# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AVIATION AND SPACEPORT OFFICE



DISTRICT 4 GENERAL AVIATION AIRPORT DECEMBER 2013

# STATEWIDE Airfield Pavement Management P R O G R A M



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exe	ecutive Summary	1
	Introduction	
2.	Airfield Pavement Network Definition and Pavement Inventory	. 18
3.	Airfield Pavement Condition	. 25
4.	Pavement Performance	. 33
5.	Airfield Pavement Maintenance Policies and Costs	. 36
6.	Major Pavement Rehabilitation Needs	. 44
7.	Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Planning	. 47
8.	Visual Aid Exhibits	. 50
9.	Recommendations	. 51

# LIST OF TABLES

Table I: Condition Summary by Branch2
Table II: Condition Summary by Pavement Facility Use
Table III: Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs for Witham Field4
Table IV: 10-Year Preventative Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation5
Table 1-1: Sampling Rate Schedule for SAPMP PCI Survey Inspections
Table 2-1: Recent and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction
Table 2-2: Pavement Inventory Summary
Table 2-3: Airfield Pavement Inventory Details
Table 3-1: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Asphalt Concrete
Table 3-2: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Portland Cement Concrete
Table 3-3: Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary
Table 5-1: Recommended AC, AAC, and APC Maintenance and Repair Policy
Table 5-2: Recommended PCC Maintenance and Repair Policy
Table 5-3: Critical and Minimum Service Level PCI for General Aviation Airports 40
Table 5-4: Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation Activity Based on PCI40
Table 5-5: AC Maintenance Unit Costs 42
Table 5-6: PCC Maintenance Unit Costs

Pavement Evaluation Report - Witham Field

Table 5-7: Rehabilitation Activities and Unit Costs by Condition for General	
Aviation Airports	43
Table 6-1: Summary of Major Rehabilitation	45
Table 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary	47

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Pavement Life Cycle	. 13
Figure 1-2: Flexible Pavement, Asphalt Concrete	. 16
Figure 1-3: Rigid Pavement, Portland Cement Concrete	. 17
Figure 2-1: Airfield Pavement Type	. 22
Figure 3-1: Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary	. 29
Figure 3-2: Percentage of Pavement Area by Condition Rating by Use	. 31
Figure 4-1: Runway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	. 34
Figure 4-2: Taxiway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	. 34
Figure 4-3: Apron Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	. 35
Figure 6-1: 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Budget Scenario Analysis	. 46
Figure 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary	. 48

# **APPENDICES**

Appendix A	Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit
	Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit
	Pavement Geometry Inventory
	Work History Report
Appendix B	Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit
	Pavement Condition Index Inventory
Appendix C	Branch Condition Report
	Section Condition Report
Appendix D	Pavement Performance Prediction Table
	Pavement Performance by Pavement Use
Appendix E	Year-1 Preventative Activities
Appendix F	Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Exhibit
	Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Table
Appendix G	Photographs
Appendix H	Distress Data – Re-inspection Report

# ALL OF FLORIDA

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2012, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Central Aviation Office selected a team lead by *Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.* and including their subconsultants Peneul Consulting, LLC, Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, and All About Pavements, Inc., to provide services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of updating the existing Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP). This work is to be completed over the fiscal years of 2013 and 2014.

The tasks required to achieve this objective at each participating airport specifically included the following:

- Obtain recent construction history from the airport to update the Pavement Network Definition Exhibits using CADD from the previous SAPMP update.
- Update the airport pavement inventory data (construction history, geometry, identification, and classification) based on airport information provided.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP MicroPAVER database files and system tables for the purpose of analyzing field data for Pavement Condition Index (PCI) calculation of current pavement condition
- Development of pavement performance models for the approximation of future pavement performance.
- Development of a maintenance and repair plan, and a 10-year major rehabilitation program to address the pavement needs based on condition.
- Development of planning level opinions of probable costs for pavement preservation and rehabilitation.

In October 2013, a PCI survey inspection was performed at Witham Field. The results of the inspection indicate that, based on ASTM D 5340-11, the airport's airfield pavement facilities had an overall area-weighted average PCI of 77, representing a SATISFACTORY overall network condition. Table I summarizes the overall condition summary by network level branch in comparison to the FDOT recommended minimum service level.

Branch Name	Area Weighted PCI	PCI Range	Average Condition Rating	FDOT Minimum Service Level	MicroPAVER Minimum PCI	Action Required
EAST APRON	81	41 - 96	SATISFACTORY	60	65	Х
HELICOPTER PAD	80	80	SATISFACTORY	60	65	
RUN-UP APRON AT RW 12	94	94	GOOD	60	65	
RUN-UP APRON AT TAXIWAY D	75	75	SATISFACTORY	60	65	
WEST APRON	54	40 - 69	POOR	60	65	Х
RUNWAY 12-30	82	78 - 88	SATISFACTORY	75	65	
RUNWAY 16-34	77	77	SATISFACTORY	75	65	
RUNWAY 7-25	87	87	GOOD	75	65	
TAXIWAY A	87	79 - 94	GOOD	65	65	
Taxiway A1	93	93	GOOD	65	65	
TAXIWAY B	36	34 - 49	VERY POOR	65	65	Х
TAXIWAY C	62	30 - 92	FAIR	65	65	Х
TAXIWAY C1	83	83	SATISFACTORY	65	65	
TAXIWAY D	93	80 - 94	GOOD	65	65	

#### Table I: Condition Summary by Branch

For project level planning and inspection development; the airfield pavement facilities have been divided at the branch level based on facility use and designation, and at the section level based on pavement construction history, composition (e.g. asphalt versus concrete), aircraft traffic operations, and pavement surface conditions. Table II provides the overall area weighted condition of the pavement based on facility branch use.

# Table II: Condition Summary by Pavement Facility Use

Use	Average Area- Weighted PCI	Condition Rating
Runway	82	SATISFACTORY
Taxiway	75	SATISFACTORY
Apron	72	SATISFACTORY

Based on the inspection performed at the airport for this SAPMP update; the current conditions were determined using the collected PCI distress data. PCI values were computed and used to identify pavement facilities that were below the defined critical PCI as sections that would benefit from immediate major rehabilitation activity. These pavement sections that were determined to be below the critical PCI would most likely benefit from long-term major rehabilitative construction activity rather than localized, short-term maintenance and repairs.

The Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs, or projects that are recommended to be completed because the pavement is below the critical PCI, were developed on the assumption that there is an unlimited repair budget. These projects include:

- East Apron Section 4235
  - Mill and Overlay attributed to distresses related to subgrade quality, climate, and age of pavement.
- West Apron Sections 4125, 4110, 4108, and 4107
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to loading and construction quality.
- West Apron Section 4105
  - Reconstruction attributed to distresses related to subgrade quality, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway C Section 330
  - Reconstruction attributed to distresses related to subgrade quality, climate, loading, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B Section 208
  - Million and Overlay attributed to distresses related to climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B Section 205
  - Reconstruction attributed to distresses related to loading, climate, and age of pavement.

The section level projects that were identified as Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs are in Table III.

Branch ID	Section ID	Major Rehabilitation Costs	PCI Before M&R	Rehabilitation Activity	PCI After M&R
AP E	4235	\$ 658,321.33	41	Mill and Overlay	100
AP W	4125	\$ 120,499.99	54	PCC Restoration	100
AP W	4110	\$ 743,741.44	42	PCC Restoration	100
AP W	4108	\$ 373,466.90	49	PCC Restoration	100
AP W	4107	\$ 613,575.11	45	PCC Restoration	100
AP W	4105	\$ 866,010.20	40	Reconstruction	100
TW C	330	\$ 2,013,315.48	30	Reconstruction	100
TW B	208	\$ 154,535.35	49	Mill and Overlay	100
TW B	205	\$ 917,595.22	34	Reconstruction	100
	Total =	\$6,461,061.02			

Table III <sup>.</sup> Year-1	Major Rehabilitation	Needs for Witham Field

The SAPMP uses historic pavement condition data from the previous inspections to develop pavement performance models. These pavement performance models are used to create PCI prediction curves to estimate future pavement conditions based on the historic trends. The section areas, prediction curves, and current condition data were used to develop a 10-year major rehabilitation program. Major rehabilitation costs for each year of the 10-year program are based on general unit costs for pavement repairs and not detailed cost estimates that are typically prepared for a construction set of bid documents. Additionally, preventative maintenance level repair budgets were estimated for a 10-year duration. Table IV provides an annual summary of the 10-year Preventative Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation planning level cost opinions for the airfield pavement facilities at the airport. Refer to Section 6 of this report for additional information.

Year	Preventative		Preventative Major M&R		Tc	Total Year Cost	
2014	\$	581,668.69	\$	6,461,061.02	\$	7,042,729.71	
2015	\$	725,636.79	\$	-	\$	725,636.79	
2016	\$	879,030.57	\$	-	\$	879,030.57	
2017	\$	1,029,642.17	\$	-	\$	1,029,642.17	
2018	\$	888,458.52	\$	6,892,052.87	\$	7,780,511.40	
2019	\$	1,045,185.14	\$	554,132.98	\$	1,599,318.12	
2020	\$	1,224,109.71	\$	732,634.63	\$	1,956,744.34	
2021	\$	1,386,360.74	\$	-	\$	1,386,360.74	
2022	\$	1,261,111.02	\$	6,670,506.91	\$	7,931,617.93	
2023	\$	1,379,901.20	\$	148,900.71	\$	1,528,801.91	
Total		\$10,401,104.55		\$21,459,289.12	\$	31,860,393.68	


Table IV: 10-Year	Preventative	Maintenance	and Major	Rehabilitation
	Treventative	mannenance	and major	Rendbindation

The success of the repair program for your airport depends on the timely implementation of preservation, localized maintenance and repairs, and major rehabilitation work activities. If work is completed as scheduled, your airport will probably experience an improvement to the overall area-weighted average PCI. Though this analysis was performed with the assumption of an "unlimited budget", the purpose has been to identify specific projects over the course of 10-years for each pavement section where the condition is projected to fall below the critical PCI. The costs depicted in this study are intended to aid the airports in planning level budgets. Prior to construction work, it is recommended that the airport perform additional investigation at the design level to better estimate costs associated with the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation activity discussed.

# ANE OF FLORIDE

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The State of Florida has more than 100 public airports that are vital to the Florida economy as well as the economy of the United States. The aviation system in Florida allows the State to capitalize on an increasingly global marketplace. Florida's system of commercial service and general aviation airports are important to businesses throughout the entire State. Air travel is essential to tourism, Florida's number one industry.



There are millions of square feet of pavement infrastructure that consists of runways, taxiways, aprons, ramps, and other areas of airports that are vital to the support and safety of aircraft operations. Timely pavement maintenance repair and major rehabilitation of these pavements will support the airport in operating safely, efficiently, economically and without excessive down time.

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Central Aviation Office implemented the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) in 1992. In 2012, the FDOT Central Aviation Office selected a team led by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and including Peneul Consulting, LLC, Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, and All About Pavements, Inc., to provide services in support of the Central Aviation Office Program Manager. The continued evaluation and update of the existing SAPMP is to be completed over fiscal years 2013 and 2014.

This individual airport airfield pavement evaluation report discusses the work performed, a summary of findings, condition analysis results, and recommendations for maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning associated with the SAPMP update. It also briefly describes the procedures used to ensure that the appropriate engineering and scientific standards of care, quality, budget, schedules, and safety requirements were implemented during the performance of this work.

## 1.1 Purpose of Pavement Evaluation Report

The purpose of this Airfield Pavement Evaluation Report is to:

- Describe, briefly, the SAPMP goals, procedures, and responsibilities of the program's participants.
- Provide a brief technical explanation on pavement management principles, standard practices, objectives, and benefits of implementation.
- Outline procedures used to coordinate, collect, evaluate and report pavement inspection results at this airport.
- Analyze and utilize condition results for the development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation based on pavement performance trends.

# 1.2 FDOT Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program

In 1992, the FDOT implemented the SAPMP to improve the knowledge of pavement conditions at public airports in the Florida Airports System, identify maintenance and rehabilitation needs at each airport, automate pavement infrastructure information management, and establish standards to address future needs. The 1992 SAPMP implementation provided the FDOT and the participating airports valuable information for establishing and performing timely and appropriate pavement rehabilitation.

During the 1992-1993 implementations and again during the 1998-1999 updates; the SAPMP performed the development of proprietary software for pavement

management system analysis. This development allowed for the creation of pavement management database file system populated with airport attributes and condition data. The pavement management database was used to establish maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation (M&R) policies, M&R budget costs, and the development of recommendations for performing routine pavement preservation maintenance. This system, known as AIRPAV, was initially developed during the 1992-1993 SAPMP implementation for the analysis of distress data. The AIRPAV system was used again in the 1998-1999 SAPMP update.

In 2004, the SAPMP update included the review of the AIRPAV software compared to other industry available non-proprietary software packages. As a result of this review, MicroPAVER was selected for implementation of the system update. MicroPAVER was developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering Research Laboratory for the purpose of pavement management. Data from the 1998-1999 FDOT SAPMP update, which was built upon the initial 1992-1993 implementation of AIRPAV, was reviewed and converted to be compatible with the MicroPAVER system. This data conversion included all documented pavement facility, classification, type, history, geometry, PCI condition data and pertinent attributes gathered from airport feedback at the time. This information was used to develop the inventory of each participating airport's pavement facilities in a consistent format. This was the development of Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits. These inventory exhibits visually depicted the branch, section, and sample units that were based upon the pavement construction history and composition information provided by each airport.

In 2006-2008, the SAPMP was updated again with continued use of the MicroPAVER system. Based on the distress data collected, a maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning program was developed for each airport. As part of this SAPMP update, the procedures for the inspection and the collection of the pavement distress data were documented, and an interactive website (http://www.dot.state.fl.us/aviation/pavement.shtm) was established for input of data.

In 2010-2012, the SAPMP was updated using new GPS integrated technology to digitally collect pavement distress data. Interactive GIS map files were developed from updated Airfield Pavement Network Definition Maps to aid pavement condition inspectors in the collection of sample distress data. The



data collected was utilized to develop pavement performance models to predict future pavement PCI values and make recommendations for major rehabilitation.

Currently, airports participating in the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Grant Program are required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to develop and implement a pavement maintenance program to be eligible for funding (FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements). This program requires detailed inspection of airfield pavement conditions by trained personnel. The inspections are required to be performed at least once a year or every three years, if the pavement is inspected in accordance to the PCI survey procedure (such as ASTM International D 5340 Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys). The previous 2010-2012 SAPMP update utilized the ASTM D 5340-04 released in 2004, in lieu of the 2010/2011 edition, in order to maintain consistent database integrity and benefit of pavement performance models from previous inspections.

# 1.3 Organization

#### FDOT Central Aviation Office Program Manager

The FDOT Central Office Airport Engineering Manager serves as the Aviation Office Program Manager (AO-PM) for the SAPMP. The AO-PM monitors the work performed by the Consultant. The AO-PM has review and approval authority for each program task and manages the day-to-day details of the SAPMP and the pertinent updates.

The AO-PM reports updates and milestones to the FDOT State Aviation Manager and Aviation Development Administrator.

#### Consultant

The Consultant, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and their team consisting of Peneul Consulting, LLC, Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, and All About Pavements, Inc. provide technical and administrative assistance to the AO-PM during the execution of the update to the SAPMP. The efforts include updating the airport pavement inventory data, performing the condition survey inspections, evaluating the airfield pavement conditions and updating the SAPMP based upon procedures outlined in the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements and ASTM D 5340.

#### Airport Role

The airports are the ultimate client for each condition survey inspection performed at their respective airfields as part of the SAPMP. The individual airports will be provided final deliverables prepared by the Consultant that have been reviewed and approved by the AO-PM. The airport should provide a current Airport Layout Plan (ALP) to the Consultant and, if they participated in the previous SAPMP, indicate any construction activity that has been performed since the previous inspections.

#### FDOT District Offices

The seven FDOT District Offices, specifically the Aviation Representatives, provide vital support to the SAPMP update and the AO-PM. Each District supports the SAPMP's on-going efforts of provided representative construction trend costs and practices through the Florida Airports System. Each District Office receives copies of individual Airfield Pavement Evaluation Reports for the airport facilities located within their respective districts.

#### 1.4 Introduction to Pavement Types and Pavement Management

#### **Pavement Basics**

A pavement is a prepared surface designed to provide a continuous smooth ride at all taxi, takeoff, and landing speeds and to support an estimated amount of traffic loading for a certain number of years. Pavements are composed of a combination of constructed layers of subgrade soils, subbases, base course material, and surface level courses. There are mainly two types of pavements:

- Flexible Pavement, a composition of bituminous asphalt concrete (AC) surface, base, and subbase layers.
- Rigid Pavement, a composition of Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) surface, base, and subbase layers.

Both pavement types use a combination of layered materials and thicknesses in order to support the traffic loads (both magnitude and repeated application) and protect the underlying subgrade soil. Flexible pavements dissipate applied loads from layer to layer until the load magnitude is small enough to be supported by the subgrade soil. In rigid pavements, the PCC layer supports the majority of the structural load applied, and the base or subbase layer is constructed to provide a smooth, level, and continuous platform that provides uniform support for PCC slabs. A small percentage of airfield pavements within the Florida Airports System are composed of hybrid 'composite pavement' sections that may include both AC pavement and PCC pavement. The two known composite pavements are AC surface over PCC (APC) and PCC over AC (White Topping).


Due to the different nature of the pavement types, construction, and their materials; flexible and rigid pavements have different modes of failure and fatigue. This results in varying deterioration and distress development. Understanding the mechanics and modes of failure of the pavement types will assist the engineers in making timely, adequate, consistent, and economical maintenance repairs and major rehabilitation to the pavement structures at each airfield.

#### The Concept of an Airfield Pavement Management System

The SAPMP is a program that provides the Florida Airports System an opportunity to implement and/or maintain a proactive Airfield Pavement Management System (APMS) in a consistent manner at a regular schedule. The SAPMP Airfield Pavement Management System consists of pavement inventory, pavement construction and history, condition survey inspections, pavement performance modeling, maintenance recommendations, and major rehabilitation planning. The various elements of the APMS are used by experienced engineers to identify critical pavement preservation pavements, make or rehabilitation recommendations, and approximate pavement performance. The APMS as a whole is used by an airport's stakeholders, managing agencies, engineers, and planners as a tool in decision making for future project planning, budgeting, and scheduling of activities for its airfield pavement infrastructure.

A benefit of an active APMS is it provides an understanding of an airport's pavement performance trends for the purpose of project planning. Based on the performance trend of their pavements, an airport can schedule pavement maintenance and rehabilitation prior to when the pavement section has deteriorated to a condition that would require reconstruction. The use of pavement performance trends will help airports plan M&R and Rehabilitation projects in a manner and sequence that maximizes benefit and minimizes costs. Figure 1-1, which is based upon the FAA Advisory Circular 150 5380-7A Airport *Pavement Management Program*, illustrates how pavement generally deteriorates over time and the relative cost of rehabilitation and reconstruction throughout its life.

#### Figure 1-1: Pavement Life Cycle



Source: FAA Advisory Circular 150 5380-7A Airport Pavement Management Program

Note that during approximately the first 75% of a pavement's life, it performs relatively well. After that, however, it begins to deteriorate rapidly. The number of years a pavement stays in 'Good' and 'Satisfactory' conditions depends on how well it is proactively maintained. As the Figure 1-1 demonstrates, the cost of maintaining the pavement above critical condition before rapid deterioration occurs is much less compared to maintaining pavements after substantial deterioration has occurred.

Pavements tend to deteriorate at an accelerated rate when actual traffic loading exceeds the original design assumptions and when limited resources are available for maintenance and repair (M&R) efforts. Planned maintenance and rehabilitation, essentially preserving pavements and delaying condition deterioration, help airport (managers, agencies, and engineers) maximize the use of their budgets and prolong the life of their pavements. An APMS provides a tool to schedule planned maintenance and major rehabilitation efforts based on a consistent methodology of condition assessment. This consistent methodology of pavement condition assessment allows for the development of pavement performance models to help forecast future pavement conditions.

Part of the implementation of the APMS is the clear identification and inventorying of pavement infrastructure that needs to be managed specifically within the airport (owner, manager, and agencies) responsibility. Another aspect of the APMS is development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation policies that align with the expectations of pavement performance and are based on ability to fund the types of work identified. Once there is an understanding of the cause and extent of pavement distresses, appropriate maintenance and rehabilitation can be planned. By using representative construction costs based on historic bid trends; planning level budget costs can be developed on a multiyear duration.

#### Airfield Pavement Inspection Methodology for the SAPMP

Pavement condition assessment requires the application of professional judgments regarding the condition of the pavement. The SAPMP airfield pavement condition survey inspections assess pavement, comparing it to a set of standards in ASTM D 5340-11. As part of this update, SAPMP has adopted the changes made in updates to ASTM D 5340-11. These include the separation of Weathering and Raveling into two distinct flexible pavement distresses, and the addition of the Alkali-Silica Reactivity distress for rigid pavement distresses. The change in distress classification, as described in ASTM D 5340-11, may result in small variances in the PCI values from the previous inspection analysis.

The pavement condition surveys assess the functional condition of the pavement surface based on surface distresses as defined by the ASTM D 5340-11. Typically, deficiencies within a pavement structure will eventually reflect to the pavement surface as distresses described within ASTM D 5340-11. The SAPMP is specifically a visual evaluation and analysis based on the ASTM D 5340-11. The structural condition and relative support of the pavement layers can be directly quantified using non-destructive deflection testing (NDT) as well as other indepth engineering evaluation or sampling and testing methods.

For the SAPMP update, only visual surveys were performed. Further structural and geotechnical testing should be conducted to determine design level rehabilitation and/or reconstruction needs should the airport proceed to the design process.

In preparation for the PCI survey inspections, the airfield pavements for each airport are divided into branches, sections, and sample units as established by FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B and ASTM D 5340. Further discussion of the process of inventorying and categorizing pavement facilities by use,

composition, and history can be found in SECTION 2 AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION and PAVEMENT INVENTORY.


Sample units are uniformly divided areas of pavement that are defined for inspection. Sample unit sizes are approximately  $5,000 \pm 2,000$  square feet for flexible AC pavements and  $20 \pm 8$  slabs for rigid PCC pavements. Prior to conducting the field condition survey inspections, the sampling plan was developed for the airfield pavements based on updates to the previous inspection sampling based on the available knowledge of construction updates. The sample rate adopted for the SAPMP is depicted on Table 1-1.

Flexible Pavements Asphalt Concrete				Rigid Pavements Portland Cement Concrete			
	Number of Sa	of Sample Units to Inspect			Number of Sample Units to Inspect		
Number of Sample Units in Section	Runway	Taxiways, Aprons, Others		Number of Sample Units in Section	Runway	Taxiways, Aprons, Others	
1 - 4	1	1		1 - 3	1	1	
5 - 10	2	1		4 - 6	2	1	
11 - 15	3	2		7 - 10	3	2	
16 - 30	5	3		11 - 15	4	2	
31 - 40	7	4		16 - 20	5	3	
41 - 50	8	5		21 - 30	7	3	
				31 - 40	8	4	
≥ 51	20% but ≤	10% but < 10		41 - 50	10	5	
≥ 51	20 10% but ≤ 10			≥ 51	20% but ≤ 20	10% but ≤ 10	


#### Table 1-1: Sampling Rate Schedule for SAPMP PCI Survey Inspections

The sample units to be inspected were determined through a systematic random sampling technique to provide an unbiased representation of sample units for each pavement facility. The sample unit locations had been determined in such a way that they are distributed evenly throughout each defined pavement section area. In certain cases when no representative distresses are observed in the field, additional sample units were added.

The distress quantities and severity levels from each inspected sample unit are used to compute the PCI value and rating for each Section using the ASTM D 5340-11 and MicroPAVER software. Figures 1-2 and 1-3 depict graphical representations of the color ranges associated with PCI values and ranges with a photograph of airfield pavement that exhibited the conditions for both flexible and rigid pavements respectively.



#### Figure 1-2: Flexible Pavement, Asphalt Concrete



Using the ASTM D 5340-11 standard seven qualitative ranges, the SAPMP provides a PCI value and a standard qualitative condition rating for the pavement facilities inspected.



# 2. AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION AND PAVEMENT INVENTORY

Martin County Airport/Witham Field (SUA) is located 1 mile southeast of the central business district of the city of Stuart in Martin County, Florida. Directly regulated by the Martin County Board of Commissioners, the airport focuses primarily on serving general aviation aircraft. The airport facility includes three runways: Runway 7-25 (4,652' x 100'), Runway 12-30 (5,828' x 100'), and Runway 16-34 (4,998' x 100'). Runway 12-30 and Runway 16-34 are both served by full-length parallel taxiways, with Runway 7-25 having a partial parallel taxiway which then turns and ties directly into the main apron which is located on the south side of the airport.

It is important to note that the aforementioned runway data in addition to the remaining airfield pavement facilities geometric attributes may vary slightly from the geometry used in the condition exhibit in Appendix B and the major rehabilitation exhibit in Appendix F based on field measurements.

Martin County Airport/Witham Field was originally constructed in 1942 by Martin County and was almost immediately leased to the federal government for use as a military training field during World War II. In 1947, it was decommissioned and the property was returned to Martin County until the 1950's, when it was leased to Northrop Grumman to conduct flight-testing and manufacturing of parts and subassemblies. In 1994, Northrop Grumman downsized their Witham Field operation and much of the property was again returned to Martin County. The County, in turn, hired an Airport Director to manage the property which contains an operational air traffic control tower and two fixed base operators (FBO). This airport is designated as a General Aviation airport and is located in District 4 of the Florida Department of Transportation.

# 2.1 Network Definition

The airfield pavements within each airport network are separated into manageable units within the FDOT SAPMP MicroPAVER database system, organizing pavement data by similar use and constructive history.

#### Branch and Section Identification

Each airport's airfield pavement network is generally subdivided into separate Branches (runways, taxiways, aprons/ramps, or others) that have distinctly  $\overline{()}$ 

different functional identifications and uses. Each Branch is further subdivided into Sections as defined by pavement location, composition, and construction history. A Section is typically understood to be a project level subdivision within a Branch feature. Sections are manageable units to organize data collection and are treated individually during the maintenance and major rehabilitation planning process. A pavement rank (primary, secondary, or tertiary) is assigned to each Section based on its importance and type of use to airport operations. The pavement rankings designated for each section at this airport were defined by the previous SAPMP, unless changes were communicated by the airport. These Sections are further subdivided into condition survey sample units based on the methodology described in ASTM D 5340.

#### Airfield Pavement System Inventory and Network Definition Update

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory and Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits are developed individually for each participating airport. Based on information requested of and provided by the airport, the airfield pavements are evaluated on designation updates, and recent or anticipated pavement construction activity. As mentioned previously, a Section is defined partially by its construction history; this variable that factored in the performance and condition of the pavement section.

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit, Figure A-2 in Appendix A, is a snapshot of recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction activity communicated by the airport since the last SAPMP update. Construction activities identified include maintenance and repair activity, major rehabilitation, and airfield pavement expansion efforts. Maintenance and repair activity may include; surface treatments, crack sealing, patching, slab replacement, and others. Both maintenance and rehabilitation activities are identified at the pavement section level. This type of work may result in an increase in overall Section PCI since the last inspection. Major rehabilitation efforts may include; asphalt milling and overlay, and full depth pavement reconstruction. This type of effort will result in a resetting of the pavement section PCI value to 100 due to the nature of the work. Lastly, airfield pavement expansions are accounted for as new inventory and assigned a section PCI of 100. Typically the new pavement sections are not inspected due to its condition; however these pavements are incorporated into the SAPMP pavement database. When possible, these changes are reflected in the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit, in Appendix A, prior to the field inspection. The

updates are typically discussed and confirmed with airport personnel at the beginning and end of condition survey inspections to ensure accuracy.

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit depicts the airport's pavement limits with Branch and Section delineations. This exhibit also includes the subdivision on Section areas into sample units and is used to identify those sample units that are to be inspected. The previous SAPMP Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits were used as a base. Updates and information provided by each airport was reviewed and the exhibits were revised appropriately. Characteristics that are considered include; airfield configuration, branch designations (magnetic declination, Airport Layout Plan updates) and pavement composition. The exhibit serves not only as a primary guide for the airfield inspectors but also allows specific distresses found in the re-inspection report to be geographically located.

Due to recent and anticipated construction efforts; pavement area sections may have been consolidated and created which will affect the total number of sample units to be inspected based upon the methods described in ASTM D 5340 and from the sampling rate schedule. Table 2-1 summarizes the recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction efforts communicated by the airport.

Construction Year	Section Location	Work Type/Pavement Section
2015	RUNWAY 12-30 & 16-34	MILL AND RESURFACE
2017	TAXIWAY	IMPROVEMENTS

Table 2 1 Decond	· and /or Anticipated	Airfield Dovement	Construction
I ADIE Z-I, RECEIII	and/or Anticipated	Allielu Pavellielli	CONSTRUCTION

#### Airfield Pavement Network Definition & Geographic Information System (GIS)

As part of this SAPMP update, geographic information system (GIS), global positioning system (GPS), and digital data collection were integrated into the Pavement Inspection Methodology at each airport. Using AutoCAD Civil 3D, ArcMap, ArcPad, and FDOT Survey and Mapping Office Aerial Photography; digital navigation maps have been developed for each airport to represent the SAPMP pavement inventory attributes. These navigation maps were used with field data tablets to assist survey teams as they performed condition inspections by navigating pavement infrastructure and collecting distress data.


#### 2.2 Pavement Inventory

The detailed pavement inventory database was updated to reflect the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit, in Appendix A, updates and field inspection results. Table 2-2 and Figure 2-1 provides a summary of the pavement inventory attributes at Witham Field-(SUA) for this SAPMP update.

Table 2-2. Pavement inventory summary						
Airfield Pavement Network Definition						
Number of Branches		14				
Number of Sections		42				
Sample Units		146				
Airfield	Pavement l	Jse				
Use	Area (SF)	Relative Area (%)				
Runway	1,536,823	42%				
Taxiway	960,152	26%				
Apron	1,185,546	32%				
Total =	3,682,521	100%				
Airfield	Pavement T	уре				
Туре	Area (SF)	Relative Area (%)				
Asphalt Concrete (AC)	1,916,705	52%				
Asphalt Overlay (AAC)	1,099,483	30%				
Portland Cement Concrete (PCC)	148,533	4%				
AC over PCC (APC)	517,800	14%				

#### Table 2-2: Pavement Inventory Summary

#### Figure 2-1: Airfield Pavement Type



Specific details to each Branch and Section such as; name, geometry, age, rank, surface type, and construction history are provided in Table 2-3.

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	True Area (SF)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
RUNWAY 16-34	RW 16-34	6305	477,366	S	AAC	1/1/1985	20	96
RUNWAY 7-25	RW 7-25	6205	476,657	S	AAC	1/1/2010	20	96
RUNWAY 12-30	RW 12-30	6120	47,800	Р	APC	1/1/1998	3	10
RUNWAY 12-30	RW 12-30	6105	470,000	Р	APC	1/1/1998	20	94
RUNWAY 12-30	RW 12-30	6102	65,000	Р	AC	1/1/1998	3	13
RUN-UP APRON AT TAXIWAY D	AP TW D RU	5105	20,042	Р	AC	1/1/2010	1	4
HELICOPTER PAD	AP H	4505	27,270	Р	AC	1/1/2010	1	5
RUN-UP APRON AT RW 12	AP RU	4305	7,180	Р	AC	1/1/2008	1	2
EAST APRON	AP E	4235	45,261	Р	AC	12/25/1999	2	11
EAST APRON	AP E	4231	17,884	Р	AC	7/1/2011	1	4
EAST APRON	AP E	4230	114,996	Р	AC	1/1/2000	3	24
EAST APRON	AP E	4229	132,210	Р	AC	1/1/2003	3	25
EAST APRON	AP E	4227	98,326	Р	AC	1/1/2000	3	20
EAST APRON	AP E	4225	17,825	Р	AC	1/1/2011	1	4
EAST APRON	AP E	4220	32,840	Р	AC	12/25/1999	1	8
EAST APRON	AP E	4215	49,210	Р	AC	12/25/1999	2	12
EAST APRON	AP E	4210	27,315	Р	AC	12/25/1999	1	7
EAST APRON	AP E	4205	212,528	Р	AC	12/25/1999	5	44
WEST APRON	AP W	4125	12,050	Р	PCC	1/1/2006	1	2
WEST APRON	AP W	4120	142,350	Р	AC	12/25/1999	4	35
WEST APRON	AP W	4115	34,042	Р	AC	12/25/1999	1	9

Table 2-3: Airfield Pavement Inventory Details

	TATE O	FLORIDS
~		- 1 ×
H	EPART	
	THENTON	NSPOT
	OF	TRA

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	True Area (SF)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	52,617	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	2	11
WEST APRON	AP W	4108	35,266	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	2	7
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	48,600	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	2	11
WEST APRON	AP W	4105	57,734	Р	AC	12/25/1999	2	11
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	505	47,957	Р	AC	1/1/2010	2	13
TAXIWAY D	TW D	412	12,313	Р	AC	1/1/2010	1	2
TAXIWAY D	TW D	405	194,959	Р	AC	1/1/2010	6	53
TAXIWAY C	TW C	330	134,221	Р	AC	12/25/1999	3	23
TAXIWAY C	TW C	325	11,412	Р	AC	1/1/2008	1	2
TAXIWAY C	TW C	310	89,071	Р	AC	1/1/2010	4	22
TAXIWAY C	TW C	306	13,276	Р	AC	1/1/2010	1	2
TAXIWAY C	TW C	305	84,032	Р	AC	1/1/2010	4	22
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	208	14,524	Р	AC	1/1/2010	1	4
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	205	61,173	Р	AC	1/1/1942	3	12
	TW A	136	3,434	Р	AC	1/1/2008	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	135	2,735	Р	AC	1/1/2008	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	130	17,932	Р	AC	1/1/2010	1	4
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	125	14,021	Р	AC	1/1/2010	1	3
TAXIWAY A	TW A	110	145,460	Р	AAC	1/1/2008	5	29
TAXIWAY A	TW A	105	81,771	Р	AC	1/1/2008	4	23
TAXIWAY A	TW A	102	31,861	Р	AC	1/1/2008	2	7

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER. \* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey.

# 3. AIRFIELD PAVEMENT CONDITION

Airfield pavement distresses and condition were surveyed in accordance with the methods outlined in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B and ASTM D 5340-11. These procedures define distress type, severity, and quantity for sampling areas within each defined pavement section area to analyze and determine the PCI value and condition rating.

The program has been updated from ASTM D 5340-04, released in 2004, to ASTM D 5340-11, released in 2011, for this SAPMP update. The primary updates include the separation of certain distress types and the addition of new types with corresponding changes to PCI calculation. These changes in distress classification may result in small variances in the PCI values from the previous inspection analyses.

### 3.1 Inspection Methodology

A pavement condition survey inspection is performed by measuring the amount and severity of defined pavement distresses observed within the boundaries of sample units. These distresses, as defined by ASTM D 5340, are generally caused by traffic fatigue loading, exposure to climate and elements, and other airfield specific factors. This data is collected by field personnel experienced in pavement condition survey inspection. Data collection is then transferred into the FDOT MicroPAVER database system. MicroPAVER is used to calculate PCI values using the methodology described in ASTM D 5340-11. The values are calculated for each sample and extrapolated on a Section level to determine an area-weighted PCI value ranging from 0 to 100 and one of seven condition ratings. Tables 3-1 and 3-2 describe the distresses as defined by the ASTM D 5340-11 and adopted for the SAPMP procedures.

Code	Distress	Primary Mechanisms
41	Alligator Cracking	Load / Fatigue Failure
42	Bleeding	Construction Quality/ Mix Design
43	Block Cracking	Climate / Age
44	Corrugation	Load / Construction Quality
45	Depression	Subgrade Quality
46	Jet Blast	Aircraft
47	Joint Reflection - Cracking	Climate / Prior Pavement
48	Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking	Climate / Age
49	Oil Spillage	Aircraft / Vehicle
50	Patching	Utility / Pavement Repair
51	Polished Aggregate	Repeated Traffic Loading
52	Raveling	Climate / Load
53	Rutting	Repeated Traffic Loading
54	Shoving	PCC Pavement Growth / Movement
55	Slippage Cracking	Load / Pavement Bond
56	Swelling	Climate / Subgrade Quality
57	Weathering	Climate

# Table 3-1: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Asphalt Concrete

Source: U.S. Army CERL, FDOT Airfield Inspection Reference Manual

Code	Distress	Primary Mechanisms
61	Blow-up	Climate / Alkali Silica Reaction
62	Corner Break	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses
63	Linear Cracking	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses / Shrinkage Stresses
64	Durability Cracking	Freeze-Thaw Cycling
65	Joint Seal Damage	Material Deterioration / Construction Quality
66	Small Patch	Pavement Repair
67	Large Patch/Utility Cut	Utility / Pavement Repair
68	Popout	Freeze-Thaw Cycling
69	Pumping	Load Repetition / Poor Joint Sealant
70	Scaling/Crazing	Construction Quality / Freeze- Thaw Cycling
71	Faulting	Load Repetition / Subgrade Quality
72	Shattered Slab	Overloading
73	Shrinkage Cracking	Construction Quality / Load
74	Joint Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material
75	Corner Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material
76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	Construction Quality / Climate

Table 3-2 <sup>.</sup> Airfield	Pavement Distres	ses for Portland	Cement Concrete

Source: U.S. Army CERL, FDOT Airfield Inspection Reference Manual

### 3.2 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Results

From the condition survey inspection performed in 2013 at Witham Field, the overall weighted average PCI value is 77 representing a condition rating of SATISFACTORY.

The airport's airfield pavements exhibited distresses typically associated with climate, age, and loading fatigue based distresses. The predominant AC pavement distresses observed include: weathering, raveling, and longitudinal/transverse cracking. The predominate PCC pavement distresses observed include: longitudinal/transverse/diagonal cracking, joint seal damage, corner spalling, joint spalling, and corner break.

The runways exhibited pavement condition indices ranging from 77-88. Pavements on the runways exhibited low severity raveling; low severity longitudinal/transverse cracking; and low severity weathering. These are climate and age related distresses. The use of rejuvenator has retarded the progression of raveling to an extent. Runway 7-25 exhibited some swelling along the pavement edge. There is not a large quantity of swelling, but it should be monitored by Airport staff.

The parallel and connector taxiways were in Satisfactory to Good condition. Distresses and severities were similar to those found on the runways.

The remaining taxiways and apron pavement conditions varied greatly. The aprons east of Taxiway C are in Satisfactory to Good condition. Aprons west of Taxiway C ranged from Very Poor to Fair condition. The western AC pavements exhibited low severity longitudinal/transverse cracking; low severity weathering; low and medium severity raveling; and low severity depression. These are climate, age, and subgrade quality related distresses.

Appendix B contains Table B-1 and an Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit, Figure B-1, which depicts the PCI results by Section, and Appendix C contains MicroPAVER reports of PCI results by Branch and Section. Appendix H includes detailed distress data generated by MicroPAVER for each inspected sample unit.

The pavement condition at Witham Field is represented in Figure 3-1 in accordance with the condition categories and PCI scale referenced in ASTM D 5340. Further detail is provided in Table 3-3 which describes the breakdown of the airport's airfield conditions according to area and use.

Appendix B contains Table B-1 summarizes the Section Condition values and the Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit, Figure B-1, that depicts the PCI results by Section. Appendix H is dedicated to the reporting of the specific airfield pavement distress data collected at the time of the inspection for this update.





Figure 3-1: Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary

Table 3-3. Pavement Condition index Rating Summary						
Airfield Pavement Use						
Use	Average Area- Weighted PCI	Condition Rating				
Runway	82	SATISFACTORY				
Taxiway	75	SATISFACTORY				
Apron	72	SATISFACTORY				
	Condition Area					
Condition Rating	Area (SF)	Relative Area (%)				
Good	1,320,460	36%				
Satisfactory	1,696,908	45%				
Fair	203,707	6%				
Poor	208,318	6%				
Very Poor	253,128	7%				
Serious	-	0%				
Failed	-	0%				


### Table 3-3: Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary


Approximately 81% of the airfield network is in Good and Satisfactory condition, while 13% of the network is in a Poor to Very Poor condition. Table 3-3 provides a breakdown of total area for each pavement by condition rating. Figures 3.2 a, b, c depict the condition rating of the airfield pavement by Branch Use. Photographs taken during the condition survey inspection are included in Appendix G. The photographs included are intended to be representative of the distress observed.

Figure 3-2: Percentage of Pavement Area by Condition Rating by Use



#### (b) Taxiway





### Page| 32

# COF FLOW

# 4. PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE

Pavement performance models are developed from the distress data collected for the SAPMP for the Florida Airports System. This data is consolidated in a database and organized by inspection date, pavement type, age, pavement use, and airport category. The pavement performance models are used to develop broad prediction models, also known as pavement condition deterioration curves.

The consolidation of the Florida Airports System's pavement infrastructure within the FDOT SAPMP is based on data that have been collected in a consistent method of measurement. The historic pavement condition, or performance trend, has been compiled throughout the system with data from the inception of the SAPMP. This data is processed into models that have been analyzed and developed into prediction curves based upon pavement characteristics. These characteristics include; climate, construction material, and operations. Each model has been developed based on the following criteria:


AIRPORT TYPE (Primary, Regional Reliever, or General Aviation)

>FACILITY USE (Runway, Taxiway, or Apron)

>>FACILITY SURFACE TYPE (AC, AAC, APC, or PCC)


The historic trends of pavement performance at Florida airport facilities for all performance models are consolidated within the program database. This information is utilized in the prediction of pavement performance based on the current PCI determined from the inspections that took place between 2013 and 2014. Major rehabilitation is planned based on the predicted PCI. The intent of this is for both the individual airport and the FDOT District personnel to be aware of anticipated major rehabilitation work based on condition.

Each airport's airfield pavement section condition, for a given inspection year, is one data point that was used as the basis of each performance trend using a performance model based on pavements of similar background. Figures 4-1, 4-2, and 4-3 represent the pavement performance prediction at Witham Field based on pavement use. Each figure depicts the FDOT recommended Minimum Service Level PCI value for each pavement type.



#### Figure 4-1: Runway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary

#### Figure 4-2: Taxiway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary






Figure 4-3: Apron Pavement Performance Prediction Summary

Pavement performance modeling to predict the future PCI is primarily done to predict PCI at the Section level for the purpose of planning Major Rehabilitation work. In Appendix D, Table D-1 represents the predicted area-weighted PCI by Section for the airport's airfield pavement infrastructure.

#### 5. AIRFIELD PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND COSTS

#### 5.1 Policies


Airfield Pavement Maintenance policies are guidance on pavement construction methods used to develop, maintain, repair, and rehabilitate pavement infrastructure based on distresses encountered during the condition surveys.

Maintenance refers to the repair and preservation-type activities that are applied locally to specific distress types on the pavement. These activities for the SAPMP are considered preventative and corrective in nature and are highly recommended to help improve pavement performance and extend pavement life. The SAPMP maintenance policies are based on the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6B and guidance provided in the FDOT Airfield Pavement Repair Manual.

For the purpose of the SAPMP; the maintenance repair needs that are identified and quantified are based solely on the pavement distresses observed and recorded at the time of the inspection. Based on a specific distress type and severity observed, a particular repair work type is recommended and quantified based on the extrapolated section distresses. The repair program identified is specific to the current distresses. Future maintenance planning budgets are based on this initial determination. Tables 5-1 and 5-2 provide the list of maintenance activities incorporated into the SAPMP MicroPAVER database to treat specific distress types and severities.

Surface Type	Distress Code	Distress Name	Severity	Maintenance Work Type	Work Unit
	41	Alligator Cracking	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	42	Bleeding	N/A	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	43	Block Cracking	L	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	43	Block Cracking	M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	44	Corrugation	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	45	Depression	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	46	Jet Blast Erosion	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	47	Joint Reflection Cracking	L	Crack Sealing	Linear Feet
Ð	47	Joint Reflection Cracking	M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
ncret C)	48	Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking	L, M, H	Crack Sealing	Linear Feet
Flexible Asphalt Concrete (AC, AAC, APC)	49	Oil Spillage	L, M	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
Asph. C, AA	49	Oil Spillage	Н	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
exible (A(	50	Patch and Utility Patching	М	Crack Sealing	Linear Feet
Fle	50	Patch and Utility Patching	Н	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	51	Polished Aggregate	L, M, H	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	52	Raveling	L, M	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	52	Raveling	Н	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	53	Rutting	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	54	Shoving	L, M, H	Grinding / Removal	Square Feet
	55	Slippage Cracking	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	56	Swelling	M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	57	Weathering	M, H	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet

#### Table 5-1: Recommended AC, AAC, and APC Maintenance and Repair Policy



#### Table 5-2: Recommended PCC Maintenance and Repair Policy

Surface Type	Distress Code	Distress Name	Severity	Maintenance Work Type	Work Unit
	73	Shrinkage Cracks	N/A	Crack Sealing - PCC	Linear Feet
	74	Longitudinal/Transverse Joint Spalling	L, M, H	Partial Patch - PCC	Square Feet
	75			Partial Patch - PCC	Square Feet
	76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	L	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	М	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	Square Feet
	76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	Н	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet

Though proactive pavement maintenance and preservation is highly recommended in an APMS; it is recognized that pavement that has deteriorated below a certain PCI will require a major rehabilitation rather than localized maintenance and repair work. Major rehabilitation is recommended when the pavement condition decreases below a critical point such that the deterioration is extensive or the rate of deterioration is so great that maintenance repair efforts are no longer cost-efficient. This critical point is called "Critical PCI". The critical PCI levels for different pavement and branch types were established by the FDOT and were used in this update to develop a maintenance and major rehabilitation plan for the airport. Sections that are above the "Critical PCI" levels will be recommended for maintenance, repair, and preservation treatments, assuming there are no significant load-related distresses. For those Sections below the Critical PCI, the recommended action will consist of major rehabilitation work. This approach is used for the current Section's PCI value and the predicted PCI value for future rehabilitation.

The FDOT has recommended minimum service level PCI for airports based on pavement facility use, airport type, and expected loading frequency. This minimum service level PCI is recommended to ensure the pavement provides a safe operational surface and efficiently uses maintenance and rehabilitation budgets. Separately, the Critical PCI is a value based on historic pavement performance trends and costs. It is at a PCI value of 65, for most airports, at which major rehabilitation is recommended over maintenance level efforts. Table 5-3 identifies the FDOT recommended PCI by use and the critical PCI value for the most important pavements at the airport. This is due to the condition of the pavement and the cost effectiveness of the work. A very important concept of a good pavement management system is the proactive preservation of pavements that are above Critical PCI condition. Conversely, allowing pavement to deteriorate beyond maintenance and performing "worst first" major rehabilitation may cost much more over the life of a pavement.

Use	FDOT Recommended PCI	Critical PCI
Runway	75	65
Taxiway	65	65
Apron	60	65

Table 5-3: Critical and Minimum Service Level PCI for General Aviation Airports

Based on historic trends of pavement performance and industry standard practices in pavement maintenance and rehabilitation, the SAPMP included general guidance on construction activity based on condition PCI, as shown on Table 5-4. It is recommended that further investigation of underlying pavement conditions is performed at the design phase.

Table 5-4: Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation Activity Based on PCI

Category	Activity	PCI Range
	<ul> <li>Crack Sealing (AC/PCC)</li> </ul>	
Maintenance	<ul> <li>Partial Depth Patching (AC)</li> </ul>	75 - 90
Maintenance	<ul> <li>Full Depth Patching (AC/PCC)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Surface Treatment (AC)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Mill and Overlay (AC)</li> </ul>	
Rehabilitation	Concrete Pavement Restoration     40 -     (PCC)	
	<ul> <li>Full Depth Pavement Reconstruction</li> </ul>	0 - 39

The PCI standard scale ranges from a value of 0, typically representing a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100 which typically represents a pavement in new or good condition. Generally, airfield pavement sections with

a PCI of 75 or higher that are not exhibiting distresses due to aircraft loading will benefit from maintenance activities such as crack sealing, patching, and surface treatments. Pavement sections with PCI values within the range of 40 to 74 may require major rehabilitation, such as a mill and overlay. Lastly, pavement sections with a PCI value of 40 or less are recommended to undergo pavement reconstruction. Generally pavement reconstruction is the only practical means of restoration due to the substantial distresses observed in the pavement structure. Since PCI values are based solely on the visual determination of pavement distresses and deterioration, this method does not provide a direct measure of structural integrity.

#### 5.2 Unit Costs

The FDOT SAPMP developed and updated the maintenance and major rehabilitation costs based on public cost databases for airport and highway pavement construction. Additionally, cost data collected from FDOT and FAA sponsored projects in the Florida Airports System were utilized to identify construction cost trends across the state.

The maintenance, repair, and preservation activity costs have been updated and developed using readily available construction cost data at the time of this update. The costs depicted in this report for both maintenance and major rehabilitation are intended for planning purposes.

#### 5.3 Maintenance, Repair, and Major Rehabilitation

FDOT recognizes that although pavement mill and overlay is recommended for flexible asphalt concrete pavement within a PCI range from 40 to 74, it is conceivable that airports may not have adequate funding to perform this type of major rehabilitation. A comprehensive surface treatment; such as GSB-88 and Microsurfacing, as a maintenance rehabilitation activity, can be used in lieu of asphalt concrete pavement mill and overlay. However, it should be understood that these measures provide only a short term extension of pavement life. While the cost of surface treatments are significantly lower than that of pavement mill and overlay, it is not intended or implied to be a full rehabilitative measure for long term benefit. Table 5-5 and Table 5-6 provide budget costs associated with the work types shown in the table.

Surface Type	Maintenance Work Type	Cost	Work Unit
	Full Depth Pavement Patch	\$5.00	Square Feet
ncrete C)	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	\$3.00	Square Feet
alt Co C, AP(	Seal Coat Treatment	\$0.55	Square Feet
e Asph C, AA	Crack Sealing	\$2.75	Linear Feet
Flexible Asphalt Concrete (AC, AAC, APC)	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	\$0.55	Square Feet
	Grinding / Removal	\$2.10	Square Feet

#### Table 5-5: AC Maintenance Unit Costs

#### Table 5-6: PCC Maintenance Unit Costs

Surface Type	Maintenance Work Type	Cost	Work Unit
	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	\$45.00	Square Feet
	Partial Patch - PCC	\$19.10	Square Feet
nent	Crack Sealing - PCC	\$4.25	Linear Feet
Rigid Pavement (PCC)	Joint Seal Repair (Local)	\$3.00	Linear Feet
Rigid	Slab Stabilization / Slab Jacking	\$45.00	Square Feet
	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	\$1.00	Square Feet
	Seal Coat Treatment	\$1.00	Square Feet

As part of the SAPMP update, the distress data observed at each airport during the inspection is extrapolated on a section basis to make maintenance recommendations. These recommendations are a direct result of the distress types, severities, and quantities observed at the time of inspection. The maintenance recommendations and planning costs are correlated with the airport's airfield pavement network's overall area weighted PCI and used to plan future maintenance costs. Future maintenance costs are planning budgets that are not specific to a pavement section, but are estimates for the entire airfield. Table 5-7 provides budget costs associated with the rehabilitation activities.

Category	Activity	PCI Range	Cost/SqFt
	Mill and Overlay (AC)		\$8.00
Rehabilitation	<ul> <li>Concrete Pavement Restoration (PCC)</li> </ul>	40 - 74	\$10.00
	<ul> <li>Full Depth Pavement Reconstruction</li> </ul>	0 - 39	\$15.00

### Table 5-7: Rehabilitation Activities and Unit Costs by Condition for GeneralAviation Airports

A cost scale has been developed based on PCI to develop planning level budgets for the airfield pavements. The cost scale is adjusted by project year based on an assumed inflation rate of 3%. In Appendix E, Table E-1 summarizes the Year-1 maintenance and repair recommendations based on the most recent inspection. The summary in Table E-1 does not take into account any rehabilitation activities, but rather summarizes preventative activities for all PCI ranges, including below critical PCI sections.

#### 6. MAJOR PAVEMENT REHABILITATION NEEDS

As part of the SAPMP, major pavement rehabilitation planning is developed based on current and predicted PCI in comparison with the Critical PCI. The Critical PCI has been determined based on the historic trends of pavement condition relative to the benefit of maintenance and repair activities. Pavement sections determined to have a PCI less than that of the Critical PCI are assumed to have deteriorated to a point at which maintenance and repair level activity would provide little benefit.

The objective of the major pavement rehabilitation needs analysis is to provide planning level projects within an airport's airfield pavement network. Major rehabilitation activities are recommended when a pavement section has deteriorated below the Critical PCI value from a functionality perspective. In addition, major rehabilitation is also recommended when the Section PCI is above the Critical PCI but the Section has load-related PCI distresses. However, most major rehabilitation work is recommended when the Section PCI is below the Critical PCI, which is when maintenance and repair level activities are not considered to be cost effective.


Major rehabilitation is identified within the SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or "resetting" of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Such activities could include; mill and hot-mix asphalt overlay and re-construction. This analysis was conducted with no constraints to budgets as a means to identify all pavement projects based on Critical PCI for a 10-year duration. It is recommended that the airport use this as a planning tool for future project development and prioritization. Table 6-1 depicts the major rehabilitation work identified on the pavement section level based on current and predicted pavement PCI.

Year	Branch ID	Section ID	Major M&R Costs*	PCI Before M&R	M&R Activity	PCI After M&R
2014	AP E	4235	\$ 658,321.33	41	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	AP W	4125	\$ 120,499.99	54	PCC Restoration	100
2014	AP W	4110	\$ 743,741.44	42	PCC Restoration	100
2014	AP W	4108	\$ 373,466.90	49	PCC Restoration	100
2014	AP W	4107	\$ 613,575.11	45	PCC Restoration	100
2014	AP W	4105	\$ 866,010.20	40	Reconstruction	100
2014	TW C	330	\$ 2,013,315.48	30	Reconstruction	100
2014	TW B	208	\$ 154,535.35	49	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	TW B	205	\$ 917,595.22	34	Reconstruction	100
2018	RW 12-30	6105	\$ 5,289,891.16	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2018	AP W	4120	\$ 1,602,161.72	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2019	RW 12-30	6120	\$ 554,132.98	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2020	AP E	4210	\$ 326,155.37	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2020	AP W	4115	\$ 406,479.26	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2022	RW 16-34	6305	\$ 6,047,129.38	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2022	AP E	4215	\$ 623,377.53	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2023	TW C	325	\$ 148,900.71	65	Mill and Overlay	100
		Total =	\$21,459,289.13			

#### Table 6-1: Summary of Major Rehabilitation

\* Costs are adjusted for inflation AT 3%

The 10-year major rehabilitation program addresses those pavement sections that have a current or project PCI that is below the Critical PCI of 65 during the 10-year analysis period. The unconstrained or "unlimited budget" Major Rehabilitation Program is compared to a "No Major Rehabilitation Program" scenario in Figure 6-1. As shown, if no major rehabilitation work is completed in the next 10 years at your airport, the average PCI may be 14 points less than a plan that provides timely repairs to the airfield pavements.



#### Figure 6-1: 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Budget Scenario Analysis


#### 7. PREVENTATIVE AND MAJOR REHABILITATION PLANNING

The preventative and major rehabilitation results include activities that are based on distresses observed and unconstrained by budget limits. FDOT recognizes that the projects identified as Year-1 needs in 2013, based on condition, may exceed a typical annual budget level. It is recommended that each airport further evaluate each project's feasibility and desirability based on the airport's future development plans and budgeting scenarios.

In an effort to identify appropriate budget levels, the 10-year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation analysis evaluated projected budget needs based on predicted PCI of each pavement section. Table 7-1 and Figure 7-1 provides a summary of the expected preventative and major rehabilitation for each program year.

Program Year	Preventative	Majo	or Rehabilitation	То	tal Year Costs
2014	\$ 581,668.69	\$	6,461,061.02	\$	7,042,729.71
2015	\$ 725,636.79	\$	-	\$	725,636.79
2016	\$ 879,030.57	\$	-	\$	879,030.57
2017	\$ 1,029,642.17	\$	-	\$	1,029,642.17
2018	\$ 888,458.52	\$	6,892,052.87	\$	7,780,511.40
2019	\$ 1,045,185.14	\$	554,132.98	\$	1,599,318.12
2020	\$ 1,224,109.71	\$	732,634.63	\$	1,956,744.34
2021	\$ 1,386,360.74	\$	-	\$	1,386,360.74
2022	\$ 1,261,111.02	\$	6,670,506.91	\$	7,931,617.93
2023	\$ 1,379,901.20	\$	148,900.71	\$	1,528,801.91
			Total =	\$	31,860,393.68

#### Table 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary



#### Figure 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary

According to the most recent inspections at the time of this update; the following pavement sections were identified as a Year-1 need for major rehabilitation:

- East Apron Section 4235
  - Mill and Overlay attributed to distresses related to subgrade quality, climate, and age of pavement.
- West Apron Sections 4125, 4110, 4108, and 4107
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to loading and construction quality.
- West Apron Section 4105
  - Reconstruction attributed to distresses related to subgrade quality, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway C Section 330
  - Reconstruction attributed to distresses related to subgrade quality, climate, loading, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B Section 208
  - Million and Overlay attributed to distresses related to climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B Section 205
  - Reconstruction attributed to distresses related to loading, climate, and age of pavement.

Appendix E summarizes the preventative repair recommendations for Year-1 and Appendix F provides an exhibit, Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation, that depicts the recommended major rehabilitation on the airfield pavement network according to work type and year.



#### 8.1 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit in Appendix A depicts the airfield layout in a manner that defines the airfield pavement infrastructure as branches, sections, and sample units in accordance with the ASTM D 5340-11. The exhibits are prepared and updated with information provided by the airport and from aerial imagery from the FDOT Surveying and Mapping publications.

#### 8.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit in Appendix A depicts any recent airfield pavement construction activity reported by the airport. The exhibit is intended to identify pavement sections that may have changed in geometry and pavement composition that would affect the section delineation. The information provided in the Airport Response Form was used as the basis of the changes and confirmed with the airport personnel at the time of inspection.

#### 8.3 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit in Appendix B has been prepared based on the section condition analysis of the distress data collected during the recent condition index rating survey. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with associated condition rating colors and PCI values.

#### 8.4 Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit in Appendix F has been prepared based on the section pavement performance model and major rehabilitation analysis. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with associated rehabilitation activity, program year, and the planning level costs.

#### 8.5 Airfield Pavement Condition Survey Inspection Photographs

During the field condition survey inspection; inspectors photographed representative distress types observed. Select photographs are provided in Appendix G to provide visual support to special pavement conditions or distresses observed.


#### 9. RECOMMENDATIONS


The following recommendations were made based on the 2013 condition survey inspection, condition analysis, and maintenance/rehabilitation analysis results:

- East Apron Sections 4235, 4210, and 4215
  - Mill and Overlay attributed to distresses related to subgrade quality, climate, and age of pavement.
- West Apron Sections 4125, 4110, 4108, and 4107
  - PCC Restoration attributed to distresses related to loading and construction quality.
- West Apron Section 4105
  - Reconstruction attributed to distresses related to subgrade quality, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway C Section 330
  - Reconstruction attributed to distresses related to subgrade quality, climate, loading, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B Section 208
  - Million and Overlay attributed to distresses related to climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B Section 205
  - Reconstruction attributed to distresses related to loading, climate, and age of pavement.
- Runway 12-30 Sections 6105 and 6120
  - Mill and Overlay attributed to distresses related to climate and age of pavement.
- Runway 16-34 Section 6305
  - Mill and Overlay attributed to distresses related to climate and age of pavement.
- West Apron Sections 4120 and 4115
  - Mill and Overlay attributed to distresses related to subgrade quality, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway C Section 325
  - Mill and Overlay attributed to distresses related to climate and age of pavement.


## APPENDIX A

- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION EXHIBIT
- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT SYSTEM INVENTORY EXHIBIT
- PAVEMENT GEOMETRY INVENTORY
- WORK HISTORY REPORT





#### LEGEND




TOTAL SAMPLES INSPECTED = 146

RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.



IRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION EXHIBIT	Ā
WITHAM FIELD 3U	A
MARTIN COUNTY, FLORIDA	CI
ARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - AVIATION AND SPACEPORT OFFICE 4	
	_





RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.

PROJECTS	YEAR	2010
PROJECTS	YEAR	2011
PROJECTS	YEAR	2012
PROJECTS	YEAR	2013
PROJECTS	YEAR	2014
PROJECTS	YEAR	2015
PROJECTS	YEAR	2016
PROJECTS	YEAR	2017
PROJECTS	YEAR	2018
PROJECTS	YEAR	2019

#### LEGEND

CONSTRUCTION SINCE LAST INSPECTION & ANTICIPATED CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY					
CONSTRUCTION LOCATION WORK TYPE / PAVEMENT SECTION					
2015	RUNWAY 12-30 & 16-34	MILL AND RESURFACE			
2017	TAXIWAY	IMPROVEMENTS			



Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	True Area (FT <sup>2</sup> )	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Last Insp. Date	Total Samples
RUNWAY 16-34	RW 16-34	RUNWAY	6305	5,000	100	477,366	S	AAC	1/1/1985	10/8/2013	96
RUNWAY 7-25	RW 7-25	RUNWAY	6205	4,750	100	476,657	S	AAC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	96
RUNWAY 12-30	RW 12-30	RUNWAY	6120	286	100	47,800	Р	APC	1/1/1998	10/8/2013	10
RUNWAY 12-30	RW 12-30	RUNWAY	6105	4,866	100	470,000	Р	APC	1/1/1998	10/8/2013	94
RUNWAY 12-30	RW 12-30	RUNWAY	6102	700	100	65,000	Р	AC	1/1/1998	10/8/2013	13
RUN-UP APRON AT TAXIWAY D	AP TW D RU	APRON	5105	129	152	20,042	Р	AC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	4
HELICOPTER PAD	AP H	APRON	4505	219	160	27,270	Р	AC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	5
RUN-UP APRON AT RW 12	AP RU	APRON	4305	130	60	7,180	Р	AC	1/1/2008	10/8/2013	2
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4235	1,129	40	45,261	Р	AC	12/25/1999	10/8/2013	11
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4231	900	30	17,884	Р	AC	7/1/2011	10/8/2013	4
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4230	955	200	114,996	Р	AC	1/1/2000	10/8/2013	24
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4229	700	200	132,210	Р	AC	1/1/2003	10/8/2013	25
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4227	350	300	98,326	Р	AC	1/1/2000	10/8/2013	20
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4225	100	150	17,825	Р	AC	1/1/2011	10/8/2013	4
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4220	1,600	30	32,840	Р	AC	12/25/1999	10/8/2013	8
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4215	1,800	30	49,210	Р	AC	12/25/1999	10/8/2013	12
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4210	370	50	27,315	Р	AC	12/25/1999	10/8/2013	7
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4205	800	350	212,528	Р	AC	12/25/1999	10/8/2013	44
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4125	120	103	12,050	Р	PCC	1/1/2006	10/8/2013	2
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4120	420	300	142,350	Р	AC	12/25/1999	10/8/2013	35
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4115	400	60	34,042	Р	AC	12/25/1999	10/8/2013	9
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4110	900	60	52,617	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	10/8/2013	11
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4108	785	50	35,266	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	10/8/2013	7
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4107	785	50	48,600	Р	PCC	1/1/1942	10/8/2013	11

Table A-1: Pavement Geometry Inventory

Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	True Area (FT <sup>2</sup> )	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Last Insp. Date	Total Samples
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4105	800	170	57,734	Р	AC	12/25/1999	10/8/2013	11
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	TAXIWAY	505	1,319	35	47,957	Р	AC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	13
TAXIWAY D	TW D	TAXIWAY	412	77	164	12,313	Р	AC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	2
TAXIWAY D	TW D	TAXIWAY	405	5,150	50	194,959	Р	AC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	53
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	330	1,129	115	134,221	Р	AC	12/25/1999	10/8/2013	23
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	325	110	75	11,412	Р	AC	1/1/2008	10/8/2013	2
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	310	1,900	50	89,071	Р	AC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	22
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	306	85	143	13,276	Р	AC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	2
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	305	2,175	50	84,032	Р	AC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	22
TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	208	170	50	14,524	Р	AC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	4
TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	205	1,200	50	61,173	Р	AC	1/1/1942	10/8/2013	12
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	136	45	58	3,434	Р	AC	1/1/2008	10/8/2013	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	135	45	48	2,735	Р	AC	1/1/2008	10/8/2013	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	130	200	100	17,932	Р	AC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	4
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	125	230	50	14,021	Р	AC	1/1/2010	10/8/2013	3
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	110	2,740	50	145,460	Р	AAC	1/1/2008	10/8/2013	29
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	105	2,530	30	81,771	Р	AC	1/1/2008	10/8/2013	23
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	102	770	30	31,861	Р	AC	1/1/2008	10/8/2013	7

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER.

\* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey.

Date:10/	29/2013		story Re	-	1 of 7
		Faveinen	i Dalabase.FD	01	
Network: SI L.C.D.: 12/28	JA Br 5/1999 Use: AF	anch: APE (EASTAF PRON Rank PLength:	- ,	Width:	Section: 4205 Surface: AC 350.00 Ft True Area:212,528.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
12/25/1999	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
Network: S	JA Br	anch:APE (EASTAF	PRON <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4210 <b>Surface:</b> AC
L.C.D.: 12/25	5/1999 Use: AF	PRON Rank PLength:	370.00 Ft		50.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 27.315.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
12/25/1999	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
Network: SI	JA Br	anch:APE (EASTAF	PRON <b>)</b>	Width:	Section: 4215 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 12/25	5/1999 Use: AF	PRON Rank PLength:	1,800.00 Ft		30.00 Ft True Area: 49,210.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
12/25/1999	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
Network: S	JA <b>Br</b>	anch:APE (EASTAF	PRON <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4220 <b>Surface:</b> AC
L.C.D.: 12/25	5/1999 <b>Use:</b> AF	PRON Rank PLength:	1.600.00 Ft		30.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 32.840.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
12/25/1999	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
Network: SI	JA <b>Br</b>	anch:APE (EASTAF	PRON <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4225 <b>Surface:</b> AC
L.C.D.: 01/07	1/2011 <b>Use:</b> AF	PRON Rank PLength:	100.00 Ft		150.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 17.825.00 SaF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2011	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	0.00	True
Network: SI	JA Br	anch:APE (EASTAF	PRON <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4227 <b>Surface:</b> AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2000 Use: AF	PRON Rank PLength:	350.00 Ft		300.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 98,326.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		( in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2000	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	0.00	True ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION
Network: SI L.C.D.: 01/07	JA Br 1/2003 Use: AF	anch:APE (EASTAF PRON Rank PLength:	PRON <b>)</b> 700.00 Ft	Width:	Section:         4229         Surface:         AC           200.00         Ft         True Area:132.210.00         SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2003	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	0.00	True ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION
Network: SI	JA Br	anch:APE (EASTAF	PRON <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4230 <b>Surface:</b> AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2000 Use: AF	PRON Rank PLength:	955.00 Ft		200.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 114,996.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2000	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
<b>Network:</b> SI	JA <b>Br</b>	anch:APE (EASTAF	PRON <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4231 <b>Surface:</b> AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 07/07	I/2011 <b>Use:</b> AF	PRON Rank PLength:	900.00 Ft		30.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 17.884.00 SaF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		( in)	M&R Comments
07/01/2011	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	0.00	True

Date:10	/29/2013		story Re	-	2 of 7
Network: S L.C.D.: 12/2	UA <b>Br</b> ; 5/1999 <b>Use:</b> AF	anch: AP E (EAST AF			<b>Section:</b> 4235 <b>Surface:</b> AC 40.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 45,261.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
12/25/1999	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
<b>Network:</b> S <b>L.C.D.:</b> 01/0 <sup>-</sup>	UA Bra 1/2010 Use: AF		PTER PAD <b>)</b> 219.00 Ft	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4505 <b>Surface:</b> AC 160.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 27.270.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2010 01/01/1942	OL-AC INITIAL	Overlay-AC Initial Construction	\$0 \$0		
<b>Network:</b> S <b>L.C.D.:</b> 01/0 <sup>-</sup>	UA Bra 1/2008 Use: AF	•	APRON AT RW 130.00 Ft	12) Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4305 <b>Surface:</b> AC 60.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 7,180.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2008 12/25/1999	OL-AC INITIAL	Overlay-AC Initial Construction	\$0 \$0		
Network: S L.C.D.: 01/0	UA Bra 1/2010 Use: AF	-	APRON AT TAX 129.00 Ft	WAY D) Width:	<b>Section:</b> 5105 <b>Surface:</b> AC 152.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 20,042.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2010 01/01/2004	OL-AC INITIAL	Overlay-AC Initial Construction	\$0 \$0		
Network: S L.C.D.: 12/2	UA Bra 5/1999 Use: AF	anch:APW (WESTA) PRON Rank PLength:	PRON <b>)</b> 800.00 Ft	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4105 <b>Surface:</b> AC 170.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 57,734.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
12/25/1999	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
Network: S L.C.D.: 01/0	UA Bra 1/1942 Use: AF	anch:APW (WESTA) PRON Rank PLength:	•	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4107 <b>Surface:</b> PCC 50.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 48.600.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/1942	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	0.00	True ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION
Network: S L.C.D.: 01/0	UA Bra 1/1942 Use: AF	anch:APW (WESTA) PRON Rank PLength:	PRON <b>)</b> 785.00 Ft	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4108 <b>Surface:</b> PCC 50.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 35.266.00 SaF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/1942	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	0.00	True ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION
Network: S L.C.D.: 01/0	UA Bra 1/1942 <b>Use:</b> AF	anch:APW (WESTA) PRON Rank PLength:	PRON <b>)</b> 900.00 Ft	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 4110 <b>Surface:</b> PCC 60.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 52,617.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/1942					
0.00.00.2	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION
Network: S		anch: AP W <b>(</b> WEST A	¥ -	0.00 Width:	TrueESTIMATED CONSTRUCTIONSection:4115Surface:60.00FtTrue Area:34.042.00SqF
Network: S	UA Bra	anch: APW (WEST A	PRON <b>)</b> 400.00 Ft		Section: 4115 Surface: AC

Date:10/	/29/2013		story Re	-		3 of 7
Network: SI L.C.D.: 12/25	UA <b>Br</b> 5/1999 <b>Use:</b> AF	anch: AP W (WEST A	PRON <b>)</b>	Width:		<b>ction:</b> 4120 <b>Surface:</b> AC 00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 142,350.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
12/25/1999	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True	
Network: SI L.C.D.: 01/01	UA Br 1/2006 Use: AF	anch:APW (WESTA PRON Rank PLength:	PRON <b>)</b> 120.00 Ft	Width:		<b>ction:</b> 4125 <b>Surface:</b> PCC 00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 12.050.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
01/01/2006	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True	
Network: SI L.C.D.: 01/01	UA Bra 1/2011 <b>Use:</b> RU	anch: RW 12-30 (RUNWA) JNWAY Rank P Length:	Y 12-30 <b>)</b> 700.00 Ft	Width:		<b>ction:</b> 6102 <b>Surface:</b> AC 00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 65,000.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
01/01/2011 01/01/1998	OL-AC IMPORTED	Overlay-AC BUILT	\$0	0.00	True True	1998 AC PAVEMENT
<b>Network:</b> S L.C.D.: 01/07	UA Bra 1/2011 Use: RL	anch: RW 12-30 (RUNWA JNWAY Rank PLength:	Y 12-30 <b>)</b> 4.866.00 Ft	Width:		<b>ction:</b> 6105 <b>Surface</b> : APC 00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 470.000.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
01/01/2011	OL-AC	Overlay-AC	\$0		True	
01/01/1998 01/01/1963	IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY OVERLAY		3.00 1.00		1998 3" P401 OVERLAY 1963 1" P401 OVERLAY
01/01/1942	IMPORTED	BUILT		1.50		1942 1.5" AC ON 9" P211
Network: SI L.C.D.: 01/01	UA Bra 1/2011 Use: RL	anch:RW12-30 (RUNWA JNWAY RankPLength:	Y 12-30 <b>)</b> 286.00 Ft	Width:		<b>ction:</b> 6120 <b>Surface:</b> APC 00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 47.800.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness ( in)	Major M&R	Comments
01/01/2011	OL-AC	Overlay-AC	\$0		True	
01/01/1998 01/01/1985	IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY OVERLAY		3.00 2.50		1998 3" P401 OVERLAY 1985 2.5" P401 OVERLAY
01/01/1942	IMPORTED	BUILT		1.50		1942 1.5" P401 ON 9" P211
Network: S L.C.D.: 01/07	UA <b>Br</b> 1/1985 <b>Use:</b> RL	anch:RW16-34 (RUNWA JNWAY RankSLength:	Y 16-34 <b>)</b> 5.000.00 Ft	Width:		<b>ction:</b> 6305 <b>Surface:</b> AAC 00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 477.366.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
01/01/1997 01/01/1985	IMPORTED IMPORTED	REPAIR OVERLAY			True	1997 ASPHALT REJUVENATOR 25' SHOULDERS NO LONGER
01/01/1985 01/01/1942	IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY BUILT		2.50 1.50	True	CLASSIFIED AS USEABLE PAVEMENT 1985 2.5" P401 ON 1942 1.5" P401 ON 9" P211
Network: S		anch: RW 7-25 (RUNWA	Y 7 <i>-</i> 25 <b>)</b> 4,750.00 Ft	Width:	See	<b>ction:</b> 6205 <b>Surface:</b> AAC 00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 476,657.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
01/01/2010 01/01/1963	OL-AC IMPORTED	Overlay-AC OVERLAY	\$0	2.00		1963: 2" AC OVERLAY
01/01/1942	IMPORTED	BUILT		1.75	True	1942: 1.75" AC ON 9" LIME ROCK BASE

Date:10/	29/2013		story Re	-	4 of 7
Network: SI	JA <b>Br</b>	anch:TWA (TAXIWA	YA <b>)</b>	Width:	Section: 102 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2008 <b>Use:</b> TA	XIWAY Rank PLength:	770.00 Ft		30.00 Ft True Area: 31,861.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2008 01/01/1998	OL-AC IMPORTED	Overlay-AC BUILT	\$0	0.00	True True 1998 AC CONSTRUCTION
Network: St		anch:TWA (TAXIWA XIWAY RankPLength:	Y A <b>)</b> 2,530.00 Ft	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 105 <b>Surface:</b> AC 30.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 81,771.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2008 01/01/1992	OL-AC IMPORTED	Overlay-AC BUILT	\$0	0.00	True True 1992 AC PAVEMENT
Network: SI	JA <b>Br</b> a	anch:TWA (TAXIWA	Y A <b>)</b>	Width:	Section: 110 Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2008 <b>Use:</b> TA	XIWAY Rank PLength:	2,740.00 Ft		50.00 Ft True Area:145.460.00 SaF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2008 01/01/1992 01/01/1942	OL-AC IMPORTED IMPORTED	Overlay-AC OVERLAY BUILT	\$0	0.00 1.00 2.00	True         Image: True         1992: 1" P-401 OVERLAY           True         1942: 2" AC ON 8" LIME ROCK BASE
Network: SI	JA <b>Br</b> a	anch: TWA (TAXIWA	YA <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 130 <b>Surface:</b> AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2010 <b>Use:</b> TA	XIWAY Rank PLength:	200.00 Ft		100.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 17,932.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2010	OL-AC	Overlay-AC	\$0	0.00	True
12/25/1999	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
Network: SI	JA <b>Br</b> a 1/2008 <b>Use:</b> TA	anch: TW A (TAXIWA	Y A) 45.00 Ft	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 135 <b>Surface:</b> AC 48.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 2,735.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2008	OL-AC	Overlay-AC	\$0		True
12/25/1999	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0		True
Network: S		anch: TW A (TAXIWA		Width:	Section: 136 Surface: AC 58.00 Ft True Area: 3,434.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2008	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
Network: SI	JA <b>Br</b>	anch: TW A1 (TAXIWA	Y A1 <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 125 <b>Surface:</b> AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2010 <b>Use:</b> TA	XIWAY Rank P Length:	230.00 Ft		50.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 14.021.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2010 01/01/1963	OL-AC IMPORTED	Overlay-AC BUILT	\$0	0.00	True True ESTIMATE 1963 AC PAVEMENT
Network: SI	JA <b>Br</b> a	anch: TWB (TAXIWA	Y B <b>)</b>	Width:	Section: 205 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/1942 <b>Use:</b> TA	XIWAY Rank PLength:	1,200.00 Ft		50.00 Ft True Area: 61,173.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/1942	IMPORTED	BUILT		2.00	True 1942: 2" AC ON 8" LIME ROCK BASE

Date:10/	29/2013		story Re	-	5 of 7
<b>Network:</b> SI <b>L.C.D.:</b> 01/07	JA <b>Br</b> a 1/2010 <b>Use:</b> TA	anch:TWB (TAXIWA XIWAY RankPLength:	•	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 208 <b>Surface:</b> AC 50.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 14,524.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2010 01/01/1998 01/01/1963 01/01/1942	OL-AC IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED	Overlay-AC OVERLAY OVERLAY BUILT	\$0	0.00 0.75 2.00	TrueTrue1998 TAPERED AC OVERLAYTrue1963 .75" OVERLAYTrue1942 2" AC ON 8" LIMEROCK BASE
<b>Network:</b> SI	JA Bra	anch: TW C (TAXIWA	Y C <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 305 <b>Surface:</b> AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 01/07	1/2010 Use: TA	XIWAY Rank P Length:	2,175.00 Ft		50.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 84.032.00 SaF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2010	OL-AC	Overlay-AC	\$0	0.00	True
01/01/1943	IMPORTED	BUILT		2.00	True 1943 2" AC ON 8" LIMEROCK
Network: SI	JA Bra	anch: TW C (TAXIWA	Y C <b>)</b>	Width:	Section: 306 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 01/07	1/2010 Use: TA	XIWAY Rank PLength:	85.00 Ft		143.00 Ft True Area: 13.276.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2010	OL-AC	Overlay-AC	\$0		True
03/01/2006	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0		True
Network: SI	JA <b>Br</b> a	anch: TW C (TAXIWA	Y C <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 310 <b>Surface:</b> AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2010 <b>Use:</b> TA	XIWAY Rank P Length:	1,900.00 Ft		50.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 89.071.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2010	OL-AC	Overlay-AC	\$0	0.00	True
01/01/1942	IMPORTED	BUILT		2.00	True 1942 2" AC ON 8" LIMEROCK
<b>Network:</b> SI	JA <b>Br</b> a	anch:TWC (TAXIWA	Y C <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 325 <b>Surface:</b> AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 01/01	1/2008 <b>Use:</b> TA	XIWAY RankPLength:	110.00 Ft		75.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 11.412.00 SaF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2008	OL-AC	Overlay-AC	\$0		True
12/25/1999	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0		True
Network: S	JA Bra	anch: TW C (TAXIWA	Y C <b>)</b>	Width:	Section: 330 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 12/25	5/1999 <b>Use:</b> TA	XIWAY Rank P Length:	1.129.00 Ft		115.00 Ft True Area:134.221.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
12/25/1999	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0	0.00	True
Network: SI	JA <b>Br</b>	anch: TW C1 (TAXIWA	Y C1 <b>)</b>	Width:	Section: 505 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2010 <b>Use:</b> TA	XIWAY Rank P Length:	1,319.00 Ft		35.00 Ft True Area: 47.957.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2010	OL-AC	Overlay-AC	\$0		True
01/01/2003	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0		True
<b>Network:</b> SI	JA <b>Br</b> a	anch: TW D (TAXIWA	Y D <b>)</b>	Width:	<b>Section:</b> 405 <b>Surface:</b> AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 01/01	1/2010 <b>Use:</b> TA	XIWAY Rank P Length:	5,150.00 Ft		50.00 Ft <b>True Area:</b> 194.959.00 SaF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2010	OL-AC	Overlay-AC	\$0	0.00	True
01/01/1942	IMPORTED	BUILT		1.50	True 1942 1.5" AC ON 9" LIMEROCK

Date:10	/29/2013		listory Re	•			6 of 7
Network: S L.C.D.: 01/0	UA E 1/2010 Use: T	Branch: TW D (TAXIV FAXIWAY Rank P Lengt	,	Width:		ction: 412 00 Ft True	Surface: AC Area: 12,313.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments	
01/01/2010 12/25/1999	OL-AC INITIAL	Overlay-AC Initial Construction	\$0 \$0		True True		


Pavement Database:FDOT


#### Summary:

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
BUILT	14	2,253,695.00	1.77	.25
Initial Construction	22	1,078,715.00	.00	.00
New Construction - Initial	6	350,111.00	.00	.00
OVERLAY	10	2,641,497.00	1.97	.93
Overlay-AC	21	1,875,273.00	.00	.00
REPAIR	1	477,366.00		


# APPENDIX B

- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX RATING EXHIBIT
- PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX INVENTORY





#### LEGEND





Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	True Area (FT <sup>2</sup> )	Section Rank	Surface Type	PCI	PCI Category	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
RUNWAY 16-34	RW 16-34	RUNWAY	6305	477,366	S	AAC	77	Satisfactory	20	96
RUNWAY 7-25	RW 7-25	RUNWAY	6205	476,657	S	AAC	87	Good	20	96
RUNWAY 12-30	RW 12-30	RUNWAY	6120	47,800	Р	APC	88	Good	3	10
RUNWAY 12-30	RW 12-30	RUNWAY	6105	470,000	Р	APC	82	Satisfactory	20	94
RUNWAY 12-30	RW 12-30	RUNWAY	6102	65,000	Р	AC	78	Satisfactory	3	13
RUN-UP APRON AT TAXIWAY D	AP TW D RU	APRON	5105	20,042	Р	AC	75	Satisfactory	1	4
HELICOPTER PAD	AP H	APRON	4505	27,270	Р	AC	80	Satisfactory	1	5
RUN-UP APRON AT RW 12	AP RU	APRON	4305	7,180	Р	AC	94	Good	1	2
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4235	45,261	Р	AC	41	Poor	2	11
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4231	17,884	Р	AC	98	Good	1	4
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4230	114,996	Р	AC	87	Good	3	24
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4229	132,210	Р	AC	93	Good	3	25
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4227	98,326	Р	AC	82	Satisfactory	3	20
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4225	17,825	Р	AC	96	Good	1	4
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4220	32,840	Р	AC	88	Good	1	8
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4215	49,210	Р	AC	71	Satisfactory	2	12
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4210	27,315	Р	AC	69	Fair	1	7
EAST APRON	AP E	APRON	4205	212,528	Р	AC	81	Satisfactory	5	44
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4125	12,050	Р	PCC	54	Poor	1	2
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4120	142,350	Р	AC	67	Fair	4	35
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4115	34,042	Р	AC	69	Fair	1	9
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4110	52,617	Р	PCC	42	Poor	2	11
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4108	35,266	Р	PCC	49	Poor	2	7
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4107	48,600	Р	PCC	45	Poor	2	11

Table B-1: Pavement Condition Index Inventory

\_\_\_\_\_



Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	True Area (FT <sup>2</sup> )	Section Rank	Surface Type	PCI	PCI Category	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
WEST APRON	AP W	APRON	4105	57,734	Р	AC	40	Very Poor	2	11
TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	TAXIWAY	505	47,957	Р	AC	83	Satisfactory	2	13
TAXIWAY D	TW D	TAXIWAY	412	12,313	Р	AC	80	Satisfactory	1	2
TAXIWAY D	TW D	TAXIWAY	405	194,959	Р	AC	94	Good	6	53
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	330	134,221	Р	AC	30	Very Poor	3	23
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	325	11,412	Р	AC	72	Satisfactory	1	2
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	310	89,071	Р	AC	79	Satisfactory	4	22
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	306	13,276	Р	AC	84	Satisfactory	1	2
TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	305	84,032	Р	AC	92	Good	4	22
TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	208	14,524	Р	AC	49	Poor	1	4
TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	205	61,173	Р	AC	34	Very Poor	3	12
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	136	3,434	Р	AC	79	Satisfactory	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	135	2,735	Р	AC	94	Good	1	1
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	130	17,932	Р	AC	82	Satisfactory	1	4
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	125	14,021	Р	AC	93	Good	1	3
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	110	145,460	Р	AAC	91	Good	5	29
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	105	81,771	Р	AC	81	Satisfactory	4	23
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	102	31,861	Р	AC	92	Good	2	7

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER.

\* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey.

# APPENDIX C

- BRANCH CONDITION REPORT
- SECTION CONDITION REPORT

Date: 10 /29/2013

### **Branch Condition Report**

Pavement Database: FDOT NetworkID: SUA

1 of 2

Branch ID	Number of Sections	Sum Section Length (Ft)	Avg Section Width (Ft)	True Area (SqFt)	Use	Average PCI	PCI Standard Deviation	Weighted Average PCI
AP E (EAST APRON)	10	8,704.00	138.00	748,395.00	APRON	80.60	16.08	81.73
AP H (HELICOPTER PAD)	1	219.00	160.00	27,270.00	APRON	80.00	0.00	80.00
AP RU (RUN-UP APRON AT RW 12	1	130.00	60.00	7,180.00	APRON	94.00	0.00	94.00
AP TW D RU (RUN-UP APRON AT TAXIWAY D)	1	129.00	152.00	20,042.00	APRON	75.00	0.00	75.00
APW (WEST APRON)	7	4,210.00	113.29	382,659.00	APRON	52.29	10.82	54.80
RW 12-30 (RUNWAY 12-30)	3	5,852.00	100.00	582,800.00	RUNWAY	82.67	4.11	82.05
RW 16-34 (RUNWAY 16-34)	1	5,000.00	100.00	477,366.00	RUNWAY	77.00	0.00	77.00
RW 7-25 (RUNWAY 7-25)	1	4,750.00	100.00	476,657.00	RUNWAY	87.00	0.00	87.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	6	6,330.00	52.67	283,193.00	TAXIWAY	86.50	5.97	87.54
TW A1 (TAXIWAY A1)	1	230.00	50.00	14,021.00	TAXIWAY	93.00	0.00	93.00
TW B (TAXIWAY B)	2	1,370.00	50.00	75,697.00	TAXIWAY	41.50	7.50	36.88
TW C (TAXIWAY C)	5	5,399.00	86.60	332,012.00	TAXIWAY	71.40	21.70	62.44
TW C1 (TAXIWAY C1)	1	1,319.00	35.00	47,957.00	TAXIWAY	83.00	0.00	83.00
TW D (TAXIWAY D)	2	5,227.00	107.00	207,272.00	TAXIWAY	87.00	7.00	93.17

Date: 10 /29/2013

### **Branch Condition Report**

Pavement Database: FDOT

Use Category	Number of Sections	Total Area (SqFt)	Arithmetic Average PCI	Average PCI STD.	Weighted Average PCI
APRON	20	1,185,546.00	71.05	19.24	72.96
RUNWAY	5	1,536,823.00	82.40	4.50	82.02
TAXIWAY	17	960,152.00	77.00	19.54	75.93
All	42	3,682,521.00	74.81	18.68	77.51

2 of 2

Date: 10 /29/2013	Section Condition Report Pavement Database: FDOT NetworkID: SUA							1 of	3	
Branch ID	Section ID	Last Const. Date	Surface	Use	Rank	Lanes	True Area (SqFt)	Last Inspection Date	Age At Inspection	PCI
AP E (EA ST APRON)	4205	12/25/1999	AC	APRON	Р	0	212,528.00	10/08/2013	14	81.00
AP E (EAST APRON)	4210	12/25/1999	AC	APRON	Р	0	27,315.00	10/08/2013	14	69.00
AP E (EAST APRON)	4215	12/25/1999	AC	APRON	Р	0	49,210.00	10/08/2013	14	71.00
AP E (EAST APRON)	4220	12/25/1999	AC	APRON	Р	0	32,840.00	10/08/2013	14	88.00
AP E (EAST APRON)	4225	01/01/2011	AC	APRON	Р	0	17,825.00	10/08/2013	2	96.00
AP E (EAST APRON)	4227	01/01/2000	AC	APRON	Р	0	98,326.00	10/08/2013	13	82.00
AP E (EAST APRON)	4229	01/01/2003	AC	APRON	Р	0	132,210.00	10/08/2013	10	93.00
AP E (EAST APRON)	4230	01/01/2000	AC	APRON	Р	0	114,996.00	10/08/2013	13	87.00
AP E (EAST APRON)	4231	07/01/2011	AC	APRON	Р	0	17,884.00	10/08/2013	2	98.00
AP E (EAST APRON)	4235	12/25/1999	AC	APRON	Р	0	45,261.00	10/08/2013	14	41.00
AP H (HELICOPTER PAD)	4505	01/01/2010	AC	APRON	Ρ	0	27,270.00	10/08/2013	3	80.00
AP RU (RUN-UP APRON AT RW 12)	4305	01/01/2008	AC	APRON	Р	0	7,180.00	10/08/2013	5	94.00
AP TW D RU (RUN-UP APRON AT TAXIWAY D)	5105	01/01/2010	AC	APRON	Ρ	0	20,042.00	10/08/2013	3	75.00
AP W (WE ST APRON)	4105	12/25/1999	AC	APRON	Р	0	57,734.00	10/08/2013	14	40.00
AP W (WE ST APRON)	4107	01/01/1942	PCC	APRON	Р	0	48,600.00	10/08/2013	71	45.00
AP W (WEST APRON)	4108	01/01/1942	PCC	APRON	Р	0	35,266.00	10/08/2013	71	49.00
AP W (WEST APRON)	4110	01/01/1942	PCC	APRON	Р	0	52,617.00	10/08/2013	71	42.00
AP W (WEST APRON)	4115	12/25/1999	AC	APRON	Ρ	0	34,042.00	10/08/2013	14	69.00
AP W (WE ST APRON)	4120	12/25/1999	AC	APRON	Р	0	142,350.00	10/08/2013	14	67.00
AP W (WEST APRON)	4125	01/01/2006	PCC	APRON	Р	0	12,050.00	10/08/2013	7	54.00
RW 12-30 (RUNWAY 12-30)	6102	01/01/2011	AC	RUNWAY	Ρ	0	65,000.00	10/08/2013	2	78.00
RW 12-30 (RUNWAY 12-30)	6105	01/01/2011	APC	RUNWAY	Р	0	470,000.00	10/08/2013	2	82.00
RW 12-30 (RUNWAY 12-30)	6120	01/01/2011	APC	RUNWAY	Р	0	47,800.00	10/08/2013	2	88.00
RW 16-34 (RUNWAY 16-34)	6305	01/01/1985	AAC	RUNWAY	S	0	477,366.00	10/08/2013	28	77.00
RW 7-25 (RUNWAY 7-25)	6205	01/01/2010	AAC	RUNWAY	S	0	476,657.00	10/08/2013	3	87.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	102	01/01/2008	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	31,861.00	10/08/2013	5	92.00

Date: 10 /29/2013		Paveme		on Conc se: FDOT		<b>1 Re</b>   ĸID: SU			2 of	3
Branch ID	Section ID	Last Const. Date	Surface	Use	Rank	Lanes	True Area (SqFt)	Last Inspection Date	Age At Inspection	PCI
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	105	01/01/2008	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	81,771.00	10/08/2013	5	81.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	110	01/01/2008	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	145,460.00	10/08/2013	5	91.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	130	01/01/2010	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	17,932.00	10/08/2013	3	82.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	135	01/01/2008	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	2,735.00	10/08/2013	5	94.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	136	01/01/2008	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	3,434.00	10/08/2013	5	79.00
TW A1 (TAXIWAY A1)	125	01/01/2010	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	14,021.00	10/08/2013	3	93.00
TW B (TAXIWAY B)	205	01/01/1942	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	61,173.00	10/08/2013	71	34.00
TW B (TAXIWAY B)	208	01/01/2010	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	14,524.00	10/08/2013	3	49.00
TW C (TAXIWAY C)	305	01/01/2010	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	84,032.00	10/08/2013	3	92.00
TW C (TAXIWAY C)	306	01/01/2010	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	13,276.00	10/08/2013	3	84.00
TW C (TAXIWAY C)	310	01/01/2010	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	89,071.00	10/08/2013	3	79.00
TW C (TAXIWAY C)	325	01/01/2008	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	11,412.00	10/08/2013	5	72.00
TW C (TAXIWAY C)	330	12/25/1999	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	134,221.00	10/08/2013	14	30.00
TW C1 (TAXIWAY C1)	505	01/01/2010	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	47,957.00	10/08/2013	3	83.00
TW D (TAXIWAY D)	405	01/01/2010	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	194,959.00	10/08/2013	3	94.00
TW D (TAXIWAY D)	412	01/01/2010	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	12,313.00	10/08/2013	3	80.00

Date: 10 /29/2013

### Section Condition Report

Pavement Database: FDOT

Age Category	Average Age At Inspection	Total Area (SqFt)	Number of Sections	Arithmeti c Average PCI	PCI Standard Deviation	Weighted Average PCI
0-02	2.00	618,509.00	5	88.40	8.65	82.91
03-05	3.74	1,295,907.00	19	83.21	10.80	86.91
06-10	8.50	144,260.00	2	73.50	27.58	89.74
11-15	13.82	948,823.00	11	65.91	20.12	67.06
26-30	28.00	477,366.00	1	77.00	0.00	77.00
over 40	71.00	197,656.00	4	42.50	6.35	41.51
All	13.38	3,682,521.00	42	74.81	18.90	77.51

3 of 3

# APPENDIX D


- PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE PREDICTION
- PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE BY PAVEMENT USE

Branch	Section	Current			Pave	ment P	erform	nance	Mode	I - PCI		
ID	ID	PCI	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
RW 16-34	6305	77	76	74	72	70	68	67	65	64	63	62
RW 7-25	6205	87	86	84	81	78	76	74	71	70	68	66
RW 12-30	6120	88	85	75	69	64	61	60	59	59	59	59
RW 12-30	6105	82	80	71	66	62	60	59	59	59	59	58
RW 12-30	6102	78	78	76	74	73	71	70	69	67	66	65
AP TW D RU	5105	75	75	73	71	70	69	68	67	67	66	65
AP H	4505	80	79	77	75	73	72	70	69	68	67	67
AP RU	4305	94	93	88	84	81	78	76	74	72	71	70
AP E	4235	41	41	41	40	40	40	39	39	39	39	38
AP E	4231	98	97	92	87	84	80	78	76	74	72	71
AP E	4230	87	86	83	80	77	75	73	72	70	69	68
AP E	4229	93	92	87	84	81	78	76	74	72	71	70
AP E	4227	82	81	79	76	74	73	71	70	69	68	67
AP E	4225	96	95	90	86	82	79	77	75	73	72	70
AP E	4220	88	87	83	80	78	75	74	72	71	70	69
AP E	4215	71	71	70	69	68	67	66	66	65	64	64
AP E	4210	69	69	68	67	66	66	65	64	64	63	62
AP E	4205	81	80	78	75	74	72	71	70	69	68	67
AP W	4125	54	54	54	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
AP W	4120	67	67	66	65	65	64	63	63	62	61	61
AP W	4115	69	69	68	67	66	66	65	64	64	63	62
AP W	4110	42	42	41	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32
AP W	4108	49	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	39
AP W	4107	45	45	44	43	41	40	39	38	37	36	35
AP W	4105	40	40	40	39	39	39	39	38	38	38	38
TW C1	505	83	82	80	78	76	74	73	71	70	69	68
TW D	412	80	80	77	76	74	72	71	70	69	68	67
TW D	405	94	93	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	75	73

### Table D-1: Pavement Performance Prediction


Branch	Section	Current			Paver	ment P	erform	nance	Mode	I - PCI		
ID	ID	PCI	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
TW C	330	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
TW C	325	72	72	70	69	68	67	67	66	65	65	65
TW C	310	79	79	77	75	73	72	70	69	68	67	66
TW C	306	84	83	81	79	77	75	73	72	71	69	68
TW C	305	92	91	89	86	84	81	79	77	75	74	72
TW B	208	49	49	48	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39
TW B	205	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34
TW A	136	79	79	77	75	73	72	70	69	68	67	66
TW A	135	94	93	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	75	73
TW A	130	82	81	79	77	75	74	72	71	70	68	68
TW A1	125	93	92	90	87	85	82	80	78	76	74	73
TW A	110	91	90	88	86	84	82	80	79	77	76	75
TW A	105	81	80	78	76	75	73	72	70	69	68	67
TW A	102	92	91	89	86	84	81	79	77	75	74	72

#### Figure D-1: Pavement Performance by Pavement Use




#### (a) Runway

#### (b) Taxiway



(c) Apron



# APPENDIX E

• YEAR-1 PREVENTATIVE ACTIVITIES

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	l	Work Cost
RUNWAY 16-34	RW 16-34	6305	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	21,178.10	Ft	\$2.75	\$	58,239.78
RUNWAY 16-34	RW 16-34	6305	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	47,209.80	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	25,965.59
RUNWAY 7-25	RW 7-25	6205	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	7,587.80	Ft	\$2.75	\$	20,866.37
RUNWAY12-30	RW 12-30	6120	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	377.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$	1,037.22
RUNWAY12-30	RW 12-30	6120	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	702.10	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	386.14
RUNWAY12-30	RW 12-30	6105	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	7,040.60	Ft	\$2.75	\$	19,361.63
RUNWAY12-30	RW 12-30	6105	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	49,453.40	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	27,199.60
RUNWAY12-30	RW 12-30	6102	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	1,798.30	Ft	\$2.75	\$	4,945.41
RUNWAY12-30	RW 12-30	6102	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	14,984.70	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	8,241.64
RUN-UP APRON AT TAXIWAY D	AP TW D RU	5105	DEPRESSION	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	896.40	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	4,482.08
RUN-UP APRON AT TAXIWAY D	AP TW D RU	5105	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	32.80	Ft	\$2.75	\$	90.32
HELICOPTER PAD	AP H	4505	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	6,820.10	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	3,751.06
RUN-UP APRON AT RW 12	AP RU	4305	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	59.60	Ft	\$2.75	\$	163.92
EAST APRON	AP E	4235	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	45,261.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	24,893.76

#### Table E-1: Year-1 Preventative Activities





Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	V	Vork Cost
EAST APRON	AP E	4235	DEPRESSION	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	417.60	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	2,088.07
EAST APRON	AP E	4235	DEPRESSION	М	Patching - AC Full Depth	284.40	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	1,422.17
EAST APRON	AP E	4235	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	37,340.30	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	20,537.35
EAST APRON	AP E	4235	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	7,920.70	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	4,356.41
EAST APRON	AP E	4230	DEPRESSION	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	532.50	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	2,662.43
EAST APRON	AP E	4230	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	102.40	Ft	\$2.75	\$	281.58
EAST APRON	AP E	4230	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	1,919.90	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	1,055.94
EAST APRON	AP E	4229	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	158.30	Ft	\$2.75	\$	435.42
EAST APRON	AP E	4227	DEPRESSION	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	1,172.90	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	5,864.57
EAST APRON	AP E	4227	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	933.90	Ft	\$2.75	\$	2,568.31
EAST APRON	AP E	4227	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	710.30	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	390.68
EAST APRON	AP E	4220	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	272.40	Ft	\$2.75	\$	748.97
EAST APRON	AP E	4220	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	236.50	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	130.09
EAST APRON	AP E	4215	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	2,487.30	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	1,368.05
EAST APRON	AP E	4215	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	2,081.70	Ft	\$2.75	\$	5,724.76



Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	ļ	Work Cost
EAST APRON	AP E	4210	OIL SPILLAGE	Ν	Surface Seal	168.70	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	92.78
EAST APRON	AP E	4210	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	19,120.50	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	10,516.36
EAST APRON	AP E	4205	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	2,920.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	1,606.34
EAST APRON	AP E	4205	DEPRESSION	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	575.20	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	2,875.89
EAST APRON	AP E	4205	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	5,672.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$	15,598.65
EAST APRON	AP E	4205	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	458.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	252.24
WEST APRON	AP W	4125	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	172.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	3,289.45
WEST APRON	AP W	4125	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	770.80	Ft	\$3.00	\$	2,312.38
WEST APRON	AP W	4125	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	956.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	18,277.01
WEST APRON	AP W	4125	Shrinkage Cr	Ν	Crack Sealing - PCC	39.40	Ft	\$4.25	\$	167.32
WEST APRON	AP W	4125	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	7.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	137.06
WEST APRON	AP W	4125	CORNER SPALL	Н	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	3.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	68.53
WEST APRON	AP W	4120	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	8,243.10	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	4,533.74
WEST APRON	AP W	4120	DEPRESSION	Н	Patching - AC Full Depth	1,556.00	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	7,779.99
WEST APRON	AP W	4120	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	2,909.80	Ft	\$2.75	\$	8,001.98



Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
WEST APRON	AP W	4120	OIL SPILLAGE	Ν	Surface Seal	296.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 162.78
WEST APRON	AP W	4120	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	32,395.40	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 17,817.60
WEST APRON	AP W	4115	DEPRESSION	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	1,360.80	SqFt	\$5.00	\$ 6,804.07
WEST APRON	AP W	4115	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	1,416.10	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 3,894.40
WEST APRON	AP W	4115	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	3,631.10	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 1,997.15
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	CORNER BREAK	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	188.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 3,605.74
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	JT SEAL DMG	Н	Joint Seal - PCC	4,440.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$ 13,319.97
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	7,672.10	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 146,537.46
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	FAULTING	М	Restoration - PCC/CRCP	116.90	Ft	\$45.00	\$ 5,261.54
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	FAULTING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	383.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 7,326.87
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	Shat. Slab	М	Slab Replacement - PCC	2,338.50	SqFt	\$45.00	\$ 105,230.78
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	Shat. Slab	L	Slab Replacement - PCC	9,353.80	SqFt	\$45.00	\$ 420,923.10
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	Shrinkage Cr	Ν	Crack Sealing - PCC	86.30	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 366.82
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	JOINT SPALL	Н	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	47.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 901.44
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	15.70	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 300.48



Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	١	Vork Cost
WEST APRON	AP W	4110	CORNER SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	31.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	600.96
WEST APRON	AP W	4108	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	226.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	4,317.40
WEST APRON	AP W	4108	JT SEAL DMG	Н	Joint Seal - PCC	0.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	-
WEST APRON	AP W	4108	JT SEAL DMG	М	Joint Seal - PCC	0.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	-
WEST APRON	AP W	4108	scaling	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	0.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	-
WEST APRON	AP W	4108	Shrinkage Cr	Ν	Crack Sealing - PCC	103.30	Ft	\$4.25	\$	439.22
WEST APRON	AP W	4108	JOINT SPALL	Н	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	56.50	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	1,079.35
WEST APRON	AP W	4108	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	65.90	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	1,259.24
WEST APRON	AP W	4108	JOINT SPALL	Μ	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	22.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	431.74
WEST APRON	AP W	4108	CORNER SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	9.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	179.89
WEST APRON	AP W	4108	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	9.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	179.89
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	CORNER BREAK	Н	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	177.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	3,392.25
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	CORNER BREAK	Μ	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	177.60	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	3,392.25
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	CORNER BREAK	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	710.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$	13,568.99
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	JT SEAL DMG	Н	Joint Seal - PCC	0.00	Ft	\$3.00	\$	-



Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	SCALING	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	0.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ -
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	Shrinkage Cr	Ν	Crack Sealing - PCC	189.50	Ft	\$4.25	\$ 805.24
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	JOINT SPALL	Н	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	88.80	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 1,696.12
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	JOINT SPALL	М	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	284.20	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 5,427.59
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	JOINT SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	74.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 1,413.44
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	CORNER SPALL	Μ	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	44.40	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 848.06
WEST APRON	AP W	4107	CORNER SPALL	L	Patching - PCC Partial Depth	74.00	SqFt	\$19.10	\$ 1,413.44
WEST APRON	AP W	4105	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	48,654.90	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 26,760.42
WEST APRON	AP W	4105	DEPRESSION	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	291.60	SqFt	\$5.00	\$ 1,458.08
WEST APRON	AP W	4105	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	921.50	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 2,534.20
WEST APRON	AP W	4105	RAVELING	Н	Patching - AC Partial Depth	508.40	SqFt	\$3.00	\$ 1,525.29
WEST APRON	AP W	4105	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	4,539.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 2,496.77
WEST APRON	AP W	4105	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	52,686.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 28,977.55
WEST APRON	AP W	4105	SHOVING	L	Grinding (Localized)	47.20	Ft	\$2.10	\$ 99.19
TAXIWAY D	TW D	412	DEPRESSION	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	321.60	SqFt	\$5.00	\$ 1,607.90



Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	V	Vork Cost
TAXIWAY D	TW D	412	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	213.10	Ft	\$2.75	\$	585.98
TAXIWAY D	TW D	405	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	157.80	Ft	\$2.75	\$	434.02
TAXIWAY C	TW C	330	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	130,492.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	71,771.55
TAXIWAY C	TW C	330	DEPRESSION	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	462.80	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	2,313.92
TAXIWAY C	TW C	330	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	171.50	Ft	\$2.75	\$	471.64
TAXIWAY C	TW C	330	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	115,579.20	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	63,569.09
TAXIWAY C	TW C	330	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	18,641.80	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	10,253.08
TAXIWAY C	TW C	330	RUTTING	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	604.00	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	3,019.98
TAXIWAY C	TW C	325	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	1,574.40	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	865.91
TAXIWAY C	TW C	325	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	50.30	Ft	\$2.75	\$	138.30
TAXIWAY C	TW C	310	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	18,752.40	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	10,313.89
TAXIWAY C	TW C	310	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	162.30	Ft	\$2.75	\$	446.42
TAXIWAY C	TW C	310	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	18,752.40	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	10,313.89
TAXIWAY C	TW C	310	RUTTING	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	1,209.10	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	6,045.55
TAXIWAY C	TW C	306	L & T CR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	89.50	Ft	\$2.75	\$	246.05




Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	\	Work Cost
TAXIWAY C	TW C	306	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	697.90	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	383.85
TAXIWAY C	TW C	305	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	203.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$	558.78
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	208	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	5,333.30	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	2,933.37
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	208	BLOCK CR	Μ	Patching - AC Full Depth	1,412.60	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	7,063.09
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	208	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	207.60	Ft	\$2.75	\$	570.81
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	208	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	40.40	Ft	\$2.75	\$	110.99
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	208	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	5,333.30	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	2,933.37
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	205	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	55,047.50	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	30,276.40
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	205	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	354.80	Ft	\$2.75	\$	975.71
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	205	PATCHING	М	Crack Sealing - AC	7.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$	19.82
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	205	RAVELING	Μ	Surface Seal	55,047.50	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	30,276.40
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	205	RUTTING	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	436.40	SqFt	\$5.00	\$	2,181.84
TAXIWAY A	TW A	136	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	144.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$	396.00
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	136	SHOVING	L	Grinding (Localized)	6.10	Ft	\$2.10	\$	12.71
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	130	L & T CR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	269.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$	739.69





Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	W	ork Cost
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	125	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	156.40	Ft	\$2.75	\$	430.17
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	110	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	822.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$	2,260.42
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	105	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	1,886.60	Ft	\$2.75	\$	5,188.07
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	102	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	294.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$	809.06
								Total =	\$ 1,4	134,033.53

## APPENDIX F

- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT 10-YEAR MAJOR REHABILITATION
   EXHIBIT
- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT 10-YEAR MAJOR REHABILITATION TABLE







1				- J		1
Year	Branch ID	Section ID	Major M&R Costs*	PCI Before M&R	M&R Activity	PCI After M&R
2014	AP E	4235	\$ 658,321.33	41	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	AP W	4125	\$ 120,499.99	54	PCC Restoration	100
2014	AP W	4110	\$ 743,741.44	42	PCC Restoration	100
2014	AP W	4108	\$ 373,466.90	49	PCC Restoration	100
2014	AP W	4107	\$ 613,575.11	45	PCC Restoration	100
2014	AP W	4105	\$ 866,010.20	40	Reconstruction	100
2014	TW C	330	\$ 2,013,315.48	30	Reconstruction	100
2014	TW B	208	\$ 154,535.35	49	Mill and Overlay	100
2014	TW B	205	\$ 917,595.22	34	Reconstruction	100
2018	RW 12-30	6105	\$ 5,289,891.16	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2018	AP W	4120	\$ 1,602,161.72	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2019	RW 12-30	6120	\$ 554,132.98	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2020	AP E	4210	\$ 326,155.37	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2020	AP W	4115	\$ 406,479.26	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2022	RW 16-34	6305	\$ 6,047,129.38	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2022	AP E	4215	\$ 623,377.53	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2023	TW C	325	\$ 148,900.71	65	Mill and Overlay	100
		Total =	\$21,459,289.13			

#### Table F-1: Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Table


\* Costs are adjusted for inflation AT 3%

# APPENDIX G

• PHOTOGRAPHS



Runway 12-30, Section 6120, Sample Unit 400 – Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 12-30, Section 6105, Sample Unit 385 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 12-30, Section 6105, Sample Unit 357 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 12-30, Section 6105, Sample Unit 301 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway B, Section 208, Sample Unit 200 – Medium Severity (43) Block Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway D, Section 412, Sample Unit 101 – Low Severity (45) Depression, Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 16-34, Section 6305, Sample Unit 310 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 16-34, Section 6305, Sample Unit 341 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 16-34, Section 6305, Sample Unit 390 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 7-25, Section 6205, Sample Unit 315 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 7-25, Section 6205, Sample Unit 354 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 7-25, Section 6205, Sample Unit 368 - Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway C, Section 305, Sample Unit 403 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Apron E, Section 4205, Sample Unit 208 - Low Severity (43) Block Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Apron E, Section 4235, Sample Unit 54 – Low Severity (43) Block Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering, Low Severity (52) Raveling



Apron W, Section 4120, Sample Unit 700 – High Severity (45) Depression, Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway B, Section 205, Sample Unit 207 - Medium Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (53) Rutting



Apron W, Section 4110, Sample Unit 404 - High Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Medium Severity (72) Shattered Slab



Apron W, Section 4105, Sample Unit 300 – Low Severity (43) Block Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering, High Severity (52) Raveling



Apron W, Section 4107, Sample Unit 202 – High Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Medium Severity (62) Corner Break, Medium Severity (74) Joint Spalling

# APPENDIX H

O DISTRESS DATA – RE-INSPECTION REPORT

### **Re-inspection Report**

EDOT	Ke-msp	ection Report			
FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP E Name: EAST APRON		Use: APRO	ON Area:	748,395.00SqFt	
Section: 4205 of 10 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	P-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	12/25/1999 Rank: P
Area: 212,528.00SqFt Length: 800.00Ft		Vidth: 350.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 44 Sur Conditions: PCI : 81 Inspection Comments:	rveyed: 5				
Sample Number: 105 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	6,852.00SqFt	PCI = 87		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	$\mathbf{L}$	96.00 F	't Comment	s:	
57 WEATHERING	L	6,852.00 S	gFt Comment	s:	
52 RAVELING	L	57.00 S	qFt Comment	s:	
Sample Number: 208 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 75		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	257.00 F		s:	
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	363.00 S		s:	
57 WEATHERING	L	5,000.00 S	GqFt Comment	s:	
Sample Number: 403 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,050.00SqFt	PCI = 80		
57 WEATHERING	L	5,025.00 S		s:	
45 DEPRESSION	L	9.00 S	-		
45 DEPRESSION	L	12.00 S			
50 PATCHING	L	25.00 S			
45 DEPRESSION	L	12.00 S			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	62.00 F			
45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION	L L	9.00 S 18.00 S			
Sample Number: 508 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,891.00SqFt	PCI = 78		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	186.00 F	't Comment	s:	
57 WEATHERING	L	4,891.00 S			
56 SWELLING	L	100.00 S			
Sample Number: 606 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,622.00SqFt	PCI = 82		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	$\mathbf{L}$	104.00 F	't Comment	s:	
57 WEATHERING	L	4,622.00 S	lqFt Comment	s:	

### **Re-inspection Report**

FDOT Report Constant Data	Databar 20, 2012	no mspe				
Report Generated Date: ( Network: SUA	Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP E	Name: EAST APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	748,395.00SqFt	
Section: 4210	of 10 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	12/25/1999
Surface: AC	Family: FDOT-SAPM	P-GA-AP-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 27,315.00SqFt	Length: 37	0.00Ft W	idth: 50.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street T	Type: Grade: 0.0	0 Lanes: 0				
Section Comments: Last Insp. Date: 10/08/20 Conditions: PCI : 69 Inspection Comments:	013 Total Samples: 7	Surveyed: 1				
Sample Number: 102 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	3,400.00SqFt	PCI = 69		
49 OIL SPILLAGE		Ν	15.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	3,400.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING		$\mathbf{L}$	2,380.00 SqFt	Comments	:	

### **Re-inspection Report**

Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD	
Branch: AP E Name: EAST APRON	
	Use: APRON Area: 748,395.00SqFt
Section: 4215 of 10 From: -	To: - Last Const.: 12/25/1999
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-AP-AC	Zone: Category: Rank: P
Area: 49,210.00SqFt Length: 1,800.00Ft Width:	30.00Ft
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0	
Section Comments:	
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 12 Surveyed: 2	
Conditions: PCI: 71	
Inspection Comments:	
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Area: 4,500.00S	SqFt $PCI = 72$
Sample Comments:	
	88.00 Ft Comments: 00.00 SqFt Comments:
	56.00 SqFt Comments:
	80.00 SqFt Comments:
Sample Number: 407 Type: R Area: 3,750.00S	SqFt PCI = 70
Sample Comments:	
	61.00 Ft Comments:
	61.00 SqFt Comments:
57 WEATHERING L 3,75	61.00 SqFt Comments: 50.00 SqFt Comments: 02.00 SqFt Comments:

FDOT	ne mspectio				
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP E Name: EAST APRON		Use: APRON	Area: 74	48,395.00SqFt	
Section: 4220 of 10 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	12/25/1999
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-AF	P-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 32,840.00SqFt Length: 1,600.00Ft	Width:	30.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments: Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 8 Surv Conditions: PCI : 88 Inspection Comments:	veyed: 1				
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 4,582	2.00SqFt	PCI = 88		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	38.00 Ft	Comments:		
57 WEATHERING		,749.00 SqFt	Comments:		
52 RAVELING	L	33.00 SqFt	Comments:		

FDOT Report Genera	ted Date: October 29,			on 100port			
Network: SU	A Name:	WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AF	PE Name:	EAST APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	748,395.00SqFt	
Section: 42 Surface: AC		From: - y: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-AP	-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2011 Rank: P
Area: 17,8 Shoulder:	25.00SqFt Le Street Type:	ength: 100.00Ft Grade: 0.00	Width Lanes: 0	: 150.00Ft			
Section Commer Last Insp. Date Conditions: F Inspection Comm	: 10/08/2013 Total Sa PCI : 96	amples: 4 Surv	eyed: 1				
Sample Numbe Sample Commer 57 WEATHE	its:	pe: R	Area: 4, L	650.00SqFt 1,395.00 SqFt	PCI = 96 Comments	3:	

FDOT         Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013         Network:       SUA         Name:       WITHAM FIELD         Branch:       AP E         Name:       EAST APRON					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP E Name: EAST APRON					
		Use: APRON	Area: 74	8,395.00SqFt	
Section: 4227 of 10 From: -		То: -	-	Last Const.:	01/01/2000
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-AI			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 98,326.00SqFt Length: 350.00Ft		Width: 300.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0			
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 20 Sur	veyed: 3				
Conditions: PCI: 82					
nspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 201 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 76		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	13.00 Ft	Comments:		
57 WEATHERING	I				
15 DEPRESSION	I				
52 RAVELING	I	108.00 SqFt	Comments:		
Sample Number: 502 Type: R	Area:	4,950.00SqFt	PCI = 81		
Sample Comments:	_		<b>a</b>		
45 DEPRESSION	I	-			
50 PATCHING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	-	Comments: Comments:		
57 WEATHERING	I				
// WEATHERING		1 7,970.00 SQFC	COUNTERIES .		
	A #201	5 000 005 -Et	PCI = 89		
Sample Number: 600 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	1 C = 0		
Sample Number: 600 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Area:		Comments:		

FDOT		ite inspe	cuon report			
Report Generated Date: (	October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA	Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP E	Name: EAST APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	748,395.00SqFt	
Section: 4229 Surface: AC	of 10 From: - Family: FDOT-SAPMP-Ga	A-AP-AC	To: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2003 Rank: P
Area: 132,210.00SqFt	Length: 700.00		idth: 200.00Ft		2,	
Shoulder: Street T	-	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Conditions: PCI: 93 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 208	Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 94		
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING	Type. K	L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 506 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	5,850.00SqFt	PCI = 90		
1	TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	20.00 Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,850.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 609 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	5,850.00SqFt	PCI = 94		
57 WEATHERING						

FDOT		Re-mspe				
Report Generated Date: Octob	per 29, 2013					
	ame: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP E Na	ame: EAST APRON		Use: APRON	Area: 7	48,395.00SqFt	
Section: 4230 of Surface: AC	10 From: - Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	DAC	To: -	Zone:	Last Const.:	01/01/2000 Rank: P
	•		idth and out	Zone.	Category:	Kalik. P
Area: 114,996.00SqFt	Length: 955.00Ft		fidth: 200.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Conditions: PCI : 87 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 101	Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 83		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA	NSVERSE CRACKING	L	12.00 Ft	Comments	:	
45 DEPRESSION		L	24.00 SqFt	Comments		
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments		
52 RAVELING		L	123.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 305 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	4,049.00SqFt	PCI = 85		
52 RAVELING		L	102.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
45 DEPRESSION		L	28.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	4,049.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 404 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	4,428.00SqFt	PCI = 94		
57 WEATHERING		L	4,428.00 SqFt	Comments	:	

FDOT Report Genera	ated Date: October 2	29, 2013	no mspe				
Network: St	JA Name:	: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: Al	PE Name	: EAST APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	748,395.00SqFt	
Section: 42 Surface: A		10 From: - nily: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	AP-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	07/01/2011 Rank: P
Area: 17,8 Shoulder:	884.00SqFt Street Type:	Length: 900.00Ft Grade: 0.00	W Lanes: 0	<i>idth:</i> 30.00Ft			
Section Comment Last Insp. Date Conditions:	e: 10/08/2013 Total PCI : 98	Samples: 4 Su	rveyed: 1				
Sample Numb Sample Comme 57 WEATHE	nts:	Гуре: R	Area: L	3,944.00SqFt 394.00 SqFt	PCI = 98	5:	

<b>Re-inspection</b>	Report
----------------------	--------

					•	ion repor				
FDOT		0.0012								
Report Generated Date: C										
Network: SUA	Name:	WITHAM F	IELD							
Branch: AP E	Name:	EAST APRO	ON			Use: API	RON	Area:	748,395.00SqFt	
Section: 4235	of 1	0 From:	_			То: -			Last Const.:	12/25/1999
Surface: AC	Fam	ily: FDOT-S	APMP-GA-AF	P-AC				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 45,261.00SqFt	1	Length:	1,129.00Ft		Widtl	h: 40.00F	ने			
Shoulder: Street T		Grade:		Lanes:		101001				
Shoulder. Shoel I	ype.	Giude.	0.00	Edule5.	0					
Section Comments:										
-		-		-						
Conditions: PCI:41 inspection Comments: Sample Number: 54 Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING		ype: R		Area:	M L	.000.00SqFt 1,400.00 2,600.00	SqFt	PCI = 38 Comments	3:	
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/20 Conditions: PCI: 41 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 54 Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKIN	T	_		Area:	М	1,400.00	SqFt SqFt	Comment	5: 5:	
Conditions: PCI:41 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 54 Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKIN Sample Number: 59 Sample Comments: 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION 52 RAVELING	T	_		Area:	M L L L	1,400.00 2,600.00 2,600.00 4,000.00 ,000.00SqFt 30.00 9.00 40.00 20.00 4,000.00	SqFt SqFt SqFt SqFt SqFt SqFt SqFt SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 44 Comments Comments Comments Comments	5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5:	
Conditions: PCI:41 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 54 Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKIN Sample Number: 59 Sample Comments: 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION	IG T	ype: R			M L L 4 M M L L	1,400.00 2,600.00 2,600.00 4,000.00 ,000.00SqFt 30.00 9.00 40.00 20.00	SqFt SqFt SqFt SqFt SqFt SqFt SqFt SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 44 Comments Comments Comments Comments	5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5: 5:	

FDOT			ne mspe				
Report Ge	nerated Date: (	October 29, 2013					
Network:	SUA	Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch:	AP H	Name: HELICOPTER PAD		Use: APRON	Area:	27,270.00SqFt	
Section:	4505	of 1 From: -		То: -	7	Last Const.:	01/01/2010
Surface: Area: Shoulder:	AC 27,270.00SqFt Street T	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-C Length: 219.0 Yype: Grade: 0.00		dth: 160.00Ft	Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Section Con	nments:						
-	S: PCI: 80	13 Total Samples: 5	Surveyed: 1				
Sample Nu Sample Con		Type: R	Area:	5,330.00SqFt	PCI = 80		
57 WEAT	THERING ELING		L L	5,330.00 SqFt 1,333.00 SqFt	Comments Comments		

FDOT					speen	in nopoi	v			
Report Ge	nerated Date: C	October 29,	, 2013							
Network:	SUA	Name:	WITHAM FIELD							
Branch:	AP RU	Name:	RUN-UP APRON AT RW	12		Use: Al	PRON	Area:	7,180.00SqFt	
Section:	4305	of 1	From: -			To:	-		Last Const.:	01/01/2008
Surface:	AC	Famil	y: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	P-AC				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	7,180.00SqFt	Le	ength: 130.00Ft		Width:	60.00	)Ft			
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0					
-	Date: 10/08/20 :: PCI : 94	)13 Total Sa	amples: 2 Sur	veyed:	1					
Sample Nu Sample Con		Ту	pe: R	Area:	3,9	75.00SqFt		PCI = 94		
48 LONG		TRANSVE	ERSE CRACKING		L L	33.00 398.00		Comments Comments		

FDOT	-	-			
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP TW D RU Name: RUN-UP APRON AT TA	XIWAY D	Use: APRON	Area:	20,042.00SqFt	
Section: 5105 of 1 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	.P-AC	To: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2010 Rank: P
Area: 20,042.00SqFt Length: 129.00Ft	Widt	th: 152.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Commenter					
Section Comments:					
	rveyed: 1				
	rveyed: 1				
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 4 Sur	rveyed: 1				
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 4 Sur Conditions: PCI : 75 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 101 Type: R		4,882.00SqFt	PCI = 75		
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 4 Sur Conditions: PCI: 75 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:				
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 4 Sur Conditions: PCI: 75 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 45 DEPRESSION	Area:	45.00 SqFt	Comments		
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 4 Sur Conditions: PCI: 75 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION	Area: L L	45.00 SqFt 55.00 SqFt	Comments Comments	:	
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 4 Sur Conditions: PCI: 75 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 45 DEPRESSION	Area:	45.00 SqFt	Comments	:	

FDOT Report Generated Date: O	ctober 20, 2013					
Network: SUA	Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP W	Name: WEST APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	382,659.00SqFt	
Section: 4105	of 7 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	12/25/1999
Surface: AC	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	AP-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 57,734.00SqFt	Length: 800.00Ft	W	idth: 170.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Ty	vpe: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Conditions: PCI: 40 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 300 Sample Comments: 45 DEPRESSION 52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 45 DEPRESSION 43 BLOCK CRACKIN 52 RAVELING	Type: R G	Area: L L L L H	7,718.00SqFt 6.00 SqFt 7,606.00 SqFt 7,606.00 SqFt 44.00 SqFt 7,718.00 SqFt 112.00 SqFt	PCI = 41 Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments	5: 5: 5:	

EDOT	ite-inspectio	n Keport			
FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP W Name: WEST APRON		Use: APRON	Area: 382	2,659.00SqFt	
Section: 4107 of 7 From: - Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-C	GA-AP-PCC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Area: 48,600.00SqFt Length: 785.0		50.00Ft		0,	
Slabs: 220 Slab Width: 0.00Ft	Slab Length:	0.00Ft	Joint Length:	0.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0		0		
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 11	Surveyed: 2				
Conditions: PCI : 45 Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 202 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 2	0.00Slabs	PCI = 43		
55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	20.00 Slabs	Comments:		
52 CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
52 CORNER BREAK	М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
53 LINEAR CRACKING	М	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
52 CORNER BREAK	Н	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
70 SCALING/CRAZING	L	8.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	М	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Ν	5.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
74 JOINT SPALLING	Н	1.00 Slabs	Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING	L	2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
1 91	Area: 2	0.00Slabs	PCI = 46		
Sample Comments: 55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Area: 2 H	20.00 Slabs	PCI = 46 Comments:		
Sample Comments: 55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H L	20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs			
Sample Comments: 55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 52 CORNER BREAK 57 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	Н	20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	Comments:		
Sample Comments: 55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 52 CORNER BREAK 57 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	H L L L	20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 12.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 52 CORNER BREAK 57 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY 70 SCALING/CRAZING	H L L	20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 52 CORNER BREAK 57 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	H L L L	20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 12.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY 70 SCALING/CRAZING	H L L L N	20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 12.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 7.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING	H L L N L	20.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 12.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		

Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP W Name: WEST APRON		Use: APRON	Area: 3	82,659.00SqFt	
Section: 4108 of 7 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1942
Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	.P-PCC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 35,266.00SqFt Length: 785.00Ft	Width:	50.00Ft			
Slabs: 168 Slab Width: 0.00Ft	Slab Length:	0.00Ft	Joint Length:	0.00Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 7 Sur	veyed: 2				
Conditions: PCI: 49					
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 412 Type: R	Area:	24.00Slabs	PCI = 49		
Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	Н	24.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING	L	16.00 Slabs	Comments:	:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING		16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:	:	
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING	L M	16.00 Slabs	Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING	L M L	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING	L M L N L	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 415 Type: R Sample Comments:	L M L N L	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: PCI = 49		
53 LINEAR CRACKING 53 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 415 Type: R Sample Comments: 55 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	L M L N L Area:	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 24.00Slabs 24.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: PCI = 49 Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 415 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK	L M L N L Area: M L	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 24.00Slabs 24.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: PCI = 49 Comments: Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 415 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING	L M L N L Area: M L L	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 24.00Slabs 24.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: PCI = 49 Comments: Comments: Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 415 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 66 SMALL PATCH	L M L N L Area: M L L L	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 24.00Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 415 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 66 SMALL PATCH 67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY	L M L N L Area: M L L L L L	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 24.00Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
<ul> <li>63 LINEAR CRACKING</li> <li>63 LINEAR CRACKING</li> <li>70 SCALING/CRAZING</li> <li>73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING</li> <li>74 JOINT SPALLING</li> <li>Sample Number: 415 Type: R</li> <li>Sample Comments:</li> <li>65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE</li> <li>62 CORNER BREAK</li> <li>63 LINEAR CRACKING</li> <li>66 SMALL PATCH</li> <li>67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY</li> <li>73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING</li> </ul>	L M L N L Area: M L L L L N	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 24.00Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
<ul> <li>63 LINEAR CRACKING</li> <li>63 LINEAR CRACKING</li> <li>70 SCALING/CRAZING</li> <li>73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING</li> <li>74 JOINT SPALLING</li> <li>Sample Number: 415 Type: R</li> <li>Sample Comments:</li> <li>65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE</li> <li>62 CORNER BREAK</li> <li>63 LINEAR CRACKING</li> <li>66 SMALL PATCH</li> <li>67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY</li> <li>73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING</li> <li>70 SCALING/CRAZING</li> </ul>	L M L N L L Area: M L L L L L L L L L	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 24.00Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 415 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 66 SMALL PATCH 67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 74 JOINT SPALLING	L M L N L Area: M L L L L N	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 24.00Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 415 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 66 SMALL PATCH 67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING	L M L N L L L L L L L L L L	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 24.00Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
63 LINEAR CRACKING 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 74 JOINT SPALLING Sample Number: 415 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 66 SMALL PATCH 67 LARGE PATCH/UTILITY 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 74 JOINT SPALLING 74 JOINT SPALLING	L M L N L L L L L L L L H	16.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 13.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 24.00Slabs 24.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		

FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013	ſ				
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP W Name: WEST APRON		Use: APRON	Area: 3	82,659.00SqFt	
Section: 4110 of 7 From: - Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-	AP-PCC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1942 Rank: P
Area:       52,617.00SqFt       Length:       900.00Ft         Slabs:       152       Slab Width:       20.00Ft         Shoulder:       Street Type:       Grade:       0.00         Section Comments:       Street Stre	Width: Slab Length: Lanes: 0	60.00Ft 20.00Ft	Joint Length:	: 4,440.00Ft	
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 11 Su Conditions: PCI: 42 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 404 Type: R	urveyed: 2 Area:	14.00Slabs	PCI = 31		
Sample Comments:					
65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	H	14.00 Slabs	Comments		
53 LINEAR CRACKING	L	3.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments		
62 CORNER BREAK 70 SCALING/CRAZING	M L	5.00 Slabs	Comments		
70 SCALING/CRAZING 71 FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
71 FAULTING	Ц М	1.00 Slabs	Comments		
72 SHATTERED SLAB	L	3.00 Slabs	Comments		
72 SHATTERED SLAB	M	1.00 Slabs	Comments		
		<b>1.00</b> D1000	commerres		
73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	N	2 00 Slabs	Comments		
	N M	2.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: Comments:		
75 CORNER SPALLING Sample Number: 408 Type: R	М				
75 CORNER SPALLING Sample Number: 408 Type: R Sample Comments:	М	1.00 Slabs	Comments:	:	
75 CORNER SPALLING Sample Number: 408 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE	M Area:	1.00 Slabs	Comments: PCI = 55	:	
75 CORNER SPALLING	M Area: H	1.00 Slabs 12.00Slabs 12.00 Slabs	Comments : PCI = 55 Comments :	:	
75 CORNER SPALLING Sample Number: 408 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	M Area: H L	1.00 Slabs 12.00Slabs 12.00 Slabs 11.00 Slabs	Comments PCI = 55 Comments Comments	:	
75 CORNER SPALLING Sample Number: 408 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING 72 SHATTERED SLAB	M Area: H L N	1.00 Slabs 12.00Slabs 12.00 Slabs 11.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments: PCI = 55 Comments: Comments:	:	
75 CORNER SPALLING Sample Number: 408 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	M Area: H L N L	1.00 Slabs 12.00Slabs 12.00 Slabs 11.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments PCI = 55 Comments Comments Comments		

FDOT		-			
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP W Name: WEST APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	382,659.00SqFt	
Section: 4115 of 7 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	12/25/1999
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	P-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 34,042.00SqFt Length: 400.00Ft	Wid	lth: 60.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 9 Sur	veyed: 1				
Conditions: PCI : 69	veyeu. I				
Inspection Comments:					
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 503 Type: R	Area:	3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 69		
Sample Number: 503 Type: R Sample Comments:					
Sample Number: 503 Type: R Sample Comments: 45 DEPRESSION	L	64.00 SqFt	Comments	-	
Sample Number: 503 Type: R Sample Comments: 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION		64.00 SqFt 70.00 SqFt		3:	
Sample Comments: 45 DEPRESSION 45 DEPRESSION	L L	64.00 SqFt	Comments Comments	3:	

	NC-1115	pecu	оп керог	ι			
FDOT							
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013							
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD							
Branch: AP W Name: WEST APRON			Use: AP	RON	Area:	382,659.00SqFt	
Section: 4120 of 7 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	P-AC		То: -		Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	12/25/1999 Rank: P
Area: 142,350.00SqFt Length: 420.00Ft		Width:	300.00	Ft		gj:	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0					
Section Comments:							
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 35 Sur	rveyed: 4						
Conditions: PCI: 67	regea. T						
Inspection Comments:							
Sample Number: 602 Type: R	Area:	4,0	000.00SqFt		PCI = 80		
Sample Comments:		-	46.00		<i>.</i>		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING		L L	46.00		Comments Comments		
57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING		L	4,000.00	-	Comments		
				- 1			
Sample Number: 700 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,0	000.00SqFt		PCI = 45		
45 DEPRESSION		Н	70.00	SqFt	Comments	3:	
45 DEPRESSION		Н	100.00		Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	72.00	Ft	Comments	3:	
57 WEATHERING		L	4,000.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING		L	319.00	SqFt	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 705 Type: R	Area:	4,0	000.00SqFt		PCI = 86		
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING		L	4,000.00	SaFt	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	109.00		Comments		
Sample Number: 810 Type: R	Area:	5 0	269.00SqFt		PCI = 60		
Sample Comments:	mea.	5,2	.07.005411		1 C1 - 00		
43 BLOCK CRACKING		L	1,000.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	126.00	Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,269.00	-	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING		L	3,161.00		Comments	3:	
49 OIL SPILLAGE		Ν	28.00		Comments		

FDOT					
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: AP W Name: WEST APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	382,659.00SqFt	
Section: 4125 of 7 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2006
Surface: PCC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-C	GA-AP-PCC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 12,050.00SqFt Length: 120.0	0Ft Width:	103.00Ft			
Slabs: 20 Slab Width: 25.00Ft	Slab Length:	25.00Ft	Joint Length	: 765.80Ft	
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0		6		
Section Comments:					
-					
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 2 Conditions: PCI: 54 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 710 Type: R	Surveyed: 1 Area:	15.00Slabs	PCI = 54		
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 2 Conditions: PCI: 54 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 710 Type: R Sample Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 2 Conditions: PCI: 54 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 710 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE		15.00 Slabs	Comments		
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 2 Conditions: PCI: 54 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 710 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK	Area:	15.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs	Comments Comments	:	
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 2 Conditions: PCI: 54 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 710 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING	Area: M L L	15.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs	Comments Comments Comments	:	
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 2 Conditions: PCI: 54 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 710 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING	Area: M L L L	15.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 7.00 Slabs	Comments Comments Comments Comments	: : :	
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 2 Conditions: PCI: 54 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 710 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING 73 SHRINKAGE CRACKING	Area: M L L L N	15.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 7.00 Slabs 6.00 Slabs	Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments	: : :	
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 2 Conditions: PCI: 54 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 710 Type: R Sample Comments: 65 JOINT SEAL DAMAGE 62 CORNER BREAK 63 LINEAR CRACKING 70 SCALING/CRAZING	Area: M L L L	15.00 Slabs 4.00 Slabs 1.00 Slabs 7.00 Slabs	Comments Comments Comments Comments	: : : :	

	<b>I</b> , _	cuon report			
FDOT					
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: RW 12-30 Name: RUNWAY 12-30		Use: RUNWAY	Area:	582,800.00SqFt	
Section: 6102 of 3 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-R	W-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2011 Rank: P
Area: 65,000.00SqFt Length: 700.00Ft Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00		idth: 100.00Ft	Zone.	cutegory.	Runk. 1
Section Comments:					
Conditions: PCI : 78	rveyed: 3				
Sample Number: 101 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 83		
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments:					
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	88.00 Ft	Comments		
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING	L L	88.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	88.00 Ft	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 108 Type: R	L L L	88.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt 150.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments	:	
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 108 Type: R Sample Comments:	L L L L	88.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt 150.00 SqFt 108.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments	:	
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 108 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L L L L	88.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt 150.00 SqFt 108.00 SqFt 5,000.00SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 84	:	
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 108 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING	L L L Area:	88.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt 150.00 SqFt 108.00 SqFt 5,000.00SqFt 80.00 Ft	Comments Comments Comments Comments PCI = 84 Comments	:	
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 108 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 112 Type: R	L L L Area: L L	88.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt 150.00 SqFt 108.00 SqFt 5,000.00SqFt 80.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments Comments PCI = 84 Comments Comments	:	
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING 53 maple Number: 108 Type: R 54 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING 53 maple Number: 112 Type: R 55 Sample Number: 112 Type: R	L L L L L L L L	88.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt 150.00 SqFt 108.00 SqFt 5,000.00SqFt 80.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt 200.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments Comments PCI = 84 Comments Comments	:	
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 108 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING	L L L L L L L Area:	88.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt 150.00 SqFt 108.00 SqFt 5,000.00SqFt 80.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt 200.00 SqFt 5,000.00SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 84 Comments Comments PCI = 68	:	

	Re-Ins	pection kep	ort			
FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013						
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD						
Branch: RW 12-30 Name: RUNWAY 12-30		Use:	RUNWAY	Area: 5	82,800.00SqFt	
Section: 6105 of 3 From: - Surface: APC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-A	APC	Тс	): -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2011 Rank: P
Area: 470,000.00SqFt Length: 4,866.00Ft	ii c	Width: 100	).00Ft	Zone.	Category.	Rank. 1
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:					
Section Comments:	Luies.	0				
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 94 Su	rveyed: 20	)				
Conditions: PCI : 82	rveyeu. 20	<b>,</b>				
Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 301 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 70		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING			00 Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING			00 SqFt	Comments		
52 RAVELING		L 2,500.	)0 SqFt	Comments		
Sample Number: 305 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 84		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L 42.	00 Ft	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING		ь 250.	)0 SqFt	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L 5,000.	)0 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 308 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 84		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING			00 Ft	Comments		
57 WEATHERING			00 SqFt	Comments		
52 RAVELING		L 250.0	)0 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 315 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 84		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		ь 70.	00 Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L 5,000.	)0 SqFt	Comments		
52 RAVELING			)0 SqFt	Comments		
52 RAVELING		L 150.	)0 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 322 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 82		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING			00 Ft	Comments		
57 WEATHERING			)0 SqFt	Comments		
52 RAVELING		L 250.0	)0 SqFt	Comments		
Sample Number: 329 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 84		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING			00 Ft	Comments		
57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING			)0 SqFt )0 SqFt	Comments Comments		
Sample Number: 332 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 84		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L 33.0	00 Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING			)0 SqFt	Comments		
52 RAVELING		L 250.	00 SqFt	Comments	:	

#### FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013

Samala Number: 226 Tuna: D	Aroos		5 000 005 aEt	PCI = 82
Sample Number: 336 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	1 C1 - 62
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING		L	350.00 SqFt	Comments:
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	44.00 Ft	Comments:
			11.00 10	
Sample Number: 339 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 82
Sample Comments:			1	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	42.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING		L	150.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING		L	200.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 343 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 81
Sample Comments:		т	124 00 12+	Commontat
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING		L L	124.00 Ft 5,000.00 SqFt	Comments: Comments:
57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING		L	150.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING		L	150.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING		Ц	130.00 Sqrt	Comments.
Sample Number: 346 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 82
Sample Comments:			-,	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	66.00 Ft	Comments:
52 RAVELING		L	150.00 SqFt	Comments:
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING		L	150.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 350 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 84
Sample Comments:		-		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	62.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING		L L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING		Ц	200.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 357 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 82
Sample Comments:	Theu.		5,000.005qr t	101 02
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	108.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING		L	200.00 SqFt	Comments:
	•		5 000 000 5	DCI 97
Sample Number: 360 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 87
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	42.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING		L	50.00 SqFt	Comments:
		_		
Sample Number: 364 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 86
Sample Comments:			-	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	60.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING		L	50.00 SqFt	Comments:
	•		5 000 000 E	DCI 95
Sample Number: 369 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 85
52 RAVELING		L	120.00 SqFt	Comments:
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments:
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	67.00 Ft	Comments:
			J	

#### FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013

Sample Number: 371 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 71	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	119.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	2,000.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 378 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 82	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	85.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	150.00	SqFt	Comments:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	17.00	Ft	Comments:	
Sample Number: 385 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 84	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	60.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	100.00	SqFt	Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	124.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 392 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 71	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	110.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00		Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	2,250.00		Comments:	
				-		

		spece	ion Report			
FDOT						
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013						
Network: SUA Name: WITHA	M FIELD					
Branch: RW 12-30 Name: RUNW.	AY 12-30		Use: RUNW	WAY Area:	582,800.00SqFt	
Section: 6120 of 3 Fr	om: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2011
Surface: APC Family: FDC	T-SAPMP-GA-APC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 47,800.00SqFt Length:	286.00Ft	Widt	h: 100.00Ft			
	ade: 0.00 Lanes	: 0				
Section Comments:						
Conditions: PCI : 88 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 397 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 87		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE (	AD A CK TNC	L	58.00 Ft	t Comment	a •	
57 WEATHERING	CRACKING	L	3,500.00 Sc			
52 RAVELING		L	38.00 Sc	-		
Sample Number: 400 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 86		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE	CRACKING	L	38.00 Ft	t Comment	s:	
52 RAVELING		L	150.00 Sc	-	s:	
57 WEATHERING		L	2,500.00 Sc	qFt Comment	s:	
Sample Number: 402 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	2	2,800.00SqFt	PCI = 92		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE	CRACKING	L	5.00 Ft	t Comment	s:	
57 WEATHERING		L	1,400.00 Sc	qFt Comment	s:	

FDOT	Re-Ins	spec	tion Report				
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013							
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD							
Branch: RW 16-34 Name: RUNWAY 16-34			Use: RUN	WAY	Area:	477,366.00SqFt	
Section: 6305 of 1 From: - Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-0	GA-RW-AAC		То: -		Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1985 Rank: S
Area: 477,366.00SqFt Length: 5,000.0		Widt	th: 100.00Ft			89	5
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:		100.0011				
Section Comments:	Luies.	0					
	G 1 0						
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 96 Conditions: PCI: 77 Inspection Comments:	Surveyed: 2	20					
Sample Number: 300 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 72		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKIN	G	L	212.00 F		Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 S		Comments		
52 RAVELING		L	1,750.00 S	qFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 308 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 80		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKIN	G	L	262.00 F	't	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 S	qFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 310 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 85		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKIN	G	L	143.00 F	't	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 S	qFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 312 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 71		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKIN	G	L	357.00 F	't	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 S	qFt	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING		L	500.00 S	qFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 314 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 75		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKIN	G	L	252.00 F	't	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 S	qFt	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING		L	500.00 S	qFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 318 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 84		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKIN	G	L	176.00 F	't	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 S	qFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 321 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 71		
52 RAVELING		L	2,000.00 S		Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKIN	G	L	127.00 F		Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 S	qFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 328 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 81		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKIN	G	L	180.00 F		Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 S	qFt	Comments	:	

FDOT

Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013						
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	50.00	Ft	Comments:	
Sample Number: 335 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 78	
Sample Comments:		_				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	305.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	Sdrt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 341 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 74	
Sample Comments:	Alca.		5,000.005q11		1 C1 = 74	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	277.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00		Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	200.00		Comments:	
Sample Number: 349 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 69	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	414.00	<b>₽</b> +	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00			
57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING				-	Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	400.00	Sqrt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 356 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 72	
Sample Comments:			•			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	322.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00		Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	400.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number 2/2 Tringe D	<b>A</b> #200		5 000 000 -Et		PCI = 80	
Sample Number: 363 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		FCI = 80	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	155.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00		Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	500.00		Comments:	
Sample Number: 366 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 78	
Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING		L	500.00	Sart	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	-	Comments:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	188.00		Comments:	
Sample Number: 369 Type: R	Area:		5,125.00SqFt		PCI = 82	
Sample Comments:		-	100.00			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	109.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L -	3,075.00		Comments:	
52 RAVELING		L	208.00	Sqrt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 373 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 82	
Sample Comments:			-, <b>-</b>			
52 RAVELING		L	144.00	SqFt	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	3,032.00		Comments:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	103.00		Comments:	
Sample Number: 377 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 82	
Sample Comments:		т		₽×	Commontat	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	72.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING		L L	5,000.00 300.00		Comments: Comments:	
27 VAARTING		Ц	300.00	JIPG		
Sample Number: 384 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 76	
Sample Comments:			-			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	224.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments:	

#### FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013

52 RAVELING		L	400.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 390 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 77
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	208.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING		L	600.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 398 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 73
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	306.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments:
52 RAVELING		L	1,500.00 SqFt	Comments:

	Re-insp	pection Repo	rt			
FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013						
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD						
Branch: RW 7-25 Name: RUNWAY 7-25		Use: R	UNWAY	Area:	476,657.00SqFt	
Section: 6205 of 1 From: - Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-R	RW-AAC	To:	-	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2010 Rank: s
Area: 476,657.00SqFt Length: 4,750.00Ft		Width: 100.0	0Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 96 Su	rveyed: 20					
Conditions: PCI : 87 Inspection Comments:	5					
Sample Number: 303 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,131.00SqFt		PCI = 87		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L 92.00		Comments		
56 SWELLING 57 WEATHERING		L 4.00 L 1,652.00	SqFt SaFt	Comments		
57 WEATHERING	-	L 1,052.00	Sqru	Comments	•	
Sample Number:305Type:RSample Comments:Seal attempt looks similar to 42 but is not.	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 78		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	]	L 179.00	Ft	Comments	:	
56 SWELLING			SqFt	Comments		
56 SWELLING 57 WEATHERING		L 8.00 L 5,000.00	SqFt SqFt	Comments		
57 WEATHERING	-	ь 5,000.00	Sqru	Comments	•	
Sample Number: 312 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 88		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	1	L 38.00		Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L 5,000.00	-	Comments		
56 SWELLING	-	L 6.00	SqFt	Comments	•	
Sample Number: 315 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 83		
57 WEATHERING	1	L 5,000.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	]	L 195.00	Ft	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 319 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 89		
Sample Comments: Strange impact repair spots sealed 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L 79.00	<b>P</b> +	Comments	•	
57 WEATHERING		L 5,000.00		Comments		
Sample Number: 326 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 87		
Sample Comments:		-				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L 110.00		Comments		
57 WEATHERING	-	L 5,000.00	sqFt	Comments		
Sample Number: 333 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 85		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	1	L 123.00	Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING	-	L 5,000.00	-	Comments		
56 SWELLING	1	L 15.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 336 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 87		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	]	L 85.00	Ft	Comments	:	

	Ke-ins	spe	ction Repoi	<b>T</b>		
FDOT						
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013 57 WEATHERING		т		0 TI t	Commont a t	
57 WEATHERING 56 SWELLING		L L	5,000.00 15.00	-	Comments: Comments:	
				~ 1		
Sample Number: 340 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 86	
Sample Comments:		_	= 4		- ·	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	74.00		Comments:	
56 SWELLING		L	40.00	-	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	Sdrt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 347 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 89	
Sample Comments:		т	45.00			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING		L L	45.00 5,000.00		Comments: Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		Ц	5,000.00	SYFL	Commence	
Sample Number: 354 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 89	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	56.00	₽+	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		Ц	5,000.00	SYFL	Commence	
Sample Number: 357 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 90	
Sample Comments:		Ŧ	00.00	D.F.	Communication to a state	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	29.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	Sqrt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 361 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 89	
Sample Comments:						
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	48.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 368 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 80	
Sample Comments:			-			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	12.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00		Comments:	
56 SWELLING		L	300.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 373 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 86	
Sample Comments:		Ŧ	F1 00		<b>a</b>	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	51.00		Comments:	
56 SWELLING		L	50.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	Sqrt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 375 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 90	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	30.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00		Comments:	
				_		
Sample Number: 382 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 88	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	49.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments:	
56 SWELLING		L	11.00		Comments:	
Sample Number: 389 Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 89	
Sample Comments:	<i>i</i> neu.		2,000,000,000,000			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	52.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERING		L	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 396 Type: R	A #222		5 000 008~54		PCI = 85	
Sample Number: 396 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		1 C1 = 0.0	
1						

#### FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013

48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	146.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING	L	4,000.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 397 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,500.00SqFt	PCI = 88
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	93.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING	L	4,000.00 SqFt	Comments:

FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013	ne mspeet			
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD				
Branch: TW A Name: TAXIWAY A		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	283,193.00SqFt
Section: 102 of 6 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-T	W-AC Width	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/2008 Category: Rank: P
	Lanes: 0 veyed: 2	1: 30.00Ft		
Conditions: PCI : 92 Inspection Comments:				
Sample Number: 100 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 5	,272.00SqFt	PCI = 89	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	81.00 Ft	Comments	:
	т	2,636.00 SqFt	Comments	
57 WEATHERING	L	2,030.00 SYFL	Commences	:
57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 105 Type: R Sample Comments:		,500.00SqFt	PCI = 96	•

FDOT	KC-III5]				
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: TW A Name: TAXIWAY A		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	283,193.00SqFt	
Section: 105 of 6 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-	-TW-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2008 Rank: P
Area:81,771.00SqFtLength:2,530.00FtShoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00		Width: 30.00Ft 0			
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 23 Seconditions: PCI: 81 Inspection Comments:	urveyed: 4				
Sample Number: 104 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,500.00SqFt	PCI = 89		
57 WEATHERING 56 SWELLING		L 3,500.00 SqFt L 80.00 SqFt	Comments Comments		
Sample Number: 112 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,500.00SqFt	PCI = 77		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L 237.00 Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L 3,500.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 116 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,500.00SqFt	PCI = 68		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L 30.00 Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L 3,500.00 SqFt	Comments		
56 SWELLING		L 600.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 122 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,500.00SqFt	PCI = 89		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L 56.00 Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L 3,500.00 SqFt	Comments	:	

FDOT	Ke-msp	ection Report			
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: TW A Name: TAXIWAY A		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	283,193.00SqFt	
Section: 110 of 6 From: -		То: -	7	Last Const.:	01/01/2008
Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-T		x7' 1.1	Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 145,460.00SqFt Length: 2,740.00Ft		Width: 50.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 29 Sur Conditions: PCI:91 Inspection Comments:	rveyed: 5				
Sample Number: 209 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 94		
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING	L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 217 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 90		
57 WEATHERING	L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	30.00 Ft	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 225 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 92		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	4.00 Ft	Comments	3:	
57 WEATHERING	L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 228 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 89		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	54.00 Ft	Comments	3:	
57 WEATHERING	L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 229 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,129.00SqFt	PCI = 89		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L		Comments	3:	
57 WEATHERING	L	5,129.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	

FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013	ne mspeet				
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: TW A Name: TAXIWAY A		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	283,193.00SqFt	
Section: 130 of 6 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2010
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-T	W-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 17,932.00SqFt Length: 200.00Ft	Widt	h: 100.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments: Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 4 Sur Conditions: PCI : 82 Inspection Comments:	veyed: 1				
Sample Number: 201 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 5	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 82		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	75.00 Ft	Comments	3:	
57 WEATHERING	L	3,000.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
56 SWELLING	$\mathbf{L}$	162.00 SqFt	Comments	:	

FDOT Report Ge	nerated Date: O	october 29, 2013			
Network:	SUA	Name: WITHAM FIELD			
Branch:	TW A	Name: TAXIWAY A	Use: TAXIWA	AY Area: 2	283,193.00SqFt
Section: Surface:	135 AC	of 6 From: - Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TW	To: -	Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/2008 Category: Rank: P
Area: Shoulder:	2,735.00SqFt Street Ty	Length: 45.00Ft ype: Grade: 0.00	Width:48.00FtLanes:0		
•	Date: 10/08/20 5: PCI : 94	13 Total Samples: 1 Surv	eyed: 1		
Sample Nu Sample Con 57 WEAT		Type: R	Area: 2,735.00SqFt L 2,188.00 SqF	PCI = 94	:

FDOT Report Ge	nerated Date: (	October 29, 20	13			Ttopor	•			
Network:			THAM FIELD							
Branch:	TW A	Name: TAX	XIWAY A			Use: TA	XIWAY	Area:	283,193.00SqFt	
Section:	136	of 6	From: -			То: -			Last Const.:	01/01/2008
Surface:	AC	Family:	FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TV	W-AC				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	3,434.00SqFt	Lengt	h: 45.00Ft		Width:	58.001	Ft			
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0					
-	Date: 10/08/20	13 Total Samp	les: 1 Sur	veyed: 1						
Sample Nu Sample Con		Type:	R	Area:	3,434.0	0SqFt		PCI = 79		
54 <sup>°</sup> SHO\				]	J	6.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
		TRANSVERS	E CRACKING	]		144.00		Comments		
57 WEAT	THERING			]	· 2,'	747.00	SqFt	Comments	;:	

FDOT				100 111	pecces	n nepon	•			
-	nerated Date: (	October 29,	2013							
Network:	SUA	Name:	WITHAM FIELD							
Branch:	TW A1	Name:	TAXIWAY A1			Use: TAX	KIWAY	Area:	14,021.00SqFt	
Section:	125	of 1	From: -			То: -			Last Const.:	01/01/2010
Surface:	AC	Family	: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-	ГW-AC				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	14,021.00SqFt	Lei	ngth: 230.00Ft		Width:	50.00Ft	t			
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes	: 0					
-	Date: 10/08/20 :: PCI : 93	)13 Total Sa	mples: 3 Su	irveyed:	1					
Sample Nu Sample Con		Тур	e: R	Area:	4,66	1.00SqFt	]	PCI = 93		
-	THERING				L	600.00 \$	SqFt	Comments	:	
48 LONG	GITUDINAL/	TRANSVE	RSE CRACKING		L	52.00 H	Ft	Comments	:	

<b>Re-inspection</b>	Report
----------------------	--------

FDOT			-			
Report Generated Date: Octol	ber 20, 2013					
-	ame: WITHAM FIELD					
Network. SUA IN	ame. WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: TW B N	ame: TAXIWAY B		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	75,697.00SqFt	
Section: 205 of			То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1942
Surface: AC	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-T	W-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 61,173.00SqFt	Length: 1,200.00Ft		Width: 50.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0			
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 7 Conditions: PCI : 34 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 204	Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 38		
Sample Comments:						
			M 3,500.00 SqFt			
57 WEATHERING			L 1,500.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING 57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TR <i>I</i>	ANSVERSE CRACKING		_		:	
57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA Sample Number: 207	ANSVERSE CRACKING Type: R		L 1,500.00 SqFt L 3,500.00 SqFt	Comments Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TR Sample Number: 207 Sample Comments:		Area:	L 1,500.00 SqFt L 3,500.00 SqFt L 87.00 Ft 5,000.00SqFt	Comments Comments Comments		
57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA Sample Number: 207 Sample Comments: 53 RUTTING		Area:	L 1,500.00 SqFt L 3,500.00 SqFt L 87.00 Ft 5,000.00SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 28		
57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA Sample Number: 207 Sample Comments: 53 RUTTING 53 RUTTING 52 RAVELING		Area:	L 1,500.00 SqFt L 3,500.00 SqFt L 87.00 Ft 5,000.00SqFt L 77.00 SqFt L 30.00 SqFt M 4,998.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 28 Comments		
57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA Sample Number: 207 53 mutring 53 RUTTING 53 RUTTING 52 RAVELING 43 BLOCK CRACKING		Area:	L 1,500.00 SqFt L 3,500.00 SqFt L 87.00 Ft 5,000.00SqFt L 77.00 SqFt L 30.00 SqFt M 4,998.00 SqFt L 4,998.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 28 Comments Comments Comments		
57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA Sample Number: 207 Sample Comments: 53 RUTTING 53 RUTTING 52 RAVELING 43 BLOCK CRACKING		Area:	L 1,500.00 SqFt L 3,500.00 SqFt L 87.00 Ft 5,000.00SqFt L 77.00 SqFt L 30.00 SqFt M 4,998.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 28 Comments Comments Comments		
57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA Sample Number: 207 Sample Comments: 53 RUTTING 53 RUTTING 53 RUTTING 54 RAVELING 55 PATCHING Sample Number: 212		Area:	L 1,500.00 SqFt L 3,500.00 SqFt L 87.00 Ft 5,000.00SqFt L 77.00 SqFt L 30.00 SqFt M 4,998.00 SqFt L 4,998.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 28 Comments Comments Comments		
57 WEATHERING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA Sample Number: 207 Sample Comments: 53 RUTTING 53 RUTTING 52 RAVELING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 50 PATCHING	Туре: R	Area: Area:	L 1,500.00 SqFt L 3,500.00 SqFt L 87.00 Ft 5,000.00SqFt L 77.00 SqFt L 30.00 SqFt L 30.00 SqFt M 4,998.00 SqFt L 4,998.00 SqFt M 2.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 28 Comments Comments Comments Comments PCI = 36		

FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013	ite inspe			
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD				
Branch: TW B Name: TAXIWAY B		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	75,697.00SqFt
Section: 208 of 2 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TV	V-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/2010 Category: Rank: P
Area:14,524.00SqFtLength:170.00FtShoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00	W Lanes: 0	idth: 50.00Ft		
Section Comments:				
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 4 Surv Conditions: PCI : 49 Inspection Comments:	veyed: 1			
Sample Number: 200 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,038.00SqFt	PCI = 49	
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	1,850.00 SqFt	Comments	:
52 RAVELING	L	1,850.00 SqFt	Comments	:
57 WEATHERING	$\mathbf{L}$	1,850.00 SqFt	Comments	:
43 BLOCK CRACKING	М	490.00 SqFt	Comments	:
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	14.00 Ft	Comments	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	72.00 Ft	Comments	:

Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013         Network:       SUA       Name:       WITHAM FIELD         Branch:       TW C       Name:       TAXIWAY C       Use:       TAXIWAY       Area:       332,012.00SqFt         Section:       305       of       5       From: -       To: -       Last Const.:       01/					cuon hepe	c-mspc	1				
Network:       SUA       Name:       WITHAM FIELD         Branch:       TW C       Name:       TAXIWAY C       Use:       TAXIWAY       Area:       332,012.00SqFt         Section:       305       of       5       From: -       To: -       Last Const.:       01/         Surface:       AC       Family:       FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TW-AC       Zone:       Category:       R:         Area:       84,032.00SqFt       Length:       2,175.00Ft       Width:       50.00Ft       Category:       R:         Area:       84,032.00SqFt       Length:       2,175.00Ft       Width:       50.00Ft       Category:       R:         Shoulder:       Street Type:       Grade:       0.00       Lanes:       0       Section Comments:         Last Insp. Date:       10/08/2013       Total Samples:       22       Surveyed:       4         Conditions:       PCI = 92       Inspection Comments:       19.00       Ft       Comments:         Sample Comments:       1       19.00       Ft       Comments:       57       WEATHERING       L       19.00       Split       Comments:         Sample Comments:       1       3,561.00       SqFt       Comments:       1       3											FDOT
Branch:       TW C       Name:       TAXIWAY C       Use:       TAXIWAY       Area:       332,012.00SqFt         Section:       305       of       5       From: -       To: -       Last Const.:       01/         Surface:       AC       Family:       FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TW-AC       Zone:       Category:       R:         Area:       84,032.00SqFt       Length:       2,175.00Ft       Width:       50.00Ft       Sone:       Category:       R:         Section Comments:       Issue TaXiwAY       Area:       0.00       Lanes:       0       Social SonoFt       Sone:       Category:       R:         Sample Number:       403       Type: R       Area:       3,561.00SqFt       PCI = 90       Sample Comments:       SonoFt       Comments:       Sonoments:       SonoFt       Comments:       SonoFt       Comments:       SonoFt       Comments:       SonoFt       Comments:       SonoFt       Comments:       SonoFt       SonoFt       Sonoments:       Sonoments:       SonoFt       Sonoments:       Sonono SqFt       PCI = 90								29, 2013	: October 29		
Section: 305 of 5 From: - To: - Last Const.: 01/ Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TW-AC Zone: Category: R: Area: 84,032.00SqFt Length: 2,175.00Ft Width: 50.00Ft Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0 Section Comments: Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 22 Surveyed: 4 Conditions: PCI : 92 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 403 Type: R Area: 3,561.00SqFt PCI = 90 Sample Comments: Sample Comments: Sample Number: 406 Type: R Area: 3,561.00 SqFt Comments: Sample Number: 406 Type: R Area: 3,500.00SqFt PCI = 90 Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 19.00 Ft Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 3,561.00 SqFt Comments: 58 Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 15.00 Ft Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 2,800.00 SqFt Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 2,100.00 SqFt PCI = 95							1 FIELD	WITHAM I	Name:	SUA	Network:
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TW-AC Zone: Category: Rates: 84,032.005qFt Length: 2,175.00Ft Width: 50.00Ft Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0 Section Comments: Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 22 Surveyed: 4 Conditions: PCT: 92 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 403 Type: R Area: 3,561.005qFt PCI = 90 Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 19.00 Ft Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 3,561.00 SqFt Comments: Sample Number: 406 Type: R Area: 3,500.005qFt PCI = 90 Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 15.00 Ft Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 2,800.00 SqFt PCI = 95 Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 2,100.00 SqFt Comments:		,012.00SqFt	Area: 332	TAXIWAY	Use: 7		Y C	TAXIWAY	Name:	TW C	Branch:
Area:       \$4,032.005qFt       Length:       2,175.00Ft       Width:       50.00Ft         Shoulder:       Street Type:       Grade:       0.00       Lanes:       0         Section Comments:	)1/01/2010 Rank: P		Zone	): -	To:	C					
Shoulder:       Street Type:       Grade:       0.00       Lanes:       0         Shoulder:       Street Type:       Grade:       0.00       Lanes:       0         Section Comments:	Kalik. P	Category.	Zone.	0.000	idth 50						
Section Comments: Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 22 Surveyed: 4 Conditions: PCI:92 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 403 Type: R Area: 3,561.00SqFt PCI = 90 Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 19.00 Ft Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 3,561.00 SqFt Comments: Sample Number: 406 Type: R Area: 3,500.00SqFt PCI = 90 Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L 15.00 Ft Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 2,800.00 SqFt Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 2,800.00 SqFt Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 2,800.00 SqFt Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 2,100.00 SqFt PCI = 95				0.00Ft	iutii. 50.9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		-	
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 22       Surveyed: 4         Conditions: PCI:92         Inspection Comments:         Sample Number: 403       Type: R         Area:       3,561.00SqFt       PCI = 90         Sample Comments:         48       LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING       L       19.00 Ft       Comments:         57       WEATHERING       L       3,561.00 SqFt       COmments:         Sample Number: 406       Type: R       Area:       3,500.00SqFt       PCI = 90         Sample Comments:       48       LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING       L       15.00 Ft       Comments:         48       LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING       L       15.00 Ft       Comments:         57       WEATHERING       L       2,800.00 SqFt       COmments:         57       WEATHERING       L       2,100.00 SqFt       Comments:         57						Lanes. 0	ie: 0.00	Grade	t Type:	Street	Shoulder:
Conditions: PCI:92         Inspection Comments:         Sample Number: 403       Type: R         Area:       3,561.00SqFt       PCI = 90         Sample Comments:         48       LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING       L       19.00 Ft       Comments:         57       WEATHERING       L       3,561.00 SqFt       Comments:         Sample Number: 406       Type: R       Area:       3,500.00SqFt       PCI = 90         Sample Comments:       48       LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING       L       15.00 Ft       Comments:         48       LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING       L       15.00 Ft       Comments:         57       WEATHERING       L       2,800.00 SqFt       Comments:         57       WEATHERING       L       2,100.00 SqFt       PCI = 95         Sample Number: 420       Type: R       Area:       3,500.00SqFt       PCI = 95										nments:	Section Cor
Sample Comments:48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKINGL15.00 FtComments:57 WEATHERINGL2,800.00 SqFtComments:Sample Number:413Type: RArea:3,500.00SqFtPCI = 95Sample Comments:L2,100.00 SqFtComments:57 WEATHERINGL2,100.00 SqFtComments:Sample Number:420Type: RArea:3,500.00SqFtPCI = 95			Comments:		19.0	L	RACKING			Comments: umber: 403 nments: GITUDINAI	Inspection C Sample Nu Sample Cor 48 LONG
48       LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING       L       15.00 Ft       Comments:         57       WEATHERING       L       2,800.00 SqFt       Comments:         Sample Number:       413       Type: R       Area:       3,500.00SqFt       PCI = 95         Sample Number:       420       Type: R       Area:       3,500.00SqFt       PCI = 95         Sample Number:       420       Type: R       Area:       3,500.00SqFt       PCI = 95			PCI = 90		3,500.00SqFt	Area:		Гуре: R	5 T		-
57 WEATHERINGL2,800.00 SqFtComments:Sample Number:413Type: RArea:3,500.00SqFtPCI = 95Sample Comments:L2,100.00 SqFtComments:57 WEATHERINGL2,100.00 SqFtComments:Sample Number:420Type: RArea:3,500.00SqFtPCI = 95			Comments:	00 Ft	15.0	L	RACKING	VERSE CR	L/TRANSV		-
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING L 2,100.00 SqFt Comments: Sample Number: 420 Type: R Area: 3,500.00SqFt PCI = 95						L				THERING	57 WEA
57 WEATHERING         L         2,100.00 SqFt         Comments:           Sample Number:         420         Type: R         Area:         3,500.00SqFt         PCI = 95			PCI = 95		3,500.00SqFt	Area:		Гуре: R	3 Т		-
1 21 7 1			Comments:	00 SqFt	2,100.0	L					1
bampie Comments.			PCI = 95		3,500.00SqFt	Area:		Гуре: R	) T		-
57 WEATHERING L 2,100.00 SqFt Comments:			Comments:	00 SqFt	2,100.0	${\tt L}$					

FDOT		ne mspeen				
Report Generated Date: O	ctober 29, 2013					
Network: SUA	Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: TW C	Name: TAXIWAY C		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	332,012.00SqFt	
Section: 306	of 5 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2010
Surface: AC	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-T	W-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 13,276.00SqFt	Length: 85.00Ft	Width	: 143.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Ty	pe: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments: Last Insp. Date: 10/08/20 Conditions: PCI : 84 Inspection Comments:	13 Total Samples: 2 Sur	veyed: 1				
Sample Number: 101 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area: 6,	677.00SqFt	PCI = 84		
1	TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	45.00 Ft	Comments	3:	
57 WEATHERING		L	4,674.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
52 RAVELING		$\mathbf{L}$	351.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	

EDOT	NC-III	specifo	n Keport			
FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013						
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD						
Branch: TW C Name: TAXIWAY C			Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	332,012.00SqFt	
Section: 310 of 5 From: -			То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2010
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-T	W-AC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:         89,071.00SqFt         Length:         1,900.00Ft		Width:	50.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 22 Sur Conditions: PCI : 79 Inspection Comments:	rveyed: 4	Ļ				
Sample Number: 426 Type: R	Area:	3,91	2.00SqFt	PCI = 92		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		т	5.00 Ft	Commont		
57 WEATHERING		L L 2	2,347.00 SqFt	Comment Comment		
			,		-	
Sample Number: 432 Type: R	Area:	3,50	0.00SqFt	PCI = 95		
Sample Comments:				<i>.</i>		
57 WEATHERING		L 2	,450.00 SqFt	Comment	S	
Sample Number: 438 Type: R	Area:	3,50	0.00SqFt	PCI = 95		
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING		L 2	,450.00 SqFt	Comment	s:	
Sample Number: 442 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,00	0.00SqFt	PCI = 46		
52 RAVELING		ь 3	,350.00 SqFt	Comment	s:	
57 WEATHERING			,350.00 SqFt	Comment		
43 BLOCK CRACKING		ь 3	,350.00 SqFt	Comment	s:	
53 RUTTING		L	216.00 SqFt	Comment	s:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	24.00 Ft	Comment	s:	
			825.00 SqFt			

FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: TW C Name: TAXIWAY C		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	332,012.00SqFt	
Section: 325 of 5 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2008
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TW-A	С		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 11,412.00SqFt Length: 110.00Ft	Width:	75.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 I	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments: Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 2 Surveye Conditions: PCI : 72 Inspection Comments:	ed: 1				
Sample Number: 447 Type: R A	Area: 5,219	9.00SqFt	PCI = 72		
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	720.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING	ь 5	,219.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	23.00 Ft	Comments	:	

		Re-mspe	cuon Report			
FDOT						
Report Generated Date: Octo	ber 29, 2013					
Network: SUA N	lame: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: TW C N	lame: TAXIWAY C		Use: TAXIW	AY Area:	332,012.00SqFt	
Section: 330 of Surface: AC	5 From: - Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA	-TW-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	12/25/1999 Rank: P
Area: 134,221.00SqFt Shoulder: Street Type:	Length: 1,129.00F Grade: 0.00	t W Lanes: 0	7idth: 115.00Ft			
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 7 Conditions: PCI : 30 Inspection Comments:	Fotal Samples: 23 S	Surveyed: 3				
Sample Number: 902 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	6,000.00SqFt	PCI = 34		
52 RAVELING		М	5,000.00 SqH	Ft Comments	:	
52 RAVELING		L	1,000.00 SqH	Ft Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	1,000.00 SqH	Tt Comments	:	
45 DEPRESSION		L	12.00 SqH		:	
43 BLOCK CRACKING		L	6,000.00 SqH	Ft Comments	:	
Sample Number: 906 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	6,000.00SqFt	PCI = 28		
45 DEPRESSION		L	27.00 SqH	Tt Comments	:	
52 RAVELING		М	5,400.00 SqH		:	
52 RAVELING		L	600.00 SqH		:	
57 WEATHERING		L	600.00 SqH		:	
43 BLOCK CRACKING		L	5,500.00 SqH	Ft Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA	ANSVERSE CRACKING	L	23.00 Ft	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 917 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	6,000.00SqFt	PCI = 29		
		_	C 000 00 0m	Tt Comments	:	
1		L	6,000.00 SqH	. c commerce	-	
1		L M	5,100.00 SqF			
43 BLOCK CRACKING			· -	Tt Comments	:	
43 BLOCK CRACKING 52 RAVELING		М	5,100.00 SqH	Ft Comments Ft Comments	::	
43 BLOCK CRACKING 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING		M L	5,100.00 SqE 900.00 SqE	FtCommentsFtCommentsFtCommentsFtComments	:	

FDOT						
Report Generated Date: C	October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA	Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: TW C1	Name: TAXIWAY C1		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	47,957.00SqFt	
Section: 505 Surface: AC	of 1 From: - Family: FDOT-SAPMP	-GA-TW-AC	To: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2010 Rank: P
Area: 47,957.00SqFt	Length: 1,319	.00Ft W	idth: 35.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street T	ype: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/20 Conditions: PCI : 83 Inspection Comments:	13 Total Samples: 13	Surveyed: 2				
Conditions: PCI : 83 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 501	)13 Total Samples: 13 Type: R	Surveyed: 2 Area:	3,500.00SqFt	PCI = 89		
Conditions: PCI : 83 Inspection Comments:		-	3,500.00SqFt 1,400.00 SqFt	PCI = 89 Comments:		
Conditions: PCI : 83 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 501 Sample Comments:		Area:				
Conditions: PCI: 83 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 501 Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING 56 SWELLING Sample Number: 506		Area:	1,400.00 SqFt	Comments:		
Conditions: PCI: 83 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 501 Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING 56 SWELLING	Type: R	Area: L L	1,400.00 SqFt 100.00 SqFt	Comments: Comments:		

	Re-inspect	ion Report			
FDOT Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013					
Network: SUA Name: WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: TW D Name: TAXIWAY D		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	207,272.00SqFt	
Section: 405 of 2 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2010
Section: 405 of 2 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA	A-TW-AC	10	Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 194,959.00SqFt Length: 5,150.00		1: 50.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 53	Surveyed: 6				
Conditions: PCI: 94					
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 301 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 3	,500.00SqFt	PCI = 95		
57 WEATHERING	L	2,100.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 310 Type: R	Area: 3	,500.00SqFt	PCI = 95		
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING	L	2,100.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 320 Type: R	Area: 3	,500.00SqFt	PCI = 95		
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING	L	1,750.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 330 Type: R	Area: 3	,500.00SqFt	PCI = 92		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	9.00 Ft	Comments	5:	
57 WEATHERING	L	1,750.00 SqFt	Comments		
Sample Number: 340 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 3	,500.00SqFt	PCI = 92		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		8.00 Ft	Comments		
57 WEATHERING	L	2,100.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 350 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 3	,500.00SqFt	PCI = 96		
57 WEATHERING	L	1,050.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	

FDOT	ne mspeciio	in report			
Report Generated Date: October 29, 2013         Network:       SUA         Name:       WITHAM FIELD					
Branch: TW D Name: TAXIWAY D		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	207,272.00SqFt	
Section: 412 of 2 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2010
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-GA-TW	/-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 12,313.00SqFt Length: 77.00Ft	Width:	164.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments: Last Insp. Date: 10/08/2013 Total Samples: 2 Surv Conditions: PCI : 80 Inspection Comments:	eyed: 1				
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 6,70	3.00SqFt	PCI = 80		
45 DEPRESSION	L	138.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	116.00 Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING	L 2	2,011.00 SqFt	Comments	:	