FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AVIATION AND SPACEPORT OFFICE



DISTRICT 5 PRIMARY AIRPORT JUNE 2015

STATEWIDE Airfield Pavement Management PROGRAM

FDOT





TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exe	cutive Summary	1
	Introduction	
2.	Airfield Pavement Network Definition and Pavement Inventory	.19
3.	Airfield Pavement Condition	.27
4.	Pavement Performance	.37
5.	Airfield Pavement Maintenance Policies and Costs	.41
6.	Major Pavement Rehabilitation Needs	.49
7.	Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Planning	.53
8.	Visual Aid Exhibits	.57
9.	Recommendations	.59

LIST OF TABLES

Table I: Condition Summary by Branch	2
Table II: Condition Summary by Pavement Facility Use	3
Table III: Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs for Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field	4
Table IV: 10-Year Preventative Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation	5
Table 1-1: Sampling Rate Schedule for SAPMP PCI Survey Inspections	.15
Table 2-1: Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction	.21
Table 2-2: Pavement Inventory Summary	.22
Table 2-3: Airfield Pavement Inventory Details	.23
Table 3-1: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Asphalt Concrete	.30
Table 3-2: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Portland Cement Concrete	.31
Table 3-3: Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary	.34
Table 5-1: Recommended AC, AAC, and APC Maintenance and Repair Policy	.42
Table 5-2: Recommended PCC Maintenance and Repair Policy	.43
Table 5-3: Critical and Minimum Service Level PCI for Primary Airports	.45
Table 5-4: Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation Activity Based on PCI	.45
Table 5-5: AC Maintenance Unit Costs	.47
Table 5-6: PCC Maintenance Unit Costs	.47
Table 5-7: Rehabilitation Activities and Unit Costs by Condition for Primary Airports	.48
Table 6-1: Summary of Major Rehabilitation	.50
Table 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary	.53



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Pavement Life Cycle	13
Figure 1-2: Flexible Pavement, Asphalt Concrete	16
Figure 1-3: Rigid Pavement, Portland Cement Concrete	17
Figure 2-1: Airfield Pavement Type	23
Figure 3-1: Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary	33
Figure 3-2: Percentage of Pavement Area by Condition Rating by Use	35
Figure 4-1: Runway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	38
Figure 4-2: Taxiway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	38
Figure 4-3: Apron Pavement Performance Prediction Summary	39
Figure 6-1: 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Budget Scenario Analysis	51
Figure 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary	54

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit
	Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit
	Pavement Geometry Inventory
	Work History Report
Appendix B	Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit
	Pavement Condition Index Inventory
Appendix C	Branch Condition Report
	Section Condition Report
Appendix D	Pavement Performance Prediction Table
	Pavement Performance by Pavement Use
Appendix E	Year-1 Preventative Activities
Appendix F	Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Exhibit
	Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Table
Appendix G	Photographs
Appendix H	Distress Data – Re-inspection Report



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2012, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Central Aviation Office selected a team lead by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and including their subconsultants Penuel Consulting and LLC, Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, to provide services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of updating the existing Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP). This work is to be completed over the fiscal years of 2013 through 2015.

The tasks required to achieve this objective at each participating airport specifically included the following:

- Obtain recent construction history from the airport to update the Pavement Network Definition Exhibits using CADD from the previous SAPMP update.
- Update the airport pavement inventory data (construction history, geometry, identification, and classification) based on airport provided information.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP MicroPAVER database files and system tables for the purpose of analyzing field data for Pavement Condition Index (PCI) calculation of current pavement condition
- Development of pavement performance models for the approximation of future pavement performance.
- Development of a maintenance and repair plan, and a 10-year major rehabilitation program to address the pavement needs based on condition.
- Development of planning level opinions of probable costs for pavement preservation and rehabilitation.

In November 2014, a PCI survey inspection was performed at Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field. The results of the inspection indicate that, based on ASTM D 5340-12, the airport's airfield pavement facilities had an overall area-weighted average PCI of 79, representing a Satisfactory overall network condition. Table I summarizes the overall condition summary by network level branch in comparison to the FDOT recommended minimum service level and action recommendations for either major rehabilitation or maintenance level activities.



Table 1. Condition Summary by Branch						1
Branch Name	Area Weighted PCI	PCI Range	Average Condition Rating	FDOT Minimum Service Level	MicroPAVER Minimum PCI	Action Required
AP CENTER	73	67 - 95	SATISFACTORY	65	65	
AP N	75	70 - 86	SATISFACTORY	65	65	
RW 18-36	94	92 - 97	GOOD	75	65	
RW 8-26	100	100	GOOD	75	65	
TW A	38	28 - 45	VERY POOR	70	65	Х
TW A1	89	82 - 97	GOOD	70	65	
TW A10	97	90 - 100	GOOD	70	65	
TW A11	88	88	GOOD	70	65	
TW A2	84	84	SATISFACTORY	70	65	
TW A3	84	48 - 92	SATISFACTORY	70	65	Х
TW A4	93	93	GOOD	70	65	
TW A5	82	82	SATISFACTORY	70	65	
TW A6	77	36 - 94	SATISFACTORY	70	65	Х
TW A7	93	93	GOOD	70	65	
TW A8	27	27	VERY POOR	70	65	Х
TW A9	36	36	VERY POOR	70	65	Х
TW AP N	81	81	SATISFACTORY	70	65	
TW B	58	58	FAIR	70	65	Х
TW CONN	100	100	GOOD	70	65	
TW T-HANG	64	56 - 94	FAIR	70	65	Х

Table I: Condition Summary by Branch

"Action Required" in Table I is triggered when a section within the identified Branch Facility falls below the FDOT Minimum Service Level. Year 1 Major Rehabilitation needs are triggered in Table III when a section in the identified Branch falls below the MicroPAVER Minimum PCI. Major Rehabilitation is also triggered in Table III when the section PCI is above critical and the section exhibits significant structural related distresses.

For project level planning and inspection development; the airfield pavement facilities have been divided at the branch level based on facility use and designation, and at the section level based on pavement construction history, composition (e.g. asphalt versus concrete), aircraft traffic operations, and pavement surface conditions. Table II provides the overall area weighted condition of the pavement based on facility branch use.



Use	Average Area- Weighted PCI	Condition Rating
Runway	95	GOOD
Taxiway	62	FAIR
Apron	74	SATISFACTORY

Table II: Condition Summary by Pavement Facility Use

Based on the inspection performed at the airport for this SAPMP update; the current conditions were determined using the collected PCI distress data. PCI values were computed and used to identify pavement facilities that were below the defined critical PCI as sections that would benefit from immediate major rehabilitation activity. These pavement sections that were determined to be below the critical PCI would most likely benefit from long-term major rehabilitative construction activity rather than localized, short-term maintenance and repairs.

The Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs, or projects that are recommended to be completed because the pavement is below the critical PCI, were developed on the assumption that there is an unlimited repair budget. These projects include:

- T-Hangar Taxiway– Sections 580 and 585
 - Mill and Overlay attributed to climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A Sections 505 and 540
 - Mill and Overlay and Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A9 Section 545
 - Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A8 Section 535
 - Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A6 Section 530
 - Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A3 Section 515
 - Mill and Overlay attributed to climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B Sections 105 and 106
 - Mill and Overlay attributed to climate and age of pavement.



The section level projects that were identified as Year-1 Major Rehabilitation Needs are in Table III.

Table III: Year-1 Major Rehabilitation	Needs for Ocala International/ Jim Taylor
	Field

TIEIG						
Branch ID	Section ID	Major Rehabilitation Costs	PCI Before M&R	Rehabilitation Activity	PCI After M&R	
TW T-HANG	585	\$ 1,368,504.00	55	Mill and Overlay	100	
TW T-HANG	580	\$ 340,272.00	58	Mill and Overlay	100	
TW A9	545	\$ 459,011.00	35	Reconstruction	100	
TW A	540	\$ 2,853,081.00	27	Reconstruction	100	
TW A8	535	\$ 592,457.00	26	Reconstruction	100	
TW A6	530	\$ 341,067.00	35	Reconstruction	100	
TW A3	515	\$ 74,455.00	47	Mill and Overlay	100	
TW A	505	\$ 4,766,509.00	44	Mill and Overlay	100	
TW B	106	\$ 123,012.00	57	Mill and Overlay	100	
TW B	105	\$ 1,517,976.00	57	Mill and Overlay	100	
Total =		\$12,436,344.00				

The SAPMP uses historic pavement condition data from the previous inspections to develop pavement performance models. These pavement performance models are used to create PCI prediction curves to estimate future pavement conditions based on the historic trends. The section areas, prediction curves, and current condition data were used to develop a 10-year major rehabilitation program. Major rehabilitation costs for each year of the 10-year program are based on general unit costs for pavement repairs and not detailed cost estimates that are typically prepared for a construction set of bid documents. Additionally, preventative maintenance level repair budgets were estimated for a 10-year duration. Table IV provides an annual summary of the 10-year Preventative Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation planning level cost opinions for the airfield pavement facilities at the airport. Refer to Section 6 of this report for additional information.

Since the previous update performed in 2012, significant updates to the ASTM D 5340 Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys have affected the analysis of the program. These include the separation of Weathering and Raveling into two distinct flexible pavement distresses, and the addition of the Alkali-Silica Reaction distress for rigid pavement distresses. Additionally, the deterioration associated with the rigid pavement distress Scaling/Map Cracking Executive Summary | 4



has been modified. The change in distress classification, as described in ASTM D 5340-12, may result in small variances in the PCI values from the previous inspection analysis. The update included changes in distress deduction values that may be less than the previous analysis. Please refer to Section 3 Airfield Pavement Condition Index for additional information.

Additionally, pavement repair and rehabilitation work reported by the airports are entered into the SAPMP which can improve PCI values.

Year	Preventative		Major M&R		Total Year Cost	
2015	\$	238,174.34	\$ 12,436,343.95	\$	12,674,518.29	
2016	\$	259,816.67	\$ -	\$	259,816.67	
2017	\$	223,900.61	\$ 3,421,046.20	\$	3,644,946.81	
2018	\$	269,878.03	\$ 1,422,783.07	\$	1,692,661.11	
2019	\$	299,855.29	\$ 3,415,674.04	\$	3,715,529.33	
2020	\$	364,311.66	\$ 2,478,407.52	\$	2,842,719.19	
2021	\$	487,557.09	\$ -	\$	487,557.09	
2022	\$	632,760.30	\$ -	\$	632,760.30	
2023	\$	785,782.50	\$ 	\$	785,782.50	
2024	\$	913,459.69	\$ -	\$	913,459.69	
Total	\$	4,475,496.18	\$ 23,174,254.78	\$	27,649,750.98	


Table IV: 10-Year Preventative Maintenance and Major Rehabilitation

The success of the repair program for your airport depends on the timely implementation of preservation, localized maintenance and repairs, and major rehabilitation work activities. If work is completed as scheduled, your airport should experience an improvement to the overall area-weighted average PCI. Though this analysis was performed with the assumption of an "unlimited budget", the purpose has been to identify specific projects over the course of 10-years for each pavement section where the condition is projected to fall below the critical PCI. The costs depicted in this study are intended to aid the airports in planning level budgets. Prior to construction work, it is recommended that the airport perform additional investigation at the design level to better estimate costs associated with the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation activity discussed.



1. INTRODUCTION

The State of Florida has more than 100 public airports that are vital to the Florida economy as well as the economy of the United States. The aviation system in Florida allows the State to capitalize on an increasingly global marketplace. Florida's system of commercial service and general aviation airports are important to businesses throughout the entire State. Air travel is essential to tourism, Florida's number one industry.



There are millions of square feet of pavement infrastructure that consists of runways, taxiways, aprons, ramps, and other areas of airports that are vital to the support and safety of aircraft operations. Timely pavement maintenance repair and major rehabilitation of these pavements will support the airport in operating safely, efficiently, economically and without excessive down time.



The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Central Aviation and Spaceport Office implemented the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) in 1992. In 2012, the FDOT Central Aviation and Spaceport Office selected a team led by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and including Penuel Consulting, LLC and Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, to provide services in support of the Central Aviation and Spaceport Office Program Manager. The continued evaluation and update of the existing SAPMP is to be completed over fiscal years 2013 through 2015.

This individual airport airfield pavement evaluation report discusses the work performed, a summary of findings, condition analysis results, and recommendations for maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning associated with the SAPMP update. It also briefly describes the procedures used to ensure that the appropriate engineering and scientific standards of care, quality, budget, schedules, and safety requirements were implemented during the performance of this work.

1.1 Purpose of Pavement Evaluation Report

The purpose of this Airfield Pavement Evaluation Report is to:

- Briefly describe the SAPMP goals, procedures, and responsibilities of the program's participants.
- Provide a technical explanation on pavement management principles, standard practices, objectives, and benefits of implementation.
- Outline procedures used to coordinate, collect, evaluate and report pavement inspection results at this airport.
- Analyze and utilize condition results for the development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation based on pavement performance trends.

1.2 FDOT Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program

In 1992, the FDOT implemented the SAPMP to improve the knowledge of pavement conditions at public airports in the Florida Airports System, identify maintenance and rehabilitation needs at each airport, automate pavement infrastructure information management, and establish standards to address future needs. The 1992 SAPMP implementation provided the FDOT and the participating airports valuable information for establishing and performing timely and appropriate pavement rehabilitation.

During the 1992-1993 implementation and again during the 1998-1999 updates; the SAPMP performed the development with proprietary software for pavement



management system analysis. This development allowed for the creation of pavement management database file system populated with airport attributes and condition data. The pavement management database was used to establish maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation (M&R) policies, M&R budget costs, and the development of recommendations for performing routine pavement preservation maintenance. This system, known as AIRPAV, was initially developed during the 1992-1993 SAPMP implementation for the analysis of distress data. The AIRPAV system was used again in the 1998-1999 SAPMP update.

In 2004, the SAPMP update included the review of the AIRPAV software compared to other industry available non-proprietary software packages. As a result of this review, MicroPAVER was selected for implementation of the system update. MicroPAVER was developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering Research Laboratory for the purpose of pavement management. Data from the 1998-1999 FDOT SAPMP update, which was built upon the initial 1992-1993 implementation of AIRPAV, was reviewed and converted to be compatible with the MicroPAVER system. This data conversion included all documented pavement facility, classification, type, history, geometry, PCI condition data and pertinent attributes gathered from airport feedback at the time. This information was used to develop the inventory of each participating airport's pavement facilities in a consistent format. This was the development of Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits. These inventory exhibits visually depicted the branch, section, and sample units that were based upon the pavement construction history and composition information provided by each airport.

In 2006-2008, the SAPMP was updated again with continued use of the MicroPAVER system. Based on the distress data collected, a maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning program was developed for each airport. As part of this SAPMP update, the procedures for the inspection and the collection of the pavement distress data were documented, and an interactive website (http://www.dot.state.fl.us/aviation/pavement.shtm) was established for input of data.

In 2010-2012, the SAPMP was updated using new GPS integrated technology to digitally collect pavement distress data. Interactive GIS map files were developed from updated Airfield Pavement Network Definition Maps to aid pavement condition inspectors in the collection of sample distress data. The data collected was utilized to develop pavement performance models to predict future pavement PCI values and make recommendations for major rehabilitation.



Currently, airports participating in the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Grant Program are required by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to develop and implement a pavement maintenance program to be eligible for funding (FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C *Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements*). This program requires detailed inspection of airfield pavement conditions by trained personnel. The inspections are required to be performed at least once a year or every three years, if the pavement is inspected in accordance to the PCI survey procedure (such as ASTM International D 5340 *Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys*). The previous 2010-2012 SAPMP update utilized the ASTM D 5340-04 released in 2004, in lieu of the 2010/2011 edition, in order to maintain consistent database integrity and benefit of pavement performance models from previous inspections.

1.3 Organization

FDOT Central Aviation Office Program Manager

The FDOT Central Office Airport Engineering Manager serves as the Aviation and Spaceport Office Program Manager (ASO-PM) for the SAPMP. The ASO-PM monitors the work performed by the Consultant. The ASO-PM has review and approval authority for each program task and manages the day-to-day details of the SAPMP and the pertinent updates.

The ASO-PM reports updates and milestones to the FDOT State Aviation and Spaceport Manager and Development Administrator.

Consultant

The Consultant, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and their team consisting of Penuel Consulting, LLC and Roy D. McQueen & Associates, LTD, provides technical and administrative assistance to the ASO-PM during the execution of the update to the SAPMP. The efforts include updating the airport pavement inventory data, performing the condition survey inspections, evaluating the airfield pavement conditions and updating the SAPMP based upon procedures outlined in the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements and ASTM D 5340.

Airport Role

The airports are the ultimate beneficiary for each condition survey inspection performed at their respective airfields as part of the SAPMP. The individual airports will be provided final deliverables prepared by the Consultant that have been reviewed and approved by the ASO-PM. The airport should have provided a



current Airport Layout Plan (ALP) to the Consultant and, if they participated in the previous SAPMP, indicate any construction activity that was performed since the previous inspections.

FDOT District Offices

The seven FDOT District Offices, specifically the Aviation Representatives, provide vital support to the SAPMP update and the ASO-PM. Each District supports the SAPMP's on-going efforts by providing representative construction trend costs and practices through the Florida Airports System. Each District Office receives copies of individual Airfield Pavement Evaluation Reports for the airport facilities located within their respective districts.

1.4 Introduction to Pavement Types and Pavement Management

Pavement Basics

A pavement is a prepared surface designed to provide a continuous smooth ride at all taxi, takeoff, and landing speeds and to support an estimated amount of traffic loading for a certain number of years. Pavements are composed of a combination of constructed layers of subgrade soils, subbases, base course material, and surface level courses. There are two primary types of pavements:

- Flexible Pavement, composed of bituminous asphalt concrete (AC) surface, base, and subbase layers.
- Rigid Pavement, composed of Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) surface, base, and subbase layers.

Both pavement types use a combination of layered materials and thicknesses in order to support the traffic loads (both magnitude and repeated application) and protect the underlying subgrade soil. Flexible pavements dissipate applied loads from layer to layer until the load magnitude is small enough to be supported by the subgrade soil. In rigid pavements, the PCC layer supports the majority of the structural load applied, and the base or subbase layer is constructed to provide a smooth, level, and continuous platform that provides uniform support for PCC slabs.

A small percentage of airfield pavements within the Florida Airports System are composed of hybrid 'composite pavement' sections that may include both AC pavement and PCC pavement. The two known composite pavements are AC surface over PCC (APC) and PCC over AC (White Topping).

Due to the different nature of the pavement types, construction, and their materials; flexible and rigid pavements have different modes of failure and



fatigue. This results in varying deterioration and distress development. Understanding the mechanics and modes of failure of the pavement types assists the engineers in making timely, adequate and consistent observations, and in recommending economical maintenance repairs and major rehabilitation to the pavement structures at each airfield.


The Concept of an Airfield Pavement Management System

The SAPMP is a program that provides the Florida Airports System an opportunity to implement and/or maintain a proactive Airfield Pavement Management System (APMS) in a consistent manner at a regular schedule. The SAPMP Airfield Pavement Management System consists of pavement inventory, pavement construction and history, condition survey inspections, pavement performance modeling, maintenance recommendations, and major rehabilitation planning. The various elements of the APMS are used by experienced engineers to identify critical pavements, make pavement preservation or rehabilitation recommendations, and approximate pavement performance. The APMS as a whole is used by an airport's stakeholders, managing agencies, engineers, and planners as a tool in decision making for future project planning, budgeting, and scheduling of activities for its airfield pavement infrastructure.

A benefit of an active APMS is it provides an understanding of an airport's pavement performance trends for the purpose of project planning. Based on the performance trend of their pavements, an airport can schedule pavement maintenance and rehabilitation prior to when the pavement section has deteriorated to a condition that would require reconstruction. The use of pavement performance trends will help airports plan M&R and Rehabilitation projects in a manner and sequence that maximizes benefit and minimizes costs. Figure 1-1, which is based upon the FAA Advisory Circular 150 5380-7B Airport Pavement Management Program, illustrates how pavement generally deteriorates over time and the relative cost of rehabilitation and reconstruction throughout its life.



Figure 1-1: Pavement Life Cycle



Source: FAA Advisory Circular 150 5380-7B Airport Pavement Management Program

Note that during approximately the first 75% of a pavement's life, it performs relatively well. After that, however, it begins to deteriorate rapidly. The number of years a pavement stays in 'Good' and 'Satisfactory' conditions depends on how well it is proactively maintained. As the Figure 1-1 demonstrates, the cost of maintaining the pavement above critical condition before rapid deterioration occurs is much less compared to maintaining pavements after substantial deterioration has occurred.

Pavements tend to deteriorate at an accelerated rate when actual traffic loading exceeds the original design assumptions and when limited resources are available for maintenance and repair (M&R) efforts. Planned maintenance and rehabilitation, essentially preserving pavements and delaying condition deterioration, help airport managers, agencies, and engineers maximize the use of their budgets and prolong the life of their pavements. An APMS provides a tool to schedule planned maintenance and major rehabilitation efforts based on a consistent methodology of condition assessment. This consistent methodology of pavement of pavement performance models to help forecast future pavement conditions.



Part of the implementation of the APMS is the clear identification and inventorying of pavement infrastructure that needs to be managed specifically within the airport owner, manager, and agency responsibility. Another aspect of the APMS is development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation policies that align with the expectations of pavement performance and are based on ability to fund the types of work identified. Once there is an understanding of the cause and extent of pavement distresses, appropriate maintenance and rehabilitation can be planned. By using representative construction costs based on historic bid trends; planning level budget costs can be developed on a multiyear duration.

Airfield Pavement Inspection Methodology for the SAPMP

Pavement condition assessment requires the application of professional judgments regarding the condition of the pavement. The SAPMP airfield pavement condition survey inspections assess pavement, comparing it to a set of standards in ASTM D 5340-12. As part of this update, SAPMP has adopted the changes made in updates to ASTM D 5340-12. These include the separation of Weathering and Raveling into two distinct flexible pavement distresses, and the addition of the Alkali-Silica Reaction distress for rigid pavement distresses. Additionally, the deterioration associated with the rigid pavement distress Scaling/Map Cracking has been modified which results in moving Map Cracking from Scaling to ASR. In the newest version of ASTM D 5340-12, there are two kinds of Shrinkage Cracking, Drying Shrinkage and Plastic Shrinkage. The difference between these two is that the depth of first one may extend through the entire depth of the slab while the thickness of the latter one normally does not extend very deep into the pavement's surface. Furthermore, the Plastic Shrinkage consists of two subcategories: Plastic shrinkage (caused by atmosphere) and Plastic shrinkage (caused by construction). Another kind of Map Cracking is listed under Plastic shrinkage that is caused by construction, as well as Crazing. This additional type of Shrinkage change in distress classification, as described in ASTM D 5340-12, may result in small variances in the PCI values from the previous inspection analysis.

The pavement condition surveys assess the functional condition of the pavement surface based on surface distresses as defined by the ASTM D 5340-12. Typically, deficiencies within a pavement structure will eventually reflect to the pavement surface as distresses described within ASTM D 5340-12. The SAPMP is specifically a visual evaluation and analysis based on the ASTM D 5340-12. The structural condition and relative support of the pavement layers can be directly quantified



using non-destructive deflection testing (NDT) as well as other in-depth engineering evaluation or sampling and testing methods.

For the SAPMP update, only visual surveys were performed. Further structural and geotechnical testing should be conducted to determine design level rehabilitation and/or reconstruction needs should the airport proceed to the design process.

In preparation for the PCI survey inspections, the airfield pavements for each airport are divided into branches, sections, and sample units as established by FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C and ASTM D 5340. Further discussion of the process of inventorying and categorizing pavement facilities by use, composition, and history can be found in SECTION 2 AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION and PAVEMENT INVENTORY.

Sample units are uniformly divided areas of pavement that are defined for inspection. Sample unit sizes are approximately $5,000 \pm 2,000$ square feet for flexible AC pavements and 20 ± 8 slabs for rigid PCC pavements. Prior to conducting the field condition survey inspections, the sampling plan was developed for the airfield pavements based on updates to the previous inspection sampling based on the available knowledge of construction updates. The sample rate adopted for the SAPMP is depicted on Table 1-1.

Flexible Pavements Asphalt Concrete				Rigid Pavements Portland Cement Concrete			
Number of	Number of Sar	mple Units to Inspect		Number of	Number of Sai	mple Units to Inspect	
Sample Units in Section	Runway	Taxiways, Aprons, Others		Sample Units in Section	Runway	Taxiways, Aprons, Others	
1 - 4	1	1		1 - 3	1	1	
5 - 10	2	1		4 - 6	2	1	
11 - 15	3	2		7 - 10	3	2	
16 - 30	5	3		11 - 15	4	2	
31 - 40	7	4		16 - 20	5	3	
41 - 50	8	5		21 - 30	7	3	
				31 - 40	8	4	
≥ 51	20% but ≤ 20 10% but ≤ 10		41 - 50	10	5		
_ 51			≥ 51	20% but ≤ 20	10% but ≤ 10		

Table 1-1: Sampling Rate Schedule for SAPMP PCI Survey Inspections



The sample units to be inspected were determined through a systematic random sampling technique to provide an unbiased representation of sample units for each pavement facility. The sample unit locations had been determined in such a way that they are distributed evenly throughout each defined pavement section area. In certain cases when no representative distresses are observed in the field, additional sample units were added.

The distress quantities and severity levels from each inspected sample unit are used to compute the PCI value and rating for each Section using the ASTM D 5340-12 and MicroPAVER (also known currently as PAVER) software. Figures 1-2 and 1-3 depict graphical representations of the color ranges associated with PCI values and ranges with a photograph of airfield pavement that exhibited the conditions for both flexible and rigid pavements respectively.




Figure 1-2: Flexible Pavement, Asphalt Concrete






Figure 1-3: Rigid Pavement, Portland Cement Concrete

Using the ASTM D 5340-12 standard seven qualitative ranges, the SAPMP provides a PCI value and a standard qualitative condition rating for the pavement facilities inspected.



2. AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION AND PAVEMENT INVENTORY

Ocala International Airport-Jim Taylor Field (OCF) is owned and operated by the City of Ocala, Florida. The airport serves general aviation, corporate aviation, and the air cargo industry. The airport is served by two runways: Runway 8-26 which is 3,009 ft. long and 50 ft. wide, and Runway 18-36 which is 7,467 ft. long and 150 ft. wide. Both runways are served by full length parallel taxiways.

It is important to note that the aforementioned runway data in addition to the remaining airfield pavement facilities geometric attributes may vary slightly from the geometry used in the condition exhibit in Appendix B and the major rehabilitation exhibit in Appendix F based on field measurements.

The airport began operation as Ocala International Airport in 1968 served by Eastern Airlines and then Allegheny Commuter Airlines. Scheduled service by the airline was discontinued in the early 1980s. This airport was the first in the state of Florida to use the polycon pavement surface treatment on the apron area in 2004. The airport had an air traffic control tower constructed in 2009 which was certified and staffed as an FAA Level I contract control tower in the summer of 2010.

2.1 Network Definition

The airfield pavements within each airport network are separated into manageable units within the FDOT SAPMP MicroPAVER database system, organizing pavement data by similar use and constructive history.

Branch and Section Identification

Each airport's airfield pavement network is generally subdivided into separate Branches (runways, taxiways, aprons/ramps, or others) that have distinctly different functional identifications and uses. Each Branch is further subdivided into Sections as defined by pavement location, composition, and construction history. A Section is typically understood to be a project level subdivision within a Branch feature. Sections are manageable units to organize data collection and are treated individually during the maintenance and major rehabilitation planning process. A pavement rank (primary, secondary, or tertiary) is assigned to each Section based on its importance and type of use to airport operations. The pavement rankings designated for each section at this airport were defined by the previous SAPMP, unless changes were communicated by the airport. These



Sections are further subdivided into condition survey sample units based on the methodology described in ASTM D 5340.

Airfield Pavement System Inventory and Network Definition Update

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory and Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits are developed individually for each participating airport. Based on information requested of and provided by the airport, the airfield pavements are evaluated on designation updates, and recent or anticipated pavement construction activity. As mentioned previously, a Section is defined partially by its construction history of which is factored in the performance and condition of the pavement section.

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit, Figure A-2 in Appendix A, is a snapshot of recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction activity communicated by the airport since the last SAPMP update. Construction activities identified include maintenance and repair activity, major rehabilitation, and airfield pavement expansion efforts. Maintenance and repair activity may include; surface treatments, crack sealing, patching, slab replacement, and others. Both maintenance and rehabilitation activities are identified at the pavement section level. This type of work may result in an increase in overall Section PCI since the last inspection. Major rehabilitation efforts may include; asphalt milling and overlay, and full depth pavement reconstruction. This type of effort will result in a resetting of the pavement section PCI value to 100 due to the nature of the work. Lastly, airfield pavement expansions are accounted for as new inventory and assigned a section PCI of 100. Typically the new pavement sections are not inspected due to its condition; however these pavements are incorporated into the SAPMP pavement database. When possible, these changes are reflected in the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit, in Appendix A, prior to the field inspection. The updates are typically discussed and confirmed with airport personnel at the beginning and end of condition survey inspections to ensure accuracy.

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit depicts the airport's pavement limits with Branch and Section delineations. This exhibit also includes the subdivision on Section areas into sample units and is used to identify those sample units that are to be inspected. The previous SAPMP Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits were used as a base. Updates and information provided by each airport was reviewed and the exhibits were revised appropriately. Characteristics that are considered include; airfield configuration, branch designations (magnetic declination, Airport Layout Plan updates) and pavement Page 20



composition. The exhibit serves not only as a primary guide for the airfield inspectors but also allows specific distresses found in the re-inspection report to be geographically located.

Due to recent and anticipated construction efforts; pavement area sections may have been consolidated or created which will affect the total number of sample units to be inspected based upon the methods described in ASTM D 5340 and from the sampling rate schedule. Table 2-1 summarizes the recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction efforts communicated by the airport.

 Table 2-1: Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction

Construction Year	Section Location	Work Type/Pavement Section	
2013	RUNWAY 08-26 & TW CONNECTOR	PAVEMENT REHABILITATION	

Airfield Pavement Network Definition & Geographic Information System (GIS)

As part of this SAPMP update, geographic information system (GIS), global positioning system (GPS), and digital data collection were integrated into the Pavement Inspection Methodology at each airport. Using AutoCAD Civil 3D, ArcMap, ArcPad, and FDOT Survey and Mapping Office Aerial Photography; digital navigation maps have been developed for each airport to represent the SAPMP pavement inventory attributes. These navigation maps were used with field data tablets to assist survey teams as they performed condition inspections by navigating pavement infrastructure and collecting distress data.

2.2 Pavement Inventory

The detailed pavement inventory database was updated to reflect the updates to the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit, in Appendix A, and field inspection results. Table 2-2 and Figure 2-1 provides a summary of the pavement inventory attributes at Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field for this SAPMP update.




Airfield Pavement Network Definition						
Number of Branches	20					
Number of Sections		44				
Sample Units		131				
Airfield	Pavement l	Jse				
Use	Area (SF)	Relative Area (%)				
Runway	1,270,500	43%				
Taxiway	1,003,332	34%				
Apron	700,868	24%				
Total =	2,974,700	100%				
Airfield	Pavement T	уре				
Туре	Area (SF)	Relative Area (%)				
Asphalt Concrete (AC)	966,429	32%				
Asphalt Overlay (AAC)	2,008,271	68%				
Portland Cement Concrete (PCC)	0	0%				
AC over PCC (APC)	0	0%				

Table 2-2: Pavement Inventory Summary



Figure 2-1: Airfield Pavement Type



Specific details to each Branch and Section such as; name, geometry, age, rank, surface type, and construction history are provided in Table 2-3.

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	True Area (SF)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
RUNWAY 8-26	RW 8-26	6205	150,450	S	AAC	1/1/2013	6	30
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6195	60,000	Р	AC	1/1/2008	3	12
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6190	30,000	Р	AC	1/1/2008	2	6
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6135	189,000	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	7	38
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6125	94,500	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	5	19
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6110	373,275	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	21	100
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6105	373,275	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	20	100
NORTH APRON	AP N	4210	41,762	Р	AC	1/1/2000	1	8
NORTH APRON	AP N	4205	19,584	Р	AC	1/1/2000	1	4
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4135	122,764	Р	AC	7/1/2009	5	27
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4130	19,665	Р	AAC	1/1/1991	1	5

Table 2-3: Airfield Pavement Inventory Details



Pavement Evaluation Report - Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	True Area (SF)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4125	30,574	Р	AC	1/1/1983	1	6
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4120	95,753	Р	AAC	1/1/1991	3	20
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4115	118,772	Р	AAC	1/1/1991	3	24
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4110	83,395	Р	AAC	1/1/1991	3	18
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4105	168,599	Р	AAC	1/1/1991	4	36
TAXIWAY A11	TW A11	596	60,866	Р	AC	1/1/2008	3	16
TAXIWAY TO NORTH APRON	TW AP N	595	33,921	Р	AC	1/1/2000	2	8
TAXIWAY TO T- HANGARS	TW T-HANG	592	23,718	Р	AC	1/1/2009	1	5
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	590	19,687	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	1	4
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T-HANG	585	76,028	Р	AC	1/1/2000	4	16
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T-HANG	580	18,904	Р	AC	1/1/2000	1	5
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	575	15,173	Р	AC	1/1/1940	1	5
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	570	6,990	Р	AC	1/1/2000	1	3
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α6	TW A6	565	21,849	Р	AC	1/1/2000	1	9
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α6	TW A6	560	13,073	Р	AC	1/1/2000	1	3
TAXIWAY A10	TW A10	555	34,000	Р	AC	1/1/2008	1	8
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α7	TW A7	550	52,374	Р	AC	1/1/2000	2	13
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α9	TW A9	545	19,957	Р	AC	1/1/1988	1	4
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	540	124,047	Р	AC	1/1/1988	4	25
TAXIWAY A10	TW A10	539	9,840	Р	AC	1/1/2008	1	2
TAXIWAY A8	TW A8	535	25,759	Р	AC	1/1/1988	1	5
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	530	14,829	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	1	2
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	525	16,153	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	1	3
TAXIWAY A4	TW A4	520	16,927	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	1	3



Pavement Evaluation	Report - Ocala	International/ Jir	n Tavlor Field

Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	True Area (SF)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Total Samples Inspected	Total Samples
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	516	17,350	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	1	3
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	515	3,791	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	1	1
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	514	11,036	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	1	2
TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	510	12,915	Р	AC	1/1/1985	1	3
TAXIWAY A	TW A	505	226,008	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	5	45
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	501	21,165	Т	AC	1/1/2007	1	4
CONNECTOR TAXIWAY TW E								
AND RW 8-26	TW CONN	305	15,806	Р	AAC	1/1/2013	1	3
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	106	6,834	Р	AC	1/1/1985	1	1
TAXIWAY B	TW B	105	84,332	Р	AC	1/1/1985	4	17

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER. * Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey. Please refer to Section 3 for discussion on the updates to the ASTM D 5640 that may affect PCI in comparison to previous program update.



3. AIRFIELD PAVEMENT CONDITION

Airfield pavement distresses and condition were surveyed in accordance with the methods outlined in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C and ASTM D 5340-12. These procedures define distress type, severity, and quantity for sampling areas within each defined pavement section area to analyze and determine the PCI value and condition rating.

The program has been updated from ASTM D 5340-04, released in 2004, to ASTM D 5340-12, released in 2013, for this SAPMP update. The primary updates include the separation of certain distress types and the addition of new types with corresponding changes to PCI calculation. These changes in distress classification may result in small variances in the PCI values from the previous inspection analysis.

Below is a brief description of the changes to the distresses presented in the ASTM D 5340 methodology and a table summarizing the deduction affected.

- a) Flexible Asphalt Concrete Pavement distresses for airfield pavements: The previous methodology which featured "(52) Weathering and Raveling" distress has been separated into two distresses "(52) Raveling" and "(57) Weathering". Previously, areas that were recorded as "Weathering and Raveling" were considered as one distress with a high deduction. Based on the updated methodology, in certain situations where "Weathering" only exists and does not meet the definition of "Raveling", the PCI deduction is not as high as the former "Weathering" based on current ASTM standards, which were previously identified as "(52) Weathering and Raveling", may be subject to an improvement in PCI. In instances where pavement PCI has increased due to this update, it is not due to an improvement in actual condition, however indicative of the adjusted distress deterioration effects.
- b) Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Pavement distresses for airfield pavements: The previous methodology defined "(70) Scaling" as a distress that consisted of surface deterioration caused by construction defects, material defects, and environmental factors. The distress included Alkali-Silica Reaction, also known as ASR. The current methodology has separated Alkali-Silica Reaction as a distress identified as "(76) Alkali-Silica Reaction / ASR". As a result the previous "(70) Scaling" numerical deduction Page 27



contribution to the PCI has been reduced. Previous inspections that recorded "(70) Scaling", and currently do not exhibit "(76) Alkali-Silica Reactivity / ASR" may potentially see an increase in PCI. Additionally, (73) Shrinkage Cracks has been redefined as (73) Shrinkage Cracking. Shrinkage Cracking is characterized in two forms; drying shrinkage and plastic shrinkage. Drying shrinkage occurs over time as moisture leaves the pavement, it develops when hardened pavement continues to shrink as excess water not needed for cement hydration evaporates. It forms when subsurface resistance to the shrinkage is present and may extend through the entire depth of the slab. Plastic shrinkage develops when there is rapid loss of water in the surface of recently placed pavement or can form from over finishing/overworking of the pavement during construction. These shrinkage cracks appear as a series of inter-connected hairline cracks, or pattern cracking, and are often observed throughout the majority of the slab surface. This condition is also referred to as map cracking or crazing.

Distress Updates to Reflect ASTM 5340-12						
Use and Surface Type	Old 5340-04 Distress	New Distress	Deduct Curve			
	(52) Weathering & Raveling - Low	(52) Raveling - Low	No Change			
	(52) Weathering & Raveling - Medium	(52) Raveling - Medium	No Change			
AC/AAC/APC	(52) Weathering & Raveling - High	(52) Raveling - High	No Change			
Airfield	N/A	(57) Weathering - Low	New			
	N/A	(57) Weathering - Medium	New			
	N/A	(57) Weathering - High	New			
PCC Airfield	(70) Scaling - Low	(70) Scaling - Low	New			
	(70) Scaling - Medium	(70) Scaling - Medium	New			
	(70) Scaling - High	(70) Scaling - High	New			
	N/A	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – Low	New			
	N/A	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – Medium	New			
	N/A	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – High	New			



3.1 Inspection Methodology

A pavement condition survey inspection is performed by measuring the amount and severity of defined pavement distresses observed within the boundaries of sample units. These distresses, as defined by ASTM D 5340, are generally caused by traffic fatigue loading, exposure to climate and elements, and other airfield specific factors. This data is collected by field personnel experienced in pavement condition survey inspection. Data collection is then transferred into the FDOT MicroPAVER database system. MicroPAVER (also known as PAVER) is used to calculate PCI values using the methodology described in ASTM D 5340-12. The values are calculated for each sample and extrapolated on a Section level to determine an area-weighted PCI value ranging from 0 to 100 and one of seven condition ratings. Tables 3-1 and 3-2 describe the distresses as defined by the ASTM D 5340-12 and adopted for the SAPMP procedures.



Code	Distress	Primary Mechanisms
41	Alligator Cracking	Load / Fatigue Failure
42	Bleeding	Construction Quality/ Mix Design
43	Block Cracking	Climate / Age
44	Corrugation	Load / Construction Quality
45	Depression	Subgrade Quality
46	Jet Blast	Aircraft
47	Joint Reflection - Cracking	Climate / Prior Pavement
48	Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking	Climate / Age
49	Oil Spillage	Aircraft / Vehicle
50	Patching	Utility / Pavement Repair
51	Polished Aggregate	Repeated Traffic Loading
52	Raveling	Climate / Load
53	Rutting	Repeated Traffic Loading
54	Shoving	PCC Pavement Growth / Movement
55	Slippage Cracking	Load / Pavement Bond
56	Swelling	Climate / Subgrade Quality
57	Weathering	Climate

Table 3-1: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Asphalt Concrete

Source: U.S. Army CERL, FDOT Airfield Inspection Reference Manual



Code	Distress	Primary Mechanisms
61	Blow-up	Climate / Alkali Silica Reaction
62	Corner Break	Load Repetition / Curling
02		Stresses
63	Linear Cracking	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses / Shrinkage Stresses
64	Durability Cracking	Freeze-Thaw Cycling
65	Joint Seal Damage	Material Deterioration / Construction Quality
66	Small Patch	Pavement Repair
67	Large Patch/Utility Cut	Utility / Pavement Repair
68	Popout	Freeze-Thaw Cycling
69	Pumping	Load Repetition / Poor Joint Sealant
70	Scaling/Crazing	Construction Quality / Freeze- Thaw Cycling
71	Faulting	Load Repetition / Subgrade Quality
72	Shattered Slab	Overloading
73	Shrinkage Cracking	Construction Quality / Load
74	Joint Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material
75	Corner Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material
76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	Construction Quality / Climate

Table 3-2: Airfield Pavement Distresses for Portland Cement Concrete

Source: U.S. Army CERL, FDOT Airfield Inspection Reference Manual

3.2 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Results

From the condition survey inspection performed in 2014 at Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field, the overall weighted average PCI value is 79 representing a condition rating of Satisfactory.

Overall the airport exhibited pavement distresses associated with climate and age distresses, with isolated areas exhibiting structural based distresses. Asphalt concrete pavement distresses include; weathering, raveling, longitudinal and transverse cracking, swelling and block cracking. Depressions, rutting and alligator cracking were observed in isolated locations but were not indicative of the overall facility condition.



Runway 18-36 is composed of asphalt concrete pavement and is the airports primary runway. The runway exhibited age and climate based distresses consisting of low severity longitudinal and transverse cracking along with low severity weathering. Bleeding was observed in small isolated areas which seemed to be the asphalt prime or tack coat leaking out of cracks or crevices in the pavement surface. It is important to note that the majority of the cracking distresses recorded along the runway samples were located on the eastern side of the runway, within the outer 30 feet. This runway underwent mill and overlay rehabilitation in 2008/2009 and the extent of the transverse cracking (perpendicular to the runway centerline) is not common in pavements of its age, especially on the outer pavement areas not as exposed to traffic loading.

Runway 8-26 underwent cold in place reconstruction in 2013 and was not inspected due to its new condition.

Taxiway Alpha is the full length parallel taxiway for Runway 18-36 and is composed of asphalt concrete pavement. Taxiway Alpha exhibited a significant amount of climate and age based distresses as well as structural pavement distresses. Large amounts of low severity block cracking, longitudinal and transverse cracking, and raveling were observed throughout. Raveling was also recorded in medium and high severities in several locations throughout the taxiway. Alligator cracking was primarily located towards the southern end of the taxiway section, which is a significant structural distress caused by fatigue failure of the asphalt concrete surface under repeated traffic loading. With the extent of both age and structural related distresses along Taxiway Alpha, rehabilitation should be considered in the very near future.

Taxiway Bravo, the parallel taxiway for Runway 8-26, exhibited low and medium severity longitudinal and transverse cracking along with low severity raveling and swelling.

The asphalt concrete pavement of the main apron exhibited mostly low and medium severity longitudinal and transverse cracking, low and medium severity raveling and low severity block cracking. A large portion of the apron previously underwent a surface treatment where the cracks below have now reflected through and are now spalling the surface treatment significantly, creating large amounts of FOD. This issue will continue to be a problem as time passes and the surface deteriorates further at the crack locations. Appendix B contains Table B-1 which summarizes the Section Condition Values and an Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit, Figure B-1, which depicts the PCI results by Section. Appendix C contains MicroPAVER reports of PCI results by Branch and Section. Appendix H includes the most current detailed distress data generated by MicroPAVER for each inspected sample unit for this update.

The pavement condition at Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field is represented in Figure 3-1 in accordance with the condition categories and PCI scale referenced in ASTM D 5340. Further detail is provided in Table 3-3 which describes the breakdown of the airport's airfield conditions according to area and use.




Figure 3-1: Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary




Ai	field Pavement Use	
Use	Average Area- Weighted PCI	Condition Rating
Runway	95	GOOD
Taxiway	62	FAIR
Apron	74	SATISFACTORY
	Condition Area	
Condition Rating	Area (SF)	Relative Area (%)
Good	1,700,438	57%
Satisfactory	134,918	5%
Fair	724,953	24%
Poor	229,799	8%
Very Poor	184,592	6%
Serious	-	0%
Failed	-	0%

Table 3-3: Pavement Condition Index Rating Summary

Approximately 62% of the airfield network is in Good and Satisfactory condition, while 14% of the network is in a Poor to Failed condition. Table 3-3 provides a breakdown of total area for each pavement by condition rating. Figures 3.2 a, b, c depict the condition rating of the airfield pavement by Branch Use. Photographs taken during the condition survey inspection are included in Appendix G. The photographs included are intended to be representative of the distress observed.




Figure 3-2: Percentage of Pavement Area by Condition Rating by Use




(a) Runway

(b) Taxiway





(c) Apron





4. PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE

Pavement performance models are developed from the distress data collected for the SAPMP for the Florida Airports System. This data is consolidated in a database and organized by inspection date, pavement type, age, pavement use, and airport category. The pavement performance models are used to develop broad prediction models, also known as pavement condition deterioration curves.

The consolidation of the Florida Airports System's pavement infrastructure within the FDOT SAPMP is based on data that has been collected in a consistent method of measurement. The historic pavement condition, or performance trend, has been compiled throughout the system with data from the inception of the SAPMP. This data is processed into models that have been analyzed and developed into prediction curves based upon pavement characteristics. These characteristics include; climate, construction material, and operations. Each model has been developed based on the following criteria:

AIRPORT TYPE (Primary, Regional Reliever, or General Aviation)

>FACILITY USE (Runway, Taxiway, or Apron)

>>FACILITY SURFACE TYPE (AC, AAC, APC, or PCC)

The historic trends of pavement performance at Florida airport facilities for all performance models are consolidated within the program database. This information is utilized in the prediction of pavement performance based on the current PCI determined from the inspections that took place between 2013 and 2015. Major rehabilitation is planned based on the predicted PCI. The intent of this is for both the individual airport and the FDOT District personnel to be aware of anticipated major rehabilitation work based on condition.

Each airport's airfield pavement section condition, for a given inspection year, is one data point that was used as the basis of each performance trend using a performance model based on pavements of similar background. Figures 4-1, 4-2, and 4-3 represent the pavement performance prediction at Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field based on pavement use. Each figure depicts the FDOT recommended Minimum Service Level PCI value for each facility use.



Figure 4-1: Runway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary





Figure 4-2: Taxiway Pavement Performance Prediction Summary








Figure 4-3: Apron Pavement Performance Prediction Summary

Pavement performance modeling to predict the future PCI is primarily done to predict PCI at the Section level for the purpose of planning Major Rehabilitation work. In Appendix D, Table D-1 represents the predicted area-weighted PCI by Section for the airport's airfield pavement infrastructure.



5. AIRFIELD PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE POLICIES AND COSTS

5.1 Policies

Airfield Pavement Maintenance policies are guidance on pavement construction methods used to develop, maintain, repair, and rehabilitate pavement infrastructure based on distresses encountered during the condition surveys.

Maintenance refers to the repair and preservation-type activities that are applied locally to specific distress types on the pavement. These activities for the SAPMP are considered preventative and corrective in nature and are highly recommended to help improve pavement performance and extend pavement life. The SAPMP maintenance policies are based on the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C and guidance provided in the FDOT Airfield Pavement Repair Manual.

For the purpose of the SAPMP; the maintenance repair needs that are identified and quantified are based solely on the pavement distresses observed and recorded at the time of the inspection. Based on a specific distress type and severity observed, a particular repair work type is recommended and quantified based on the extrapolated section distresses. The repair program identified is specific to the current distresses. Future maintenance planning budgets are based on this initial determination. Tables 5-1 and 5-2 provide the list of maintenance activities incorporated into the SAPMP MicroPAVER database to treat specific distress types and severities.



Table 5-1: Recommended AC, AAC, and APC Maintenance and Repair Policy

Surface Type	Distress Code	Distress Name	Severity	Maintenance Work Type	Work Unit
	41	Alligator Cracking	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	42	Bleeding	N/A	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	43	Block Cracking	L	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	43	Block Cracking	M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	44	Corrugation	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	45	Depression	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	46	Jet Blast Erosion	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	47	Joint Reflection Cracking	L	Crack Sealing	Linear Feet
D)	47	Joint Reflection Cracking	M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
ncret(48	Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking L, M, H Crack Sealing		Linear Feet	
Flexible Asphalt Concrete (AC, AAC, APC)	49	Oil Spillage	L, M	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
Aspha C, AA(49	Oil Spillage	Н	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
exible (A(50	Patch and Utility Patching	М	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
FI	50	Patch and Utility Patching	Н	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	51	Polished Aggregate	L, M, H	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	52	Raveling	L, M	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	52	Raveling	Н	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	53	Rutting	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	54	Shoving	L, M, H	Grinding / Removal	Square Feet
	55	Slippage Cracking	L, M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	56	Swelling	M, H	Full Depth Pavement Patch	Square Feet
	57	Weathering	M, H	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet



		2: Recommended PCC Mainte	1 3			
Surface Type	Distress Code	Distress Name	Severity	Maintenance Work Type	Work Unit	
	61	Blowup	L, M, H	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet	
	62	Corner Break	L, M, H	Partial Slab Full Depth Patch - PCC	Square Feet	
	63	Longitudinal/Transverse/Diagonal Cracking	Н	Crack Sealing - PCC	Linear Feet	
	64	Durability Cracking	M, H	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet	
	65	Joint Seal Damage	L, M, H	Joint Seal Repair (Local)	Linear Feet	
	66	Patching, Small	M, H	Partial Slab Full Depth Patch - PCC	Square Feet	
ment	67	Patching, Large	M, H	Partial Slab Full Depth Patch - PCC	Square Feet	
Rigid Pavement (PCC)	69	Pumping	L, M, H	Slab Stabilization / Slab Jacking	Square Feet	
Rig	70	Scaling/Map Cracking/Crazing	L, M	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	Square Feet	
	70	Scaling/Map Cracking/Crazing	H Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch		Square Feet	
	71	Settlement / Faulting	L	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	Square Feet	
	71	Settlement / Faulting	M, H	Slab M, H Stabilization / Slab Jacking		
	72	Shattered Slab	L, M, H	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet	
	73	Shrinkage Cracks	N/A	Crack Sealing - PCC	Linear Feet	
	74	Longitudinal/Transverse Joint Spalling	L, M, H	Partial Patch - PCC	Square Feet	

Table 5-2: Recommended PCC Maintenance and Repair Policy



Surface Type	Distress Code	Distress Name	Severity	Maintenance Work Type	Work Unit
	75	75 Corner Spalling		Partial Patch - PCC	Square Feet
	76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	L	Seal Coat Treatment	Square Feet
	76	Alkali-Silica Reaction	М	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	Square Feet
	76 Alkali-Silica Reaction		Н	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	Square Feet

Though proactive pavement maintenance and preservation is highly recommended in an APMS; it is recognized that pavement that has deteriorated below a certain PCI would benefit more from major rehabilitation rather than localized maintenance and repair work. Major rehabilitation is recommended when the pavement condition decreases below a critical point such that the deterioration is extensive or the rate of deterioration is so great that maintenance repair efforts are no longer cost-efficient. This critical point is called "Critical PCI". The critical PCI levels for different pavement and branch types were established by the FDOT and were used in this update to develop a maintenance and major rehabilitation plan for the airport. Sections that are above the "Critical PCI" levels will be recommended for maintenance, repair, and preservation treatments, assuming there are no significant load-related distresses. For those Sections below the Critical PCI, the recommended action will consist of major rehabilitation work. This approach is used for the Section's Current PCI value and the predicted PCI value for future rehabilitation.

The FDOT has recommended minimum service level PCI for airports based on pavement facility use, airport type, and expected loading frequency. This minimum service level PCI is recommended to ensure the pavement provides a safe operational surface and efficiently uses maintenance and rehabilitation budgets. Separately, the Critical PCI is a value based on historic pavement performance trends and costs. It is at a PCI value of 65, for most airports, at which major rehabilitation is recommended over maintenance level efforts. Table 5-3 identifies the FDOT recommended PCI by use and the critical PCI value for the most important pavements at the airport. This is due to the condition of the pavement and the cost effectiveness of the work. A very important concept of a good pavement management system is the proactive preservation of



pavements that are above Critical PCI condition. Conversely, allowing pavement to deteriorate beyond maintenance and performing "worst first" major rehabilitation may cost much more over the life of a pavement.

Use	FDOT Recommended PCI	Critical PCI
Runway	75	65
Taxiway	70	65
Apron	65	65

Table 5-3: Critical and Minimum Service Level PCI for Primary Airports

Based on historic trends of pavement performance and industry standard practices in pavement maintenance and rehabilitation, the SAPMP included general guidance on construction activity based on condition PCI, as shown on Table 5-4. It is recommended that further investigation of underlying pavement conditions is performed at the design phase.

Table 5-4: Maintenance a	and Major Rehabilitation	Activity Based on PCL

Category	Activity	PCI Range
Maintenance	 Crack Sealing (AC/PCC) Partial Depth Patching (AC) 	75 - 90
	 Full Depth Patching (AC/PCC) Surface Treatment (AC) 	
Rehabilitation	 Mill and Overlay (AC) Concrete Pavement Restoration (PCC) 	40 - 74
	Full Depth Pavement Reconstruction	

The PCI standard scale ranges from a value of 0, typically representing a pavement in a failed condition, to a value of 100 which typically represents a pavement in new or good condition. Generally, airfield pavement sections with a PCI of 75 or higher that are not exhibiting distresses due to aircraft loading will benefit from maintenance activities such as crack sealing, patching, and surface treatments. Pavement sections with PCI values within the range of 40 to 74 may require major rehabilitation, such as a mill and overlay. Lastly, pavement sections with a PCI value of 40 or less are recommended to undergo pavement



reconstruction. Generally pavement reconstruction is the only practical means of restoration due to the substantial distresses observed in the pavement structure. Since PCI values are based solely on the visual determination of pavement distresses and deterioration, this method does not provide a direct measure of structural integrity.

5.2 Unit Costs

The FDOT SAPMP developed and updated the maintenance and major rehabilitation costs based on public cost databases for airport and highway pavement construction. Additionally, cost data collected from FDOT and FAA sponsored projects in the Florida Airports System were utilized to identify construction cost trends across the state.

The maintenance, repair, and preservation activity costs have been updated and developed using readily available construction cost data at the time of this update. The costs depicted in this report for both maintenance and major rehabilitation are intended for planning purposes.

5.3 Maintenance, Repair, and Major Rehabilitation

FDOT recognizes that although pavement mill and overlay is recommended for flexible asphalt concrete pavement within a PCI range from 40 to 74, it is conceivable that airports may not have adequate funding to perform this type of major rehabilitation. A comprehensive surface treatment; per the treatments described in FAA AC 150/5370-10G Standards for Specifying Construction of Airports, as a maintenance rehabilitation activity, can be used in lieu of asphalt concrete pavement mill and overlay. However, it should be understood that these measures provide only a short term extension of pavement life. While the cost of surface treatments are significantly lower than that of pavement mill and overlay, it is not intended or implied to be a full rehabilitative measure for long term benefit. Table 5-5 and Table 5-6 provide budget costs associated with the work types shown in the table.



Surface Type	Maintenance Work Type	Cost	Work Unit
	Full Depth Pavement Patch	\$5.00	Square Feet
Concrete APC)	Partial Depth Pavement Patch	\$3.00	Square Feet
alt Co C, AP(Seal Coat J Treatment		Square Feet
e Asph C, AA	Crack Sealing	\$2.75	Linear Feet
Flexible Asphalt (AC, AAC,	Slurry Seal Coat Treatment	\$0.55	Square Feet
	Grinding / Removal	\$2.10	Square Feet

Table 5-5: AC Maintenance Unit Costs

Table 5-6: PCC Maintenance Unit Costs

Surface Type	Maintenance Work Type	Cost	Work Unit
	Slab Replacement / Full Depth Patch	\$45.00	Square Feet
	Partial Patch - PCC	\$19.10	Square Feet
nent	Crack Sealing - PCC		Linear Feet
Rigid Pavement (PCC)	Joint Seal Repair (Local)	\$3.00	Linear Feet
Rigid	Slab Stabilization / Slab Jacking		Square Feet
	Micro-mill and Seal - PCC	\$1.00	Square Feet
	Seal Coat Treatment	\$1.00	Square Feet

As part of the SAPMP update, the distress data observed at each airport during the inspection is extrapolated on a section basis to make maintenance recommendations. These recommendations are a direct result of the distress types, severities, and quantities observed at the time of inspection. The maintenance recommendations and planning costs are correlated with the airport's airfield pavement network's overall area weighted PCI and used to plan



future maintenance costs. Future maintenance costs are planning budgets that are not specific to a pavement section, but are estimates for the entire airfield. Table 5-7 provides budget costs associated with the rehabilitation activities.

Table 5-7: Rehabilitation Activities and Unit Costs by Condition for Primary Airports

Category	Activity	PCI Range	Cost/SqFt
Rehabilitation	 Mill and Overlay (AC) 	40 74	\$13.00
	 Concrete Pavement Restoration (PCC) 	40 - 74	\$18.00
	Full Depth Pavement Reconstruction	0 - 39	\$23.00

A cost scale has been developed based on PCI to develop planning level budgets for the airfield pavements. The cost scale is adjusted by project year based on an assumed inflation rate of 3%. In Appendix E, Table E-1 summarizes the Year-1 maintenance and repair recommendations based on the most recent inspection. The summary in Table E-1 does not take into account any rehabilitation activities, but rather summarizes preventative activities for all PCI ranges, including below critical PCI sections.



6. MAJOR PAVEMENT REHABILITATION NEEDS

As part of the SAPMP, major pavement rehabilitation planning is developed based on current and predicted PCI in comparison with the Critical PCI. The Critical PCI has been determined based on the historic trends of pavement condition relative to the benefit of maintenance and repair activities. Pavement sections determined to have a PCI less than that of the Critical PCI are assumed to have deteriorated to a point at which maintenance and repair level activity would provide little benefit.

The objective of the major pavement rehabilitation needs analysis is to provide planning level projects within an airport's airfield pavement network. Major rehabilitation activities are recommended when a pavement section has deteriorated below the Critical PCI value from a functionality perspective. In addition, major rehabilitation is also recommended when the Section PCI is above the Critical PCI but the Section has load-related PCI distresses. However, most major rehabilitation work is recommended when the Section PCI is below the Critical PCI, which is when maintenance and repair level activities are not considered to be cost effective.

Major rehabilitation is identified within the SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or "resetting" of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Such activities could include; mill and hot-mix asphalt overlay and re-construction. This analysis was conducted with no constraints to budgets as a means to identify all pavement projects based on Critical PCI for a 10-year duration. It is recommended that the airport use this as a planning tool for future project development and prioritization. Table 6-1 depicts the major rehabilitation work identified on the pavement section level based on current and predicted pavement PCI.

Airports should consider the major rehabilitation work types of mill and overlay, PCC restoration, and reconstruction planning level classifications only. Additional design level investigation in accordance to the FAA Advisory Circulars will be required to identify specific areas within each section that are subject to reconstruction, mill and overlay, and PCC restoration. The work and budgets identified are intended for the planning level not the design level. Areas identified as mill and overlay may in fact require select areas of reconstruction should loadbased distresses observed warrant it.



Year	Branch ID	Section ID	Major M&R Costs*	PCI Before M&R Activit M&R		PCI After M&R
2015	TW A	505	\$ 4,766,509.00	44	Mill and Overlay	100
2015	TW A	540	\$ 2,853,081.00	27	Reconstruction	100
2015	TW A3	515	\$ 74,455.00	47	Mill and Overlay	100
2015	TW A6	530	\$ 341,067.00	35	Reconstruction	100
2015	TW A8	535	\$ 592,457.00	26	Reconstruction	100
2015	TW A9	545	\$ 459,011.00	35	Reconstruction	100
2015	TW B	105	\$ 1,517,976.00	57	Mill and Overlay	100
2015	TW B	106	\$ 123,012.00	57	Mill and Overlay	100
2015	TW T-HANG	580	\$ 340,272.00	58	Mill and Overlay	100
2015	TW T-HANG	585	\$ 1,368,504.00	55	Mill and Overlay	100
2017	AP CENTER	4110	\$ 1,592,528.00	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2017	AP CENTER	4120	\$ 1,828,519.00	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2018	AP CENTER	4125	\$ 601,363.00	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2018	AP N	4210	\$ 821,420.00	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2019	AP CENTER	4105	\$ 3,415,674.00	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2020	AP CENTER	4115	\$ 2,478,408.00	65	Mill and Overlay	100
		Total =	\$23,174,256.00			

Table 6-1: Summary of Major Rehabilitation

*Costs are adjusted for inflation at 3%.

The 10-year major rehabilitation program addresses those pavement sections that have a current or project PCI that is below the Critical PCI of 65 during the 10-year analysis period. The unconstrained or "unlimited budget" Major Rehabilitation Program is compared to a "No Major Rehabilitation Program" scenario in Figure 6-1. As shown, if no major rehabilitation work is completed in the next 10 years at your airport, the average PCI may be 16 points less than a plan that provides timely repairs to the airfield pavements.






Figure 6-1: 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Budget Scenario Analysis



7. PREVENTATIVE AND MAJOR REHABILITATION PLANNING

The preventative and major rehabilitation results include activities that are based on distresses observed and unconstrained by budget limits. FDOT recognizes that the projects identified as Year-1 needs in 2015, based on condition, may exceed a typical annual budget level. It is recommended that each airport further evaluate each project's feasibility and desirability based on the airport's future development plans and budgeting scenarios.


In an effort to identify appropriate budget levels, the 10-year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation analysis evaluated projected budget needs based on predicted PCI of each pavement section. Table 7-1 and Figure 7-1 provides a summary of the expected preventative and major rehabilitation for each program year.

Program Year	P	reventative	Ma	ajor Rehabilitation	Total Year Costs
2015	\$	238,174.34	\$	12,436,343.95	\$ 12,674,518.29
2016	\$	259,816.67	\$	-	\$ 259,816.67
2017	\$	223,900.61	\$	3,421,046.20	\$ 3,644,946.81
2018	\$	269,878.03	\$	1,422,783.07	\$ 1,692,661.11
2019	\$	299,855.29	\$	3,415,674.04	\$ 3,715,529.33
2020	\$	364,311.66	\$	2,478,407.52	\$ 2,842,719.19
2021	\$	487,557.09	\$	-	\$ 487,557.09
2022	\$	632,760.30	\$	-	\$ 632,760.30
2023	\$	785,782.50	\$	-	\$ 785,782.50
2024	\$	913,459.69	\$	-	\$ 913,459.69
				Total =	\$ 27,649,750.98

Table 7-1: 10-Year Preventative and Major Rehabilitation Summary







According to the most recent inspections at the time of this update; the following pavement sections were identified as a Year-1 need for major rehabilitation:

- T-Hangar Taxiway– Sections 580 and 585
 - Mill and Overlay attributed to climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A Sections 505 and 540
 - Mill and Overlay and Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A9 Section 545
 - Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A8 Section 535
 - Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A6 Section 530
 - Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A3 Section 515
 - Mill and Overlay attributed to climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B Sections 105 and 106
 - Mill and Overlay attributed to climate and age of pavement.

Appendix E summarizes the preventative repair recommendations for Year-1 and Appendix F provides an exhibit, Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation that



depicts the recommended major rehabilitation on the airfield pavement network according to work type and year.



8. VISUAL AID EXHIBITS

8.1 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit in Appendix A depicts the airfield layout in a manner that defines the airfield pavement infrastructure as branches, sections, and sample units in accordance with the ASTM D 5340-12. The exhibits are prepared and updated with information provided by the airport and from aerial imagery from the FDOT Surveying and Mapping publications.

8.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit in Appendix A depicts any recent airfield pavement construction activity reported by the airport. The exhibit is intended to identify pavement sections that may have changed in geometry and pavement composition that would affect the section delineation. The information provided in the Airport Response Form was used as the basis of the changes and confirmed with the airport personnel at the time of inspection.

8.3 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Condition Index Rating Exhibit in Appendix B has been prepared based on the section condition analysis of the distress data collected during the recent condition index rating survey. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with associated condition rating colors and PCI values.

8.4 Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit in Appendix F has been prepared based on the section pavement performance model and major rehabilitation analysis. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with associated rehabilitation activity, program year, and the planning level costs.

8.5 Airfield Pavement Condition Survey Inspection Photographs

During the field condition survey inspection; inspectors photographed representative distress types observed. Select photographs are provided in Appendix G to provide visual support to special pavement conditions or distresses observed.



9. RECOMMENDATIONS


The recommendations developed are intended for the planning level for each airport. Additional project specific investigation in accordance with the FAA Advisory Circulars is recommended to further refine the project scope and budget requirements.


The following recommendations were made based on the 2014 condition survey inspection, condition analysis, and maintenance/rehabilitation analysis results:

- T-Hangar Taxiway– Sections 580 and 585
 - Mill and Overlay attributed to climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A Sections 505 and 540
 - Mill and Overlay and Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A9 Section 545
 - Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A8 Section 535
 - Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A6 Section 530
 - Reconstruction attributed to load, climate, and age of pavement.
- Taxiway A3 Section 515
 - Mill and Overlay attributed to climate and age of pavement.
- Taxiway B Sections 105 and 106
 - Mill and Overlay attributed to climate and age of pavement.
- Center Apron Sections 4105, 4110, 4115, 4120, and 4125
 - Mill and Overlay attributed to climate and age of pavement.
- North Apron Section 4210
 - Mill and Overlay attributed to climate and age of pavement.

APPENDIX A

- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION EXHIBIT
- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT SYSTEM INVENTORY EXHIBIT
- PAVEMENT GEOMETRY INVENTORY
- WORK HISTORY REPORT







Pavement Evaluation Report - Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field

				n avon		ometry i	TV CITICOT y				
Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	True Area (FT ²)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Last Insp. Date	Total Samples
RUNWAY 8-26	RW 8-26	RUNWAY	6205	3,010	50	150,450	S	AAC	1/1/2013	1/1/2013	30
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6195	595	150	60,000	Р	AC	1/1/2008	11/3/2014	12
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6190	595	150	30,000	Р	AC	1/1/2008	11/3/2014	6
RUNWAY 8-26	RW 8-26	RUNWAY	6205	3,010	50	150,450	S	AAC	1/1/2013	1/1/2013	0
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6195	595	150	60,000	Р	AC	1/1/2008	11/3/2014	12
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6190	595	150	30,000	Р	AC	1/1/2008	11/3/2014	6
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6135	3,000	25	189,000	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	11/3/2014	38
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6125	2,640	50	94,500	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	11/3/2014	19
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6110	1,000	38	373,275	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	11/3/2014	100
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6105	900	75	373,275	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	11/3/2014	100
NORTH APRON	AP N	APRON	4210	300	200	41,762	Р	AC	1/1/2000	11/3/2014	8
NORTH APRON	AP N	APRON	4205	300	200	19,584	Р	AC	1/1/2000	11/3/2014	4
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER AP	APRON	4135	1,600	80	122,764	Р	AC	7/1/2009	11/3/2014	27
CENTRAL APRON	CENTER	APRON	4130	96	200	19,665	Р	AAC	1/1/1991	11/3/2014	5
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4125	250	120	30,574	P	AC	1/1/1983	11/3/2014	6
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4120	420	230	95,753	Р	AAC	1/1/1991	11/3/2014	20
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4115	400	300	118,772	Р	AAC	1/1/1991	11/3/2014	24
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4110	300	270	83,395	Р	AAC	1/1/1991	11/3/2014	18
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4105	560	300	168,599	Р	AAC	1/1/1991	11/3/2014	36
TAXIWAY A11	TW A11	TAXIWAY	596	820	80	60,866	Р	AC	1/1/2008	11/3/2014	16

Table A-1: Pavement Geometry Inventory



Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	True Area (FT ²)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Last Insp. Date	Total Samples
Taxiway to North Apron	TW AP N	TAXIWAY	595	1,140	30	33,921	Р	AC	1/1/2000	11/3/2014	8
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T- HANG	TAXIWAY	592	960	25	23,718	Р	AC	1/1/2009	11/3/2014	5
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	590	380	50	19,687	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	11/3/2014	4
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T- HANG	TAXIWAY	585	3,300	23	76,028	Р	AC	1/1/2000	11/3/2014	16
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T- HANG	TAXIWAY	580	880	30	18,904	Р	AC	1/1/2000	11/3/2014	5
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	TAXIWAY	575	415	25	15,173	Р	AC	1/1/1940	11/3/2014	5
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	TAXIWAY	570	400	25	6,990	Р	AC	1/1/2000	11/3/2014	3
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	TAXIWAY	565	890	25	21,849	Р	AC	1/1/2000	11/3/2014	9
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	TAXIWAY	560	550	25	13,073	Р	AC	1/1/2000	11/3/2014	3
TAXIWAY A10	TW A10	TAXIWAY	555	850	40	34,000	Р	AC	1/1/2008	11/3/2014	8
TAXIWAY A7	TW A7	TAXIWAY	550	890	25	52,374	Р	AC	1/1/2000	11/3/2014	13
TAXIWAY A9	TW A9	TAXIWAY	545	300	50	19,957	Р	AC	1/1/1988	11/3/2014	4
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	540	2,400	50	124,047	Р	AC	1/1/1988	11/3/2014	25
TAXIWAY A10	TW A10	TAXIWAY	539	135	70	9,840	Р	AC	1/1/2008	11/3/2014	2
TAXIWAY A8	TW A8	TAXIWAY	535	300	50	25,759	Р	AC	1/1/1988	11/3/2014	5
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	TAXIWAY	530	200	50	14,829	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	11/3/2014	2
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	TAXIWAY	525	260	50	16,153	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	11/3/2014	3
TAXIWAY A4	TW A4	TAXIWAY	520	260	50	16,927	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	11/3/2014	3
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	516	260	50	17,350	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	11/3/2014	3
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	515	200	50	3,791	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	11/3/2014	1
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	514	200	50	11,036	Р	AAC	1/1/2009	11/3/2014	2
Taxiway A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	510	300	35	12,915	Р	AC	1/1/1985	11/3/2014	3
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	505	4,623	50	226,008	Р	AAC	1/1/1977	11/3/2014	45



Pavement Evaluation Report - Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field

Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	True Area (FT ²)	Section Rank	Surface Type	Last Const. Date	Last Insp. Date	Total Samples
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	501	200	125	21,165	Т	AC	1/1/2007	11/3/2014	4
CONNECTOR TAXIWAY TW E AND RW 8-26	TW CONN	TAXIWAY	305	720	25	15,806	Р	AAC	1/1/2013	11/3/2014	3
TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAT	106	180	25	6,834	Р	AAC	1/1/1985	11/3/2014	1
ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	TAXIWAY	105	3,400	25	84,332	P	AC	1/1/1985	11/3/2014	17

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER.

* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey. Please refer to Section 3 for discussion on the updates to the ASTM D 5640 that may affect PCI in comparison to previous program update.

Date:05/	/13/2015		story Re	-	1 of 7			
Network: O L.C.D. : 01/07	CF Bra 1/1991 Use: AF	•	L APRON) 560.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 4105 Surface: AAC 300.00 Ft True Area: 168,599.00 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments			
01/01/2004 01/01/1991 01/01/1977 01/01/1959	ST-ST IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED	Surface Treatment - Sand Tar OVERLAY OVERLAY BUILT	\$0	0.00 2.00 2.00 1.50	True 1991 2" P-401 True 1977 2" P-401			
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01	CF Bra 1/1991 Use: AF	Section: 4110 Surface: AAC 270.00 Ft True Area: 83.395.00 SqF						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments			
01/01/2004 01/01/1991 01/01/1983	ST-ST IMPORTED IMPORTED	Surface Treatment - Sand Tar OVERLAY BUILT	\$0	0.00 2.00 1.00	True 1991 2" P-401			
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01	CF Br 1/1991 Use: AF		L APRON) 400.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 4115 Surface: AAC 300.00 Ft True Area:118,772.00 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments			
01/01/2004 01/01/1991 01/01/1977 01/01/1959	ST-ST IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED	Surface Treatment - Sand Tar OVERLAY OVERLAY BUILT	\$0	0.00 2.00 2.00 1.50	True 1991 2" P-401 True 1977 2" P-401			
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01	CF Bra 1/1991 Use: AF	•	L APRON) 420.00 Ft	-				
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments			
01/01/2004 01/01/1991 01/01/1983	ST-ST IMPORTED IMPORTED	Surface Treatment - Sand Tar OVERLAY BUILT	\$0	1.00				
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 ⁻	CF Bra 1/1983 Use: AF	•	L APRON) 250.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 4125 Surface: AC 120.00 Ft True Area: 30,574.00 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments			
01/01/2004 01/01/1983	ST-ST IMPORTED	Surface Treatment - Sand Tar BUILT	\$0	0.00 1.00				
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 ²	CF Bra 1/1991 Use: AF	•	L APRON) 95.62 Ft	Width:	Section: 4130 Surface: AAC 200.00 Ft True Area: 19,665.00 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments			
01/01/2004 01/01/1991 01/01/1985	ST-ST IMPORTED IMPORTED	Surface Treatment - Sand Tar OVERLAY BUILT	\$0	0.00 2.00 1.50	True 1991 2" P-401			
Network: O L.C.D.: 07/07	CF Bra 1/2009 Use: AF	•	L APRON) 1.600.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 4135 Surface: AC 80.00 Ft True Area: 122.764.00 SqF			
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments			
07/01/2009	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0	0.00	True			

Date:05	/13/2015		story Re	-	2 of 7
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 ⁻	0CF Br 1/2000 Use: AF	anch: APN (NORTH A PRON Rank P Length:	APRON) 300.00 Ft	Section: 4205 Surface: AC 200.00 Ft True Area: 19,584.00 SqF	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2004 01/01/2000	POSD NC-AC	POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0	0.00 0.00	False True ESTIMATED
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 ⁻	0CF Br 1/2000 Use: AF	anch: APN (NORTH A PRON Rank P Length:	APRON) 300.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 4210 Surface: AC 200.00 Ft True Area: 41,762.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2004 01/01/2000	POSD NU-IN	POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - Initial	\$0 \$0	0.00 0.00	False True
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 ⁻	CF Br 1/2009 Use: RL	anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWA) JNWAY Rank P Length:	Ƴ 18-36) 900.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 6105 Surface: AAC 75.00 Ft True Area: 373.275.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2009 01/01/1991	ML-OV IMPORTED	MILL and OVERLAY OVERLAY	\$0	0.00 1.00	True 2009 1" MILL AND 2" NOMINAL OVERLY True 1991 1" P-401 1.5" S-401 .75-2.5" P-211 4" RECYCLED BIT
01/01/1959	IMPORTED	BUILT		12.00	True 1959 12" LIMEROCK 12" SUBGRADE
Network: 0	CF Br	anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWA)	(18-36)		Section: 6110 Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 01/0	1/2009 Use: RU	JNWAY Rank P Length:	1,000.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 6110 Surface: AAC 37.50 Ft True Area:373,275.00 SqF
L.C.D.: 01/0 ⁻ Work Date	1/2009 Use: RL Work Code	JNWAY Rank P Length: Work Description	1,000.00 Ft	Width: Thickness (in)	
Work Date 01/01/2009	Work	Work	1,000.00 Ft	Thickness	37.50 Ft True Area: 373,275.00 SqF Major
Work	Work Code ML-OV	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY	1,000.00 Ft Cost	Thickness (in)	37.50 Ft True Area:373,275.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY False 1991 SLURRY SEAL True 1977 2" P-401 True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12"
Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1991 01/01/1977 01/01/1959 Network: O	Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY REPAIR OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWA)	1,000.00 Ft Cost \$0	Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00	37.50 Ft True Area:373,275.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY False 1991 SLURRY SEAL True 1977 2" P-401
Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1991 01/01/1977 01/01/1959 Network: O	Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED CF Br	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY REPAIR OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWA)	1,000.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 2,640.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00 1.50	37.50 Ft True Area:373,275.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY False 1991 SLURRY SEAL True 1977 2" P-401 True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBGRADE Surface: AAC
Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1991 01/01/1977 01/01/1959 Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 Work	Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED CF Br 1/2009 Use: RU Work	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY REPAIR OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWAY NWAY Rank P Length: Work	1,000.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 2,640.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00 1.50 Width: Thickness	37.50 Ft True Area:373,275.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY False 1991 SLURRY SEAL True 1977 2" P-401 True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBGRADE Subgrade Section: 6125 Surface: AAC 50.00 Ft True Area: 94,500.00 SqF
Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1991 01/01/1977 01/01/1979 Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1988	Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED CF Br 1/2009 Use: RU Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY REPAIR OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT	1,000.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 2,640.00 Ft Cost \$0	Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00 1.50 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00	37.50 Ft True Area:373,275.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY False 1991 SLURRY SEAL True 1977 2" P-401 True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBGRADE SUBGRADE Section: 6125 Surface: AAC 50.00 Ft True Area: 94,500.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY
Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1991 01/01/1977 01/01/1977 01/01/1959 Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1988 01/01/1988 Network: O	Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED CF Br Mork Code ML-OV IMPORTED	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY REPAIR OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWAY	1,000.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 2,640.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 3.000.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00 1.50 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00	37.50 Ft True Area:373,275.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY False 1991 SLURRY SEAL True 1977 2" P-401 True 1977 2" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBGRADE SUBGRADE Major M&R Comments True 6125 SUBGRADE Sufface: AAC 50.00 Ft True Area: 94,500.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY 1988 2" P-401 14" P-211 10" P-154 17" SUBGRADE SUBGRADE
Work Date D1/01/2009 D1/01/1991 D1/01/1977 D1/01/1959 Network: OL.C.D.: 01/01/2009 D1/01/2009 D1/01/1988 Network: OL.C.D.: 01/01/2009 D1/01/2009 Work Date D1/01/2009 D1/01/2009 OL.C.D.: O1/01	Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED CF Br 1/2009 Use: RU Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY REPAIR OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWAY) NWAY Rank P Length: Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWAY) BUILT Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT Work MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWAY) JNWAY Rank P Length: Work Work	1,000.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 2,640.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 3,000.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00 1.50 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00 Width:	37.50 Ft True Area:373,275.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY False 1991 SLURRY SEAL True 1977 2" P-401 True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBGRADE Section: 6125 Surface: AAC 50.00 Ft True Area: Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY 1988 2" P-401 14" P-211 10" P-154 17" SUBGRADE Section: 6135 Surface: AAC 25.00 Ft True Area: Major Major
Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1991 01/01/1977 01/01/1977 01/01/1959 Network: O L.C.D.: 01/07 Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1988 Network: O L.C.D.: 01/07 Work Date 01/01/2009 01/07 Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1988 Network: O Nork Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1988 Network: O	Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED CF Br 1/2009 Use: RL Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED CF Br 1/2009 Use: RL Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY REPAIR OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWAY) Mill and OVERLAY BUILT Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWAY) MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWAY) Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT Mork Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT Mork Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT MILL and OVERLAY BUILT	1,000.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 2,640.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 3.000.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00 1.50 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00	37.50 Ft True Area:373,275.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY False 1991 SLURRY SEAL True 1977 2" P-401 True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBGRADE SUBGRADE Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBGRADE Section: 6125 Surface: AAC 50.00 Ft True Area: 94,500.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY True 1988 2" P-401 14" P-211 10" P-154 17" SUBGRADE Subgrade Section: 6135 Surface: AAC 25.00 Ft True Area:189.000.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 1988 2" P-401 10"P-211 14" P-154 17"
Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/1991 01/01/1991 01/01/1991 01/01/1991 01/01/1991 01/01/1991 Network: 01/01/2009 01/01/2009 01/01/2009 01/01/1988 Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2009 01/01/2009 01/01/1/1988 01/01/1/1988 Network: O Network: O	Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED IMPORTED IMPORTED CF Br 1/2009 Use: RL Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED CF Br 1/2009 Use: RL Work Code ML-OV IMPORTED	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY REPAIR OVERLAY BUILT anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWAY) MWAY Rank P Length: Work Description Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT Work Description	1,000.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 2,640.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 3.000.00 Ft Cost \$0 (18-36) 3.000.00 Ft \$0 (18-36) \$0 (18-36) \$0 (18-36) \$0 (18-36) \$0 (18-36) \$0 (18-36) \$0 (18-36) \$0 (18-36) (18-36	Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00 1.50 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 2.00	37.50 Ft True Area:373,275.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY False 1991 SLURRY SEAL 1991 SLURRY SEAL True 1977 2" P-401 True 1977 2" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBGRADE Section: 6125 Surface: AAC 50.00 Ft True Area: 94,500.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 2009 1"-2" MILL AND 2" OVERLAY 1988 2" P-401 14" P-211 10" P-154 17" SUBGRADE Section: 6135 Surface: AAC 25.00 Ft True Area:189.000.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 1988 2" P-401 10" P-211 10" P-154 17" SUBGRADE True 1988 2" P-401 10" P-211 14" P-154 17" SUBGRADE SUBGRADE

Date:05/	13/2015		story Re t Database:FD	-	3 of 7
Network: O	CF Br	anch: RW 18-36 (RUNWA)	Y 18-36)	Width:	Section: 6195 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2008 Use: RL	JNWAY Rank P Length:	595.00 Ft		150.00 Ft True Area: 60,000.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2008	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	0.00	True
Network: O	CF Br	anch: RW 8-26 (RUNWA)	Y 8-26)	Width:	Section: 6205 Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2013 Use: RU	JNWAY Rank SLength:	3.010.00 Ft		50.00 Ft True Area: 150.450.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2013	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	\$0	0.00	True 2013 UNKNOWN COLD RECONSTRUCTION
01/01/2002 01/01/1973	OL-AT IMPORTED	Overlay - AC Thin BUILT	\$0	1.00 1.00	True 1973 1" P-401 10" P-211
Network: O	CF Br	anch: TW A (TAXIWA)	Y A)	Width:	Section: 505 Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/1977 Use: TA	XIWAY Rank P Length:	4.623.00 Ft		50.00 Ft True Area: 226.008.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/1977 01/01/1959	IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY BUILT		2.00 1.50	True 1977 2" P-401 True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBGRADE
Network: O	CF Br	anch: TWA (TAXIWA)	Y A)	Width:	Section: 540 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/1988 Use: TA	AXIWAY Rank PLength:	2.400.00 Ft		50.00 Ft True Area: 124.047.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/1988	IMPORTED	BUILT		2.00	True 1988 2" P-401 14" P-211 10" P-154 17" SUBGRADE
Network: O	CF Br	anch: TW A1 (TAXIWA	Y A1)	Width:	Section: 501 Surface: AC
L.C.D. : 01/01	1/2007 Use: TA	AXIWAY Rank T Length:	200.00 Ft		125.00 Ft True Area: 21,165.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2007	CR-AC	Complete Reconstruction - AC	\$0		True
01/01/1977	INITIAL	Initial Construction	\$0		True
Network: O	CF Br	anch: TW A1 (TAXIWA)	Y A1)	Width:	Section: 590 Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2009 Use: TA	AXIWAY Rank P Length:	380.00 Ft		50.00 Ft True Area: 19,687.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2009	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	\$0	0.00	True
01/01/2004	POSD	POSD Slurry Seal	\$0	0.00	False
01/01/2004 01/01/1977	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0	0.00	True estimated
Network: O	CF Br	anch: TW A10 (TAXIWA	Y A10)	Width:	Section: 539 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2008 Use: TA	XIWAY Rank P Length:	135.00 Ft		70.00 Ft True Area: 9.840.00 SqF
Work	Work	Work	Cost	Thickness	Major
Date	Code	Description		(in)	M&R Comments
01/01/2008	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0	0.00	True
Network: O	CF Br	anch: TW A10 (TAXIWA)	Y A10)	Width:	Section: 555 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/2008 Use: TA	AXIWAY Rank P Length:	850.00 Ft		40.00 Ft True Area: 34.000.00 SqF
Monte	Work	Work		Thickness	Major
Work Date	Code	Description	Cost	(in)	M&R Comments

Date:05/	Date:05/13/2015 Work History Report 4 of 7										
		Pavemen	t Database:FD	ОТ							
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 ⁷	CF Bra 1/2008 Use: TA	anch: TW A11 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length:	Y A11) 820.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 596 Surface: AC 80.00 Ft True Area: 60,866.00 SqF						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments						
01/01/2008	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	\$0	0.00	True						
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 ⁷	CF Bra 1/1985 Use: TA	anch: TW A2 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length:	Y A2) 300.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 510 Surface: AC 35.00 Ft True Area: 12.915.00 SaF						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments						
01/01/2004 01/01/1985	ST-ST IMPORTED	Surface Treatment - Sand Tar BUILT	\$0	0.00 1.50	False True 1985 1.5" P-401 8" P-211 4" P-154						
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 ⁷	CF Br 1/2009 Use: TA	anch:TWA3 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank PLength:	Y A3) 200.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 514 Surface: AAC 50.00 Ft True Area: 11.036.00 SqF						
Work	Work	Work		Thickness	Major						
Date	Code	Description	Cost	(in)	M&R Comments						
01/01/2009	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	\$0	0.00	True 2009 MILL AND OVERLAY FROM RW 18-36						
01/01/1977	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	\$0	2.00	True 1977 2" P-401						
01/01/1959	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	\$0	0.00	True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBGRADE						
Network: 0	CF Bra 1/1977 Use: TA	anch: TW A3 (TAXIWA			Section: 515 Surface: AAC						
		Raint P Longtin	200.00 Ft	Width:	50.00 Ft True Area: 3.791.00 SaF						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments						
01/01/1977 01/01/1959	IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY BUILT		2.00 1.50	True 1977 2" P-401 True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBGRADE						
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 ⁷	CF Bra 1/1977 Use: TA	anch: TW A3 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length:	Y A3) 260.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 516 Surface: AAC 50.00 Ft True Area: 17.350.00 SaF						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments						
01/01/2004	ST-ST	Surface Treatment - Sand Tar	\$0		False						
01/01/1977 01/01/1959	IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY BUILT		2.00 1.50	True 1977 2" P-401 True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12"						
					SUBGRADE						
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/0 ⁷	CF Bra 1/1977 Use: TA	anch: TW A4 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length:	Y A4) 260.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 520 Surface: AAC 50.00 Ft True Area: 16,927.00 SqF						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments						
01/01/2004	ST-ST	Surface Treatment - Sand Tar	\$0	0.00	False						
01/01/1977 01/01/1959	IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY BUILT		2.00 1.50	True 1977: 2" P401 OVERLAY True 1959: 1.5" P401 ON 12" P211 ON 12"						
01/01/1000		DOILT		1.00	COMP. SUBGRADE						
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/07	CF Bra 1/1977 Use: TA	anch: TW A5 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length:	Y A5) 260.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 525 Surface: AAC 50.00 Ft True Area: 16,153.00 SqF						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments						
01/01/2004	ST-ST	Surface Treatment - Sand Tar	\$0		False						
01/01/1977 01/01/1959	IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY BUILT		2.00 1.50	True 1977 2" P-401 True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12"						
01/01/1000		DOILT		1.30	SUBGRADE						

Date:05/	/13/2015		story Re	•	5 of 7
Network: 00 L.C.D.: 01/01	CF Bra 1/1977 Use: TA	anch: TW A6 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length:	Y A6) 200.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 530 Surface: AAC 50.00 Ft True Area: 14,829.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/1977 01/01/1959	IMPORTED IMPORTED	OVERLAY BUILT		2.00 1.50	True 1977 2" P-401 True 1959 1.5" P-401 12" P-211 12" SUBRGADE
Network: 00 L.C.D.: 01/01	CF Bra 1/2000 Use: TA	anch: TW A6 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length:	Y A6) 550.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 560 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 13,073.00 SqF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2004 01/01/2000	POSD NC-AC	POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0	0.00 0.00	False ^P olycon Seal coat True estimated
Network: Of L.C.D. : 01/01	CF Bra 1/2000 Use: TA	anch: TW A6 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length:	Y A6) 890.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 565 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 21.849.00 SaF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2004 01/01/2000	POSD NC-AC	POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0	0.00 0.00	False Polycon Seal coat True estimated
Network: Of L.C.D. : 01/01	CF Bra 1/2000 Use: TA	anch: TW A6 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank PLength:	Y A6) 400.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 570 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 6.990.00 SaF
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments
01/01/2004 01/01/2000	POSD NC-AC	POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0	0.00 0.00	False True estimated
Network: O					
	CF Bra 1/1940 Use: TA	anch: TW A6 (TAXIWA) XIWAY Rank P Length:	Y A6) 415.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 575 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 15.173.00 SaF
			415.00 Ft	Width: Thickness (in)	
L.C.D.: 01/01	1/1940 Use: TA Work	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work	415.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00	25.00 Ft True Area: 15.173.00 SqF Major Commonto
L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/1940 Network: O	1/1940 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A7 (TAXIWA	415.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0	Thickness (in) 0.00	25.00 Ft True Area: 15.173.00 SqF Major M&R Comments False Polycon Seal coat
L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/1940 Network: O	1/1940 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Bra	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A7 (TAXIWA	415.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y A7) 890.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00	25.00 Ft True Area: 15.173.00 SaF Major M&R False Polycon Seal coat True estimated Section: 550 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/1940 Network: OU L.C.D.: 01/01 Work	1/1940 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Br: 1/2000 Use: TA Work	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A7 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work	415.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y A7) 890.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 Width: Thickness	25.00 Ft True Area: 15.173.00 SqF Major M&R False Polycon Seal coat True estimated Section: 550 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 52.374.00 SqF Major
L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/1940 Network: 00 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004	1/1940 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Br 1/2000 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A7 (TAXIWA Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A8 (TAXIWA)	415.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Y A7) 890.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00	25.00 Ft True Area: 15.173.00 SqF Major M&R False Polycon Seal coat True Polycon Seal coat Section: 550 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 52.374.00 SqF Major M&R False Polycon Seal coat
L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/1940 Network: 00 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004	1/1940 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Br 1/2000 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Br	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A7 (TAXIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A8 (TAXIWA)	415.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y A7) 890.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 \$0 Y A8) 300.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00	25.00 Ft True Area: 15.173.00 SaF Major M&R Comments False Polycon Seal coat True estimated Section: 550 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 52.374.00 SaF Major M&R Comments Safe False Polycon Seal coat True Area: False Polycon Seal coat Safe False Polycon Seal coat True area: False Polycon Seal coat Safe False Polycon Seal coat Safe Section: 535 Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/1940 Network: OU L.C.D.: 01/01 Work 01/01/2000 Network: OU L.C.D.: 01/01 Work	1/1940 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Br. 1/2000 Use: TA Work POSD NC-AC POSD NC-AC CF Br. 1/1988 Use: TA	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A7 (TAXIWAY XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A8 (TAXIWAY XIWAY Rank P Length: Work	415.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y A7) 890.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Y A8) 300.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 Width: Thickness	25.00 Ft True Area: 15.173.00 SqF Major M&R Comments False Polycon Seal coat True estimated Section: 550 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 52.374.00 SqF Major M&R Comments False Polycon Seal coat True estimated Section: 535 Surface: AC Section: 535 Surface: AC So:00 Ft True Area: 25.759.00 SqF
L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/1940 Network: OU L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2000 Network: OU L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/1988 01/01/1988	1/1940 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Br 1/2000 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Br 1/1988 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A7 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A8 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT MORK Description BUILT (TAXIWAY	415.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y A7) 890.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 \$0 Y A8) 300.00 Ft Cost	Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 Width: Thickness (in)	25.00 Ft True Area: 15.173.00 SqF Major M&R Comments False Polycon Seal coat estimated Section: 550 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 52.374.00 SqF Major M&R Comments False Polycon Seal coat True Polycon Seal coat Section: 535 Surface: AC 50.00 Ft Section: 535 Surface: AC 50.00 Ft True Area: 25.759.00 SqF Major M&R Comments True 1988 2" P-401 14" P-211 10" P-154 17"
L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/1940 Network: OU L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2000 Network: OU L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/1988 01/01/1988	1/1940 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Br 1/2000 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Br 1/1988 Use: TA Work Code IMPORTED CF Br	XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A7 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW A8 (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description BUILT anch: TW A9 (TAXIWA	415.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y A7) 890.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y A8) 300.00 Ft Cost Y A9) 300.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 Width: Thickness (in) 2.00	25.00 Ft True Area: 15.173.00 SqF Major M&R Comments False Polycon Seal coat True estimated Section: 550 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 52.374.00 SqF Major M&R Comments False Polycon Seal coat True estimated Section: 535 Surface: AC True estimated Section: 535 Surface: AC So:00 Ft True Area: 25.759.00 SqF Major M&R Comments Surface: AC 50.00 Ft True Area: 25.759.00 SqF Major M&R Comments Surface: AC Surface: AC Surface: AC Surface: AC Surface: Surface: Surface: AC Surface: Surface: Surface: Surface: Surface: Surface: Surface: Surface: Surface: Surface:

Date:05/	13/2015		story Re	-	6 of 7					
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01	CF Bra 1/2000 Use: TA	•	Y TO NORTH AP 1,140.00 Ft	RON) Width:	Section: 595 Surface: AC 30.00 Ft True Area: 33,921.00 SqF					
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments					
01/01/2004 01/01/2000	POSD NC-AC	POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC	\$0 \$0		False Polycon Seal coat True estimated					
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01	CF Bra I/1985 Use: TA	anch:TWB (TAXIWA XIWAY RankPLength:	Y B) 3,400.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 105 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 84,332.00 SqF					
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments					
01/01/1985	IMPORTED	BUILT		1.50	True 1985 1.5" P-401 6" P-211 4" P-154					
Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01	CF Bra 1/1985 Use: TA	anch: TWB (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank PLength:	Y B) 180.00 Ft	Width:	Section: 106 Surface: AC 25.00 Ft True Area: 6.834.00 SqF					
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments					
01/01/1985	IMPORTED	BUILT		1.50	True 1985 1.5" P-401 6" P-211 4" P-154					
	Network: OCF Branch: TW CONN (CONNECTOR TAXIWAY, TW E AND Section: 305 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 01/01/2013 Use: TAXIWAY Rank PR\@n@n?f(c) 720.00 Ft Width: 25.00 Ft True Area: 15.806.00 SqF									
		Runt / Eeligne,	720.00 FI	Width:	25.00 Ft True Area: 15.806.00 SqF					
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description		Width: Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments					
Date 01/01/2013	Code ML-OV	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY		Thickness (in) 0.00	Major M&R Comments True 2013 MILL AND OVERLAY WITH RW 3-26					
Date 01/01/2013 01/01/1973 Network: O	Code ML-OV IMPORTED	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA	Cost	Thickness (in) 0.00 1.00	Major M&R Comments True 2013 MILL AND OVERLAY WITH RW 3-26					
Date 01/01/2013 01/01/1973 Network: O	Code ML-OV IMPORTED CF Bra	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA	Cost \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 880.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 1.00 S)	Major M&R Comments True 2013 MILL AND OVERLAY WITH RW 3-26 True 1973: 1" P401 ON 10" P211 Section: 580 Surface: AC					
Date 01/01/2013 01/01/1973 Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01 Work	Code ML-OV IMPORTED CF Bra I/2000 Use: TA Work	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work	Cost \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 880.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 1.00 S) Width: Thickness (in)	Major M&R Comments True 2013 MILL AND OVERLAY WITH RW B-26 True 1973: 1" P401 ON 10" P211 Section: 580 Surface: AC 30.00 Ft True Area: 18.904.00 SqF					
Date 01/01/2013 01/01/1973 Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/2000 Network: O Network: O	Code ML-OV IMPORTED CF Br: 1/2000 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA	Cost \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 880.00 Ft Cost \$0	Thickness (in) 0.00 1.00 S) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00	Major M&R Comments True 2013 MILL AND OVERLAY WITH RW B-26 True 1973: 1" P401 ON 10" P211 Section: 580 Surface: AC 30.00 Ft True Area: 18.904.00 SqF Major M&R False					
Date 01/01/2013 01/01/1973 Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/2000 Network: O Network: O	Code ML-OV IMPORTED CF Bra 1/2000 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Bra	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA	Cost \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 880.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 3.300.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 1.00 S) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 S)	Major M&R Comments True 2013 MILL AND OVERLAY WITH RW B-26 True 1973: 1" P401 ON 10" P211 Section: 580 Surface: AC 30.00 Ft True Area: 18.904.00 SqF Major M&R Comments False True estimated Section: 585 Surface: AC					
Date 01/01/2013 01/01/2013 01/01/1973 Network: 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 Work: OLC.D.: 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 Work: Work	Code ML-OV IMPORTED CF Bra //2000 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Bra //2000 Use: TA Work	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work	Cost \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 880.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 3.300.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 1.00 S) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 S) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 S) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00	Major M&R Comments True 2013 MILL AND OVERLAY WITH RW B-26 True 1973: 1" P401 ON 10" P211 Section: 580 Surface: AC 30.00 Ft 30.00 Ft True Area: 18,904.00 SqF Major M&R Comments False True estimated Section: 585 Surface: AC 23.00 Ft True Area: 76.028.00 SqF					
Date 01/01/2013 01/01/1973 Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2000 Network: O Network: O	Code ML-OV IMPORTED CF Bra 1/2000 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Bra /2000 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA Vork Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA	Cost \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 880.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 3.300.00 Ft Cost \$0	Thickness (in) 0.00 1.00 S) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 S) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00	Major M&R Comments True 2013 MILL AND OVERLAY WITH RW 3-26 True 1973: 1" P401 ON 10" P211 Section: 580 Surface: AC 30.00 Ft 30.00 Ft True Area: 18.904.00 SqF Major M&R Comments False True estimated Section: 585 Surface: AC 23.00 Ft True Area: 76.028.00 SqF Major M&R Comments False Polycon Seal coat					
Date 01/01/2013 01/01/1973 Network: O L.C.D.: 01/01 Work Date 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2004 01/01/2000 Network: O Network: O	Code ML-OV IMPORTED CF Bra 1/2000 Use: TA Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Bra Work Code POSD NC-AC CF Bra	Work Description MILL and OVERLAY BUILT anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA XIWAY Rank P Length: Work Description POSD Slurry Seal New Construction - AC anch: TW T-HANG (TAXIWA	Cost \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 880.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 3.300.00 Ft Cost \$0 \$0 Y TO T-HANGAR 960.00 Ft	Thickness (in) 0.00 1.00 S) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00 S) Width: Thickness (in) 0.00 0.00	Major M&RCommentsTrue2013 MILL AND OVERLAY WITH RW B-26True1973: 1" P401 ON 10" P211Section:580Surface: AC 30.00 Ft30.00 FtTrue Area:18.904.00 SqFMajor M&RCommentsFalse TrueestimatedSection:585Surface: AC 23.00 FtMajor Magor MagrCommentsFalse TruePolycon Seal coat estimatedFalse TruePolycon Seal coat estimatedFalse Section:592Surface:AC					

Work History Report


Pavement Database:FDOT

Summary:

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
BUILT	24	2,281,966.00	1.94	2.17
Complete Reconstruction - AC	1	21,165.00	.00	
Initial Construction	1	21,165.00	.00	
MILL and OVERLAY	9	1,238,065.00	.22	.67
New Construction - AC	15	524,771.00	.00	.00
New Construction - Initial	4	146,798.00	.00	.00
OVERLAY	15	1,815,163.00	1.87	.35
Overlay - AC Thin	1	150,450.00	1.00	
POSD Slurry Seal	11	319,345.00	.00	.00
REPAIR	1	373,275.00		
Surface Treatment - Sand Tar	10	580,103.00	.00	.00

APPENDIX B

- AIRFIELD PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX RATING EXHIBIT
- PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX INVENTORY





Pavement Evaluation Report - Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field

			i avoin				, y		A	
Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	True Area (FT ²)	Section Rank	Surface Type	PCI	PCI Category	Total Inspection Samples	Total Samples
RUNWAY 8-26	RW 8-26	RUNWAY	6205	150,450	S	AAC	100	Good	6	30
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6195	60,000	Р	AC	93	Good	3	12
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6190	30,000	Р	AC	92	Good	2	6
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6135	189,000	Р	AAC	97	Good	7	38
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6125	94,500	Р	AAC	96	Good	5	19
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6110	373,275	Р	AAC	95	Good	21	100
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	RUNWAY	6105	373,275	Р	AAC	94	Good	20	100
NORTH APRON	AP N	APRON	4210	41,762	Р	AC	70	Fair	1	8
NORTH APRON	AP N	APRON	4205	19,584	Р	AC	86	Good	1	4
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4135	122,764	Р	AC	95	Good	5	27
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4130	19,665	Р	AAC	77	Satisfactory	1	5
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4125	30,574	Р	AC	70	Fair	1	6
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4120	95,753	Р	AAC	67	Fair	3	20
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4115	118,772	Р	AAC	70	Fair	3	24
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4110	83,395	Р	AAC	67	Fair	3	18
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	APRON	4105	168,599	Р	AAC	69	Fair	4	36
TAXIWAY A11	TW A11	TAXIWAY	596	60,866	Р	AC	88	Good	3	16
Taxiway to North Apron	TW AP N	TAXIWAY	595	33,921	Р	AC	81	Satisfactory	2	8
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY	592	23,718	Р	AC	94	Good	1	5
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	590	19,687	Р	AAC	97	Good	1	4
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY	585	76,028	Р	AC	56	Fair	4	16
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY	580	18,904	Р	AC	59	Fair	1	5

Table B-1: Pavement Condition Index Inventory



Pavement Evaluation Report - Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field

Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	True Area (FT ²)	Section Rank	Surface Type	PCI	PCI Category	Total Inspection Samples	Total Samples
Taxiway A6	TW A6	TAXIWAY	575	15,173	Р	AC	91	Good	1	5
Taxiway A6	TW A6	TAXIWAY	570	6,990	Р	AC	79	Satisfactory	1	3
Taxiway A6	TW A6	TAXIWAY	565	21,849	Р	AC	94	Good	1	9
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	TAXIWAY	560	13,073	Р	AC	80	Satisfactory	1	3
TAXIWAY A10	TW A10	TAXIWAY	555	34,000	Р	AC	100	Good	1	8
TAXIWAY A7	TW A7	TAXIWAY	550	52,374	Р	AC	93	Good	2	13
TAXIWAY A9	TW A9	TAXIWAY	545	19,957	Р	AC	36	Very Poor	1	4
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	540	124,047	Р	AC	28	Very Poor	4	25
TAXIWAY A10	TW A10	TAXIWAY	539	9,840	Р	AC	90	Good	1	2
Taxiway A8	TW A8	TAXIWAY	535	25,759	Р	AC	27	Very Poor	1	5
Taxiway A6	TW A6	TAXIWAY	530	14,829	Р	AAC	36	Very Poor	1	2
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	TAXIWAY	525	16,153	Р	AAC	82	Satisfactory	1	3
Taxiway A4	TW A4	TAXIWAY	520	16,927	Р	AAC	93	Good	1	3
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	516	17,350	Р	AAC	92	Good	1	3
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	515	3,791	Р	AAC	48	Poor	1	1
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	514	11,036	Р	AAC	85	Satisfactory	1	2
Taxiway A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	510	12,915	Р	AC	84	Satisfactory	1	3
TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	505	226,008	Р	AAC	45	Poor	5	45
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	501	21,165	Т	AC	82	Satisfactory	1	4
CONNECTOR TAXIWAY TW E AND										
RW 8-26	TW CONN	TAXIWAY	305	15,806	Р	AAC	100	Good	1	3
TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	106	6,834	Р	AC	58	Fair	1	1
TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	105	84,332	Р	AC	58	Fair	4	17

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER.

* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey. Please refer to Section 3 for discussion on the updates to the ASTM D 5640 that may affect PCI in comparison to previous program update.

APPENDIX C

- BRANCH CONDITION REPORT
- SECTION CONDITION REPORT

Branch Condition Report

Pavement Database: FDOT NetworkID: OCF

Branch ID	Number of Sections	Sum Section Length (Ft)	Avg Section Width (Ft)	True Area (SqFt)	Use	Average PCI	PCI Standard Deviation	Weighted Average PCI
AP CENTER (CENTRAL APRON)	7	3,625.62	214.29	639,522.00	APRON	73.57	9.29	73.91
APN (NORTH APRON)	2	600.00	200.00	61,346.00	APRON	78.00	8.00	75.11
RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36)	6	8,730.00	81.25	1,120,050.00	RUNWAY	94.50	1.71	94.90
RW 8-26 (RUNWAY 8-26)	1	3,010.00	50.00	150,450.00	RUNWAY	100.00	0.00	100.00
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	2	7,023.00	50.00	350,055.00	TAXIWAY	36.50	8.50	38.98
TW A1 (TAXIWAY A1)	2	580.00	87.50	40,852.00	TAXIWAY	89.50	7.50	89.23
TW A10 (TAXIWAY A10)	2	985.00	55.00	43,840.00	TAXIWAY	95.00	5.00	97.76
TW A11 (TAXIWAY A11)	1	820.00	80.00	60,866.00	TAXIWAY	88.00	0.00	88.00
TW A2 (TAXIWAY A2)	1	300.00	35.00	12,915.00	TAXIWAY	84.00	0.00	84.00
TW A3 (TAXIWAY A3)	3	660.00	50.00	32,177.00	TAXIWAY	75.00	19.30	84.42
TW A4 (TAXIWAY A4)	1	260.00	50.00	16,927.00	TAXIWAY	93.00	0.00	93.00
TW A5 (TAXIWAY A5)	1	260.00	50.00	16,153.00	TAXIWAY	82.00	0.00	82.00
TW A6 (TAXIWAY A6)	5	2,455.00	30.00	71,914.00	TAXIWAY	76.00	20.85	77.40
TW A7 (TAXIWAY A7)	1	890.00	25.00	52,374.00	TAXIWAY	93.00	0.00	93.00
TW A8 (TAXIWAY A8)	1	300.00	50.00	25,759.00	TAXIWAY	27.00	0.00	27.00
TW A9 (TAXIWAY A9)	1	300.00	50.00	19,957.00	TAXIWAY	36.00	0.00	36.00

Date: 5 /13/2015

Branch Condition Report

Pavement Database: FDOT NetworkID: OCF

Branch ID	Number of Sections			True Area (SqFt)	Use	Average PCI	PCI Standard Deviation	Weighted Average PCI				
TW AP N (TAXIWAY TO NOR TH APRON)	1	1,140.00	30.00	33,921.00	TAXIWAY	81.00	0.00	81.00				
TW B (TAXIWAY B)	2	3,580.00	25.00	91,166.00	TAXIWAY	58.00	0.00	58.00				
TW CONN (CONNECTOR TAXIWAY, TW E AND RW 8-26)	1	720.00	25.00	15,806.00	TAXIWAY	100.00	0.00	100.00				
TW T-HANG (TAXIWAY TO T-HANGARS)	3	5,140.00	26.00	118,650.00	TAXIWAY	69.67	17.25	64.07				

Date: 5 /13/2015

Branch Condition Report

Pavement Database: FDOT

Use Category	Number of Sections	Total Area (SqFt)	Arithmetic Average PCI	Average PCI STD.	Weighted Average PCI
APRON	9	700,868.00	74.56	9.20	74.02
RUNWAY	7	1,270,500.00	95.29	2.49	95.50
TAXIWAY	28	1,003,332.00	73.43	22.92	62.49
All	44	2,974,700.00	77.14	20.37	79.31

Date: 5 /13/2015		Paveme		on Conc se: FDOT		n Re	-		1 of	1 of 3		
Branch ID	Section ID	Last Const. Date	Surface	Use	Rank	Lanes	True Area (SqFt)	Last Inspection Date	Age At Inspection	PCI		
AP CENTER (CENTRAL APRON)	4105	01/01/1991	AAC	APRON	Ρ	0	168,599.00	11/03/2014	23	69.00		
AP CENTER (CENTRAL APRON)	4110	01/01/1991	AAC	APRON	Р	0	83,395.00	11/03/2014	23	67.00		
AP CENTER (CENTRAL APRON)	4115	01/01/1991	AAC	APRON	Р	0	118,772.00	11/03/2014	23	70.00		
AP CENTER (CENTRAL APRON)	4120	01/01/1991	AAC	APRON	Р	0	95,753.00	11/03/2014	23	67.00		
AP CENTER (CENTRAL APRON)	4125	01/01/1983	AC	APRON	Р	0	30,574.00	11/03/2014	31	70.00		
AP CENTER (CENTRAL APRON)	4130	01/01/1991	AAC	APRON	Р	0	19,665.00	11/03/2014	23	77.00		
AP CENTER (CENTRAL APRON)	4135	07/01/2009	AC	APRON	Р	0	122,764.00	11/03/2014	5	95.00		
AP N (NORTH APRON)	4205	01/01/2000	AC	APRON	Р	0	19,584.00	11/03/2014	14	86.00		
AP N (NORTH APRON)	4210	01/01/2000	AC	APRON	Р	0	41,762.00	11/03/2014	14	70.00		
RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36)	6105	01/01/2009	AAC	RUNWAY	Р	0	373,275.00	11/03/2014	5	94.00		
RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36)	6110	01/01/2009	AAC	RUNWAY	Р	0	373,275.00	11/03/2014	5	95.00		
RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36)	6125	01/01/2009	AAC	RUNWAY	Р	0	94,500.00	11/03/2014	5	96.00		
RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36)	6135	01/01/2009	AAC	RUNWAY	Р	0	189,000.00	11/03/2014	5	97.00		
RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36)	6190	01/01/2008	AC	RUNWAY	Р	0	30,000.00	11/03/2014	6	92.00		
RW 18-36 (RUNWAY 18-36)	6195	01/01/2008	AC	RUNWAY	Р	0	60,000.00	11/03/2014	6	93.00		
RW 8-26 (RUNWAY 8-26)	6205	01/01/2013	AAC	RUNWAY	S	0	150,450.00	01/01/2013	0	100.00		
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	505	01/01/1977	AAC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	226,008.00	11/03/2014	37	45.00		
TW A (TAXIWAY A)	540	01/01/1988	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	124,047.00	11/03/2014	26	28.00		
TW A1 (TAXIWAY A1)	501	01/01/2007	AC	TAXIWAY	т	0	21,165.00	11/03/2014	7	82.00		
TW A1 (TAXIWAY A1)	590	01/01/2009	AAC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	19,687.00	11/03/2014	5	97.00		
TW A10 (TAXIWAY A10)	539	01/01/2008	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	9,840.00	11/03/2014	6	90.00		
TW A10 (TAXIWAY A10)	555	01/01/2008	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	34,000.00	11/03/2014	6	100.00		
TW A11 (TAXIWAY A11)	596	01/01/2008	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	60,866.00	11/03/2014	6	88.00		
TW A2 (TAXIWAY A2)	510	01/01/1985	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	12,915.00	11/03/2014	29	84.00		
TW A3 (TAXIWAY A3)	514	01/01/2009	AAC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	11,036.00	11/03/2014	5	85.00		
TW A3 (TAXIWAY A3)	515	01/01/1977	AAC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	3,791.00	11/03/2014	37	48.00		

Date: 5 /13/2015		Paveme		on Conc se: FDOT		1 Re kid: oc			2 of 3		
Branch ID	Section ID	Last Const. Date	Surface	Use	Rank	Lanes	True Area (SqFt)	Last Inspection Date	Age At Inspection	PCI	
TW A3 (TAXIWAY A3)	516	01/01/1977	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	17,350.00	11/03/2014	37	92.00	
TW A4 (TAXIWAY A4)	520	01/01/1977	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	16,927.00	11/03/2014	37	93.00	
TW A5 (TAXIWAY A5)	525	01/01/1977	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	16,153.00	11/03/2014	37	82.00	
TW A6 (TAXIWAY A6)	530	01/01/1977	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	14,829.00	11/03/2014	37	36.00	
TW A6 (TAXIWAY A6)	560	01/01/2000	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	13,073.00	11/03/2014	14	80.00	
TW A6 (TAXIWAY A6)	565	01/01/2000	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	21,849.00	11/03/2014	14	94.00	
TW A6 (TAXIWAY A6)	570	01/01/2000	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	6,990.00	11/03/2014	14	79.00	
TW A6 (TAXIWAY A6)	575	01/01/1940	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	15,173.00	11/03/2014	74	91.00	
TW A7 (TAXIWAY A7)	550	01/01/2000	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	52,374.00	11/03/2014	14	93.00	
TW A8 (TAXIWAY A8)	535	01/01/1988	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	25,759.00	11/03/2014	26	27.00	
TW A9 (TAXIWAY A9)	545	01/01/1988	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	19,957.00	11/03/2014	26	36.00	
TW AP N (TAXIWAY TO NORTH APRON)	595	01/01/2000	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	33,921.00	11/03/2014	14	81.00	
TW B (TAXIWAY B)	105	01/01/1985	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	84,332.00	11/03/2014	29	58.00	
TW B (TAXIWAY B)	106	01/01/1985	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	6,834.00	11/03/2014	29	58.00	
TW CONN (CONNECTOR TAXIWAY, TW E AND RW 8-26)	305	01/01/2013	AAC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	15,806.00	11/03/2014	1	100.00	
TW T-HANG (TAXIWAY TO T-HANGARS)	580	01/01/2000	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	18,904.00	11/03/2014	14	59.00	
TW T-HANG (TAXIWAY TO T-HANGARS)	585	01/01/2000	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	76,028.00	11/03/2014	14	56.00	
TW T-HANG (TAXIWAY TO T-HANGARS)	592	01/01/2009	AC	TAXIWAY	Ρ	0	23,718.00	11/03/2014	5	94.00	

Section Condition Report

Pavement Database: FDOT

Age Category	Average Age At Inspection	Total Area (SqFt)	Number of Sections	Arithmeti c Average PCI	PCI Standard Deviation	Weighted Average PCI
0-02	0.50	166,256.00	2	100.00	0.00	100.00
03-05	5.00	1,207,255.00	8	94.13	3.87	95.00
06-10	6.17	215,871.00	6	90.83	5.95	91.34
11-15	14.00	284,485.00	9	77.56	13.54	74.70
21-25	23.00	486,184.00	5	70.00	4.12	68.83
26-30	27.50	273,844.00	6	48.50	22.27	41.12
31-35	31.00	30,574.00	1	70.00	0.00	70.00
36-40	37.00	295,058.00	6	66.00	25.79	52.13
over 40	74.00	15,173.00	1	91.00	0.00	91.00
All	18.43	2,974,700.00	44	77.14	20.61	79.31

APPENDIX D

- PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE PREDICTION
- PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE BY PAVEMENT USE



Table D-1: Pavement Performance Prediction

Branch	Section	Current			Paver	ment P	Perform	nance	Mode	- PCI		
ID	ID	PCI	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
AP CENTER	4105	69	68	67	66	65	64	63	62	61	60	59
AP CENTER	4110	67	66	65	65	64	63	61	60	59	58	56
AP CENTER	4115	70	69	68	67	66	65	64	63	62	61	60
AP CENTER	4120	67	66	65	65	64	63	61	60	59	58	56
AP CENTER	4125	70	69	67	65	63	61	59	58	56	54	52
AP CENTER	4130	77	76	74	72	71	69	68	67	66	65	64
AP CENTER	4135	95	94	92	90	88	86	84	83	81	79	77
AP N	4205	86	85	83	81	79	77	75	74	72	70	68
AP N	4210	70	69	67	65	63	61	59	58	56	54	52
RW 18-36	6105	94	93	91	89	87	85	83	81	79	76	74
RW 18-36	6110	95	94	92	90	88	86	84	82	80	77	75
RW 18-36	6125	96	95	93	91	89	87	85	83	81	78	76
RW 18-36	6135	97	96	94	92	90	88	86	84	82	79	77
RW 18-36	6190	91	91	90	88	87	85	84	83	81	80	78
RW 18-36	6195	93	92	91	89	88	86	85	84	82	81	79
RW 8-26	6205	100	95	93	91	89	87	85	83	81	79	77
TW A	505	45	44	42	41	40	39	38	37	35	34	33
TW A	540	28	27	26	24	23	21	20	18	17	15	14
TW A1	501	82	81	80	78	77	75	74	72	71	69	68
TW A1	590	97	95	92	89	87	85	82	80	79	77	75
TW A10	539	90	89	88	86	85	83	82	80	79	77	76
TW A10	555	100	99	98	96	95	93	92	90	89	87	86
TW A11	596	88	87	86	84	83	81	80	78	77	75	74
TW A2	510	84	83	82	80	79	77	76	74	73	71	70
TW A3	514	85	84	82	80	78	76	75	73	72	70	69
TW A3	515	48	47	45	43	41	40	39	38	37	36	35
TW A3	516	92	90	88	86	83	81	80	78	76	74	73



Pavement Evaluation Report - Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field


Branch	Section	Current			Paver	ment P	Perform	nance	Mode	I - PCI		
ID	ID	PCI	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
TW A4	520	93	91	89	86	84	82	80	78	77	75	73
TW A5	525	82	81	79	77	76	74	72	71	70	68	67
TW A6	530	36	35	34	33	32	30	29	28	27	26	24
TW A6	560	80	79	78	76	75	73	72	70	69	67	66
TW A6	565	94	93	92	90	89	87	86	84	83	81	80
TW A6	570	79	78	77	75	74	72	71	69	68	66	65
TW A6	575	91	90	89	87	86	84	83	81	80	78	77
TW A7	550	93	92	91	89	88	86	85	83	82	80	79
TW A8	535	27	26	25	23	22	20	19	17	16	14	13
TW A9	545	36	35	34	32	31	29	28	26	25	23	22
TW AP N	595	81	80	79	77	76	74	73	71	70	68	67
TW B	105	58	57	56	54	53	51	50	48	47	45	44
TW B	106	58	57	56	54	53	51	50	48	47	45	44
TW CONN	305	100	98	94	91	89	86	84	82	80	78	76
TW T- HANG	580	59	58	57	55	54	52	51	49	48	46	45
TW T- HANG	585	56	55	54	52	51	49	48	46	45	43	42
TW T- HANG	592	94	93	92	90	89	87	86	84	83	81	80

Note: If new construction, then survey date = last construction date and PCI is set to 100 by MicroPAVER.

* Sections not surveyed due to reasons such as re-sectioning, no escort, not accessible at the time of survey. Please refer to Section 3 for discussion on the updates to the ASTM D 5640 that may affect PCI in comparison to previous program update.




Figure D-1: Pavement Performance by Pavement Use




(a) Runway

(b) Taxiway





(c) Apron



APPENDIX E

● YEAR-1 PREVENTATIVE ACTIVITIES



Pavement Evaluation Report - Ocala International/ Jim Taylor Field

	Iable E-1: Year-1 Preventative Activities											
Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost		Work Cost		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4105	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	4,687.10	Ft	\$2.75	\$	12,889.38		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4105	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	3,852.50	Ft	\$2.75	\$	10,594.33		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4105	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	438.40	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	241.10		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4105	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	6,474.20	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	3,560.84		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4105	WEATHERING	М	Surface Seal	41,180.30	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	22,649.36		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4110	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	13,840.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	7,612.08		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4110	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	9,146.80	Ft	\$2.75	\$	25,153.77		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4110	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	825.10	Ft	\$2.75	\$	2,268.96		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4115	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	13,493.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	7,421.55		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4115	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	13,662.30	Ft	\$2.75	\$	37,571.27		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4115	RAVELING	Н	Patching - AC Partial Depth	238.90	SqFt	\$3.00	\$	716.85		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4120	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	13,213.90	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	7,267.71		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4120	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	10,257.50	Ft	\$2.75	\$	28,208.21		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4120	RAVELING	Н	Patching - AC Partial Depth	71.80	SqFt	\$3.00	\$	215.44		
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4120	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	3,590.70	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	1,974.92		

Table E-1: Year-1 Preventative Activities



Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4125	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	10,758.40	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 5,917.14
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4125	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	1,972.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 5,423.08
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4130	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	1,924.10	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 5,291.26
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4135	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	333.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 915.79
CENTRAL APRON	AP CENTER	4135	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	643.50	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 353.90
NORTH APRON	AP N	4205	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	166.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 456.60
NORTH APRON	AP N	4205	OIL SPILLAGE	Ν	Surface Seal	207.10	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 113.90
NORTH APRON	AP N	4210	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	6,656.90	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 18,306.35
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6105	BLEEDING	N	Patching - AC Partial Depth	29.90	SqFt	\$3.00	\$ 89.59
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6105	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	686.80	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 1,888.77
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6105	WEATHERING	М	Surface Seal	74.70	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 41.06
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6110	BLEEDING	N	Patching - AC Partial Depth	73.00	SqFt	\$3.00	\$ 218.93
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6110	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	2,438.80	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 6,706.73
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6135	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	480.60	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 1,321.65
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6190	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	42.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 115.50
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6190	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	81.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 44.55



Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6195	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	68.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 187.00
RUNWAY 18-36	RW 18-36	6195	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	108.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 59.40
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	505	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	86,723.80	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 47,698.48
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	505	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	5,424.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 14,916.51
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	505	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	12,801.10	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 35,202.97
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	505	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	199,339.10	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 109,637.39
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	505	RAVELING	Н	Patching - AC Partial Depth	3,616.10	SqFt	\$3.00	\$ 10,848.37
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	505	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	23,052.80	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 12,679.15
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	540	ALLIGATOR CR	L	Patching - AC Full Depth	762.60	SqFt	\$5.00	\$ 3,813.03
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	540	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	17,041.90	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 9,373.13
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	540	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	17,485.50	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 48,084.94
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	540	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	1,535.60	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 4,222.97
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	540	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	7,936.50	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 4,365.09
TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	540	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	105,036.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 57,770.27
TAXIWAY A1	TW A	540	RAVELING	Н	Patching - AC Partial Depth	9,923.80	SqFt	\$3.00	\$ 29,771.25
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	501	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	457.50	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 1,258.06



Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Ň	Work Cost
TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	501	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	689.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	379.27
TAXIWAY A10	TW A10	539	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	786.50	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	432.60
TAXIWAY A11	TW A11	596	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	953.50	Ft	\$2.75	\$	2,622.24
TAXIWAY A12	TW A2	510	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	643.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$	1,768.71
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	514	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	22.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$	61.04
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	514	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	1,635.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	899.58
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	515	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	276.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$	759.00
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	515	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	334.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$	918.50
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	515	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	379.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	208.45
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	515	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	3,412.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	1,876.62
TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	516	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	385.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$	1,059.22
TAXIWAY A4	TW A4	520	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	304.70	Ft	\$2.75	\$	837.89
TAXIWAY A5	TW A5	525	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	1,072.60	Ft	\$2.75	\$	2,949.53
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	530	BLOCK CR	L	Surface Seal	9,628.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	5,295.80
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	530	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	580.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$	1,595.11
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	530	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	4,448.30	SqFt	\$0.55	\$	2,446.59



Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	530	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	10,380.70	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 5,709.43
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	560	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	367.20	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 1,009.69
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	570	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	380.90	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 1,047.53
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	570	RAVELING	Н	Patching - AC Partial Depth	61.40	SqFt	\$3.00	\$ 184.32
TAXIWAY A6	TW A6	575	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	145.70	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 80.11
TAXIWAY A7	TW A7	550	BLEEDING	N	Patching - AC Partial Depth	288.10	SqFt	\$3.00	\$ 864.17
TAXIWAY A7	TW A7	550	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	52.40	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 144.03
TAXIWAY A8	TW A8	535	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	896.40	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 2,465.13
TAXIWAY A8	TW A8	535	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	2,678.90	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 7,367.07
TAXIWAY A8	TW A8	535	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	7,727.70	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 4,250.27
TAXIWAY A8	TW A8	535	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	15,970.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 8,783.89
TAXIWAY A8	TW A8	535	RAVELING	Н	Patching - AC Partial Depth	2,060.70	SqFt	\$3.00	\$ 6,182.15
TAXIWAY A9	TW A9	545	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	1,844.30	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 5,071.72
TAXIWAY A9	TW A9	545	L & T CR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	143.10	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 393.50
TAXIWAY A9	TW A9	545	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	18,367.10	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 10,102.00
TAXIWAY A9	TW A9	545	RAVELING	Н	Patching - AC Partial Depth	1,589.90	SqFt	\$3.00	\$ 4,769.64



Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
Taxiway to North Apron	TW AP N	595	L & T CR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	2,392.80	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 6,580.30
TAXIWAY BRAVO	TW B	105	L&TCR	н	Crack Sealing - AC	25.30	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 69.57
TAXIWAY BRAVO	TW B	105	L&TCR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	9,293.40	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 25,556.79
TAXIWAY BRAVO	TW B	105	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	965.60	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 2,655.40
TAXIWAY BRAVO	TW B	105	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	84,332.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 46,382.99
TAXIWAY BRAVO	TW B	106	L&TCR	М	Crack Sealing - AC	131.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 360.25
TAXIWAY BRAVO	TW B	106	L & T CR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	467.00	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 1,284.25
TAXIWAY BRAVO	TW B	106	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	5,352.00	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 2,943.62
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T- HANG	580	L & T CR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	557.70	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 1,533.59
TAXIWAY TO T- HANGARS	TW T- HANG	580	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	907.40	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 499.07
TAXIWAY TO T- HANGARS	TW T- HANG	580	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	17,996.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 9,898.22
TAXIWAY TO T- HANGARS	TW T- HANG	580	SHOVING	L	Grinding (Localized)	72.40	Ft	\$2.10	\$ 152.04
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T- HANG	585	L & T CR	L	Crack Sealing - AC	3,510.30	Ft	\$2.75	\$ 9,653.37
TAXIWAY TO T- HANGARS	TW T- HANG	585	RAVELING	М	Surface Seal	10,506.60	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 5,778.70
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T- HANG	585	RAVELING	L	Surface Seal	62,341.20	SqFt	\$0.55	\$ 34,287.96
Taxiway to T- Hangars	TW T- HANG	585	RAVELING	Н	Patching - AC Partial Depth	111.20	SqFt	\$3.00	\$ 333.65




Branch Name	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Description	Distress Severity	Work Description	Work Quantity	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
Taxiway to t- Hangars	TW T- HANG	585	SHOVING	L	Grinding (Localized)	56.80	Ft	\$2.10	\$ 119.32
								Total =	\$ 836,062.47

APPENDIX F

AIRFIELD PAVEMENT 10-YEAR MAJOR REHABILITATION
 EXHIBIT

• AIRFIELD PAVEMENT 10-YEAR MAJOR REHABILITATION

TABLE



where the house						5. 13 (to 0 to 0 to 0	
DESIGNED:	KHA	DRAWN:	KHA	CHECKED:	KHA	DATE:	2015



Table F-1: Airfield Pavement 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Table

Year	Branch ID	Section ID	Major M&R Costs*	PCI Before M&R	M&R Activity	PCI After M&R
2015	TW A	505	\$ 4,766,509.00	44	Mill and Overlay	100
2015	TW A	540	\$ 2,853,081.00	27	Reconstruction	100
2015	TW A3	515	\$ 74,455.00	47	Mill and Overlay	100
2015	TW A6	530	\$ 341,067.00	35	Reconstruction	100
2015	TW A8	535	\$ 592,457.00	26	Reconstruction	100
2015	TW A9	545	\$ 459,011.00	35	Reconstruction	100
2015	TW B	105	\$ 1,517,976.00	57	Mill and Overlay	100
2015	TW B	106	\$ 123,012.00	57	Mill and Overlay	100
2015	TW T-HANG	580	\$ 340,272.00	58	Mill and Overlay	100
2015	TW T-HANG	585	\$ 1,368,504.00	55	Mill and Overlay	100
2017	AP CENTER	4110	\$ 1,592,528.00	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2017	AP CENTER	4120	\$ 1,828,519.00	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2018	AP CENTER	4125	\$ 601,363.00	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2018	AP N	4210	\$ 821,420.00	64	Mill and Overlay	100
2019	AP CENTER	4105	\$ 3,415,674.00	65	Mill and Overlay	100
2020	AP CENTER	4115	\$ 2,478,408.00	65	Mill and Overlay	100
		Total =	\$23,174,256.00			

* Costs are adjusted for inflation AT 3%

APPENDIX G

• PHOTOGRAPHS





Runway 18-36, Section 6105, Sample Unit 422 - Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 18-36, Section 6110, Sample Unit 176 - (42) Bleeding, Low Severity (57) Weathering





Runway 18-36, Section 6110, Sample Unit 564 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 18-36, Section 6125, Sample Unit 315 - Low Severity (57) Weathering





Taxiway Alpha, Section 540, Sample Unit 104 – Low Severity (43) Block Cracking, Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, High Severity (52) Raveling



Taxiway Alpha, Section 540, Sample Unit 112 – Low Severity (41) Alligator Cracking, Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling





Taxiway Alpha, Section 505, Sample Unit 137 – Low Severity (43) Block Cracking, Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Medium Severity (52) Raveling



Taxiway Bravo, Section 105, Sample Unit 102 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (56) Swelling





Taxiway A6, Section 560, Sample Unit 500 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (50) Patching, Low Severity (50) Patching, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway A6, Section 575, Sample Unit 601 - Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering





Center Apron, Section 4105, Sample Unit 303 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Medium Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Medium Severity (57) Weathering



Center Apron, Section 4110, Sample Unit 206 - Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking





Center Apron, Section 4115, Sample Unit 310 – Low Severity (43) Block Cracking, Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, High Severity (52) Raveling



Taxiway North Apron, Section 595, Sample Unit 301 - Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking





Taxiway T-Hangar, Section 585, Sample Unit 201 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, High Severity (52) Raveling

APPENDIX H

● DISTRESS DATA – RE-INSPECTION REPORT

	Re-msp	bection kepor	l			
FDOT						
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015						
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TAY	LOR FIELD				
Branch: AP CENTER Name: CENTRAL APRON		Use: AF	PRON	Area: 6	539,522.00SqFt	
Section: 4105 of 7 From: -	D + + G	То: -		7	Last Const.:	01/01/1991
Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-A		**** 1.1		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:168,599.00SqFtLength:560.00FtShoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00	Lanes:	Width: 300.00 0	Ft			
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 36 Sur	rveyed: 4					
Conditions: PCI: 69	-					
Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 100 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 72		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	ľ	4 71.00	Ft	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	108.00		Comments	:	
52 RAVELING		432.00	-	Comments		
57 WEATHERING	1	4,568.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 104 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 74		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	214.00	Ft	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	ľ	4 108.00	Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING	I	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 303 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 56		
50 PATCHING	I	G3.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
56 SWELLING	I	13.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	194.00	Ft	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	4 216.00		Comments	:	
52 RAVELING		4 52.00	-	Comments		
57 WEATHERING	1	4,885.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 501 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 73		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	ľ	62.00		Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	40.00		Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		4,664.00	-	Comments		
52 RAVELING	I	336.00	SqFt	Comments	:	

	IC-msp	cetton Report			
FDOT					
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015					
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TAYI	LOR FIELD			
Branch: AP CENTER Name: CENTRAL APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	639,522.00SqFt	
Section: 4110 of 7 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1991
Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-A			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 83,395.00SqFt Length: 300.00Ft		Width: 270.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0			
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 18 Sur	veyed: 3				
Conditions: PCI : 67					
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 206 Type: R	Area:	6,900.00SqFt	PCI = 72		
Sample Comments:					
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I		Comments		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	314.00 Ft	Comments	5:	
Sample Number: 307 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 66		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	454.00 Ft	Comments	s:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Ν		Comments	s:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I		Comments	s:	
57 WEATHERING	I	1,500.00 SqF	Tt Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 506 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	6,900.00SqFt	PCI = 62		
43 BLOCK CRACKING	I	3,120.00 SqH	Tt Comments	s:	
57 WEATHERING	I	-		5:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	276.00 Ft	Comments	s:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	I	211.00 Ft	Comments	s:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING					

	ne-mspe	cuon Report			
FDOT					
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015					
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	IAL/JIM TAYLO	R FIELD			
Branch: AP CENTER Name: CENTRAL APRON		Use: APRON	Area: 6	539,522.00SqFt	
Section: 4115 of 7 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1991
Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-A	P-AAC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 118,772.00SqFt Length: 400.00Ft	W	idth: 300.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 109 Type: R	Area:	6,900.00SqFt	PCI = 75		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	198.00 Ft	Comments		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	552.00 Ft	Comments		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	56.00 Ft	Comments		
Sample Number: 310 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 64		
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	1,920.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	174.00 Ft	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	\mathbf{L}	132.00 Ft	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING	Н	34.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 511 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 70		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	\mathbf{L}	400.00 Ft	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	\mathbf{L}	432.00 Ft	Comments	:	

	- I	cuon Report			
FDOT					
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015					
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TAYLO	OR FIELD			
Branch: AP CENTER Name: CENTRAL APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	639,522.00SqFt	
Section: 4120 of 7 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1991
Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-A	P-AAC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 95,753.00SqFt Length: 420.00Ft	W	/idth: 230.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 216 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Area:	4,100.00SqFt 246.00 Ft	PCI = 74 Comments		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	259.00 Ft	Comments	3:	
Sampla Number: 212 Tupe: D					
	Area:	6,900.00SqFt	PCI = 65		
Sample Comments:	Area:	6,900.00SqFt 164.00 Ft	PCI = 65 Comments	3:	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING			Comments		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	164.00 Ft 2,208.00 SqFt 171.00 Ft	Comments Comments Comments	s : s :	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L L	164.00 Ft 2,208.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments	s : s :	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 515 Type: R	L L L	164.00 Ft 2,208.00 SqFt 171.00 Ft	Comments Comments Comments	s : s :	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 515 Type: R Sample Comments:	L L L H	164.00 Ft 2,208.00 SqFt 171.00 Ft 12.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments Comments	s : ; : ; :	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 43 BLOCK CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 52 RAVELING	L L H Area:	164.00 Ft 2,208.00 SqFt 171.00 Ft 12.00 SqFt 5,000.00SqFt	Comments Comments Comments PCI = 64	s : s : s :	

	ne moper	cion nepore			
FDOT					
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015					
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TAYLOR	FIELD			
Branch: AP CENTER Name: CENTRAL APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	639,522.00SqFt	
Section: 4125 of 7 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1983
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-AF	P-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 30,574.00SqFt Length: 250.00Ft	Wie	ith: 120.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
51					
Section Comments:					
	veyed: 1				
Conditions: PCI: 70					
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 713 Type: R	Area:	6 002 008 aEt	PCI = 70		
Sample Number: 713 Type: R Sample Comments:	Alea.	6,093.00SqFt	$\Gamma C I = 70$		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	293.00 Ft	Comments	3:	
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	1,728.00 SqFt	Comments		
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	416.00 SqFt	Comments		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	100.00 Ft	Comments		
IO DONOTIODINAD/INANOVENDE CRACKING	ш	100.00 FC	Commence	- 0	

The inspection	i Report			
FDOT				
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015				
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATIONAL/JIM TAYLOR FIEL	D			
Branch: AP CENTER Name: CENTRAL APRON	Use: APRON	Area:	639,522.00SqFt	
Section: 4130 of 7 From: -	То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1991
Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-AP-AAC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 19,665.00SqFt Length: 95.62Ft Width:	200.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:				
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed: 1 Conditions: PCI: 77 Inspection Comments:				
Sample Number: 317 Type: R Area: 4,313 Sample Comments:	3.00SqFt	PCI = 77		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L	422.00 Ft	Comments	5:	

		peen	on Keport			
FDOT						
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015 Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATIO						
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATIO	JNAL/JIM IA	I LOR FIL	SLD.			
Branch: AP CENTER Name: CENTRAL APRON			Use: APRON	Area:	639,522.00SqFt	
Section: 4135 of 7 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR			То: -	Zone:	Last Const.:	07/01/2009 Rank: P
Area: 122,764.00SqFt Length: 1,600.00F		Width:	80.00Ft	Zone:	Category:	Kalik: P
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:		80.00Ft			
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 27 S Conditions: PCI: 95 Inspection Comments:	Surveyed: 5					
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,5	00.00SqFt	PCI = 94		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	47.00 Ft	Comments	3:	
57 WEATHERING		L	248.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
Sample Number: 109 Type: R	Area:	4,5	00.00SqFt	PCI = 97		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	12.00 Ft	Comments	5:	
Sample Number: 114 Type: R	Area:	4,5	00.00SqFt	PCI = 97		
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING		L	900.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
Sample Number: 119 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,5	00.00SqFt	PCI = 96		
57 WEATHERING		L	1,800.00 SqFt	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 202 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	3,7	50.00SqFt	PCI = 91		
52 RAVELING		L	114.00 SqFt	Comments	5:	
57 WEATHERING		L	1,500.00 SqFt	Comments	5 :	

Report Generated Date: May Network: OCF N	13, 2015 Name: OCALA INTE	RNATIONAL/JIM TA	YLOR FIELD)			
Branch: AP N N	Name: NORTH APRC	N		Use: APRON	Area:	61,346.00SqFt	
Section: 4205 of	f 2 From: -			То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2000
Surface: AC	Family: FDOT-SAP	MP-PR-AP-AC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 19,584.00SqFt	Length:	300.00Ft	Width:	200.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type).00 Lanes:	0				
Section Comments:							
Conditions: PCI : 86	Total Samples: 4	Surveyed: 1	l				
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Conditions: PCI: 86 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 504 Sample Comments:	Total Samples: 4 Type: R	Surveyed: 1 Area:		00SqFt	PCI = 86		
Conditions: PCI : 86 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 504 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		00SqFt 39.00 Ft	PCI = 86 Comments	:	
Conditions: PCI : 86 Inspection Comments:	Type: R	Area:	4,600.0 L				

FDOT		F				
Report Generated Date	e:May 13, 2015					
Network: OCF	Name: OCALA INTERNAT	IONAL/JIM TAYLOR	FIELD			
Branch: AP N	Name: NORTH APRON		Use: APRON	Area:	61,346.00SqFt	
Section: 4210	of 2 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2000
Surface: AC	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-P	R-AP-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 41,762.00SqF	t Length: 300.00)Ft Wid	th: 200.00Ft			
Shoulder: Stree	t Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/03	2014 Total Samples: 8	Surveyed: 1				
Conditions: PCI : 70						
Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number: 70	0 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 70		
Sample Comments:		ч т	400 00 E+	Commonte		
	L/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		400.00 Ft 397.00 Ft	Comments Comments		
TO TOUGTIODINA	LI/IIIANSVENSE CRACKING	л Ц	377.00 FC	COMMETTES	•	

FDOT Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015		·Pe						
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TA	YLO	R FIELD					
Branch: RW 18-36 Name: RUNWAY 18-36			Use: RUNWA	AY	Area:	1,120),050.00SqFt	
Section: 6105 of 6 From: - Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-R	W-AAC		То: -		Zone:		Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2009 Rank: P
Area: 373,275.00SqFt Length: 900.00Ft		W	idth: 75.00Ft					
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0						
Section Comments:								
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 100 Sur Conditions: PCI: 94 Inspection Comments:	rveyed: 2	20						
Sample Number: 325 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	Р	CI = 97			
57 WEATHERING		L	1,020.00 SqF	Ft	Commen	its:		
Sample Number: 329 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	Р	CI = 95			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	12.00 Ft		Commen	its:		
57 WEATHERING		L	400.00 SqF	Ft	Commen	its:		
Sample Number: 333 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	Р	CI = 69			
50 PATCHING		L	1,275.00 SqF		Commen			
<pre>48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING</pre>		L L	3.00 Ft 660.00 SqF		Commen Commen			
Sample Number: 336 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	Р	CI = 80			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	54.00 Ft		Commen	its:		
50 PATCHING		L	252.00 SqF	Ft	Commen	its:		
57 WEATHERING		L	1,000.00 SqF	Ft	Commen	its:		
Sample Number: 353 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	Р	CI = 94			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	2.00 Ft		Commen	its:		
57 WEATHERING		L	1,200.00 SqF	Ft	Commen	its:		
Sample Number: 358 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	Р	CI = 94			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	7.00 Ft		Commen	its:		
57 WEATHERING		L	850.00 SqF	Ft	Commen	its:		
Sample Number: 362 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	Р	CI = 93			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	8.00 Ft		Commen			
57 WEATHERING 42 BLEEDING		L N	1,100.00 SqF 6.00 SqF		Commen Commen			
Sample Number: 365 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	-	CI = 93			
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	16.00 Ft		Commen	its:		
57 WEATHERING		L	1,000.00 SqF		Commen			

FDOT Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015

Sample Number: 371 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 97
57 WEATHERING			L	750.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 377 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 93
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA	ANSVERSE CRACKING		L	20.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING			L	850.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 382	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 98
Sample Comments:					
57 WEATHERING			L	400.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 390	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 99
Sample Comments:	21			, <u>1</u>	
57 WEATHERING			L	300.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 395	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 97
Sample Comments:				1	
57 WEATHERING			L	700.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 399	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 98
Sample Comments:	Type. R	nicu.		5,750.005q1 t	101-90
57 WEATHERING			L	500.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 402	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 97
Sample Comments: 402	Турс. К	Alea.		5,750.005qFt	$\Gamma C \Gamma = 37$
57 WEATHERING			L	400.00 SqFt	Comments:
57 WEATHERING			М	15.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 408	Tupe: D	A		2 750 005 - Et	PCI = 95
Sample Number: 408 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	101-35
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA	ANSVERSE CRACKING		L	11.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING			L	300.00 SqFt	Comments:
	_				
Sample Number: 413 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 99
57 WEATHERING			L	200.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 415 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 99
57 WEATHERING			L	200.00 SqFt	Comments:
	—				DCL 00
Sample Number: 418	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 99
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING			L	100.00 SqFt	Comments:
Sample Number: 422 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 93
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRA	ANSVERSE CRACKING		L	5.00 Ft	Comments:
57 WEATHERING			L	1,750.00 SqFt	Comments:

	Re-ins	spe	ction Report			
FDOT Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015						
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TA	YLO	R FIELD			
Branch: RW 18-36 Name: RUNWAY 18-36			Use: RUNWAY	Area: 1,120	0,050.00SqFt	
Section: 6110 of 6 From: - Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-R	W-AAC		To: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2009 Rank: P
Area: 373,275.00SqFt Length: 1,000.00Ft		W	idth: 37.50Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 100 Sur Conditions: PCI: 95 Inspection Comments:	rveyed:	21				
Sample Number: 130 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 99		
57 WEATHERING		L	100.00 SqFt	Comments:		
Sample Number: 138 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 93		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING		L L	62.00 Ft 100.00 SqFt	Comments: Comments:		
		Ц	100.00 Sqrt			
Sample Number: 150 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 99		
57 WEATHERING		L	100.00 SqFt	Comments:		
Sample Number: 158 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 94		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING		L L	35.00 Ft 200.00 SqFt	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 168 Type: R	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 95		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	13.00 Ft	Comments:		
57 WEATHERING		L	100.00 SqFt	Comments:		
Sample Number: 176 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 92		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	5.00 Ft	Comments:		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	38.00 Ft	Comments:		
57 WEATHERING 42 BLEEDING		L N	300.00 SqFt 7.00 SqFt	Comments: Comments:		
12 DIFFOING		IN	7.00 Sqrc	connencs.		
Sample Number: 184 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 99		
57 WEATHERING		L	100.00 SqFt	Comments:		
42 BLEEDING		Ν	3.00 SqFt	Comments:		
Sample Number: 198 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 95		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING		L L	29.00 Ft 100.00 SqFt	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 210 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		3,750.00SqFt	PCI = 96		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	12.00 Ft	Comments:		

FDOT				Re-Ins	spe	ction Repor	T		
FDOT Report Generated	Date: Ma	iv 13. 2015							
57 WEATHERII		., 10, 2010			L	50.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: Sample Comments:	218	Type: R		Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 99	
57 WEATHERII	NG				L	100.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number:	534	Type: R		Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 76	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUD	τΝΙΛΤ. / Τ	DANGUEDGE (PACKINC		L	30.00	F+	Comments:	
50 PATCHING	LINALI / I	KANSVERSE (RACKING		L	646.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERII	NG				L	83.00	-	Comments:	
Sample Number:	540	Type: R		Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 93	
Sample Comments:	TNT N T / CT				-	F2 00	D +	0	
<pre>48 LONGITUD: 57 WEATHERII</pre>		RANSVERSE (CRACKING		L L	52.00 100.00		Comments: Comments:	
Sample Number:	546	Type: R		Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 95	
Sample Comments:						•			
48 LONGITUD		RANSVERSE (CRACKING		L	28.00		Comments:	
57 WEATHERII	NG				L	100.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: Sample Comments:	556	Type: R		Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 92	
48 LONGITUD	INAL/T	RANSVERSE (CRACKING		L	36.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERII	NG				L	800.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: Sample Comments:	564	Type: R		Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 89	
48 LONGITUD	INAL/T	RANSVERSE (CRACKING		L	104.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERII					L	200.00		Comments:	
42 BLEEDING					Ν	4.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: Sample Comments:	572	Туре: А		Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 92	
48 LONGITUD	INAL/T	RANSVERSE (CRACKING		L	64.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERII					L	200.00	SqFt	Comments:	
42 BLEEDING					Ν	4.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: Sample Comments:	580	Type: R		Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 95	
48 LONGITUD	INAL/T	RANSVERSE (CRACKING		L	22.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERII					L	100.00		Comments:	
Sample Number:	594	Type: R		Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 99	
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERII	NG				L	200.00	SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number:	600	Type: R		Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 94	
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUD	TNAT./T	RANSVERSE (RACKING		L	16.00	Ft	Comments:	
57 WEATHERII			CITICICITING		L	348.00		Comments:	
Sample Number:	606	Type: R		Area:		3,750.00SqFt		PCI = 99	
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERII	NG				L	200.00	SqFt	Comments:	
	<u></u>					2 550 000 E		DCI 00	

Sample Number: 614 Sample Comments:

Type: R

3,750.00SqFt

Area:

PCI = 99

FDOT Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015 57 WEATHERING

L

100.00 SqFt

Comments:

FDOT		KC-III5	pection Report		
FDOT Report Generated Date: N	Jay 13, 2015				
Network: OCF	Name: OCALA INTERNA	ATIONAL/JIM TAY	LOR FIELD		
Branch: RW 18-36	Name: RUNWAY 18-36		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 1,120	0,050.00SqFt
Section: 6125 Surface: AAC	of 6 From: - Family: FDOT-SAPMP	-PR-RW-AAC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/2009 Category: Rank: P
Area: 94,500.00SqFt Shoulder: Street T	Length: 2,640 ype: Grade: 0.00		Width: 50.00Ft 0		
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/20 Conditions: PCI: 96 Inspection Comments:	14 Total Samples: 19	Surveyed: 5			
Sample Number: 309	Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 95	
Sample Comments: 57 WEATHERING			L 2,300.00 SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 312 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 95	
57 WEATHERING			L 2,200.00 SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 315 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 96	
57 WEATHERING			L 1,600.00 SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 319 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 96	
57 WEATHERING			L 2,000.00 SqFt	Comments:	
Sample Number: 323 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 96	
57 WEATHERING			L 1,600.00 SqFt	Comments:	

FDOT Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015		° r •	••••• • ••• • •••			
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	IAL/JIM TA	YLO	R FIELD			
Branch: RW 18-36 Name: RUNWAY 18-36			Use: RUNWAY	Area: 1,	120,050.00SqFt	
Section: 6135 of 6 From: - Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-R	W-AAC		То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2009 Rank: P
Area:189,000.00SqFtLength:3,000.00FtShoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00	Lanes:		idth: 25.00Ft			
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 38 Su Conditions: PCI: 97 Inspection Comments:	rveyed:	7				
Sample Number: 106 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 94		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	50.00 Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		L	74.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 115 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 96		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING		L L	11.00 Ft 300.00 SqFt	Comments Comments		
Sample Number: 117 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 97		
57 WEATHERING		L	100.00 SqFt	Comments		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	3.00 Ft	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 123 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 99		
57 WEATHERING		L	200.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 507 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 96		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	9.00 Ft	Comments		
57 WEATHERING		L	200.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 515 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 99		
57 WEATHERING		L	100.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 517 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:		5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 95		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	16.00 Ft	Comments		
57 WEATHERING		L	200.00 SqFt	Comments	:	

	Re-inspection	1			
FDOT					
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015					
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TAYLOR FIE	ELD			
Branch: RW 18-36 Name: RUNWAY 18-36		Use: RUNWAY	Area: 1,	120,050.00SqFt	
Section: 6190 of 6 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-R	W-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2008 Rank: P
Area: 30,000.00SqFt Length: 595.00Ft	Width:	150.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
	veyed: 2				
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 6 Sur Conditions: PCI : 92 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 302 Type: R	- 	00.00SqFt	PCI = 89		
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 6 Sur Conditions: PCI : 92 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 302 Type: R Sample Comments:		00.00SqFt 10.00 Ft	PCI = 89 Comments	:	
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 6 Sur Conditions: PCI: 92 Inspection Comments:	Area: 5,0 L	-			
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 6 Sur Conditions: PCI: 92 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 302 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING	Area: 5,0 L	10.00 Ft	Comments	:	
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 6 Sur Conditions: PCI: 92 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 302 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING Sample Number: 305 Type: R	Area: 5,0 L L L	10.00 Ft 4,973.00 SqFt	Comments Comments	:	
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 6 Sur Conditions: PCI: 92 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 302 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 57 WEATHERING 52 RAVELING	Area: 5,0 L L L	10.00 Ft 4,973.00 SqFt 27.00 SqFt	Comments Comments Comments	:	

	Ke-msj	pection	i Keport				
FDOT							
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015							
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	NAL/JIM TAY	'LOR FIELI)				
Branch: RW 18-36 Name: RUNWAY 18-36			Use: RUN	IWAY	Area: 1,	120,050.00SqFt	
Section: 6195 of 6 From: -			То: -			Last Const.:	01/01/2008
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-F	RW-AC				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 60,000.00SqFt Length: 595.00Ft		Width:	150.00Ft	t			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0					
Section Comments:							
Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000	00SqFt		PCI = 89		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	10.00 H	Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		ь 4	973.00 \$	SqFt	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING		L	27.00 \$	SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 503 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000	00SqFt		PCI = 91		
57 WEATHERING		ь 5	000.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	7.00 H		Comments	:	
Sample Number: 505 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000	00SqFt		PCI = 98		
57 WEATHERING		L	600.00 \$	SqFt	Comments	:	

Re-inspection	Report
----------------------	--------

EDOT			Re-in	spe	ction Report	t			
FDOT Report Generated I	Date: May 1	.3, 2015							
Network: OCF	Na	me: OCALA INT	ERNATIONAL/JIM TA	YLO	R FIELD				
Branch: RW 8-2	6 Na	me: RUNWAY 8-	26		Use: RUI	NWAY	Area: 15	0,450.00SqFt	
Section: 6205 Surface: AAC	of	1 From: Family: FDOT-SA			То: -		Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2013 Rank: S
Area: 150,450.00			3,010.00Ft		idth: 50.00F	ŕt	2010.	Cutogory.	
Section Comments:									
NOTE: *** Pre- Last Insp. Date: 03, Conditions: PCI: 4 Inspection Comments:	/09/2011 T 49		0 Surveyed:	5					
Sample Number: Sample Comments:	102	Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 53		
48 L & T CR 56 SWELLING 52 RAVELING 48 L & T CR 52 RAVELING				M L L M	143.00 75.00 4,400.00 283.00 600.00	SqFt SqFt Ft	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: Sample Comments:	104	Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 52		
56 SWELLING 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING 48 L & T CR 48 L & T CR				L M L L M	60.00 600.00 4,400.00 251.00 168.00	SqFt SqFt Ft	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number:	112	Type: R	Area:		5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 50		
Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING 48 L & T CR 48 L & T CR 52 RAVELING 48 L & T CR				M M L H	700.00 175.00 203.00 4,300.00 13.00	Ft Ft SqFt	Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING 48 L & T CR 48 L & T CR 48 L & T CR	116	Type: R	Area:	L M H L	5,000.00SqFt 4,100.00 900.00 80.00 63.00 257.00	SqFt Ft Ft	PCI = 46 Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING 48 L & T CR 52 RAVELING 48 L & T CR 50 PATCHING 48 L & T CR 56 SWELLING	120	Type: R	Area:	L H M H L L	5,000.00SqFt 3,600.00 13.00 1,400.00 189.00 0.25 104.00 1.00	Ft SqFt Ft SqFt Ft	PCI = 40 Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments: Comments:		

FDOT Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015

Sample Number:	128	Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 52
Sample Comments:						
52 RAVELING			М	200.00	SqFt	Comments:
48 L & T CR			М	288.00	Ft	Comments:
48 L & T CR			L	133.00	Ft	Comments:
48 L & T CR			H	13.00	Ft	Comments:
52 RAVELING			L	4,800.00	SqFt	Comments:

FDOT	Ke-mspe	cuon Report			
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015 Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TAYLO	R FIELD			
Branch: TW A Name: TAXIWAY A		Use: TAXIWAY	Area: 3	50,055.00SqFt	
Section 505 of a Farm		То: -			01/01/107
Section:505of2From: -Surface:AACFamily:FDOT-SAPMP-PR-T			Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/197 Rank: P
Area:226,008.00SqFtLength:4,623.00FtShoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00	W Lanes: 0	idth: 50.00Ft			
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 45 Sur Conditions: PCI: 45 Inspection Comments:	rveyed: 5				
Sample Number: 127 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 30		
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	1,300.00 SqFt	Comments:	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	324.00 Ft	Comments:	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	200.00 Ft	Comments:	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	100.00 Ft	Comments:		
52 RAVELING	H	400.00 SqFt	Comments:		
52 RAVELING	L	4,600.00 SqFt	Comments:		
Sample Number: 137 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 52		
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	2,500.00 SqFt	Comments:	:	
52 RAVELING	М	350.00 SqFt	Comments:	:	
52 RAVELING	L	4,650.00 SqFt	Comments:		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	167.00 Ft	Comments:		
Sample Number: 147 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 42		
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	325.00 SqFt	Comments:	:	
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	468.00 SqFt	Comments:	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	176.00 Ft	Comments:		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	200.00 Ft	Comments:		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L -	100.00 Ft	Comments:		
52 RAVELING 52 RAVELING	L M	4,000.00 SqFt 1,000.00 SqFt	Comments: Comments:		
Sample Number: 158 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 47		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	М	200.00 Ft	Comments:	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	549.00 Ft	Comments:		
52 RAVELING	М	1,000.00 SqFt	Comments:	:	
52 RAVELING	L	4,000.00 SqFt	Comments:	:	
Sample Number: 167 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 53		
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L	5,000.00 SqFt	Comments:		
52 RAVELING	L	4,800.00 SqFt	Comments:		
52 RAVELING	М	200.00 SqFt	Comments:	:	

FDOT	ne msp				
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015 Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	ΔΙ /ΠΜ ΤΔΥΙ	OR EIELD			
	AL/JIM TATL				
Branch: TW A Name: TAXIWAY A		Use: TAXIW	VAY Area:	350,055.00SqFt	
Section: 540 of 2 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TY	N AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.:	01/01/1988 Rank: P
•		X7.1/1	Zone.	Category:	Kalik. P
Area: 124,047.00SqFt Length: 2,400.00Ft	_	Width: 50.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 25 Sur	veyed: 4				
Conditions: PCI : 28	•				
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 104 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 26		
Sample Comments:	Theu.	5,000.00541 t	101 20		
52 RAVELING	Н	400.00 Sq	Ft Comments	s:	
52 RAVELING	L	4,094.00 Sq	[Ft Comment:	s:	
52 RAVELING	М	500.00 Sq	Ft Comments	s:	
50 PATCHING	L	-		5:	
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L			5:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	M				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	177.00 Ft	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 112 Type: R	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 25		
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	216.00 Ft	Comments	- ·	
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L				
41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L				
52 RAVELING	H				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	M				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L				
52 RAVELING	М				
52 RAVELING	L	4,100.00 Sq	Ft Comment:	5:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	362.00 Ft	Comments	3:	
Sample Number: 114 Type: A	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 26		
Sample Comments: 41 ALLIGATOR CRACKING	L	100.00 Sq	Ft Comment:	a :	
52 RAVELING	H				
52 RAVELING	L				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	M				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L				
43 BLOCK CRACKING	L				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L				
Sample Number: 122 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 35		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	324.00 Ft	Comment	5:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	M				
52 RAVELING	Н				
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L				
40 LONGITODINAL/IKANSVERSE CRACKING		J00.00 PC	commerce,		

FDOT	te inspection	ricport			
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015					
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATIONAL	L/JIM TAYLOR FIEL	D			
Branch: TW A1 Name: TAXIWAY A1		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	40,852.00SqFt	
Section: 501 of 2 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2007
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TW-	AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: T
Area: 21,165.00SqFt Length: 200.00Ft	Width:	125.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments: Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 4 Surve Conditions: PCI : 82 Inspection Comments:	eyed: 1				
Sample Number: 201 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area: 6,292	.00SqFt I	PCI = 82		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	136.00 Ft	Comments:		
52 RAVELING	L		Comments:		
52 RAVELING	Ш	205.00 SqFt	Commence		

FDOT Report Ge	enerated Date: N	Лау 13, 2015	1		
Network:	OCF	Name: OCALA INTERNATIONAL/JIM TAYLOR FIELD	D		
Branch:	TW A1	Name: TAXIWAY A1	Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	40,852.00SqFt
Section: Surface:	590 AAC	of 2 From: - Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TW-AAC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/2009 Category: Rank: P
Area: Shoulder: Section Cor	19,687.00SqFt Street T	Length: 380.00Ft Width: ype: Grade: 0.00 Lanes: 0	50.00Ft		
Last Insp.	Date: 11/03/20 s: PCI:97	14 Total Samples: 4 Surveyed: 1			
Sample Nu Sample Cor 57 WEA		Type: R Area: 4,000	.00SqFt 800.00 SqFt	PCI = 97 Comments:	

Network:	OCF	Name: O	CALA INTERNAT	IONAL/JIM TA	YLOR FIEL	D			
Branch:	TW A10	Name: T	AXIWAY A10			Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	43,840.00SqFt	
Section:	539	of 2	From: -			То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2008
Surface:	AC	Family:	FDOT-SAPMP-P	R-TW-AC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	9,840.00SqFt	Len	gth: 135.00)Ft	Width:	70.00Ft			
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0				
-	Date: 11/03/20 :: PCI : 90 Comments:)14 Total San	nples: 2	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions Inspection C Sample Nu	: PCI : 90 Comments: umber: 100	14 Total San		Surveyed: Area:		.00SqFt	PCI = 90		
Conditions Inspection C Sample Nu Sample Con	: PCI : 90 Comments: umber: 100 nments:					.00SqFt 200.00 SqFt	PCI = 90 Comments	:	
Conditions Inspection C Sample Nu Sample Con 52 RAVI	: PCI : 90 Comments: umber: 100 nments:				4,754				

FDOT

FDOT					
Report Generated Date: N	May 13, 2015				
Network: OCF	Name: OCALA INTERNATIONAL/JI	M TAYLOR FIELD			
Branch: TW A10	Name: TAXIWAY A10	Use: TAXIWAY	Y Area:	43,840.00SqFt	
Section: 555 Surface: AC	of 2 From: - Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TW-AC	To: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2008 Rank: P
Area: 34,000.00SqFt Shoulder: Street T Section Comments:	Length: 850.00Ft Type: Grade: 0.00 La	Width: 40.00Ft anes: 0			
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/20 Conditions: PCI : 100 Inspection Comments:	014 Total Samples: 8 Surveyed	l: 1			
Sample Number: 102 Sample Comments: <no distresses=""></no>	Type: R A	rea: 4,000.00SqFt	PCI = 100		

FDOT	IXC-1115	pection	Keport			
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015						
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	IAL/JIM TAY	LOR FIELD)			
Branch: TW A11 Name: TAXIWAY A11			Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	60,866.00SqFt	
Section: 596 of 1 From: -			То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2008
Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-T	W-AC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 60,866.00SqFt Length: 820.00Ft		Width:	80.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 16 Sur	rveyed: 3					
Conditions: PCI: 88						
Inspection Comments:						
				DOL 00		
Sample Number: 101 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,217.0	00SqFt	PCI = 89		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	43.00 Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING			217.00 SqFt	Comments		
Sample Number: 109 Type: R	Area:	2 5 4 9	00SqFt	PCI = 90		
Sample Number: 109 Type: R Sample Comments:	Alea.	5,546.	JUSYFI	$\Gamma CI = 90$		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	18.00 Ft	Comments	:	
57 WEATHERING		ь з,	548.00 SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 114 Type: R	Area:	3,214.	00SqFt	PCI = 84		
Sample Comments:		_	111 00 -	-		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING		L	111.00 Ft	Comments		
57 WEATHERING		ь З,	214.00 SqFt	Comments	•	

FDOT					specie	in neport				
Report Ge	enerated Date: N	fay 13, 20	015							
Network:	OCF	Name:	OCALA INTERNAT	IONAL/JIM TA	AYLOR FIE	LD				
Branch:	TW A2	Name:	TAXIWAY A2			Use: TAX	IWAY	Area:	12,915.00SqFt	
Section:	510	of 1	From: -			То: -			Last Const.:	01/01/1985
Surface:	AC	Fami	ly: FDOT-SAPMP-P	R-TW-AC				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	12,915.00SqFt	L	ength: 300.00)Ft	Width:	35.00Ft				
Shoulder:	Street T	ype:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes	: 0					
	Date: 11/03/20 s: PCI: 84	14 Total S	Samples: 3	Surveyed:	1					
Sample Nu Sample Cor		Ty	ype: R	Area:	5,00	0.00SqFt	PC	= 84		

FDOT Report Generated Date:	May 13, 2015	I				
Network: OCF		RNATIONAL/JIM TAYLO	RFIELD			
Branch: TW A3	Name: TAXIWAY A		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	32,177.00SqFt	
Section: 514 Surface: AAC	of 3 From: - Family: FDOT-SAI	PMP-PR-TW-AAC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2009 Rank: P
Area: 11,036.00SqFt Shoulder: Street Section Comments:	0	200.00Ft Wi 0.00 Lanes: 0	dth: 50.00Ft			
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2 Conditions: PCI : 85 Inspection Comments:	2014 Total Samples: 2	Surveyed: 1				
Sample Number: 300 Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING	Type: R	Area:	6,464.00SqFt 840.00 SqFt	PCI = 85 Comments		

FDOT Report Ge	enerated Date: N	May 13, 2015	Ke inspect				
Network:		Name: OCALA INTERNATIO	NAL/JIM TAYLOR F	TELD			
Branch:	TW A3	Name: TAXIWAY A3		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	32,177.00SqFt	
Section: Surface:	515 AAC	of 3 From: - Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-	ГW-AAC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1977 Rank: P
Area: Shoulder: Section Con	3,791.00SqFt Street T	Length: 200.00Fo ype: Grade: 0.00	Widtl Lanes: 0	n: 50.00Ft			
Conditions Inspection C	s: PCI : 48 Comments:		urveyed: 1		DCI 49		
	nments: GITUDINAL/ GITUDINAL/ ELING	Type: R TRANSVERSE CRACKING TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Area: 3 L M L M	,791.00SqFt 334.00 Ft 276.00 Ft 3,412.00 SqFt 379.00 SqFt	PCI = 48 Comments Comments Comments	:	

FDOT Report Ge Network:	enerated Date: M		5 CALA INTEI			VI OD EU	71 D				
ACTWOIK.	UCF	Inallie. U	CALA INTER	XINA HOINA	L/JIM IA	I LUK FI					
Branch:	TW A3	Name: T.	AXIWAY A3				Use: TA	AXIWAY	Area:	32,177.00SqFt	
Section:	516	of 3	From: -				To:	-		Last Const.:	01/01/1977
Surface:	AAC	Family:	FDOT-SAP	MP-PR-TW	AAC				Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	17,350.00SqFt	Len	gth:	260.00Ft		Width:	50.00)Ft			
Shoulder:	Street T	vpe:	Grade: ().00	Lanes:	0					
•	Date: 11/03/20 s: PCI: 92	14 Total San	nples: 3	Surv	eyed: 1	l					
~	umber: 351	Туре	: R		Area:	5,0	000.00SqFt		PCI = 92		
Sample Nu Sample Con		51									

FDOT			ne mspeee				
-	nerated Date: N	fay 13, 2015					
Network:	OCF	Name: OCALA INTERNATI	ONAL/JIM TAYLOR FI	ELD			
Branch:	TW A4	Name: TAXIWAY A4		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	16,927.00SqFt	
Section:	520	of 1 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1977
Surface:	AAC	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PF	-TW-AAC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	16,927.00SqFt	Length: 260.00	rt Width	: 50.00Ft			
Shoulder:	Street T	ype: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
-	Date: 11/03/20 s: PCI: 93	14 Total Samples: 3	Surveyed: 1				
Sample Nu		Type: R	Area: 5,	000.00SqFt	PCI = 93		
Sample Con							
Sample Con 48 LON		TRANSVERSE CRACKING	\mathbf{L}	90.00 Ft	Comments	:	

FDOT Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015	in report		
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATIONAL/JIM TAYLOR FIE	LD		
Branch: TW A5 Name: TAXIWAY A5	Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	16,153.00SqFt
Section: 525 of 1 From: - Surface: AAC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TW-AAC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/1977 Category: Rank: P
Area:16,153.00SqFtLength:260.00FtWidth:Shoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00Lanes:0	50.00Ft		
Section Comments:			
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed: 1 Conditions: PCI: 82 Inspection Comments:			
Sample Number: 501 Type: R Area: 5,00 Sample Comments: 5 5 5 5	00.00SqFt	PCI = 82	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING L	332.00 Ft	Comments	:

Use: TAXIWAY Area: To: - Zone: 50.00Ft	71,914.00SqFt Last Const.: 01/01/1977 Category: Rank: P
To: - Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/1977
Zone:	
50.00Ft	
qFt PCI = 36	
00.00 SqFt Comme 24.00 SqFt Comme 00.00 Ft Comme	ents: ents:
)	0.00 SqFt Comme 4.00 SqFt Comme

	ite-mspec	спон кероге		
FDOT Penert Congreted Date: May 12, 2015				
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015 Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATIONA	AL/JIM TAYLOR	FIELD		
Branch: TW A6 Name: TAXIWAY A6		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	71,914.00SqFt
Section: 560 of 5 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TW	/-AC	To: -	Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/200 Category: Rank: P
Area:13,073.00SqFtLength:550.00FtShoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00	Wio Lanes: 0	dth: 25.00Ft		
Section Comments:				
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 3 Surv Conditions: PCI: 80 Inspection Comments:	veyed: 1			
Sample Number: 500 Type: R	Area:	5,056.00SqFt	PCI = 80	
Sample Comments: 50 PATCHING	L	15.00 SqFt	Comments	:
50 PATCHING	 L	24.00 SqFt	Comments	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	142.00 Ft	Comments	:
50 PATCHING	L	128.00 SqFt	Comments	:
57 WEATHERING	\mathbf{L}	3,034.00 SqFt	Comments	:

Use: TAXIWAY Area: 71,914.00SqFt	
Zone: Category:	Rank: P
25.00Ft	
SqFt $PCI = 94$	
S	To: - Last Cons Zone: Category: 25.00Ft

FDOT									
		12 2015							
	nerated Date: M	1ay 13, 2015							
Network:	OCF	Name: OCALA	INTERNATION	AL/JIM TAY	LOR FIELD				
Branch:	TW A6	Name: TAXIW	AY A6			Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	71,914.00SqFt	
Section:	570	of 5 Fro	om: -			То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2000
Surface:	AC	Family: FDO	T-SAPMP-PR-TW	/-AC			Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	6,990.00SqFt	Length:	400.00Ft		Width:	25.00Ft			
Shoulder:	Street T	ype: Gra	ide: 0.00	Lanes:	0				
Section Con	nments:								
-	: PCI : 79	14 Total Samples:	3 Sur	veyed: 1					
Conditions	Comments:	14 Total Samples: Type: R	3 Sur	veyed: 1 Area:	3,982.0	0SqFt	PCI = 79		

FDOT			Re inspectio				
Report Gei	nerated Date:]	May 13, 2015					
Network:	OCF	Name: OCALA INTERNATIO	DNAL/JIM TAYLOR FIEL	.D			
Branch:	TW A6	Name: TAXIWAY A6		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	71,914.00SqFt	
Section:	575	of 5 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/1940
Surface:	AC	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR	TW-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	15,173.00SqFt	Length: 415.00H	t Width:	25.00Ft			
Shoulder:	Street 7	Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Corr	iments:						
	intents.						
Last Insp. I	Date: 11/03/20	014 Total Samples: 5	urveyed: 1				
Conditions							
Inspection C	Comments:						
Sample Nu	mber: 601	Type: R	Area: 2,500	0.00SqFt	PCI = 91		
Sample Con		2 I		1			
	THERING		L 2	,476.00 SqFt	Comments		
52 RAVE	ELING		L	24.00 SqFt	Comments	:	

FDOT Report Generated Da	ate: May 13, 201	.5	-	L	перыт				
Network: OCF	Name:	OCALA INTERNATIO	NAL/JIM TAY	LOR FIELD)				
Branch: TW A7	Name:	TAXIWAY A7			Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	52,3	374.00SqFt	
Section: 550	of 1	From: -			То: -			Last Const.:	01/01/2000
Surface: AC	Family	: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-7	TW-AC			Zone:		Category:	Rank: P
Area: 52,374.00S	GqFt Le	ngth: 890.00Ft		Width:	25.00Ft				
Shoulder: Str	reet Type:	Grade: 0.00	Lanes:	0					
Last Insp. Date: 11/0)3/2014 Total Sa	mples: 13 Su	urveyed: 2						
Conditions: PCI: 93		mples: 13 Su	urveyed: 2						
Conditions: PCI : 93 Inspection Comments: Sample Number:	3	mples: 13 Su be: R	arveyed: 2 Area:	4,000.0	00SqFt	PCI = 97			
Conditions: PCI : 93 Inspection Comments: Sample Number:	3 102 Typ	e: R	Area:	4,000.0 L	00SqFt 8.00 Ft	PCI = 97 Commen	nts:		
Conditions: PCI : 93 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDIN	3 102 Typ NAL/TRANSVE	e: R	Area:		-	Comme			
Conditions: PCI : 93 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDIN 57 WEATHERING Sample Number:	3 102 Typ NAL/TRANSVE	e: R	Area:	L	8.00 Ft	Comme			
Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDIN 57 WEATHERING	3 102 Typ NAL/TRANSVE	e: R RSE CRACKING	Area:	L	8.00 Ft 17.00 SqFt	Commer Commer PCI = 89	nts:		

FDOT	ne mspe	cum report		
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015				
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TAYLO	R FIELD		
Branch: TW A8 Name: TAXIWAY A8		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	25,759.00SqFt
Section: 535 of 1 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TV	V-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/1988 Category: Rank: P
Area:25,759.00SqFtLength:300.00FtShoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00Section Comments:	W Lanes: 0	idth: 50.00Ft		
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 5 Sur Conditions: PCI: 27 Inspection Comments:	veyed: 1			
Sample Number: 801 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt	PCI = 27	
52 RAVELING	Н	400.00 SqFt	Comments	:
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	M	174.00 Ft	Comments	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	520.00 Ft	Comments	:
52 RAVELING	\mathbf{L}	3,100.00 SqFt	Comments	:
52 RAVELING	M	1,500.00 SqFt	Comments	:

FDOT Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015	ite mspe			
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TAYLOI	R FIELD		
Branch: TW A9 Name: TAXIWAY A9		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	19,957.00SqFt
Section: 545 of 1 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TV	W-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: 01/01/1988 Category: Rank: P
Area: 19,957.00SqFt Length: 300.00Ft	Wi	dth: 50.00Ft		
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 4 Sur Conditions: PCI: 36 Inspection Comments:	veyed: 1			
Sample Number: 901 Type: R	Area:	5,021.00SqFt	PCI = 36	
Sample Comments:	Area: H	5,021.00SqFt 400.00 SqFt	PCI = 36 Comments	:
Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING				
Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Н	400.00 SqFt 60.00 Ft 36.00 Ft	Comments Comments Comments	:
Sample Comments: 52 RAVELING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	H L	400.00 SqFt 60.00 Ft	Comments Comments	:

FDOT	ne msp				
Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015 Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TAYL	OR FIELD			
Branch: TW AP N Name: TAXIWAY TO NORTH	APRON	Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	33,921.00SqFt	
Section: 595 of 1 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TV	W-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2000 Rank: P
Area:33,921.00SqFtLength:1,140.00FtShoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00Section Comments:	V Lanes: 0	Vidth: 30.00Ft			
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 8 Sur Conditions: PCI: 81 Inspection Comments:	veyed: 2				
Sample Number: 301 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,000.00SqFt	PCI = 79		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	328.00 Ft	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 305 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	4,052.00SqFt	PCI = 83		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	240.00 Ft	Comments	:	

	Ke-msp	ection kepoi	l			
FDOT Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015						
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATI	IONAL/JIM TAYL	OR FIELD				
Branch: TW B Name: TAXIWAY B		Use: TA	XIWAY	Area:	91,166.00SqFt	
Section: 105 of 2 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PF	R-TW-AC	То: -		Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1985 Rank: P
Area:84,332.00SqFtLength:3,400.00Shoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00	-	Width: 25.00	Ft	Lone.	Category.	italik. I
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 17 Conditions: PCI : 58 Inspection Comments:	Surveyed: 4					
Sample Number: 102 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 58		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	; L	411.00	Ft	Comments	:	
56 SWELLING	L			Comments		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	; L	254.00	Ft	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	; M	38.00	Ft	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING	L	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 107 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 54		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	; L	517.00	Ft	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	; M	65.00	Ft	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING	L	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
56 SWELLING	L	77.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	; Н	6.00	Ft	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 108 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 61		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	; L	447.00	Ft	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	; M	102.00	Ft	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING	L	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 114 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,000.00SqFt		PCI = 60		
52 RAVELING	L	5,000.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	; M			Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	; L	575.00	Ft	Comments	:	
50 PATCHING	L	1.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
56 SWELLING	L			Comments	:	
20 DWEITITIG	1	24.00	byru	COMMETICS	-	


EDOT	-	-			
FDOT Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015					
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION.	AL/JIM TAYLOI	R FIELD			
Branch: TW B Name: TAXIWAY B		Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	91,166.00SqFt	
Section: 106 of 2 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TW	W-AC	То: -	Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/1985 Rank: P
Area: 6,834.00SqFt Length: 180.00Ft	Wi	dth: 25.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 1 Sur Conditions: PCI: 58	veyed: 1				
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 1 Sur Conditions: PCI: 58 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 200 Type: R	veyed: 1 Area:	6,834.00SqFt	PCI = 58		
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 1 Sur Conditions: PCI : 58 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 200 Type: R Sample Comments:		6,834.00SqFt 131.00 Ft	PCI = 58 Comments	:	
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 1 Sur Conditions: PCI: 58 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 200 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Area:	· •			
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 1 Sur Conditions: PCI: 58 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 200 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Area: M	131.00 Ft	Comments	:	
Conditions: PCI:58 Inspection Comments: Sample Number: 200 Type: R Sample Comments: 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING 48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	Area: M L	131.00 Ft 84.00 Ft	Comments Comments	:	

FDOT	I.C.	mspection ite	Port			
FDOT						
Report Generated Date	: May 13, 2015					
Network: OCF	Name: OCALA INTERNATIONAL/JIM	M TAYLOR FIELD				
Branch: TW CONN	Name: CONNECTOR TAXIWAY, TW	'EA Us	e: TAXIWAY	Area:	15,806.00SqFt	
Section: 305	of 1 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2013
Surface: AAC	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TW-AA	C		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 15,806.00SqF	t Length: 720.00Ft	Width:	25.00Ft			
Shoulder: Stree	t Type: Grade: 0.00 La	anes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/ Conditions: PCI: 100 Inspection Comments:	2014 Total Samples: 3 Surveyed	1: 1				
Sample Number: 100 Sample Comments: <no distresses<="" td=""><td>21</td><td>rea: 4,994.00SqF</td><td>ït</td><td>PCI = 100</td><td></td><td></td></no>	21	rea: 4,994.00SqF	ït	PCI = 100		

		ne mspeed	ion neport			
FDOT						
Report Generated Date: Ma	y 13, 2015					
Network: OCF	Name: OCALA INTERNATI	ONAL/JIM TAYLOR FI	ELD			
Branch: TW T-HANG	Name: TAXIWAY TO T-HA	NCARC	Use: TAXIWAY	Area:	119 (50 000 - 54	
Dialicii. I w I-HANG	Паше. ТАЛІЧАТ ЮТ-ПА	NGAKS	Use. TAATWAT	Alea.	118,650.00SqFt	
Section: 580	of 3 From: -		То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2000
Surface: AC	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PF	R-TW-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 18,904.00SqFt	Length: 880.00	Ft Width	: 30.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Typ	Grade: 0.00	Lanes: 0				
Section Comments:						
Lost Incn. Data: 11/02/201	1 Total Samplas 5	Surveyed 1				
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014	4 Total Samples: 5	Surveyed: 1				
Conditions: PCI : 59 Inspection Comments:						
Inspection Comments.						
Sample Number: 902	Type: R	Area: 4,	000.00SqFt	PCI = 59		
Sample Comments:						
	RANSVERSE CRACKING		118.00 Ft	Comments		
52 RAVELING		L	3,808.00 SqFt	Comments		
52 RAVELING 54 SHOVING		M L	192.00 SqFt 38.00 SqFt	Comments Comments		

	Ne-mspe	сион керог	ι			
FDOT Report Generated Date: May 13, 2015						
Network: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATION	AL/JIM TAYLO	OR FIELD				
Branch: TW T-HANG Name: TAXIWAY TO T-HANG	ARS	Use: TA	XIWAY	Area: 1	18,650.00SqFt	
Section: 585 of 3 From: - Surface: AC Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-T	W-AC	То: -		Zone:	Last Const.: Category:	01/01/2000 Rank: P
Area:76,028.00SqFtLength:3,300.00FtShoulder:Street Type:Grade:0.00	W Lanes: 0	vidth: 23.001	Ft			
Section Comments:						
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/2014 Total Samples: 16 Sur Conditions: PCI : 56 Inspection Comments:	rveyed: 4					
Sample Number: 151 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,238.00SqFt		PCI = 61		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	378.00	Ft	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING	L	5,144.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING	М	94.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
54 SHOVING	L	12.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 201 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,936.00SqFt		PCI = 57		
52 RAVELING	H	32.00		Comments	:	
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	208.00		Comments		
52 RAVELING	L	5,636.00		Comments		
52 RAVELING	M	268.00		Comments		
54 SHOVING	L	9.00	SqFt	Comments		
Sample Number: 300 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,322.00SqFt		PCI = 45		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	41.00	Ft	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING	L	2,661.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING	М	2,661.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
54 SHOVING	L	11.00	SqFt	Comments	:	
Sample Number: 450 Type: R Sample Comments:	Area:	5,379.00SqFt		PCI = 61		
48 LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L	383.00	Ft	Comments	:	
52 RAVELING	L	4,496.00		Comments		
57 WEATHERING	L	499.00		Comments	:	
57 MERITIEREERO						
50 PATCHING	L	384.00		Comments	:	

Re inspection Report					
FDOT					
Report Generated Date: Ma	ay 13, 2015				
Network: OCF	vork: OCF Name: OCALA INTERNATIONAL/JIM TAYLOR FIELD				
Branch: TW T-HANG	Name: TAXIWAY TO T-HANGARS	Use: TAX	IWAY Area:	118,650.00SqFt	
Section: 592	of 3 From: -	То: -		Last Const.:	01/01/2009
Surface: AC	Family: FDOT-SAPMP-PR-TW-AC		Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area: 23,718.00SqFt	Length: 960.00Ft	Width: 25.00Ft			
Shoulder: Street Typ	pe: Grade: 0.00 La	nes: 0			
Section Comments:					
Last Insp. Date: 11/03/201 Conditions: PCI: 94 Inspection Comments:	4 Total Samples: 5 Surveyed:	1			
Sample Number: 102 Sample Comments:	Type: R Are	ea: 4,800.00SqFt	PCI = 94		
57 WEATHERING		L 4,800.00 S	SqFt Comment	s:	



FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AVIATION AND SPACEPORT OFFICE

