSVERSE C	RACKING	L	414.00 Ft	Comment	s:	
52 RAVELI				L	4,912.00 SqFt		s:	
57 WEATHE	-			L	4,912.00 SqFt			
52 RAVELI	-			M	222.00 SqF1			
50 PATCHI	N(+			L	72.00 SqF1	t Comment	a :	
50 PATCHI	-			L	176.00 SqFt			

FDOT Report Gei	nerated Date: S	Sentember	25 2013	-		speer		L			
Network:		1	PLANT CITY A	IRPORT							
Branch:	TW A	Name:	TAXIWAY A				Use: TA	XIWAY	Area:	194,037.92SqFt	
Section: Surface:	165 AAC	of 9 Fami	From: - ly: FDOT-SAPI	MP-GA-TW	/-AC		То: -		Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	03/01/2013 Rank: P
Area: Shoulder:	6,228.00SqFt Street T		0	65.00Ft .00	Lanes:	Widt 0	h: 35.00	Ft			
Section Corr	nments:										
			-	Surv	eyed:	1					
Sample Nu Sample Corr		Ту	ype: R		Area:	2	2,323.29SqFt		PCI = 38		
48 LONG		TRANSV	ERSE CRACK	ING		M M	34.01 2,323.27		Comments Comments		

FDOT						•	птерог				
-	enerated Date: S	September	25, 2013								
Network:	PCM	Name:	PLANT CITY	AIRPORT							
Branch:	TW A	Name:	TAXIWAY A				Use: TAX	KIWAY	Area:	194,037.92SqFt	
Section:	170	of 9	From:	_			То: -			Last Const.:	08/01/2013
Surface:	AAC	Famil	y: FDOT-SA	PMP-GA-TW	'-AC				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	4,869.58SqFt	L	ength:	125.00Ft		Width:	40.00F	t			
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade:	0.00	Lanes:	0					
Section Cor											
Last Insp.	*** <b>Pre-Const</b> Date: 11/29/20 s: PCI : 69 Comments:		-	Surv	eyed: 1						

FDOT				-		peen	on nepo				
Report Ge	enerated Date: S	eptember 25	5, 2013								
Network:	РСМ	Name: P	LANT CITY	Y AIRPORT							
Branch:	TW A	Name: T	AXIWAY A	A			Use: TA	AXIWAY	Area:	194,037.92SqFt	
Section:	174	of 9	From:	-			To:	-		Last Const.:	08/01/2013
Surface:	AAC	Family:	FDOT-SA	APMP-GA-TW	/-AC				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	4,273.00SqFt	Len	gth:	100.00Ft		Width:	40.00	OFt			
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade:	0.00	Lanes:	0					
Last Insp. 1	*** <b>Pre-Const</b> Date: 11/29/20 s: PCI: 80			Surv	eyed: 1						
Sample Nu Sample Con		Туре	e: R		Area:	4,2	218.21SqFt		PCI = 80		
	GITUDINAL/	TRANSVER	SE CRA	CKING		L	216.06	Ft	Comment	s:	
	CHING						130.00				

terwork.	PCM	Name: PLANT CITY AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW A	Name: TAXIWAY A	Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	194,037.92SqFt	
Section:	175	of 9 From: -	То: -		Last Const.: 01	/01/200
Surface:	AAC	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TW-AAC		Zone:	Category: R	ank: P
Area:	3,136.50SqFt	Length: 70.00Ft W	idth: 40.00Ft			
Shoulder:	Street Ty	rpe: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0				
Section Com		3 Total Samples: 1 Surveyed: 1				

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 28.00 Ft Comments:

FDOT Report Generated Date: S	eptember 25, 2013	ite msp			
Network: PCM	Name: PLANT CITY AIR	PORT			
Branch: TW HANG	Name: TAXIWAY TO HA	NGAR	Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	53,871.00SqFt
Section: 750 Surface: AC Area: 53,871.00SqFt Shoulder: Street T Section Comments:	of 1 From: - Family: FDOT-SAPMP- Length: 1,000 ype: Grade: 0.00	00Ft V	To: - Vidth: 60.00Ft	Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/20 Category: Rank:
Last Insp. Date: 09/11/20 Conditions: PCI : 100 Inspection Comments:	13 Total Samples: 13	Surveyed: 2			
Sample Number: 102 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type: R	Area:	3,502.00SqFt	PCI = 100	
Sample Number: 203 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type: R	Area:	4,500.00SqFt	PCI = 100	

FDOT Report Gener	rated Date: Se	ptember 2	5, 2013	_		<b>P</b> • • • • •				
Network: P	РСМ	Name: I	PLANT CITY	AIRPORT						
Branch: 1	W T-HANG	Name:	-HANGARS	TAXIWAY			Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	48,804.98SqFt	
	705 AAC	of 4 Family	From: FDOT-SA	- PMP-GA-TW	-AAC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1992 Rank: P
Area: 13 Shoulder:	,043.23SqFt Street Ty		ngth: Grade:	435.00Ft 0.00	Lanes:	Width: 0	30.00Ft			
Section Commo		2 Total Sa			1 4					
Last Insp. Da Conditions: Inspection Con		13 1018158	mples: 4	Surv	eyed: 1					
Sample Numl		Тур	e: R		Area:	3,00	0.00SqFt	PCI = 75		
48 LONGI	TUDINAL/7	FRANSVE	RSE CRAG	CKING		L	334.00 Ft	Comment	s:	

FDOT	anota d Datas C		25 2012						
Network:	PCM	1	PLANT CITY A	AIRPORT					
Branch:	TW T-HANG	Name:	T-HANGARS T	AXIWAY		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	48,804.98SqFt	
Section: Surface:	710 AAC	of 4 Famil	From: - ly: FDOT-SAPI	MP-GA-TW-AAC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1986 Rank: P
Area:	5,895.13SqFt	L	ength: 3	800.00Ft	W	idth: 20.00Ft			
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade: 0	.00 Lane	es: 0				
Section Com	ments:								
Last Insp. D Conditions: Inspection Co	Date: 09/11/20 : PCI: 43 omments:		amples: 2 /pe: R	Surveyed: Area	1	4,000.00SqFt	PCI = 43		
Last Insp. D Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Nur Sample Com	Date: 09/11/20 : PCI : 43 omments: mber: 200 ments:				:				
Last Insp. D Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Nur Sample Com 52 RAVE	Date: 09/11/20 : PCI: 43 omments: mber: 200 ments: CLING	Ту	/pe: R	Area	: L	2,463.00 SqFt	Comments		
Last Insp. D Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Nur Sample Com 52 RAVE 48 LONG	Date: 09/11/20 PCI: 43 omments: mber: 200 ments: SLING SITUDINAL/	Ty	/pe: R ERSE CRACK	Area	: L M	2,463.00 SqFt 132.00 Ft	Comments Comments	:	
Last Insp. D Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Nur Sample Com 52 RAVE 48 LONG	Date: 09/11/20 PCI: 43 omments: mber: 200 ments: LING LING LING LITUDINAL/	Ty	/pe: R	Area	: L	2,463.00 SqFt	Comments	:	

						-					
FDOT Report Ger	nerated Date: S	eptember	25, 2013								
Network:		-	PLANT CITY	AIRPORT							
Branch:	TW T-HANG	Name:	T-HANGARS	S TAXIWAY			Use: TAXIW	VAY	Area:	48,804.98SqFt	
Section:	720	of 4	From:	-			То: -			Last Const.:	01/01/1986
Surface:	AC	Famil	ly: FDOT-SA	PMP-GA-TW	/-AC				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	6,460.00SqFt	L	ength:	323.00Ft		Widt	h: 20.00Ft				
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade:	0.00	Lanes:	0					
Casting Case											
Last Insp. I Conditions:	Date: 09/11/20 : PCI:47	13 Total S	amples: 2	Surv	eyed: 1						
Last Insp. I Conditions: Inspection C Sample Nut	Date: 09/11/20 : PCI: 47 Comments: umber: 100		amples: 2 /pe: R	Surv	eyed: 1 Area:		4,000.00SqFt	PC	I = 47		
Last Insp. I Conditions: Inspection C Sample Nut Sample Com	Date: 09/11/20 : PCI: 47 Comments: umber: 100 uments:		_	Surv			4,000.00SqFt 2,400.00 Sq		[=47 Comments	3:	
Conditions: Inspection C Sample Nu Sample Com 52 RAVE	Date: 09/11/20 : PCI: 47 Comments: umber: 100 uments:	Ту	/pe: R					ſFt			
Last Insp. I Conditions: Inspection C Sample Nu: Sample Com 52 RAVE 48 LONG	Date: 09/11/20 : PCI: 47 Comments: umber: 100 uments: ELING	Ty	/pe: R ERSE CRA(	CKING		L	2,400.00 Sq	[Ft	Comments	5:	

FDOT	ite-mspe		,	
Report Generated Date: September 25, 2013				
Network: PCM Name: PLANT CITY AIRPORT				
Branch: TW T-HANG Name: T-HANGARS TAXIWAY	<i>č</i>	Use: TAX	Area:	48,804.98SqFt
Section: 725 of 4 From: -		То: -		Last Const.: 01/01/1997
Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TV	W-AAC		Zone:	Category: Rank: P
Area: 23,406.62SqFt Length: 600.00Ft	W	idth: 20.00Ft	t	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0			
Section Comments:				
•	eveyed: 2			
Conditions: PCI : 62 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 400 Type: R	Area:	4,912.00SqFt	PCI = 57	
Conditions: PCI : 62 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 400 Type: R Sample Comments:		4,912.00SqFt 42.00 F		_s:
Conditions: PCI: 62 inspection Comments: Sample Number: 400 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Area:		Ft Comment	
Conditions: PCI: 62 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 400 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Area:	42.00 F	Ft Comment SqFt Comment	ts:
Conditions: PCI: 62 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 400 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING	Area: L	42.00 F 4,912.00 S 4,912.00 S 2.00 S	Ft Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment	ts: ts:
Conditions: PCI: 62 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 400 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 54 SHOVING	Area: L L L	42.00 F 4,912.00 S 4,912.00 S	Ft Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment	ts: ts: ts:
Conditions: PCI: 62 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 400 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 54 SHOVING 54 SHOVING Sample Number: 600 Type: R	Area: L L M	42.00 F 4,912.00 S 4,912.00 S 2.00 S	Ft Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment	ts: ts: ts:
Conditions: PCI: 62 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 400 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 54 SHOVING 54 SHOVING Sample Number: 600 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: L L L M L	42.00 F 4,912.00 S 4,912.00 S 2.00 S 2.00 S	Ft Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment PCI = 67	ts: ts: ts: ts:
Conditions: PCI: 62 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 400 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 54 SHOVING 54 SHOVING	Area: L L L M L Area:	42.00 F 4,912.00 S 4,912.00 S 2.00 S 4,770.17SqFt	Ft Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment SqFt Comment PCI = 67 Ft Comment	ts: ts: ts: ts: