

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
AVIATION AND SPACEPORTS OFFICE

Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program

Airport Pavement Evaluation Report September 2017



**Palatka Municipal-
Lt. Kay Larkin Field (28J)**
General Aviation Airport
District 2





Florida Department of Transportation

Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program

Prepared by:

*FDOT Aviation and Spaceports Office
605 Suwannee Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0450*




OFFICE OF FREIGHT, LOGISTICS & PASSENGER OPERATIONS

Table of Contents


Executive Summary.....	11
Program Background.....	11
Summary of Results.....	12
Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)	12
Forecasted Pavement Condition Index 2018-2027.....	14
Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027	15
Summary of Palatka Municipal – Lt. Kay Larkin Field	16
Chapter 1 – Introduction	18
1.1 Background	18
1.2 Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) Update 2016-2017	18
1.3 Organization	20
1.3.1 Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office Program Manager	20
1.3.2 Participating Florida Public-Use and Publicly Owned Airports	20
1.3.3 Florida Department of Transportation District Offices.....	20
1.3.4 Consultant	20
1.4 Purpose of Airport Pavement Evaluation Report	22
1.5 History of the Program	22
1.6 Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	24
1.7 FDOT SAPMP Objectives and Components	24
1.7.1 Program Objectives.....	24
1.7.2 Program Components	24
1.8 References	28
Chapter 2 – Methodology	30
2.1 Airfield Pavement Database	30
2.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory	30
2.2.1 Pavement Management Program Network Definition Terminology	31
2.3 Airfield Pavement Structure	33
2.3.1 Pavement Structure Types	33
2.4 Airfield Pavement Work History.....	35
2.4.1 Airfield Pavement Record Keeping	35
2.5 Airfield Pavement Traffic	35
2.6 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (PCI) Survey.....	35
2.6.1 PCI Survey Methodology	35
2.6.2 Pavement Distress Types	37

2.6.3 PCI Survey Inspection Procedures	41
2.6.4 Updates to the ASTM D5340-12	42
Chapter 3 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory	45
3.1 Airfield Pavement Network Information	45
3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction	45
3.1.2 Estimated Pavement Age	47
3.1.3 Functional Use Classification	49
3.1.4 Pavement Surface Type.....	50
3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details	51
Chapter 4 – Airfield Pavement Condition	56
4.1 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)	56
4.1.1 Network-Level Analysis	56
4.1.2 Branch-Level Analysis.....	56
4.1.3 Section-Level Analysis	59
4.2 Summary of Pavement Condition Evaluation Results	63
4.2.1 Network-Level Observations	63
4.2.2 Branch-Level Observations.....	63
4.3 Forecasted Pavement Conditions	65
4.3.1 Performance Models and Prediction Curves	65
4.3.2 Branch-Level Pavement Condition Forecast	65
4.3.3 Section-Level Pavement Condition Forecast.....	67
4.3.4 Forecasted PCI Considerations	70
Chapter 5 – Localized Maintenance and Repair Planning.....	72
5.1 Localized Maintenance and Repair	72
5.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy	73
5.3 Localized Maintenance and Repair Analysis and Recommendations	77
Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation Planning.....	81
6.1 Major Rehabilitation	81
6.1.1 Critical PCI	83
6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI	83
6.2 Major Rehabilitation Policy	84
6.2.1 Major Rehabilitation Pavement Section Development.....	84
6.2.2 Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Costs.....	85
6.3 Major Rehabilitation Needs	86
6.3.1 10-Year Unconstrained Budget Major Rehabilitation Needs	86
Chapter 7 – Conclusion.....	90
7.1 Recommendations	90
7.1.1 Continued PCI Survey Inspections	90
7.1.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair.....	90

7.1.3 Major Rehabilitation	90
7.1.4 Pavement Management System.....	90
7.2 Supporting Documents.....	91
001 – Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit.....	91
002 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit	91
003 – Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit	91
004 – Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit	91
Inspection Photograph Documentation.....	91
7.3 Conclusion	92




Appendix A	Airfield Pavement Analysis Tables
Appendix B	Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and Major Rehabilitation
Appendix C	Technical Exhibits
Appendix D	Inspection Photograph Documentation
Appendix E	Inspection Distress Details



List of Figures

Figure E-4 Major Rehabilitation Planning Annual Budget 2018-2027.....	16
Figure 1.2 Florida Aviation System (Facilities with Pavement) and FDOT Districts.....	19
Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle	25
Figure 1.7-2 General Pavement Treatments by Condition Range	26
Figure 1.7-3 Flexible Asphalt Concrete.....	27
Figure 1.7-4 Rigid Portland Cement Concrete	27
Figure 3.1.1-1 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit.....	46
Figure 3.1.1-2 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit.....	47
Figure 3.1.2 Average Age of Pavements at Inspection.....	48
Figure 3.1.3 Airfield Pavement Functional Classification Use by Area	49
Figure 3.1.4 (a) Pavement Surface Type by Area (SF)	50
Figure 3.1.4 (b) Pavement Surface Type by Area (%)	51
Figure 4.1.1 Latest Condition – Overall Network	56
Figure 4.1.2 (a) Latest Condition – Runway Pavements	57
Figure 4.1.2 (b) Latest Condition – Taxiway Pavements	57
Figure 4.1.2 (c) Latest Condition – Apron Pavements	58
Figure 4.1.2 (d) Latest Condition – Taxilane Pavements	58
Figure 4.1.3 2017 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit.....	62
Figure 4.2.2 Pavement Condition Summary by Facility Use.....	64
Figure 4.3.2 (a) Forecasted Runway Pavement Performance.....	65
Figure 4.3.2 (b) Forecasted Taxiway Pavement Performance	66
Figure 4.3.2 (c) Forecasted Apron Pavement Performance	66
Figure 6.1-1 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, $PCI \leq \text{Critical } PCI$	81

Figure 6.1-2 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, PCI > Critical PCI	82
Figure 6.3.1-1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year	87
Figure 6.3.1-2 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year Exhibit.....	88



List of Tables

Table E-1 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) – Section Level.....	12
Table E-2 Pavement Condition Index Forecast 2018-2027	14
Table E-3 Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027	15
Table 2.2.1 Airfield Pavement Database Network Definition Terminology	32
Table 2.6.2-1 (a) Pavement Distress Types – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	37
Table 2.6.2-1 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	38
Table 2.6.2-1 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	38
Table 2.6.2-2 (a) Pavement Distresses – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	39
Table 2.6.2-2 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	40
Table 2.6.2-2 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	40
Table 2.6.3 (a) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Flexible Asphalt Concrete	41
Table 2.6.3 (b) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Rigid Portland Cement Concrete....	41
Table 2.6.4 Summary of Updates to ASTM D5340-12	43
Table 3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction.....	45
Table 3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details	52
Table 4.1.3 Latest Pavement Condition Index Summary.....	60
Table 4.3.3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027	68
Table 5.2-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Flexible Asphalt Concrete	73
Table 5.2-2 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete.....	74
Table 5.2-3 (a) Localized Repair Planning-Level Unit Costs – Flexible Asphalt Concrete	76
Table 5.2-3 (b) Localized M&R Planning-Level Unit Costs – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete	76

Table 5.3-1 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Network Level	77
Table 5.3-2 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Section Level	78
Table 5.3-3 Summary of Localized Maintenance	79
Table 6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI	83
Table 6.2.1 (a) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Flexible Asphalt Concrete	84
Table 6.2.1 (b) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete	85
Table 6.2.2 General Aviation Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Cost by Pavement Type	86
Table 6.3.1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs	87



Executive Summary



Executive Summary

Program Background

Airport airfield pavement infrastructure facilities represent a large capital investment in the Florida Airport System. Timely and appropriate maintenance and strategic rehabilitation are essential as repair costs increase significantly in proportion to deterioration. Airport pavement distresses can also contribute to the development of loose debris and decreased ride quality, which can be a safety concern for aircraft operations.

In 2016, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO) selected Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. with subconsultants Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC and AVCON, Inc. to provide professional services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of performing a system update to the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP). This work is to be completed from fiscal year 2016 through fiscal year 2019. The SAPMP has 95 public use airport facilities throughout the seven FDOT Districts that participate in the system update. The results of this system update for this specific airport are presented in this report and can be utilized by FDOT and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects.

Pavement condition was assessed utilizing the pavement condition index (PCI) methodology as defined in the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)”** using the documented procedures set forth by ASTM **D5340-12 “Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.”**

Pavement deterioration, in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12, was characterized in terms of distinct distress types, severity level of distress, and quantity of distress. This information is utilized to calculate a PCI numeric that represents the overall condition of the pavement in a numeric index that ranges from 0 (a condition category of FAILED) to 100 (GOOD). The PCI methodology analyzes an overall measure of the pavement condition and provides an indication of the degree of maintenance, repair, or rehabilitation efforts that will be required to sustain functional pavement.

The tasks required for the system update at each participating airport consist of the following:

- Obtain recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction work data.
- Update airport airfield pavement system inventory records (construction history, identification, geometry, and facility classification).
- Perform PCI Survey Inspections at each participating airport.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP PAVER™ database system.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP GIS Airfield Navigation GPS enabled Maps.
- Update airfield pavement performance models and pavement condition forecasting.
- Identification of planning-level maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation to address pavement needs based on functional PCI analysis.
- Development of planning-level opinion of probable construction costs for pavement rehabilitation.



Summary of Results

Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)

Table E-1 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) – Section Level

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	PCI	Condition Rating
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RUNWAY	6105	255,800	90	Good
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RUNWAY	6110	241,000	90	Good
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RUNWAY	6115	103,700	88	Good
28J	RUNWAY 17-35	RUNWAY	6205	242,316	92	Good
28J	RUNWAY 17-35	RUNWAY	6210	15,325	93	Good
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	100	53,572	71	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	103	1,709	89	Good
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	105	129,791	75	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	107	7,472	35	Very Poor
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	110	60,917	80	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	120	3,723	88	Good
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	125	13,575	33	Very Poor
28J	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	115	2,993	88	Good
28J	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	117	20,449	78	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	205	69,160	83	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	210	29,104	86	Good
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	215	16,000	41	Poor
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	220	3,433	88	Good
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	225	12,691	52	Poor
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	230	11,803	79	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	303	2,119	76	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	305	32,590	92	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	306	8,714	79	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	310	66,344	90	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	311	3,314	81	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	315	5,043	87	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C1	TAXIWAY	330	17,330	87	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C2	TAXIWAY	320	18,074	92	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C3	TAXIWAY	405	13,867	94	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C3	TAXIWAY	410	4,920	86	Good
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4205	21,999	41	Poor
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4210	46,739	33	Very Poor
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4220	17,646	50	Poor
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4305	15,004	59	Fair
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4310	11,792	82	Satisfactory



Network ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	PCI	Condition Rating
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4315	8,845	100	Good
28J	APRON	APRON	4105	39,323	91	Good
28J	APRON	APRON	4115	170,262	91	Good
28J	APRON	APRON	4120	37,638	100	Good
28J	APRON	APRON	4215	29,007	40	Very Poor
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 27	APRON	5105	29,317	94	Good
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 35	APRON	5205	5,235	87	Good
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 35	APRON	5210	6,736	87	Good



Forecasted Pavement Condition Index 2018-2027

Table E-2 Pavement Condition Index Forecast 2018-2027

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	Forecasted PCI									
				2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
28J	AP	4105	91	86	84	82	80	79	78	76	74	71	69
28J	AP	4115	91	86	84	82	80	79	78	76	74	71	69
28J	AP	4120	100	95	93	92	90	88	87	85	84	82	80
28J	AP	4215	40	38	36	35	33	32	30	28	27	25	24
28J	AP RU 27	5105	94	92	90	89	87	86	84	82	81	79	78
28J	AP RU 35	5205	87	85	83	82	80	79	77	75	74	72	71
28J	AP RU 35	5210	87	84	82	81	79	78	76	74	72	69	66
28J	E T-HANG	4305	59	57	56	55	53	52	50	49	47	46	44
28J	E T-HANG	4310	82	79	77	76	74	72	71	70	69	68	67
28J	E T-HANG	4315	100	94	91	89	86	84	81	79	77	75	74
28J	N T-HANG	4205	41	39	38	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29
28J	N T-HANG	4210	33	32	31	30	29	28	28	27	26	24	23
28J	N T-HANG	4220	50	48	46	45	43	42	40	38	37	36	34
28J	RW 17-35	6205	92	89	87	85	82	80	77	75	73	70	68
28J	RW 17-35	6210	93	90	88	86	83	81	79	76	74	71	69
28J	RW 9-27	6105	90	87	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	68	67
28J	RW 9-27	6110	90	87	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	68	67
28J	RW 9-27	6115	88	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	69	67	65
28J	TW A	100	71	69	68	67	66	65	65	64	63	62	61
28J	TW A	103	89	86	83	81	79	77	76	75	73	72	71
28J	TW A	105	75	73	72	72	71	70	69	68	67	66	65
28J	TW A	107	35	30	26	22	18	14	11	7	3	0	0
28J	TW A	110	80	78	76	75	74	73	72	71	70	69	68
28J	TW A	120	88	85	83	80	78	77	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW A	125	33	32	31	30	29	28	28	27	26	24	23
28J	TW A1	115	88	85	83	80	78	77	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW A1	117	78	76	75	74	73	72	71	70	69	68	67
28J	TW B	205	83	80	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70
28J	TW B	210	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	71
28J	TW B	215	41	38	35	30	26	22	18	14	10	7	3
28J	TW B	220	88	85	83	80	78	77	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW B	225	52	50	49	47	46	45	44	44	43	43	43
28J	TW B	230	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68
28J	TW C	303	76	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68	67	66
28J	TW C	305	92	89	86	83	81	79	77	76	75	73	72




Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	Forecasted PCI									
				2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
28J	TW C	306	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68
28J	TW C	310	90	87	84	82	80	78	76	75	74	73	72
28J	TW C	311	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	70	70	69
28J	TW C	315	87	84	82	80	78	76	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW C1	330	87	84	82	80	78	76	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW C2	320	92	89	87	84	82	80	78	76	74	72	71
28J	TW C3	405	94	91	88	85	83	80	79	77	75	74	73
28J	TW C3	410	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	71

Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027

Table E-3 Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027

Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	28J	AP	4215	AC	29,007	38	AC Reconstruction	\$ 262,000.00
2018	28J	E T-HANG	4305	AC	15,004	57	AC Restoration	\$ 106,000.00
2018	28J	N T-HANG	4205	AC	21,999	39	AC Restoration	\$ 199,000.00
2018	28J	N T-HANG	4210	AC	46,739	32	AC Reconstruction	\$ 421,000.00
2018	28J	N T-HANG	4220	AC	17,646	48	AC Restoration	\$ 129,000.00
2018	28J	TW A	107	AAC	7,472	30	AC Reconstruction	\$ 68,000.00
2018	28J	TW A	125	AC	13,575	32	AC Reconstruction	\$ 123,000.00
2018	28J	TW B	215	AAC	16,000	38	AC Restoration	\$ 145,000.00
2018	28J	TW B	225	AAC	12,691	50	AC Restoration	\$ 89,000.00
2024	28J	TW A	100	AC	53,572	64	AC Restoration	\$ 376,000.00

*All planning cost values have been rounded to the nearest thousand-dollar.


*Figure E-4 Major Rehabilitation Planning Annual Budget 2018-2027*

Summary of Palatka Municipal – Lt. Kay Larkin Field

Palatka Municipal - LT. Kay Larkin Field was inspected in January 2017 – the overall weighted PCI value was 83, a condition rating of Satisfactory. The results of the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation analysis identified \$274,330 in localized M&R needs based on current conditions and a 10-Year major rehabilitation need of \$1,918,000 based on forecasted conditions. The current major rehabilitation needs based on the latest inspection consist of \$1,542,000 for pavements below critical condition.

Localized maintenance and repair identified within this report are categorized as preventive or stopgap; the FDOT SAPMP has defined maintenance policies based on FAA recommendations. Major rehabilitation is identified within the FDOT SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or resetting of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Such activities could include: mill and hot-mix asphalt overlay, rigid pavement repair and slab replacement, and full-depth reconstruction. It is recommended that the airport use this as a planning tool for future project development and prioritization – all localized maintenance and repair and major rehabilitation recommendations should be considered as planning-level only. All final localized maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation is subject to change based on airport prioritization and further design-level evaluation.

Chapter 1





Chapter 1 – Introduction

1.1 Background

The State of Florida has 128 public airports of which 100 public-use airports are recognized as part of the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS) that are vital to the Florida economy as well as the economy of the United States. The Florida Aviation System (FAS) provides opportunities for the State to capitalize on an increasingly global marketplace. Florida's system of commercial service and general aviation (GA) airports are important to businesses throughout the entire State. Air travel is essential to tourism, Florida's number one industry.

There are millions of square feet of pavement infrastructure that consists of runways, taxiways, aprons, ramps, and other areas of airports that are vital to the support and safety of aircraft operations. Timely pavement maintenance, repair and major rehabilitation of these pavements will support the airport in operating safely, efficiently, economically and without excessive down time.

In general, adherence to the FAA Advisory Circulars are mandatory for all projects funded with federal grant monies through the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) and with revenue from the Passenger Facilities Charges (PFC) Program. Further information is detailed in FAA Grant Assurance No. 11 "Pavement Maintenance," No. 34 "Policies, Standards, and Specifications," and PFC Assurance No. 9 "Standards and Specifications." The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) performs the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) System Updates for the benefit of participating public-use and publicly owned airports through the Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO).


The SAPMP addresses the requirements of maintaining an effective pavement management program for the participating airports at the network level. Network-level management of pavement assets provides insight for short-term and long-term budget needs, understanding of the overall condition of the network (current and future), and pavement facilities that are subject for project consideration. A network-level evaluation can be supportive in the identification of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs and budgetary planning-level opinions of probable construction costs.

1.2 Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) Update 2016-2017

In 1992, the FDOT established the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) to provide program managers, District Aviation and Spaceport Offices, and airport operators a system to proactively manage airport airfield pavement infrastructure within the Florida Aviation System. The SAPMP performs network-level Pavement Condition Index (PCI) survey inspections for airport facilities that are categorized as General Aviation (GA), Reliever (RL), and Commercial (PR). Currently, the program consists of 95 actively participating public-use airports with pavement facilities and provides users with comprehensive data to better manage pavement assets.



Figure 1.2 Florida Aviation System (Facilities with Pavement) and FDOT Districts



In 2016, the Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office contracted Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. along with subconsultants Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC and AVCON, Inc. to provide professional services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of performing a system update to the SAPMP. This work is to be completed from fiscal year 2016 through fiscal year 2019.



1.3 Organization

1.3.1 Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office Program Manager

The FDOT Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO) Aviation Engineering Manager serves as the Program Manager (ASO-PM) for the SAPMP. The ASO-PM monitors the work performed by the designated Consultant for the program. The ASO-PM has review and approval authority for each program task and manages the program's day-to-day details and pertinent updates.

The ASO-PM reports updates and milestones to the FDOT State Aviation and Spaceports Manager and Development Administrator.

1.3.2 Participating Florida Public-Use and Publicly Owned Airports

The airports are the end-user and beneficiary of the SAPMP. The SAPMP provides a specific Airport Pavement Evaluation Report that meets the requirements of the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)."** Individual participating airports will be provided a final Airport Pavement Evaluation Report by the designated Consultant that is specific to each airport's airfield pavement condition index survey. The ASO-PM has full authority and final approval of each report prior to finalization. In advance of each PCI survey and prior to completion of each Airport Pavement Evaluation Report, participating airports are asked to provide the necessary record documentation for the proper analysis efforts. Relevant record documentation artifacts may consist of but are not limited to: Airport Layout Plans (ALP), Construction Bid Tabulations, As-Built Construction Drawings, Engineer's Reports, and/or field pavement inspection reports.

1.3.3 Florida Department of Transportation District Offices

The seven (7) FDOT District Offices, specifically the Aviation representatives (currently the Freight and Logistics personnel), provide essential support to the SAPMP update and the ASO-PM. Each District supports the SAPMP's on-going efforts by providing local construction cost information throughout the State. The construction cost information, typically consisting of plans and bid tabulations, are used as the basis of the development maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation opinions of probable construction costs for planning purposes. Each District Office receives copies of individual Airport Pavement Evaluation Reports for the participating airport facilities located within their respective Districts.

1.3.4 Consultant

The Consultant, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., provides technical and administrative support to the ASO-PM for the SAPMP update. The support consists of airfield pavement system inventory updates, performance of PCI Surveys in accordance with ASTM **D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys,"** evaluation and reporting of the pavement condition in accordance with the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)."**

The Consultant Team consists of Kimley-Horn, Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC., and AVCON, Inc.



A brief description of the general scope of work undertaken to update the SAPMP includes but is not limited to:

- ▶ **Research and evaluation of existing record documentation** was performed to identify construction projects that have taken place since the most recent major update of the SAPMP. This data is used to update the pavement inventory and network definition.
- ▶ **An update to the existing Network Definition Map** was made to reflect geometric changes, pavement composition updates, and section characterization. Furthermore, an update to the PCI Survey sample units were made to reflect the field investigation efforts.
- ▶ **A functional pavement evaluation with PCI Survey inspections** was completed on all airfield pavements maintained by the Airport. The PCI Survey procedure, as defined by ASTM D5340-12, was used as the basis of the functional pavement evaluation. For this specific evaluation, the sample units defined by prior studies were inspected as to better develop performance models for prediction curves. Pavement subject to construction or anticipated construction during scheduled PCI Survey inspection or within 2 years were omitted from inspection based on confirmation of airport personnel.
- ▶ **Condition Analysis** was performed based on the distress data observed, rated, measured, and recorded in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 for the calculation of PCI values and ratings. The results of the current condition analysis were used in concert with the historic PCI Survey data and construction work history to develop performance models to forecast future PCI values for each section for a 10-year study duration.
- ▶ **Maintenance, Repair, and Rehabilitation Planning** was performed predicated on the results of the condition analysis with updated policies and planning-level unit costs. The policies, or M&R policies, have been updated to reflect standard practices for maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation as defined by the FAA **AC 150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements.”** Planning-level unit costs were developed based on representative construction bid tabulations provided by participating airports. The bid tabulations consisted of limited airfield pavement construction projects that took place between 2009 and 2015 at participating airports.



1.4 Purpose of Airport Pavement Evaluation Report

The individual airport airfield pavement evaluation report discusses the work performed, a summary of findings, condition analysis results, and recommendations for maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation (M&R) planning associated with the SAPMP system update. It also briefly describes the procedures used to ensure that the appropriate engineering and scientific standards of care, quality, budget, schedules, and safety requirements were implemented during the performance of this work.

The purpose of this Airfield Pavement Evaluation Report is to achieve the following:

- Describe the goals, procedures, and purpose of the SAPMP
- Provide a brief technical explanation of the pavement management methodology, standard practices, and objectives
- Analyze pavement distresses data for the determination of pavement conditions and for identification of airfield pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs based on functional PCI trends

The identification of rehabilitation needs has been determined at the planning level. Design-level investigation is recommended prior to developing construction-level design documents and budgets.

In compliance with FAA Grant Assurances 11 and 19; the FDOT SAPMP provides airports with airfield pavement evaluation reports in accordance with FAA **AC 150/5380-7B Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)** and **AC 150/5380-6C Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements**. The application of the results of a PCI survey are for planning purposes and are limited to the visual observation of deteriorated pavements in limited sampling; design-level investigation is recommended in accordance with the FAA procedures defined in **AC 5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation** and **AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements**. The aforementioned ACs provide the design-level material properties of in-situ pavement and subgrade layers for the determination of appropriate rehabilitation actions. The FDOT Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program is organized to provide airports with planning-level data and does not intend to preclude the responsible engineer in performing the appropriate level of investigation and analysis in determining the appropriate design details of a pavement rehabilitation. It would not be advisable to solely base design-level rehabilitation without the appropriate level of investigation and determination of pavement deterioration beyond that of a visual functional condition assessment.

1.5 History of the Program

In 1992, the FDOT implemented the SAPMP to understand the pavement conditions at public airports in the FAS, systematically update pavement infrastructure information, and assist airport operators with recommendations of pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs. The 1992 SAPMP implementation provided the FDOT and the participating airports valuable information for establishing and performing timely and appropriate pavement rehabilitation.



During the 1992-1993 implementation and again during the 1998-1999 updates; the SAPMP performed the development with proprietary software for pavement management system analysis. This development allowed for the creation of pavement management database file system populated with airport attributes and condition data. The pavement management database was used to establish maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation policies; consider planning-level unit costs; and develop recommendations for performing pavement maintenance. This system, known as AIRPAV, was initially developed during the 1992-1993 SAPMP implementation for the analysis of distress data. The AIRPAV system was used again in the 1998-1999 SAPMP update.

In 2004, the SAPMP system update included the review of the AIRPAV software compared to other industry available non-proprietary software packages. As a result of this review, MicroPAVER™ (currently known as PAVER™) was selected for implementation of the system update. MicroPAVER™ was developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering Research Laboratory for pavement management. Data from the 1998-1999 FDOT SAPMP update, which was built upon the initial 1992-1993 implementation of AIRPAV, was reviewed and converted to be compatible with the MicroPAVER™ system. This data conversion included all documented pavement facilities, classifications, types, histories, geometries, PCI condition data and pertinent attributes gathered from airport feedback at the time. This information was used to develop the inventory of each participating airport's pavement facilities in a consistent format. This was the development of Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits. These inventory exhibits visually depicted the branch, section, and sample units that were based upon the pavement construction history and composition information provided by each airport.

In the 2006-2008 system update, the SAPMP was updated again with continued use of the MicroPAVER™ system. Based on the distress data collected, a maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning program was developed for each airport. As part of this SAPMP update, the procedures for the inspection and the collection of the pavement distress data were documented, and an interactive website (<http://www.dot.state.fl.us/aviation/pavement.shtm>) was established for input of data.

In the 2010-2012 system update, the SAPMP was updated using new global positioning system (GPS) integrated technology to digitally collect pavement distress data. Interactive geographic information system (GIS) map files were developed from updated Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits to aid pavement condition inspectors in the collection of sample distress data. The data collected was utilized to develop pavement performance models to predict future pavement PCI values and make recommendations for major rehabilitation.

In the 2013-2015 system update, the SAPMP integrated PAVER™ and FieldInspector™ with the use of GPS and GIS capable field tablets. Furthermore, the update included continued adherence to the ASTM **D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys."** The ASTM update consisted of refinement of distress definition types and deduction values for select asphalt concrete and Portland Cement Concrete distresses.



1.6 Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

Currently, airports participating in the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Grant Program are required by the FAA to develop and implement a pavement maintenance program to be eligible for funding (FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements”** and **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)”**). This program requires detailed inspection of airfield pavement conditions by trained personnel. The inspections are required to be performed at least once a year using the PASER method or every three years if the pavement is inspected as defined by the PCI survey procedure in accordance with the ASTM **D5340-12 “Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.”**

In general, adherence to the Advisory Circulars are mandatory for all projects funded with federal grant monies through the AIP program and with revenue from the Passenger Facilities Charges (PFC) Program. Further information is detailed in FAA Grant Assurance No. 11 “Pavement Maintenance,” No. 34 “Policies, Standards, and Specifications,” and PFC Assurance No. 9 “Standards and Specifications.”

1.7 FDOT SAPMP Objectives and Components

The FDOT SAPMP is a program that provides the FAS support in implementing and/or maintaining a network-level Pavement Management Program in a consistent and regularly scheduled manner.

In accordance with FAA AC **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)”** an effective Pavement Management Program consists of a system that achieves specific objectives. The FDOT SAPMP objectives are as follows:

1.7.1 Program Objectives

- 1 A systematic means for collecting and storing information regarding existing pavement structure and condition.
- 2 An objective and repeatable system for evaluating pavement condition.
- 3 Procedures for predicting future pavement condition.
- 4 Procedures for modeling both past and future pavement performance conditions.
- 5 Procedures to determine the budget requirements to meet management objectives, such as the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation budget required to keep a pavement at a specified PCI level or the budget required to improve to target PCI level.
- 6 Procedures for formulating and prioritizing maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects.

The objectives are accomplished by the following components:

1.7.2 Program Components

- A. Database
- B. Pavement Inventory
- C. Pavement Structure
- D. Pavement Work History
- E. Pavement Condition Data




F. Pavement Performance Modeling for the Prediction/Forecast of PCI

G. Maintenance, Repair, and Major Rehabilitation Policies and Budget Simulation

A well-maintained network-level pavement management program may provide airport staff a better understanding of the airfield pavement performance for developing and planning for specific maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects. The understanding of specific distress types and severities will assist the airport in addressing pavement maintenance and repair with the appropriate treatments as defined by the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements.”** The development of projects with an understanding of system inventory, deterioration details, and pavement condition forecasts may assist airport staff in developing practical rehabilitation actions and budgets. Furthermore, the understanding of pavements’ past performance and forecasted condition may assist airport staff in addressing pavement rehabilitation in a timely and cost-effective manner. **Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle**, which is based on the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP).”** **Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle**, depicts a general duration of a pavement section and identifies the ideal condition to perform rehabilitative treatments at an optimal cost rather than allowing significant increase in rate of deterioration that would result in increased costs.

Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle




**Figure is for conceptual purposes only – unit costs are not specific to airfield pavements (AC vs PCC).*

Figure 1.7-2 General Pavement Treatments by Condition Range depicts generic flexible asphalt concrete (AC) pavement treatments that are effective at specific condition ranges. This graphic is a general concept and will vary based on pavement surface type and overall



composition. The intent is to convey various treatment types that would be effective based on the condition of the pavement along the deterioration model.

Figure 1.7-2 General Pavement Treatments by Condition Range



Pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation would be quite anticipatory if all pavements behaved as depicted in **Figures 1.7-1 and 1.7-2**, however pavement condition performance vary significantly based on several factors. Factors that contribute to a pavement section's condition and deterioration performance may include: functional design life, material type, material construction quality, climatic conditions, aircraft loading type and frequency, non-aircraft loading type and frequency, maintenance history, subgrade conditions, and other infrastructure in the vicinity. The list of factors is not all-inclusive of all factors that may contribute to a pavement's life cycle, it is intended to clarify that unique conditions certainly will affect a pavement's deterioration.

Figures 1.7-3 and Figure 1.7-4 depict visual conditions of pavement facilities, for both AC and PCC respectively, with approximated PCI ranges and corresponding repair and rehabilitation measures.



Figure 1.7-3 Flexible Asphalt Concrete









	PCI Range	Representative PCI	Representative Pavement Surface	Rehabilitation Activities
Routine Maintenance	86-100	90		Pavements with PCI values above 85, or 'Good', may require periodic joint/crack sealing and local patching.
Pavement Preservation	65-85	70		Pavements with PCI conditions ranging from 'Fair' to 'Satisfactory' may require surface treatments (seal coat), thin overlays, and/or joint/crack sealing.
Major Rehabilitation	40-64	50		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 65, or within the range of 'Very Poor' to 'Fair' conditions, may require major rehabilitation such as pavement mill and overlay or partial full-depth reconstruction.
Major Reconstruction	0-39	15		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 40, or within the range of 'Failed' to 'Very Poor' conditions, may require major reconstruction.

Figure 1.7-4 Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

	PCI Range	Representative PCI	Representative Pavement Surface	Rehabilitation Activities
Routine Maintenance	86-100	90		Pavements with PCI values above 85, or 'Good', may require periodic joint/crack sealing and local patching.
Pavement Preservation	65-85	70		Pavements with PCI conditions ranging from 'Fair' to 'Satisfactory' may require patches and/or joint/crack sealing.
Major Rehabilitation	40-64	50		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 65, or within the range of 'Very Poor' to 'Fair' conditions may require major rehabilitation such as slab replacement and PCC restoration activity.
Major Reconstruction	0-39	15		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 40, or within the range of 'Failed' to 'Very Poor' conditions, may require major reconstruction.




1.8 References

The following reference documents were referenced as specific guidelines and procedures for maintaining airport pavements; establishing an effective pavement maintenance program; and identifying specific pavement distresses, probable causes of distresses, inspection guidelines, and recommended methods of repair:

- ASTM D5340-12 “Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.”
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B 150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program.”
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements.”
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5320-6F “Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation.”
- Department of the Air Force, Air Force Civil Engineer Center “Engineering Technical Letter (ETL) 14-3: Preventive Maintenance Plan (PMP) for Airfield Pavements.”
- Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-260-16FA 16 “Airfield Pavement Condition Survey Procedures Pavements.”
- Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-260-03 “Airfield Pavement Evaluation.”
- Pavement Management for Airports, Roads, and Parking Lots 2nd Edition, M.Y. Shahin.

Chapter 2





Chapter 2 – Methodology

An effective pavement management program incorporates the regular collection of pavement condition information and communication of information to appropriate sponsors. This chapter of the report defines the specific methods utilized as part of the SAPMP System Update to meet the requirements of an effective pavement management system as defined by the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP).”**

2.1 Airfield Pavement Database

The SAPMP program has historically utilized PAVER™ (formerly MicroPAVER™); the current update has maintained the use of the PAVER™ 7.0 version of the software. The PAVER™ software application was developed by the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory sponsored by the FAA, Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Army, U.S. Air Force, and the U.S. Navy to meet the objectives of an effective pavement management system. The SAPMP consists of a network-level database of the airport's airfield pavement facilities that are part of the program. PAVER™ can achieve the following pavement management objectives: a manageable inventory system, the analysis of the current condition of pavements in accordance with the ASTM D5340, the development of pavement performance models to forecast conditions, and the development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation recommendations based on budgetary scenarios.

PAVER™ inventory management is based on a tiered organizational structure that consists of networks, branches, and sections, with the section being the smallest unit of management. Critical elements of an effective pavement management program are maintained within the network-level PAVER™ database. These elements typically consist of pavement inventory characteristics, pavement structure, work history, historic condition records, and analytical customization.

The SAPMP System Update consisted of the conversion of the previous database from a PAVER™ version 6.5 to a version 7.0.

2.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory

An airfield pavement system inventory typically maintains the location of all runways, taxiways, and aprons; geometric characteristics; type of pavement structure, year of construction and/or last major rehabilitation; and general composition details of the pavement.

The pavement inventory for an airport's airfield is an assembly of pavement infrastructure information that builds an inventory of branches and sections that codifies the airport's airfield pavement network. General geometry characteristics, estimated length, width, functional classification, pavement surface type, and operational function are among the characteristics identified at this initial phase in the pavement management process. The development of a pavement inventory that reasonably reflects the airport's airfield pavement facilities that are maintained by the airport provides a defined scope of the inspection and analysis efforts. As in the past, the SAPMP scope of work is specific to the airport-maintained airfield pavements as defined in the field network definition exhibits presented to current airport personnel.



A critical input to the pavement system inventory and network definition in the development of the SAPMP update is the date of last major rehabilitation/construction performed on the pavement assets that would set the asset at a PCI of 100 and a condition rating of Good. The airport provided a limited combination of record drawings, reports, and staff input that was pertinent information in developing the construction history of the airport's pavements from inception. Major rehabilitation/construction activities performed in the last 24-months or anticipated in the next 24-months are assumed to restore the PCI to 100. These activities include; pavement overlay, mill and replace, mill and overlay, new construction, and/or complete reconstruction.

Aerial imagery was obtained through the FDOT Surveying & Mapping Office's *Aerial Photo Look Up System (APLUS)*. This spatially projected imagery was utilized with computer-aided drafting software (AutoCAD) in concert with geographical information system software (ArcGIS) to develop a planning-level representative model that reasonably reflects the pavement assets at the airport.

2.2.1 Pavement Management Program Network Definition Terminology

There are several terms that are common in the communication of the results of the SAPMP System Update, these terms are defined as follows:

Pavement Network

A pavement network is a logical unit for organizing pavements into a structure for pavement management. A network will typically consist of one or more pavement *branches*, which are typically comprised of one or many pavement *sections*. The network is the starting point of the hierarchy of pavement management organization. For example, a network can be all the pavements within an airport's airfield or all the pavements in a statewide program. For the FDOT SAPMP, a network represents an individual airport's airfield pavement facilities maintained by the airport.

The SAPMP System Update consists of research and evaluation of existing record documentation for the participating airports' airfield facilities. The pavement network is typically limited to the pavement facilities subject to aircraft use that is also maintained by the airport owner and eligible for public funding.

Pavement Branch

A pavement branch, also known as a facility, is a logical unit of generally identifiable pavement of a network with distinct functional classification. For example, within an airfield each runway, taxiway, or apron is considered a branch. A branch must consist of at least one section.

Pavement Section

A pavement section, also known as a feature, is the most specific management unit when considering the application and selection of maintenance, repair, and/or major rehabilitation treatments on an area of pavement within a branch. Each branch consists of at least one section, but may consist of more if pavement feature characteristics are distinct throughout the branch. Characteristics considered when subdividing branches into sections include, but are not limited to: pavement structure, type, age, condition, and function; traffic composition and frequency (current and future); geometric location; construction history; and other related



infrastructure features (e.g. drainage). A pavement section is defined as a subordinate of a pavement branch, which is a subordinate of a “parent” pavement network.

Pavement Sample Unit

A pavement sample unit is a subdivision of a pavement section that has a standard size range: twenty (20) continuous slabs (± 8 slabs) for Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) pavement and 5,000 contiguous square feet ($\pm 2,000$ ft²) for flexible asphalt concrete (AC) or porous friction course pavements.

Table 2.2.1 Airfield Pavement Database Network Definition Terminology

PMS Network Level	Common Definition	Airport Example
Network	Overall pavement assets maintained by the Airport	“Tallahassee International Airport – Airfield Pavements”
Branch Name	Commonly defined asset name as established by Airport and by use	“Runway 18-36”
Branch ID	Codified shorthand name for commonly defined asset established for database identification	“RW 18-36” RW, Branch Use, “Runway” 18-36, Runway Facility
Section ID	Codified identification for pavement asset that is distinct by the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pavement Composition • Construction Work History • Aircraft Traffic • Condition Records 	“6105”
Sample Unit	A numeric identification of an area of pavement (5,000 \pm 2,000 SF of AC or 20 \pm 8 slabs of PCC) that has been inspected in accordance with ASTM D5340-12.	“300”



2.3 Airfield Pavement Structure

2.3.1 Pavement Structure Types

Airport airfield pavements are constructed to provide adequate support for the loads imposed by aircraft and produce a firm, stable, smooth, all-year, all-weather surface free of debris or other particles that may be blown or dislocated by propeller wash or jet blast. Typical pavement planning and design requires coordination of factors that include but are not limited to; subgrade conditions, material layer types, aircraft fleet mix (type, frequency, and traffic growth), and functional use. A pavement structure is composed of constructed layers that consist of subgrade, subbase, base course, structural courses, and surfaces courses. For the FDOT SAPMP, two major pavement structure types are classified for evaluation and analysis: Flexible Asphalt Concrete Surface and Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Surface. Additionally, Composite Structures known as Whitetopping Pavements are also present at limited airports within the Florida Airports System; these unique pavement structures are evaluated separately.

Flexible Asphalt Concrete Surface

A pavement comprised of aggregate mixture with an asphalt cement binder. The FDOT SAPMP consists of three (3) asphalt concrete surface types: Asphalt Concrete (AC), Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Asphalt Concrete (AAC), and Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Portland Cement Concrete (APC).

Asphalt Concrete (AC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on engineered base course material that is layered on subbase and subgrade soil material.

Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Asphalt Concrete (AAC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on an existing flexible AC pavement section. Flexible airfield pavement sections are AAC when a pavement rehabilitation consists of a pavement milling operation and a resurfacing of asphalt layers; or a direct overlay of asphalt concrete without surface preparation.

Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Portland Cement Concrete (APC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on an existing Rigid PCC pavement section. This unique pavement composition may result in distinct pavement distress manifestations known as reflective joint cracking.



Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Surface

A pavement comprised of aggregate mixture with a Portland Cement binder. The FDOT SAPMP recognizes Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) as the primary rigid pavement section.

Portland Cement Concrete (PCC)

A rigid pavement section composed of Portland cement concrete placed on a granular or treated base course that is supported on a compacted subgrade. The concrete surface must provide a texture of nonskid qualities, prevent the infiltration of surface water into the subgrade, and provide structural support to the airplanes. Rigid pavement construction requires the layout of appropriately designed joint spacing.

Composite Structure – Whitetopping Pavement

A composite pavement comprised of relatively thin Portland Cement Concrete overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement structure. There are three (3) types of Whitetopping Pavements; Conventional (WHT), Thin (TWT), and Ultra-Thin (UTW).

Conventional Whitetopping (WHT)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible AC pavement section area. The modified PCC layer is typically greater than 6-inches in thickness.

Thin Whitetopping (TWT)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement section. The modified PCC layer is typically between 4 and 6 inches in thickness.

Ultra-Thin Whitetopping (UTW)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement section. The Portland Cement Concrete layer is typically between 2 and 4 inches in thickness.



2.4 Airfield Pavement Work History

2.4.1 Airfield Pavement Record Keeping

It is strongly recommended that airports maintain records of all airfield construction and maintenance related to the pavement facilities. A history of all maintenance and repair performed and its associated costs (construction and soft costs) can provide valuable information on the effectiveness of various treatments on pavements. An airport should maintain detailed records of maintenance (routine, emergency, and proactive) activities. The records should consist of the following:

1. Location and Limits of Work.
2. Types and Severity of Distresses Repaired.
3. Type of Work.
4. Cost of Work.
5. Supporting Documents (contract documents, construction drawings, specifications, bid tabulations, repair product, photograph records, etc.).

2.5 Airfield Pavement Traffic

A pavement section is typically designed to meet the needs of the user (airlines, air cargo, general aviation, and/or military) in providing a safe, smooth, operational surface. Pavement deterioration generally occurs gradually through increased roughness and/or fatigue cracking caused by successive and heavy aircraft traffic.

This study does not consist of a study or analysis of each individual airport's airfield aircraft fleet mix or traffic operations. However, it is strongly recommended that airports incorporate the requirements of FAA Advisory Circular **150/5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation** when developing design-level rehabilitation activities. The AC provides guidance on incorporation of aircraft traffic fleet mix data.

2.6 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (PCI) Survey

2.6.1 PCI Survey Methodology

In adherence to the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP),"** the FDOT SAPMP utilizes the PCI Survey Method of inspection to collect pavement distress data and analyze the condition. The PCI Survey Inspection procedure is a visual statistical sampling of pavements for recording primary distress types (e.g. cracking and deformation), associated severities, and quantities as defined by the ASTM D5340-12. This effort is the primary means of obtaining and recording pavement distress data. The survey inspection consists primarily of visual inspection of pavement surfaces for signs of distress and deterioration resulting from loading (aircraft) and environmental influences.

A visual pavement condition survey provides an indication of the cause and rate of deterioration of a pavement section from a functional point of view and can be an indicator of structural distress. The functional condition analysis assesses the rating of the operational surface. A visual PCI Survey Inspection does not predict the remaining structural life of a pavement section, or its ability to support loads. The functional condition determined by the PCI method



can provide a cost-effective means to plan for pavement rehabilitation projects. The timely application of pavement rehabilitation may lead to the extension of functional life of individual pavement sections. This method varies from structural evaluation; functional condition is limited to visually observed distresses and indicative modes of pavement deterioration. A formal structural evaluation analyzes subsurface conditions, material characteristics, and qualitative pavement structure attributes. A structural evaluation may consist of; subsurface geotechnical exploration, falling weight deflectometer testing, petrographic testing, material coring, and/or flexural testing.



2.6.2 Pavement Distress Types

For each section, the severity and quantity of defined distresses are recorded and then analyzed in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 standard. The standard identifies 17 distinct flexible asphalt concrete distress types and 16 distinct rigid Portland Cement Concrete distress types.

Table 2.6.2-1 (a) Pavement Distress Types – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Distress	Common Distress Mechanisms
Alligator Cracking	Load / Fatigue
Bleeding	Construction Quality/ Mix Design
Block Cracking	Climate / Age
Corrugation	Load / Construction Quality
Depression	Load / Subsurface
Jet Blast	Aircraft
Joint Reflection - Cracking	Climate / Subsurface Pavement / Traffic Load
Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking	Climate / Construction Quality
Oil Spillage	Aircraft / Vehicle
Patching	Utility / Pavement Repair / Age
Polished Aggregate	Repeated Traffic Loading
Raveling	Climate / Age
Rutting	Load / Fatigue
Shoving	PCC Pavement Growth / Movement
Slippage Cracking	Load / Pavement Bond / Mix Design
Swelling	Climate / Subsurface
Weathering	Climate / Age



Table 2.6.2-1 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Classification by Possible Causes			
Load	Climate / Durability	Moisture / Drainage	Others
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alligator Cracking Corrugation Depression Patching of Load-based distress Polished Aggregate Rutting Slippage Cracking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bleeding Block Cracking Joint Reflection Cracking L/T Cracking Patching of climate / durability-caused distresses Shoving from PCC Raveling Weathering Swelling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alligator Cracking Depression Patching of moisture / drainage caused distress Swelling Raveling Weathering 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oil Spillage Jet Blast Erosion Polished Aggregate

Table 2.6.2-1 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Classification by Possible Effects			
Roughness	Skid / Hydroplaning Potential	FOD Potential	Rate of Deterioration and Maintenance Requirements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Corrugation Depression Rutting Shoving of asphalt pavement Swelling Raveling Weathering 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bleeding Depression Polished Aggregate Rutting 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Block Cracking Joint Reflection Cracking L/T Cracking Slippage Cracking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All Distresses



Table 2.6.2-2 (a) Pavement Distresses – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Distress	Common Distress Mechanisms
Blowup	Climate / ASR
Corner Break	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses
Linear Cracking	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses / Shrinkage Stresses
Durability Cracking	Freeze-Thaw Cycling
Joint Seal Damage	Material Deterioration / Construction Quality / Age
Small Patch	Pavement Repair
Large Patch/Utility Cut	Utility / Pavement Repair
Popout	Freeze-Thaw Cycling / ASR / Material Quality
Pumping	Load Repetition / Poor Joint Sealant
Scaling	Construction Quality / Freeze-Thaw Cycling
Faulting	Subgrade Quality / ASR / Inadequate Load Transfer
Shattered Slab	Overloading
Shrinkage Cracking	Construction Quality / Climate
Joint Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material / Deterioration of Dowel (Load Transfer) Bars
Corner Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material / Deterioration of Dowel (Load Transfer) Bars
Alkali-Silica Reaction (ASR)	Construction Quality / Climate / Chemical Reaction



Table 2.6.2-2 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Classification by Possible Causes			
Load	Climate / Durability	Moisture / Drainage	Others
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corner Break • Shattered Slab • L/T/D Cracking • Pumping • Patching of Load-associated distress • Spalling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Blowup • "D" Cracking • Joint Seal Damage • Popouts • Scaling • Patch of Climate/Durability-associated distress • Shrinkage Cracking • Spalling • L/T/D Cracking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corner Break • Shattered Slab • Pumping • Patching of Moisture/Drainage-associated distress 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Settlement / Faulting

Table 2.6.2-2 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Classification by Possible Effects			
Roughness	Skid / Hydroplaning Potential	FOD Potential	Rate of Deterioration and Maintenance Requirements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Blowup • Corner Break • L/T/D Cracking • Shattered Slab • Settlement / Faulting • Spalling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Settlement / Faulting • Spalling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corner Break • L/T/D Cracking • "D" Cracking • Joint Seal Damage • Shattered Slab • Popouts • Scaling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All distresses



2.6.3 PCI Survey Inspection Procedures

Inspection Sampling Rate

The FDOT SAPMP performs PCI Survey Inspections on sample units defined in the previous update. The sample units are subject to change at the discretion of the inspection personnel and/or to major pavement rehabilitation treatments. Furthermore, access to the sample units based on accessibility or impacts to operations may affect the overall sampling rate effort at each airport. The following **Tables 2.6.3 (a) and (b)** define the sampling criteria used by the FDOT SAPMP. A higher sampling rate may be utilized to achieve a greater statistical confidence should the airport have the available resources to perform PCI Survey Inspections independent of the FDOT SAPMP.

Table 2.6.3 (a) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Number of Total Sample Units in Section	Sample Units to Inspect	
	Runways	Taxiways, Aprons, and Others
1 - 4	1	1
5 - 10	2	1
11 - 15	3	2
16 - 30	5	3
31 - 40	7	4
41 - 50	8	5
51 or more	20% but ≤ 20	10% but ≤ 10

Table 2.6.3 (b) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Number of Total Sample Units in Section	Sample Units to Inspect	
	Runways	Taxiways, Aprons, and Others
1 - 3	1	1
4 - 6	2	1
7 - 10	3	2
11 - 15	4	2
16 - 20	5	3
21 - 30	7	3
31 - 40	8	4
41 - 50	10	5
51 or more	20% but ≤ 20	10% but ≤ 10



2.6.4 Updates to the ASTM D5340-12

Airfield pavement distresses and conditions were surveyed in accordance with the methods outlined in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C and ASTM D5340-12. These procedures define distress type, severity, and quantity for sampling areas within each defined pavement section area to analyze and determine the PCI value and condition rating. During the 2013-2015 System Update, the incorporation of the significant changes to the ASTM D5340 (version D5340-12) resulted in an adjusted pavement condition indices on pavement sections subject to the distress types updated. Furthermore, the revision of the PCI deduction curves and the separation of distress types from the original, such as Weathering and Raveling, have in select cases increased the PCI value of the section without any rehabilitation performed.

Flexible Asphalt Concrete Pavement Distress Updates

The previous methodology which featured “(52) Weathering and Raveling” distress has been separated into two distresses “(52) Raveling” and “(57) Weathering.” Previously, areas that were recorded as “Weathering and Raveling” were considered as one distress with a high deduction. Based on the updated methodology, in certain situations where “Weathering” only exists and does not meet the definition of “Raveling,” the PCI deduction is not as high as the former “Weathering and Raveling.” Therefore, areas identified only as “(57) Weathering” based on current ASTM standards, which were previously identified as “(52) Weathering and Raveling,” may be subject to an improvement in PCI. In instances where pavement PCI has increased due to this update, it is not due to an improvement in actual condition, however indicative of the adjusted distress deterioration effects.

Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Pavement Distress Updates


The previous methodology defined “(70) Scaling” as a distress that consisted of surface deterioration caused by construction defects, material defects, and environmental factors. The distress included *Alkali-Silica Reaction*, also known as ASR. The current methodology has separated Alkali-Silica Reaction as a distress identified as “(76) Alkali-Silica Reaction / ASR.” As a result the previous “(70) Scaling” numerical deduction contribution to the PCI has been reduced. Previous inspections that recorded “(70) Scaling,” and currently do not exhibit “(76) Alkali-Silica Reactivity / ASR” may potentially see an increase in PCI. Additionally, “(73) Shrinkage Cracks” has been redefined as “(73) Shrinkage Cracking”. Shrinkage Cracking is characterized in two forms; drying shrinkage and plastic shrinkage. Drying shrinkage occurs over time as moisture leaves the pavement, it develops when hardened pavement continues to shrink as excess water not needed for cement hydration evaporates. It forms when subsurface resistance to the shrinkage is present and may extend through the entire depth of the slab. Plastic shrinkage develops when there is rapid loss of water in the surface of recently placed pavement or can form from over finishing/overworking of the pavement during construction. These shrinkage cracks appear as a series of inter-connected hairline cracks, or pattern cracking, and are often observed throughout the majority of the slab surface. This condition is also referred to as map cracking or crazing.



Table 2.6.4 Summary of Updates to ASTM D5340-12

Distress Updates to Reflect ASTM 5340-12				
Use and Surface Type	Updated Distress	Former Distress in Prior to 5340-10	Deduction Curve	Potential Effect
AC/AAC/APC Airfield	(52) Raveling - Low	(52) Weathering and Raveling - Low	No Change	N/A
	(52) Raveling - Medium	(52) Weathering and Raveling - Medium	No Change	N/A
	(52) Raveling - High	(52) Weathering and Raveling - High	No Change	N/A
	(57) Weathering - Low	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(57) Weathering - Medium	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(57) Weathering - High	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
PCC Airfield	(70) Scaling - Low	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing - Low	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(70) Scaling - Medium	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing - Medium	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(70) Scaling - High	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing - High	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – Low	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – Medium	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – High	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(73) Shrinkage Cracking	(73) Shrinkage Cracking	No Change	Prior distress types identified as 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing' may now be identified as 'Shrinkage Cracking'

Chapter 3





Chapter 3 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory

A significant element of an effective airfield pavement management system is the appropriate record keeping of changes due to construction or operational use of the pavement facilities. This chapter discusses the inventory data collected from the airport and summarizes network-level characteristics of the airport's airfield pavements. At the start of each FDOT SAPMP System Update, all airports are asked to review the existing Airfield Pavement Network Definition exhibit for accuracy. Furthermore, participating airports are asked to provide documentation for any recent or anticipated construction related to their airfield pavements.

3.1 Airfield Pavement Network Information

3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction


Based on information provided by the airport, the following **Table 3.1.1** summarizes the airfield pavement construction projects that have been incorporated into the SAPMP database system since the 2013-2015 System Update. **Figure 3.1.1-1** and **Figure 3.1.1-2** provides an inset view of the 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit and the 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibits that depict the updated network details for the airport reflected in the PAVER Database. Large format exhibits are referenced in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**.

Table 3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction

Year	General Work Description
2013	TW C - Mill and Overlay: 1.5" P-401, 2.5" P-401
	TW C1 - Mill and Overlay: 1.5" P-401, 2.5" P-401
	TW C3 - Mill and Overlay: 2" P-401
	AP RU 35 - Mill and Overlay: 1.5" P-401, 2.5" P-401
2015	AP - New Construction: 2" P-409, 6" P-211, 12" Stabilized Subgrade
	E T-HANG - New Construction: 2" P-409, 6" P-211, 12" P-160

The airport provided a limited combination of record drawings, reports, and staff input that was pertinent information in developing the construction history of the airport's pavements from inception. Major rehabilitation/construction activities performed in the last 24-months or anticipated in the next 24-months are assumed to restore the PCI to 100. These activities include: pavement overlay, mill and replace, mill and overlay, new construction, and/or complete reconstruction. These pavements were not formally subject to a PCI Survey and actual conditions may vary. Furthermore, any localized maintenance or repair performed that would improve the PCI will be considered in the condition analysis, if performed within inspection areas.


Figure 3.1.1-1 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit



The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit provides details to the PCI Survey inspection efforts. The exhibit identifies the pavement facilities, surface type, section definition, and sample unit delineation.



Figure 3.1.1-2 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit




The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit provides details to the work history updates communicated by the Airport. The Exhibit provides the approximate limits of recent and/or anticipated construction on the airfield pavement facilities. The limits are based on documentation provided by the Airport and, if constructed, observed in the field.

3.1.2 Estimated Pavement Age

Standard pavement design practice considers a design life of a 20-year period. Design inputs typically require subgrade soil conditions, pavement section layer material characteristics, and anticipated loading (aircraft fleet mix) for the design-life period. Based on the review of the historic airfield pavement construction, **Figure 3.1.2** summarizes the average age of the pavement sections since any major construction activity has occurred during the PCI Survey inspection. This is intended to be a rough estimate based on interpretation of the limited data available at the time of report.



Figure 3.1.2 Average Age of Pavements at Inspection




The estimation of the pavement age is based on information requested and provided by participating airports. Additionally, data collected in the prior system updates since 1992 have been relied upon.



3.1.3 Functional Use Classification

Pavements are subject to varying aircraft loading patterns based on utilization and overall operations. For this SAPMP Update, the following categories of airfield functional use have been identified and associated with the following possible pavement branch facilities: Apron, Runway, Taxiway, and Taxilane. **Figure 3.1.3** summarizes the identified pavements' functional use by area in square feet. The pavement areas reviewed exclude shoulder pavement facilities.

Figure 3.1.3 Airfield Pavement Functional Classification Use by Area







3.1.4 Pavement Surface Type

The airfield pavement facility surface types within the SAPMP include four common types of pavement: Portland cement concrete (PCC), asphalt concrete (AC), asphalt concrete overlaid on asphalt concrete (AAC), and asphalt concrete overlaid on Portland cement concrete (APC).

Based on the record documentation incorporated within the SAPMP database throughout the years, the pavement surface types have been assigned to the various pavement sections in accordance to its work history composition. The following **Figures 3.1.4 (a) and (b)** summarize the applicable pavement types observed at this specific airport's airfield.

Figure 3.1.4 (a) Pavement Surface Type by Area (SF)



*Figure 3.1.4 (b) Pavement Surface Type by Area (%)*

3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details

The following **Table 3.1.5** displays the section-level details assembled as part of this update. The section-level details are based on the record documentation provided by the airports to FDOT and from SAPMP System Updates. The details assembled rely on the accuracy and the adequacy of data provided; however, it should be noted that characteristics such as pavement areas may be based on aerial interpretation of spatially projected imagery. The accuracy of data is presented with the intention of a network planning-level document; should the airport elect to perform rehabilitation work, it is recommended that further investigation be performed at the project level for construction purposes.

In summary, the scope of the pavement inventory update resulted in the updating of select existing pavement geometry and the development of an AutoCAD model with spatial projection for use within GIS. **Appendix A** includes the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit and the Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit which visually summarize the results of the Airfield Pavement System Inventory analysis and reporting.



Table 3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	Area (SF)	Surface Type	Est. Last Construction Date
28J	APRON	AP	APRON	4105	177.5	200	39,323	AAC	7/1/2010
28J	APRON	AP	APRON	4115	400	180	170,262	AAC	7/1/2010
28J	APRON	AP	APRON	4120	190	200	37,638	AC	1/1/2015
28J	APRON	AP	APRON	4215	231	127	29,007	AC	1/1/1986
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 27	AP RU 27	APRON	5105	203	128	29,317	AC	1/1/2011
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 35	AP RU 35	APRON	5205	200	50	5,235	AC	7/1/2009
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 35	AP RU 35	APRON	5210	98	50	6,736	AAC	1/1/2013
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	E T-HANG	TAXILANE	4305	840	20	15,004	AC	12/25/1999
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	E T-HANG	TAXILANE	4310	400	25	11,792	AC	7/1/2009
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	E T-HANG	TAXILANE	4315	300	25	8,845	AC	6/1/2015
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	N T-HANG	TAXILANE	4205	1615	25	21,999	AC	12/25/1999
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	N T-HANG	TAXILANE	4210	630	65	46,739	AC	12/25/1999
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	N T-HANG	TAXILANE	4220	600	30	17,646	AC	12/25/1999
28J	RUNWAY 17-35	RW 17-35	RUNWAY	6205	3240	75	242,316	AAC	7/1/2009
28J	RUNWAY 17-35	RW 17-35	RUNWAY	6210	172	75	15,325	AAC	7/1/2009
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RW 9-27	RUNWAY	6105	2550	100	255,800	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RW 9-27	RUNWAY	6110	2400	100	241,000	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RW 9-27	RUNWAY	6115	1045	100	103,700	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	100	1150	50	53,572	AC	1/1/2003
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	103	30	44	1,709	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	105	3680	40	129,791	AAC	1/1/2006
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	107	130	40	7,472	AAC	1/1/2006
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	110	1545	40	60,917	AAC	1/1/2006
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	120	60	40	3,723	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	125	240	40	13,575	AC	1/1/2006




Network ID	Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	Area (SF)	Surface Type	Est. Last Construction Date
28J	TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	115	160	40	2,993	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	117	450	40	20,449	AAC	1/1/2006
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	205	1730	50	69,160	AAC	7/1/2008
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	210	585	50	29,104	AAC	7/1/2008
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	215	400	50	16,000	AAC	7/1/2008
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	220	70	40	3,433	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	225	280	40	12,691	AAC	1/1/2006
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	230	125	40	11,803	AAC	7/1/2008
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	303	80	40	2,119	AAC	7/1/2012
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	305	1063	40	32,590	AAC	1/1/2013
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	306	172	40	8,714	AAC	7/1/2010
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	310	2385	40	66,344	AAC	1/1/2013
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	311	104	40	3,314	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	315	63	40	5,043	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	TAXIWAY	330	400	35	17,330	AAC	1/1/2013
28J	TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	TAXIWAY	320	490	50	18,074	AC	7/1/2009
28J	TAXIWAY C3	TW C3	TAXIWAY	405	295	40	13,867	AAC	1/1/2013
28J	TAXIWAY C3	TW C3	TAXIWAY	410	188	40	4,920	AAC	7/1/2010



PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Chapter 4





Chapter 4 – Airfield Pavement Condition


The examination of specific distress types (with causes attributed to load, climate, or other defined distress mechanism), determination of the severity of distress, and determination of the quantity of distress manifestation are required in the computation of a PCI value. The PCI provides valuable information that can be used to determine the existing condition of the pavement, possible cause of the pavement deterioration, and eventually aid in the planning of the rehabilitation of pavements. It should be noted that the PCI method of pavement condition evaluation is strictly a visual and functional evaluation. Further evaluation of the pavement condition may be necessary for design and/or project-level determination of pavement rehabilitation.

4.1 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)

4.1.1 Network-Level Analysis

The following **Figure 4.1.1** summarizes the network-level pavement condition analysis based on the most recent PCI Survey inspection results.

Figure 4.1.1 Latest Condition – Overall Network



4.1.2 Branch-Level Analysis

The following **Figures 4.1.2 (a) through (d)** summarize the branch-level pavement condition analysis based on the most recent PCI Survey inspection results; the following Figures provide overall branch-level conditions by branch use.



Figure 4.1.2 (a) Latest Condition – Runway Pavements




Figure 4.1.2 (b) Latest Condition – Taxiway Pavements






Figure 4.1.2 (c) Latest Condition – Apron Pavements





Figure 4.1.2 (d) Latest Condition – Taxiway Pavements





4.1.3 Section-Level Analysis

The following **Table 4.1.3** provides details for each pavement section of its area-weighted average PCI and the percent of distress which is related to load, climate, or other factors. The amount of distress attributed to the various causes provides insight into maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation needs. Load-related distress indicates that pavements are reaching the end of their structural design life, and for those pavements exhibiting a significant amount of these distress types, rehabilitation should be planned to strengthen or reconstruct the pavement.

Appendix C Technical Exhibits provides a technical exhibit that graphically depicts the PCI values and ratings determined from this SAPMP System Update.

Any pavement facilities subject to pavement construction within the past 2 years or anticipated for construction within the next year may have been omitted from inspection. Pavement subject to major rehabilitation will be set to a PCI of 100.



Table 4.1.3 Latest Pavement Condition Index Summary

Network ID	Branch ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	Surface	PCI	PCI Rating	PCI Pct Climate	PCI Pct Load	PCI Pct Other	Sample Units Inspected	Total Sample Units in Section
28J	AP	APRON	APRON	4105	39,323	AAC	91	Good	67%	0%	33%	1	8
28J	AP	APRON	APRON	4115	170,262	AAC	91	Good	75%	0%	25%	4	36
28J	AP	APRON	APRON	4120	37,638	AC	100	Good	0%	0%	0%	0	8
28J	AP	APRON	APRON	4215	29,007	AC	40	Very Poor	50%	37%	13%	2	7
28J	AP RU 27	Run-Up Apron RW 27	APRON	5105	29,317	AC	94	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	6
28J	AP RU 35	Run-Up Apron RW 35	APRON	5205	5,235	AC	87	Good	81%	0%	19%	1	1
28J	AP RU 35	Run-Up Apron RW 35	APRON	5210	6,736	AAC	87	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	1
28J	E T-HANG	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4305	15,004	AC	59	Fair	100%	0%	0%	1	3
28J	E T-HANG	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4310	11,792	AC	82	Satisfactory	98%	0%	2%	1	2
28J	E T-HANG	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4315	8,845	AC	100	Good	0%	0%	0%	0	3
28J	N T-HANG	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4205	21,999	AC	41	Poor	100%	0%	0%	2	6
28J	N T-HANG	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4210	46,739	AC	33	Very Poor	89%	11%	0%	1	10
28J	N T-HANG	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4220	17,646	AC	50	Poor	100%	0%	0%	1	6
28J	RW 17-35	RUNWAY 17-35	RUNWAY	6205	242,316	AAC	92	Good	100%	0%	0%	13	65
28J	RW 17-35	RUNWAY 17-35	RUNWAY	6210	15,325	AAC	93	Good	100%	0%	0%	2	4
28J	RW 9-27	RUNWAY 9-27	RUNWAY	6105	255,800	AAC	90	Good	100%	0%	0%	13	51
28J	RW 9-27	RUNWAY 9-27	RUNWAY	6110	241,000	AAC	90	Good	100%	0%	0%	8	48
28J	RW 9-27	RUNWAY 9-27	RUNWAY	6115	103,700	AAC	88	Good	100%	0%	0%	5	21
28J	TW A	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	100	53,572	AC	71	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	2	13
28J	TW A	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	103	1,709	AAC	89	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	1
28J	TW A	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	105	129,791	AAC	75	Satisfactory	86%	0%	14%	4	32
28J	TW A	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	107	7,472	AAC	35	Very Poor	100%	0%	0%	1	2
28J	TW A	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	110	60,917	AAC	80	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	3	15
28J	TW A	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	120	3,723	AAC	88	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	1
28J	TW A	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	125	13,575	AC	33	Very Poor	100%	0%	0%	1	3
28J	TW A1	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	115	2,993	AAC	88	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	1
28J	TW A1	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	117	20,449	AAC	78	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	4
28J	TW B	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	205	69,160	AAC	83	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	3	16
28J	TW B	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	210	29,104	AAC	86	Good	88%	0%	12%	2	6
28J	TW B	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	215	16,000	AAC	41	Poor	17%	0%	83%	1	4
28J	TW B	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	220	3,433	AAC	88	Good	89%	0%	11%	1	1
28J	TW B	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	225	12,691	AAC	52	Poor	94%	0%	6%	1	3
28J	TW B	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	230	11,803	AAC	79	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	2
28J	TW C	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	303	2,119	AAC	76	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	1
28J	TW C	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	305	32,590	AAC	92	Good	100%	0%	0%	2	9
28J	TW C	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	306	8,714	AAC	79	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	2




Network ID	Branch ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	Surface	PCI	PCI Rating	PCI Pct Climate	PCI Pct Load	PCI Pct Other	Sample Units Inspected	Total Sample Units in Section
28J	TW C	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	310	66,344	AAC	90	Good	100%	0%	0%	3	18
28J	TW C	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	311	3,314	AAC	81	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	1
28J	TW C	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	315	5,043	AAC	87	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	1
28J	TW C1	TAXIWAY C1	TAXIWAY	330	17,330	AAC	87	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	4
28J	TW C2	TAXIWAY C2	TAXIWAY	320	18,074	AC	92	Good	100%	0%	0%	2	5
28J	TW C3	TAXIWAY C3	TAXIWAY	405	13,867	AAC	94	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	3
28J	TW C3	TAXIWAY C3	TAXIWAY	410	4,920	AAC	86	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	1



Figure 4.1.3 is an inset view of the 2017 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit that visually represents the results of the latest PCI Survey inspection. A large format exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**.

Figure 4.1.3 2017 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit





4.2 Summary of Pavement Condition Evaluation Results

4.2.1 Network-Level Observations

The field PCI Survey performed at Palatka Municipal-LT Kay Larkin Field (28J) was started and completed on 01/23/2017. The resulting overall average area-weighted PCI value was 83 representing a condition rating of Satisfactory. Palatka Municipal-LT Kay Larkin Field (28J) is served by two runways; Runway 09-27 is 100-ft wide and 6,000-ft long and Runway 17-35 which is 75-ft wide and 3,510-ft long.

Based on the FAA 5010 Report as of 06/12/2017 the Airport has reported 37,186 operations for 12 months ending 02/15/2016.

4.2.2 Branch-Level Observations

Runway 09-27

Runway 9-27 consists of 3 sections constructed of AAC. The last construction year for Runway 9-27 was 2011. The average area-weighted PCI for Runway 9-27 is 89 representing a Good condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate distress classifications. Distresses observed in Runway 9-27 include Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking and Weathering.

Runway 17-35

Runway 17-35 consists of 2 sections constructed of AAC. The last construction year for Runway 17-35 was 2009. The average area-weighted PCI for Runway 17-35 is 92 representing a Good condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate distress classifications. Distresses observed in Runway 17-35 include Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, and Weathering.

Taxiway A

Taxiway A consists of 7 sections constructed of AC and AAC. The last construction years vary from 2003 to 2011. The average area-weighted PCI for Taxiway A is 72 representing a Satisfactory condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate and Other distress classifications. Distresses observed in Taxiway A include Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Raveling, Slippage Cracking, and Weathering.

Taxiway B

Taxiway B consists of 6 sections constructed of AAC. The last construction years vary from 2006 to 2011. The average area-weighted PCI for Taxiway B is 75 representing a Satisfactory condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate and Other distress classifications. Distresses observed in Taxiway B include Bleeding, Depression, Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Patching, Raveling, Swelling, and Weathering.

Taxiway C

Taxiway C consists of 6 sections constructed of AAC. The last construction years vary from 2010 to 2013. The average area-weighted PCI for Taxiway C is 89 representing a Good condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate distress classifications. Distresses observed in Taxiway C include Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Raveling, and Weathering.



Apron

Apron consists of 4 sections constructed of AC and AAC. The last construction years vary from 1986 to 2015. The average area-weighted PCI for Apron is 86 representing a Good condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate, Load, and Other distress classifications. Distresses observed in Apron include Alligator Cracking, Block Cracking, Depression, Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Oil Spillage, Raveling, and Weathering.

Three of the four sections in the Apron branch have a Good condition rating; however, section 4215 has a PCI value of 40, a condition rating of Very Poor. This pavement is older and is exhibiting significant structural related distresses.

North T-Hangars Taxilanes

North T-Hangars Taxilanes consists of 3 sections constructed of AC. The last construction year for North T-Hangars Taxilanes was 1999. The average area-weighted PCI is 38 representing a Very Poor condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate and Load distress classifications. Distresses observed in North T-Hangars Taxilanes include Alligator Cracking, Block Cracking, Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Patching, and Raveling.

Figure 4.2.2 Pavement Condition Summary by Facility Use

Facility Use	Average Area-Weighted PCI	Condition Rating
Runway	90	Good
Taxiway	78	Satisfactory
Apron	87	Good
Taxilane	49	Poor



4.3 Forecasted Pavement Conditions

4.3.1 Performance Models and Prediction Curves

Pavement Performance Models are developed from the distress data and historic construction records collected for the SAPMP. This data is consolidated in a database and organized by inspection/construction date, pavement type, age, and pavement use. The pavement Performance Models are used to develop broad Prediction Curves, alternatively known as deterioration curves or family curves. These Prediction Curves are utilized to develop forecasted PCI values based on historic trends and statistical models.

4.3.2 Branch-Level Pavement Condition Forecast

The following **Figures 4.3.2 (a) through (c)** depict the branch-level pavement condition forecast by Branch Use (Runway, Taxiway, and/or Apron). The forecasted conditions are for a 10-year duration starting in January 2018 through January 2027.

Figure 4.3.2 (a) Forecasted Runway Pavement Performance






Figure 4.3.2 (b) Forecasted Taxiway Pavement Performance





Figure 4.3.2 (c) Forecasted Apron Pavement Performance





4.3.3 Section-Level Pavement Condition Forecast

The following **Table 4.3.3** provides detail to the forecasted PCI values for each section inspected. Please note the forecasted Branch- and Section-Level PCI's are for planning purposes and are subject to the sensitivities in changes in traffic and maintenance frequency. Airport staff should perform annual visual condition assessments to maintain recent understanding of pavement conditions.



Table 4.3.3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	Forecasted PCI									
				2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
28J	AP	4105	91	86	84	82	80	79	78	76	74	71	69
28J	AP	4115	91	86	84	82	80	79	78	76	74	71	69
28J	AP	4120	100	95	93	92	90	88	87	85	84	82	80
28J	AP	4215	40	38	36	35	33	32	30	28	27	25	24
28J	AP RU 27	5105	94	92	90	89	87	86	84	82	81	79	78
28J	AP RU 35	5205	87	85	83	82	80	79	77	75	74	72	71
28J	AP RU 35	5210	87	84	82	81	79	78	76	74	72	69	66
28J	E T-HANG	4305	59	57	56	55	53	52	50	49	47	46	44
28J	E T-HANG	4310	82	79	77	76	74	72	71	70	69	68	67
28J	E T-HANG	4315	100	94	91	89	86	84	81	79	77	75	74
28J	N T-HANG	4205	41	39	38	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29
28J	N T-HANG	4210	33	32	31	30	29	28	28	27	26	24	23
28J	N T-HANG	4220	50	48	46	45	43	42	40	38	37	36	34
28J	RW 17-35	6205	92	89	87	85	82	80	77	75	73	70	68
28J	RW 17-35	6210	93	90	88	86	83	81	79	76	74	71	69
28J	RW 9-27	6105	90	87	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	68	67
28J	RW 9-27	6110	90	87	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	68	67
28J	RW 9-27	6115	88	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	69	67	65
28J	TW A	100	71	69	68	67	66	65	65	64	63	62	61
28J	TW A	103	89	86	83	81	79	77	76	75	73	72	71
28J	TW A	105	75	73	72	72	71	70	69	68	67	66	65
28J	TW A	107	35	30	26	22	18	14	11	7	3	0	0
28J	TW A	110	80	78	76	75	74	73	72	71	70	69	68
28J	TW A	120	88	85	83	80	78	77	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW A	125	33	32	31	30	29	28	28	27	26	24	23




Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	Forecasted PCI									
				2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
28J	TW A1	115	88	85	83	80	78	77	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW A1	117	78	76	75	74	73	72	71	70	69	68	67
28J	TW B	205	83	80	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70
28J	TW B	210	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	71
28J	TW B	215	41	38	35	30	26	22	18	14	10	7	3
28J	TW B	220	88	85	83	80	78	77	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW B	225	52	50	49	47	46	45	44	44	43	43	43
28J	TW B	230	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68
28J	TW C	303	76	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68	67	66
28J	TW C	305	92	89	86	83	81	79	77	76	75	73	72
28J	TW C	306	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68
28J	TW C	310	90	87	84	82	80	78	76	75	74	73	72
28J	TW C	311	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	70	70	69
28J	TW C	315	87	84	82	80	78	76	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW C1	330	87	84	82	80	78	76	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW C2	320	92	89	87	84	82	80	78	76	74	72	71
28J	TW C3	405	94	91	88	85	83	80	79	77	75	74	73
28J	TW C3	410	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	71



4.3.4 Forecasted PCI Considerations

As FDOT continues to update the SAPMP with future PCI Survey inspections and assembly of airfield pavement construction work history, the performance models will be further refined. With the refinement of additional PCI and work history data points, the forecasting of pavement conditions will continue to better reflect the performance trends of airfield pavements in the Florida Airports System. Forecasted or predicted pavement conditions for the airport are intended for planning purposes only. Design-level recommendations for pavement rehabilitation and/or reconstruction will require the appropriate application of the procedures defined in FAA **AC 150/5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation** and **AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements** to determine structural and/or functional conditions at the time of project.

Chapter 5





Chapter 5 – Localized Maintenance and Repair Planning

General Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) methods are characterized under three broad categories: localized maintenance and repair, global treatments, and major rehabilitation.

- **Localized Maintenance and Repair** includes patching and crack sealing.
- **Global Treatments** includes surface seals and rejuvenators (flexible pavements).
- **Major Rehabilitation** includes overlays, significant slab replacement, and reconstruction.

This chapter discusses the FDOT SAPMP Localized Maintenance and Repair Planning approach. Proactive localized maintenance and repair, specifically preservation, is highly recommended to the airports. However, it is certainly recognized that once pavements have deteriorated below a certain condition, the facility would benefit from a more substantial rehabilitation in lieu of localized efforts. Chapter 6 Major Rehabilitation Planning discusses the addressing of pavements through timely rehabilitation once it has deteriorated below a critical PCI where localized repairs may not be as cost effective.

5.1 Localized Maintenance and Repair

Localized maintenance and repair is best applied as a conservation measure and is oftentimes applied to slow the rate of deterioration of distress pavements; however, may be applied as a temporary corrective measure in isolated areas. Localized maintenance and repair can be applied either as a safety (“stopgap”) measure or preventive measure. Example distress types subject to localized preventive maintenance and repair may consist of low-severity longitudinal and transverse cracking and low-severity weathering. In many cases however, localized stopgap repair is applied as a safety measure to address high-severity distress manifestations when major rehabilitation is not funded for a given section with a PCI value below critical PCI. Some agencies may elect to define both types; preventative and stopgap, as localized maintenance.

Localized Stopgap/Safety Maintenance and Repair

Localized Stopgap or Safety Maintenance and Repair is defined as the localized distress repair needed to keep pavements operational in a safe condition. These activities are typically applied to high-severity distresses or distresses affecting operational activities. Typical pavement section PCIs will range from 0 to 65.

Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair

Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair is defined as distress maintenance activities performed with the primary objective of slowing the rate of deterioration. These activities typically include crack sealing and patching. Typical pavement section PCIs will be above 65.



5.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy

The resulting Localized Maintenance and Repair recommendations are identified based on the policy defined in **Table 5.2.1** and **Table 5.2.2**, for flexible asphalt concrete and rigid Portland cement concrete pavements, respectively. The activities identified were based on the research of practical pavement treatments in consideration of the FAA **AC 150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements”** and the **FDOT Airfield Pavement Distress Repair Manual**. Additionally, the **Engineering Technical Letter (ETL) 14-3: Preventive Maintenance Plan (PMP) for Airfield Pavements** was referenced for conservative application of pavement treatments. The Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy and associated planning-level unit costs were developed in consideration of a network-level analysis – it is strictly intended to provide a glimpse of the condition of the airport pavements with a limited PCI survey effort.

The developed Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy and associated planning-level unit costs were based on a statewide consideration of pavement treatments and review of state construction costs for both Airfield Pavements and from the FDOT Historical Cost Information archives. Furthermore, a consideration of limited repair quantities was factored in the determination of conservative planning-level unit costs. The identified Localized maintenance activities for both preventive and stopgap activities are based on a statewide network approach; project-specific evaluation and maintenance quantities should be developed prior to any construction.

Table 5.2-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
41	Low	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
41	Medium	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
41	High	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
42	N/A	BLEEDING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
43	Low	BLOCK CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
43	Medium	BLOCK CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
43	High	BLOCK CR	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
44	Low	CORRUGATION	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
44	Medium	CORRUGATION	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
44	High	CORRUGATION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	Low	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	Medium	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	High	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
46	High	JET BLAST	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
46	N/A	JET BLAST	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
47	Low	JT REF. CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
47	Medium	JT REF. CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
47	High	JT REF. CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft



Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
48	Low	L & T CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
48	Medium	L & T CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
48	High	L & T CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
49	N/A	OIL SPILLAGE	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
50	Low	PATCHING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
50	Medium	PATCHING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
50	High	PATCHING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
51	N/A	POLISHED AG	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
52	Low	RAVELING	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
52	Medium	RAVELING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
52	High	RAVELING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
53	Low	RUTTING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
53	Medium	RUTTING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
53	High	RUTTING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
54	Low	SHOVING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
54	Medium	SHOVING	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
54	High	SHOVING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
55	N/A	SLIPPAGE CR	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
56	Low	SWELLING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
56	Medium	SWELLING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
56	High	SWELLING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
57	Low	WEATHERING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
57	Medium	WEATHERING	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
57	High	WEATHERING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt

Table 5.2-2 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
61	Low	BLOW-UP	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
61	Medium	BLOW-UP	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
61	High	BLOW-UP	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
62	Low	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
62	Medium	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
62	High	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
63	Low	LINEAR CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
63	Medium	LINEAR CR	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
63	High	LINEAR CR	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt



Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
64	Low	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
64	Medium	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
64	High	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
65	Low	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
65	Medium	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
65	High	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
66	Low	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
66	Medium	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
66	High	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
67	Low	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
67	Medium	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
67	High	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
68	N/A	POPOUTS	FDOT-PO-FL	FDOT - POPOUT FILLER	SqFt
69	N/A	PUMPING	FDOT-SB-PC	FDOT – SLAB STABILIZATION - PCC	SqFt
70	Low	SCALING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
70	Medium	SCALING	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
70	High	SCALING	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
71	Low	FAULTING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
71	Medium	FAULTING	FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	Ft
71	High	FAULTING	FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	Ft
72	Low	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
72	Medium	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
72	High	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
73	N/A	SHRINKAGE CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
74	Low	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
74	Medium	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
74	High	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
75	Low	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
75	Medium	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
75	High	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
76	Low	ASR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
76	Medium	ASR	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
76	High	ASR	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt



Table 5.2-3 (a) Localized Repair Planning-Level Unit Costs – Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Code	Name	Cost	Units
FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	\$0.55	SqFt
FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	\$2.00	SqFt
FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	\$2.00	Ft
FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	\$3.00	Ft
FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	\$0.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	\$6.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	\$3.00	SqFt

Table 5.2-3 (b) Localized M&R Planning-Level Unit Costs – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Code	Name	Cost	Units
FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	\$100.00	SqFt
FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	\$30.00	SqFt
FDOT-SB-PC	FDOT - SLAB STABILIZATION - PCC	\$30.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	\$72.00	SqFt
FDOT-PO-FL	FDOT - POPOUT FILLER	\$0.05	SqFt
FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	\$2.00	Ft
FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	\$4.25	Ft
FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	\$0.00	N/A
FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	\$2.75	Ft

*PCC Patching (Full Depth and Partial Depth) consider high-early-strength and high-performing repair material.



5.3 Localized Maintenance and Repair Analysis and Recommendations

The SAPMP provides a planning-level estimation of Localized Maintenance and Repair based on the results of the latest PCI Survey Inspection performed at the airport. Based on the limited sample units inspected, a statistical extrapolation of distresses at the section level is used to estimate the quantities of recommended repair activities based on the policies defined in **5.2 Localized M&R Policy**. The PCI Survey Inspections did not consist of 100% inspection of all sample units; therefore, the section-level distress quantities used to estimate the Localized Maintenance and Repair needs are for conceptual planning purposes. The accuracy of the extrapolated distresses, and therefore work quantities, is subject to the amount of sample units inspected and the concentration of distress types observed in sample units. **Appendix B** provides the estimated Localized Maintenance and Repair based on this SAPMP's PCI Survey Inspection efforts. Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair is typically applied to pavements that are in a condition at or above the Critical PCI of 65. Localized Stopgap Maintenance and Repair is typically applied to pavements that are below the Critical PCI of 65. It is recommended that airport staff evaluate the application of Localized Maintenance and Repair in concert with the planning of Major Rehabilitation efforts identified in Chapter 6 Major Rehabilitation Planning. Pavements with Stopgap recommendations that are subject to near-term Major Rehabilitation efforts may remove the need to perform localized maintenance efforts.

The following **Table 5.3-1** summarizes the anticipated Localized Maintenance and Repair efforts based on the PCI Survey Inspection efforts performed at this airport as part of this SAPMP System Update. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

Table 5.3-1 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Network Level

Work Description	Work Category	Rough Estimate of Work Quantity	Work Units	Planning Material Cost
FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	PREVENTIVE	68,285	SqFt	\$ 37,560.00
FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	PREVENTIVE	2,230	SqFt	\$ 6,680.00
FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	PREVENTIVE	100	SqFt	\$ 590.00
FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	STOPGAP	1,990	SqFt	\$ 11,940.00
FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	STOPGAP	107,575	SqFt	\$ 59,170.00
FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	STOPGAP	2,430	Ft	\$ 7,290.00
FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	STOPGAP	50,365	SqFt	\$ 151,100.00



The following **Table 5.3-2** provides further breakdown of the anticipated planning-level cost at the section level for the pavements exhibiting distresses that would benefit from Localized M&R. The table shows the approximate improved “End Condition” of the section after the application of Localized M&R. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

Table 5.3-2 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Section Level

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Area (SF)	Start Condition	End Condition	Cost
28J	AP	4105	39,323	91	92	\$ 530.00
28J	AP	4115	170,262	91	91	\$ 1,700.00
28J	AP	4120	37,638	100	100	\$ -
28J	AP	4215	29,007	40	60	\$ 26,080.00
28J	AP RU 27	5105	29,317	94	94	\$ -
28J	AP RU 35	5205	5,235	87	89	\$ 270.00
28J	AP RU 35	5210	6,736	87	89	\$ 30.00
28J	E T-HANG	4305	15,004	59	69	\$ 5,190.00
28J	E T-HANG	4310	11,792	82	82	\$ 180.00
28J	E T-HANG	4315	8,845	100	100	\$ -
28J	N T-HANG	4205	21,999	41	58	\$ 33,270.00
28J	N T-HANG	4210	46,739	33	54	\$ 77,120.00
28J	N T-HANG	4220	17,646	50	64	\$ 13,650.00
28J	RW 17-35	6205	242,316	92	92	\$ -
28J	RW 17-35	6210	15,325	93	93	\$ -
28J	RW 9-27	6105	255,800	90	90	\$ -
28J	RW 9-27	6110	241,000	90	90	\$ -
28J	RW 9-27	6115	103,700	88	88	\$ -
28J	TW A	100	53,572	71	89	\$ 24,330.00
28J	TW A	103	1,709	89	89	\$ -
28J	TW A	105	129,791	75	83	\$ 11,080.00
28J	TW A	107	7,472	35	57	\$ 18,570.00
28J	TW A	110	60,917	80	83	\$ 780.00
28J	TW A	120	3,723	88	88	\$ -
28J	TW A	125	13,575	33	57	\$ 40,740.00
28J	TW A1	115	2,993	88	88	\$ -
28J	TW A1	117	20,449	78	87	\$ 1,690.00
28J	TW B	205	69,160	83	89	\$ 1,660.00
28J	TW B	210	29,104	86	89	\$ 260.00
28J	TW B	215	16,000	41	45	\$ 220.00
28J	TW B	220	3,433	88	87	\$ 160.00
28J	TW B	225	12,691	52	68	\$ 14,760.00
28J	TW B	230	11,803	79	84	\$ 650.00




Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Area (SF)	Start Condition	End Condition	Cost
28J	TW C	303	2,119	76	95	\$ 470.00
28J	TW C	305	32,590	92	94	\$ 190.00
28J	TW C	306	8,714	79	87	\$ 600.00
28J	TW C	310	66,344	90	90	\$ -
28J	TW C	311	3,314	81	89	\$ 170.00
28J	TW C	315	5,043	87	87	\$ -
28J	TW C1	330	17,330	87	87	\$ -
28J	TW C2	320	18,074	92	93	\$ 10.00
28J	TW C3	405	13,867	94	94	\$ -
28J	TW C3	410	4,920	86	92	\$ 140.00

The following **Table 5.3-3** provides a summary of the anticipated planning-level costs for Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair and Localized Stopgap Maintenance and Repair. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

Table 5.3-3 Summary of Localized Maintenance

Work Category	Cost
Preventive	\$ 44,830.00
Stopgap	\$ 229,500.00
Planning Level Localized M&R Needs =	\$ 274,330.00

Chapter 6





Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation Planning

6.1 Major Rehabilitation

Major rehabilitation is recommended to correct or improve structural deficiencies and/or functional deterioration for pavement sections within a network. Often, when pavements are subject to significant changes in the aircraft fleet mix (frequency and type), major rehabilitation is required to provide a pavement section to meet the traffic demand. Major rehabilitation is recommended when a pavement section falls below the Critical PCI value that is defined during the system customization or if a pavement section has a significant observation of load-related distress. Observation of any load-related distress potentially indicates that the section may be structurally deficient or that the aircraft loads being applied to the pavement section are different than what the section was designed for. **Figures 6.1-1 and 6.1-2** depict the decision process for major rehabilitation project identification with the assumption of available funds. Should funding be unavailable for pavement sections in need of major rehabilitation, the airport may elect to apply the appropriate localized stopgap repair.

Figure 6.1-1 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, $PCI \leq \text{Critical PCI}$







Figure 6.1-2 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, $PCI > \text{Critical } PCI$





6.1.1 Critical PCI

For the FDOT SAPMP the development of a major rehabilitation program is based on the Critical PCI concept. The **Critical PCI** concept assumes that it is more cost-effective to maintain pavements above, rather than below their critical PCI. It is assumed that once a pavement section deteriorates to the Critical PCI value that it is more cost-effective to complete a major rehabilitation project rather than continuing to apply preventive maintenance. This method includes defining the Critical PCI and introducing major rehabilitation work types.

Identification of annual and long-range Major Rehabilitation work plans are typically based on the Critical PCI concept. The Critical PCI is defined as the PCI value at which the rate of loss (deterioration) increases with time, or the cost of applying localized maintenance and repair increases or is not effective. A Critical PCI is usually within a range of 55 and 70; the following procedure is standard approach in developing a specific Critical PCI:

1. Develop a pavement performance model and refine a prediction model for the pavements considered.
2. Select a localized maintenance and repair policy to be used in developing a work plan.
3. Apply the selected localized policy to the pavement sections for a range of PCI.
4. Compute the unit cost per area for each PCI range.
5. Plot the cost versus the PCI.
6. Determine the Critical PCI based on the point where the cost is insignificant.

The FDOT SAPMP defines the Critical PCI at 65 – this is based on the historic trends in pavement performance and Statewide planning efforts.

6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI

The FDOT has recommended **Minimum Service-Level PCI** for airports' airfield pavements based on the following characteristics; airport type within FDOT SAPMP, branch use, and expected aircraft operations. For the purposes of Major Rehabilitation, the Critical PCI is typically the threshold condition that triggers major construction, however it is recommended that the airports maintain the Minimum Service-Level PCI with a combination of Localized Maintenance and Repair and timely Major Rehabilitation. **Table 6.1.2** summarizes the FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI.

Table 6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI

Branch Use	FDOT Recommended PCI	Additional Consideration
Runway	75	Aircraft Fleet Mix Changes Primary Runway
Taxiway / Taxilane	65	Aircraft Fleet Mix Changes Expected Operations
Aprons / Run-Ups / Ramps	60	Ground Service Equipment Non-Aircraft Operations (e.g. fueling)



6.2 Major Rehabilitation Policy

6.2.1 Major Rehabilitation Pavement Section Development

The review of the existing as-built record documentation within the participating airports' archives was used as the basis of the conceptual pavement design sections. Refinement of the pavement section layers was performed in consideration of the FAA **AC 150/5320-6F "Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation."** It should be noted that no subsurface geotechnical investigation, ALTA/ACSM Survey, topographic survey, utilities survey, environmental, or site specific air traffic study(s) have been utilized in the development of the design criteria. No warranty or assurance is implied in this document for final design nor construction for any airfield pavements discussed within this report. The following **Tables 6.2.1 (a) and (b)** provide details on the conceptual pavement sections developed for this study.

Major rehabilitation is divided into two policy categories as part of this program: Full-Depth Reconstruction (Reconstruction) and Intermediate-Level Major Rehabilitation (Restoration). Based on the pavement type, the general categories are defined as AC Reconstruction and AC Restoration for AC, AAC, and APC flexible pavement types and PCC Reconstruction and PCC Restoration for PCC rigid pavement types. The pavement sections have been based on the average GA Airport Type requirements; no pavement design has been performed in accordance with AC 150/5320-6F for the determined conceptual sections.

Table 6.2.1 (a) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Rehabilitation Type	General Aviation (GA) Airport
AC Restoration <i>Combination of asphalt pavement milling and overlay with 25% of the areas subject to full-depth reconstruction.</i> PCI = 41 to 65	75% Mill and Overlay P-101 AC Milling (2") P-603 Bituminous Tack P-401 (HMA) (2") 25% AC Reconstruction P-101 Pavement Removal P-152 Subgrade (12") P-211 Base (6") P-602 Bituminous Prime P-603 Bituminous Tack P-401 HMA (2") <i>Excludes any paved shoulder features.</i>
AC Reconstruction <i>Full-depth asphalt pavement section reconstruction.</i> PCI = 40 or less	P-101 Pavement Removal P-152 Subgrade (12") P-211 Base (6") P-602 Bituminous Prime P-603 Bituminous Tack P-401 HMA (2") <i>Excludes any paved shoulder features.</i>



Table 6.2.1 (b) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Rehabilitation Type	General Aviation (GA) Airport
PCC Restoration <i>Restoration of PCC pavement with a combination of crack sealing, joint seal replacement, and replacement of 25% of slab panels.</i> PCI = 41 to 65	P-101 Pavement Removal P-605 Joint Seal Repair P-152 Subgrade (6") P-211 Base (if needed, typical) (6") P-501 Rigid PCC (10") *Select Slabs (25%) **Crack Seal and Limited Patching
PCC Reconstruction <i>Full-depth rigid pavement section reconstruction.</i> PCI = 40 or less	P-101 Pavement Removal P-605 Joint Seal Repair P-152 Subgrade (6") P-211 Base (6") P-501 Rigid PCC (10")

The identification of rehabilitation needs and conceptual pavement sections have been determined at the planning level. Design-level investigation is recommended prior to developing construction-level design documents and budgets.

In compliance with FAA Grant Assurances 11 and 19, the FDOT SAPMP provides airports with airfield pavement evaluation reports in accordance with **FAA AC 150/5380-7B Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)** and **AC 150/5380-6C Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements**. The application of the results of a PCI survey are for planning purposes and are limited to the visual observation of deteriorated pavements in limited sampling; design-level investigation is recommended in accordance with the FAA procedures defined in **AC 5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation** and **AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements**. The aforementioned ACs provide the design-level material properties of in-situ pavement and subgrade layers for the determination of appropriate rehabilitation actions. The FDOT SAPMP is organized to provide airports with planning-level data and does not intend to preclude the responsible engineer in performing the appropriate level of investigation and analysis in determining the appropriate design details of a pavement rehabilitation. It would not be advisable to solely base design-level rehabilitation without the appropriate level of investigation and determination of pavement deterioration beyond that of a visual functional condition assessment.

6.2.2 Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Costs

Planning-level opinion of probable construction unit costs developed for this System Update was based on archived bid tabulations and records from airfield pavement projects provided by participating airports. A review of cost trends and cost factors have been incorporated to assist airports in planning for project budgets. Neither FDOT nor the Consultant Team has control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable construction costs



provided herein are based on the information known to FDOT at this time and represent only the Consultant Team's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. This report cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable construction costs.

Table 6.2.2 General Aviation Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Cost by Pavement Type

Rehabilitation Type	PCI Range	Flexible Asphalt Concrete Cost Per SF	Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Cost per SF
Restoration	41 to 65	\$ 7.00	\$ 10.00
Reconstruction	0 to 40	\$ 9.00	\$ 15.00

Planning-level opinion of probable construction unit costs consider factors for non-pavement improvements, QA/QC testing, and administrative costs.

6.3 Major Rehabilitation Needs

The objective of the major pavement rehabilitation needs analysis is to provide planning-level projects within an airport's airfield pavement network. Major rehabilitation activities are recommended when a pavement section has deteriorated below the Critical PCI value, a point at which localized maintenance and repair activities may not be the most cost-effective solution. In addition, major rehabilitation is also recommended when the Section PCI is at or above the Critical PCI but the section has significant load-related PCI distresses. Identification of rehabilitation needs is done at the Airfield Pavement Network Definition's section level. This however does not limit the airport from further refining limits of project planning areas.

Major rehabilitation is identified within the FDOT SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or resetting of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Major rehabilitation recommendations (AC Restoration, AC Reconstruction, PCC Restoration, and PCC Reconstruction) should be considered as planning-level only. Additional design-level investigation in accordance to the FAA Advisory Circulars will be required. Recommendations identified within this planning document do not imply final design.

6.3.1 10-Year Unconstrained Budget Major Rehabilitation Needs

An unconstrained budget (unlimited budget) is performed for a 10-year duration to identify pavement rehabilitation needs based on current or forecasted PCI values deteriorating below the Critical PCI. FDOT recognizes airports are constrained by budgets and does not intend to convey an unrealistic approach of addressing pavement rehabilitation. The intent of the 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs analysis is to identify pavements that will warrant rehabilitation. It is highly recommended that airport staff utilize this information in support of the development of a practical Capital Improvement Program based on priorities, further design/project-level investigation, and budgetary constraints. The following **Table 6.3.1** summarizes all identified section-level major rehabilitation needs forecasted for the next 10-year period. It should be noted that the following table depicts planning-level costs and have been rounded for planning purposes.



Table 6.3.1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs

Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	28J	AP	4215	AC	29,007	38	AC Reconstruction	\$ 262,000.00
2018	28J	E T-HANG	4305	AC	15,004	57	AC Restoration	\$ 106,000.00
2018	28J	N T-HANG	4205	AC	21,999	39	AC Restoration	\$ 199,000.00
2018	28J	N T-HANG	4210	AC	46,739	32	AC Reconstruction	\$ 421,000.00
2018	28J	N T-HANG	4220	AC	17,646	48	AC Restoration	\$ 129,000.00
2018	28J	TW A	107	AAC	7,472	30	AC Reconstruction	\$ 68,000.00
2018	28J	TW A	125	AC	13,575	32	AC Reconstruction	\$ 123,000.00
2018	28J	TW B	215	AAC	16,000	38	AC Restoration	\$ 145,000.00
2018	28J	TW B	225	AAC	12,691	50	AC Restoration	\$ 89,000.00
2024	28J	TW A	100	AC	53,572	64	AC Restoration	\$ 376,000.00

**All values have been rounded to the nearest thousand-dollar.*

The following **Figure 6.3.1-1** summarizes the section-level major rehabilitation needs for a 10-year period between 2018 and 2027. **Figure 6.3.1-2** provides an inset view of Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit, a large format exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit graphically depicts the Major Rehabilitation Needs with rounded costs.

Figure 6.3.1-1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year








Figure 6.3.1-2 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year Exhibit



Chapter 7





Chapter 7 – Conclusion

7.1 Recommendations

7.1.1 Continued PCI Survey Inspections

It is recommended that the airport continue to perform regularly scheduled PCI Survey inspections in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 (or latest edition) to monitor the condition of the airfield pavement facilities.

A high priority should be considered for continuous maintenance record keeping and re-inspection of all the airport's maintained pavement facilities to ensure continued safe aircraft operations. A series of scheduled periodic inspections must be carried out for an effective maintenance program. Re-inspection of pavements should be scheduled in a timely manner to ensure that all areas, particularly those that may not come under day-to-day observation, are thoroughly evaluated and reported.

7.1.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair

While deterioration of the pavements due to usage and exposure to the environment cannot be completely prevented, applying timely and effective maintenance efforts can slow the anticipated rate of deterioration. Lack of adequate and timely maintenance is the significant factor in pavement deterioration.

It is recommended that airport sponsors coordinate with their respective Airport Maintenance staff and Airport Engineer when developing project-level maintenance and repair efforts.

7.1.3 Major Rehabilitation

Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation Planning identified major pavement rehabilitation project needs from 2018-2027. The identification of the rehabilitation needs was performed at the section level for manageable project areas with the assumption of an unconstrained budget scenario. Given the uncertainty in the airport-specific budget information and prioritization goals, the unconstrained budget scenario was performed to evaluate the worst-case scenario and identify all the inspected pavements' needs in a 10-year period. Certainly, it is understood that most airports are faced with constrained budgets; further evaluation of projects based on prioritization, operational criticality, funding availability, and practicality is recommended.

7.1.4 Pavement Management System

The following recommendations are made to fully implement an effective pavement management program for the airport:

- ▶ Develop a detailed preventive maintenance program for the airport.
- ▶ Further refine and implement the identified 10-year major rehabilitation needs.
- ▶ Maintain detailed records on pavement maintenance, construction, and inspection.
- ▶ Maintain records on major pavement construction projects (year, scope, cost, and construction documents).



7.2 Supporting Documents

001 – Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit depicts the airfield layout in a manner that defines the airfield pavement infrastructure as branches, sections, and sample units in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12. The exhibit is intended for planning purposes only – further detail on facilities can be found on the Airport's adopted Airport Layout Plan. Detailed characteristics are tabulated in **Appendix A Pavement Analysis Tables**.

002 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit depicts any recent and/or anticipated construction activity within the airfield pavement facilities reported by airport staff. The exhibit is intended to schematically identify the pavement limits of works and general work description. The information reported on the **Airport Response Form** provided by each participating airport was used as the basis of the changes; furthermore, changes are confirmed at the airport with airport staff during the in-brief and debrief meeting.

003 – Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit is a visual summary of the latest conditions calculated from the results of the PCI Survey performed at the airport. The analysis of the distresses surveyed in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 (referenced in **Appendix E Inspection Distress Details**) were analyzed using PAVER™ software to determine PCI values. The PCI values are identified in the exhibit and graphically represented using the standard ASTM D5340-12 colors for condition rating categories.

004 – Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit has been prepared based on the section condition analysis, pavement condition forecasts, and major rehabilitation needs analysis. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with the associated rehabilitation type activity, program year, and the planning-level costs. The area limits, rehabilitation type, and planning-level costs should not be considered a design-level recommendation. A tabulation of the 10-Year Major Rehabilitation is located in **Appendix B Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and Major Rehabilitation**.

Inspection Photograph Documentation

Representative field conditions from the PCI Survey are documented with digital photographs located in **Appendix D Inspection Photograph Documentation**. Select photographs are provided with limited caption on the distresses observed – the Appendix does not contain photographs for every sample unit.



7.3 Conclusion

The FDOT SAPMP Update Phase 1 2016-2017 was completed for the airport on behalf of the FDOT ASO in accordance with the Advisory Circulars **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)”** and **150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements.”** FDOT’s implementation of the SAPMP has assisted public airports with this requirement in performing PCI survey inspections and analysis in accordance with the ASTM **D5340-12 “Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.”**

Appendix A

Airfield Pavement Analysis Tables



Table A-1 Pavement System Inventory Details

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	Area (SF)	Surface Type	Est. Last Construction Date
28J	APRON	AP	APRON	4105	177.5	200	39,323	AAC	7/1/2010
28J	APRON	AP	APRON	4115	400	180	170,262	AAC	7/1/2010
28J	APRON	AP	APRON	4120	190	200	37,638	AC	1/1/2015
28J	APRON	AP	APRON	4215	231	127	29,007	AC	1/1/1986
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 27	AP RU 27	APRON	5105	203	128	29,317	AC	1/1/2011
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 35	AP RU 35	APRON	5205	200	50	5,235	AC	7/1/2009
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 35	AP RU 35	APRON	5210	98	50	6,736	AAC	1/1/2013
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	E T-HANG	TAXILANE	4305	840	20	15,004	AC	12/25/1999
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	E T-HANG	TAXILANE	4310	400	25	11,792	AC	7/1/2009
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	E T-HANG	TAXILANE	4315	300	25	8,845	AC	6/1/2015
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	N T-HANG	TAXILANE	4205	1615	25	21,999	AC	12/25/1999
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	N T-HANG	TAXILANE	4210	630	65	46,739	AC	12/25/1999
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	N T-HANG	TAXILANE	4220	600	30	17,646	AC	12/25/1999
28J	RUNWAY 17-35	RW 17-35	RUNWAY	6205	3240	75	242,316	AAC	7/1/2009
28J	RUNWAY 17-35	RW 17-35	RUNWAY	6210	172	75	15,325	AAC	7/1/2009
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RW 9-27	RUNWAY	6105	2550	100	255,800	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RW 9-27	RUNWAY	6110	2400	100	241,000	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RW 9-27	RUNWAY	6115	1045	100	103,700	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	100	1150	50	53,572	AC	1/1/2003
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	103	30	44	1,709	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	105	3680	40	129,791	AAC	1/1/2006
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	107	130	40	7,472	AAC	1/1/2006
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	110	1545	40	60,917	AAC	1/1/2006
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	120	60	40	3,723	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	125	240	40	13,575	AC	1/1/2006
28J	TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	115	160	40	2,993	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	117	450	40	20,449	AAC	1/1/2006
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	205	1730	50	69,160	AAC	7/1/2008
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	210	585	50	29,104	AAC	7/1/2008
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	215	400	50	16,000	AAC	7/1/2008
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	220	70	40	3,433	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	225	280	40	12,691	AAC	1/1/2006
28J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	230	125	40	11,803	AAC	7/1/2008
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	303	80	40	2,119	AAC	7/1/2012
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	305	1063	40	32,590	AAC	1/1/2013



Network ID	Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	Area (SF)	Surface Type	Est. Last Construction Date
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	306	172	40	8,714	AAC	7/1/2010
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	310	2385	40	66,344	AAC	1/1/2013
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	311	104	40	3,314	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	315	63	40	5,043	AAC	1/1/2011
28J	TAXIWAY C1	TW C1	TAXIWAY	330	400	35	17,330	AAC	1/1/2013
28J	TAXIWAY C2	TW C2	TAXIWAY	320	490	50	18,074	AC	7/1/2009
28J	TAXIWAY C3	TW C3	TAXIWAY	405	295	40	13,867	AAC	1/1/2013
28J	TAXIWAY C3	TW C3	TAXIWAY	410	188	40	4,920	AAC	7/1/2010



Table A-2 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) – Section Level

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	PCI	Condition Rating
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RUNWAY	6105	255,800	90	Good
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RUNWAY	6110	241,000	90	Good
28J	RUNWAY 9-27	RUNWAY	6115	103,700	88	Good
28J	RUNWAY 17-35	RUNWAY	6205	242,316	92	Good
28J	RUNWAY 17-35	RUNWAY	6210	15,325	93	Good
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	100	53,572	71	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	103	1,709	89	Good
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	105	129,791	75	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	107	7,472	35	Very Poor
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	110	60,917	80	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	120	3,723	88	Good
28J	TAXIWAY A	TAXIWAY	125	13,575	33	Very Poor
28J	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	115	2,993	88	Good
28J	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	117	20,449	78	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	205	69,160	83	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	210	29,104	86	Good
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	215	16,000	41	Poor
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	220	3,433	88	Good
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	225	12,691	52	Poor
28J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	230	11,803	79	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	303	2,119	76	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	305	32,590	92	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	306	8,714	79	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	310	66,344	90	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	311	3,314	81	Satisfactory
28J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	315	5,043	87	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C1	TAXIWAY	330	17,330	87	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C2	TAXIWAY	320	18,074	92	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C3	TAXIWAY	405	13,867	94	Good
28J	TAXIWAY C3	TAXIWAY	410	4,920	86	Good
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4205	21,999	41	Poor
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4210	46,739	33	Very Poor
28J	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4220	17,646	50	Poor
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4305	15,004	59	Fair
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4310	11,792	82	Satisfactory
28J	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	TAXILANE	4315	8,845	100	Good
28J	APRON	APRON	4105	39,323	91	Good
28J	APRON	APRON	4115	170,262	91	Good



Network ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	PCI	Condition Rating
28J	APRON	APRON	4120	37,638	100	Good
28J	APRON	APRON	4215	29,007	40	Very Poor
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 27	APRON	5105	29,317	94	Good
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 35	APRON	5205	5,235	87	Good
28J	Run-Up Apron RW 35	APRON	5210	6,736	87	Good



Table A-3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	Forecasted PCI									
				2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
28J	AP	4105	91	86	84	82	80	79	78	76	74	71	69
28J	AP	4115	91	86	84	82	80	79	78	76	74	71	69
28J	AP	4120	100	95	93	92	90	88	87	85	84	82	80
28J	AP	4215	40	38	36	35	33	32	30	28	27	25	24
28J	AP RU 27	5105	94	92	90	89	87	86	84	82	81	79	78
28J	AP RU 35	5205	87	85	83	82	80	79	77	75	74	72	71
28J	AP RU 35	5210	87	84	82	81	79	78	76	74	72	69	66
28J	E T-HANG	4305	59	57	56	55	53	52	50	49	47	46	44
28J	E T-HANG	4310	82	79	77	76	74	72	71	70	69	68	67
28J	E T-HANG	4315	100	94	91	89	86	84	81	79	77	75	74
28J	N T-HANG	4205	41	39	38	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29
28J	N T-HANG	4210	33	32	31	30	29	28	28	27	26	24	23
28J	N T-HANG	4220	50	48	46	45	43	42	40	38	37	36	34
28J	RW 17-35	6205	92	89	87	85	82	80	77	75	73	70	68
28J	RW 17-35	6210	93	90	88	86	83	81	79	76	74	71	69
28J	RW 9-27	6105	90	87	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	68	67
28J	RW 9-27	6110	90	87	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	68	67
28J	RW 9-27	6115	88	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	69	67	65
28J	TW A	100	71	69	68	67	66	65	65	64	63	62	61
28J	TW A	103	89	86	83	81	79	77	76	75	73	72	71
28J	TW A	105	75	73	72	72	71	70	69	68	67	66	65
28J	TW A	107	35	30	26	22	18	14	11	7	3	0	0
28J	TW A	110	80	78	76	75	74	73	72	71	70	69	68
28J	TW A	120	88	85	83	80	78	77	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW A	125	33	32	31	30	29	28	28	27	26	24	23
28J	TW A1	115	88	85	83	80	78	77	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW A1	117	78	76	75	74	73	72	71	70	69	68	67
28J	TW B	205	83	80	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70
28J	TW B	210	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	71
28J	TW B	215	41	38	35	30	26	22	18	14	10	7	3
28J	TW B	220	88	85	83	80	78	77	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW B	225	52	50	49	47	46	45	44	44	43	43	43
28J	TW B	230	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68
28J	TW C	303	76	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68	67	66
28J	TW C	305	92	89	86	83	81	79	77	76	75	73	72
28J	TW C	306	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68
28J	TW C	310	90	87	84	82	80	78	76	75	74	73	72



Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	Forecasted PCI									
				2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
28J	TW C	311	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	70	70	69
28J	TW C	315	87	84	82	80	78	76	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW C1	330	87	84	82	80	78	76	75	74	73	72	71
28J	TW C2	320	92	89	87	84	82	80	78	76	74	72	71
28J	TW C3	405	94	91	88	85	83	80	79	77	75	74	73
28J	TW C3	410	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	71

6/29/2017

Work History Report

Page 1 of 9

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: AP RU 27 Run-Up Apron R Section: 5105 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 1/1/2011 Use: APRON Rank: P Length: 203.00 (Ft) Width: 128.00 (Ft) True Area: 29,317.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2011	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: AP RU 35 Run-Up Apron R Section: 5205 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 7/1/2009 Use: APRON Rank: P Length: 200.00 (Ft) Width: 50.00 (Ft) True Area: 5,235.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2009	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: AP RU 35 Run-Up Apron R Section: 5210 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2013 Use: APRON Rank: P Length: 98.00 (Ft) Width: 50.00 (Ft) True Area: 6,736.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2013	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mill entire depth of AC (1.75"). Overlay
7/1/2009	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: AP APRON Section: 4105 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 7/1/2010 Use: APRON Rank: P Length: 177.50 (Ft) Width: 200.00 (Ft) True Area: 39,323.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2010	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1966 AC PAVEMENT
1/1/1966	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: AP APRON Section: 4115 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 7/1/2010 Use: APRON Rank: P Length: 400.00 (Ft) Width: 180.00 (Ft) True Area: 170,262.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2010	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ESTIMATE 1986 AC PAVEMENT
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: AP APRON Section: 4120 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 1/1/2015 Use: APRON Rank: P Length: 190.00 (Ft) Width: 200.00 (Ft) True Area: 37,638.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2015	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2" P-409 Bituminous Surface Course, 6"

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: AP APRON Section: 4215 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 1/1/1986 Use: APRON Rank: P Length: 231.00 (Ft) Width: 127.00 (Ft) True Area: 29,007.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/1986	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

6/29/2017

Work History Report

Page 2 of 9

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: E T-HANG EAST T-HANGA Section: 4305 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 12/25/199 Use: TAXILAN Rank: P Length: 840.00 (Ft) Width: 20.00 (Ft) True Area: 15,004.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
12/25/1999	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: E T-HANG EAST T-HANGA Section: 4310 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 7/1/2009 Use: TAXILAN Rank: P Length: 400.00 (Ft) Width: 25.00 (Ft) True Area: 11,792.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2009	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: E T-HANG EAST T-HANGA Section: 4315 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 6/1/2015 Use: TAXILAN Rank: P Length: 300.00 (Ft) Width: 25.00 (Ft) True Area: 8,845.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
6/1/2015	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2" P-401, 6" P=211 Limerock Base, 12"

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: N T-HANG NORTH T-HANG Section: 4205 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 12/25/199 Use: TAXILAN Rank: P Length: 1,615.00 (Ft) Width: 25.00 (Ft) True Area: 21,999.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
12/25/1999	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: N T-HANG NORTH T-HANG Section: 4210 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 12/25/199 Use: TAXILAN Rank: P Length: 630.00 (Ft) Width: 65.00 (Ft) True Area: 46,739.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
12/25/1999	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: N T-HANG NORTH T-HANG Section: 4220 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 12/25/199 Use: TAXILAN Rank: P Length: 600.00 (Ft) Width: 30.00 (Ft) True Area: 17,646.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
12/25/1999	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: RW 17-35 RUNWAY 17-35 Section: 6205 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 7/1/2009 Use: RUNWAY Rank: S Length: 3,240.00 (Ft) Width: 75.00 (Ft) True Area: 242,316.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2009	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1986: 1.5" AC ON ?" LIME ROCK ON EXISTING 1942 9" LIME ROCK SOIL: SP
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

6/29/2017

Work History Report

Page 3 of 9

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: RW 17-35 RUNWAY 17-35 Section: 6210 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 7/1/2009 Use: RUNWAY Rank: S Length: 172.00 (Ft) Width: 75.00 (Ft) True Area: 15,325.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2009	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1982 AC PAVEMENT
1/1/1982	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: RW 9-27 RUNWAY 9-27 Section: 6105 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2011 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Length: 2,550.00 (Ft) Width: 100.00 (Ft) True Area: 255,800.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2011	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SOIL: SP
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1994: 1.5" AC OVERLAY
1/1/1982	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1982: 1.5" AC ON EXISTING LIMEROCK

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: RW 9-27 RUNWAY 9-27 Section: 6110 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2011 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Length: 2,400.00 (Ft) Width: 100.00 (Ft) True Area: 241,000.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2011	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SOIL: SP
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1994: 1.5" AC OVERLAY
1/1/1984	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ESTIMATE 1984 AC PAVEMENT

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: RW 9-27 RUNWAY 9-27 Section: 6115 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2011 Use: RUNWAY Rank: P Length: 1,045.00 (Ft) Width: 100.00 (Ft) True Area: 103,700.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2011	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/2004	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW A TAXIWAY A Section: 100 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 1/1/2003 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: T Length: 1,150.00 (Ft) Width: 50.00 (Ft) True Area: 53,572.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2003	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

6/29/2017

Work History Report

Page 4 of 9

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW A TAXIWAY A Section: 103 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2011 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: T Length: 30.00 (Ft) Width: 44.00 (Ft) True Area: 1,709.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2011	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Associated with RW 9-27
1/1/2004	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/2003	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW A TAXIWAY A Section: 105 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2006 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 3,680.00 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 129,791.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2006	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1983: 1.5" RECYCLED AC ON ?" LIME ROCK ON EXISTING +- 9" LIM SOIL: SP
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW A TAXIWAY A Section: 107 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2006 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 130.00 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 7,472.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2006	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ASSUME: 1986 AC SURFACE PLACED ON EXISTING 1942 LIME R
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW A TAXIWAY A Section: 110 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2006 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 1,545.00 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 60,917.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2006	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SOIL: SP
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1983: 1.5" RECYCLED AC ON 8" LIME ROCK BASE

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW A1 TAXIWAY A1 Section: 115 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2011 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 160.35 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 2,993.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2011	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SOIL: SP
1/1/2005	ML-OL	Mill and Overlay	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1994: AC OVERLAY FEATHERED FROM RUNWAY
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1983: 1.5" RECYCLED AC ON 9" LIMEROCK

6/29/2017

Work History Report

Page 5 of 9

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network: PALATKA MUNICIPAL Branch: TW A1 TAXIWAY A1 Section: 117 Surface: AAC						
L.C.D.: 1/1/2006 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 450.00 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 20,449.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2006	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SOIL: SP
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Network: PALATKA MUNICIPAL Branch: TW A TAXIWAY A Section: 120 Surface: AAC						
L.C.D.: 1/1/2011 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 59.85 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 3,723.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2011	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1994: AC OVERLAY FEATHERED FROM RUNWAY
1/1/2006	ML-OL	Mill and Overlay	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SOIL: SP
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1983: 1.5" RECYCLED AC ON 8" LIMEROCK
Network: PALATKA MUNICIPAL Branch: TW A TAXIWAY A Section: 125 Surface: AC						
L.C.D.: 1/1/2006 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 240.00 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 13,575.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2006	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Network: PALATKA MUNICIPAL Branch: TW B TAXIWAY B Section: 205 Surface: AAC						
L.C.D.: 7/1/2008 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 1,730.00 (Ft) Width: 50.00 (Ft) True Area: 69,160.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2008	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1983: 1.5" RECYCLED AC ON ?" LIME ROCK PLACED ON EXISTING
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Network: PALATKA MUNICIPAL Branch: TW B TAXIWAY B Section: 210 Surface: AAC						
L.C.D.: 7/1/2008 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 585.00 (Ft) Width: 50.00 (Ft) True Area: 29,104.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2008	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1942 AC ON LIME ROCK BASE
1/1/1942	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

6/29/2017

Work History Report

Page 6 of 9

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP		Branch: TW B		TAXIWAY B		Section: 215	Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 7/1/2008		Use: TAXIWAY	Rank: P	Length: 400.00 (Ft)	Width: 50.00 (Ft)	True Area: 16,000.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments	
7/1/2008	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ESTIMATE 1986 AC PAVEMENT	
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
						EMULSION SEAL	
						SOIL: SP	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP		Branch: TW B		TAXIWAY B		Section: 220	Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 1/1/2011		Use: TAXIWAY	Rank: P	Length: 70.30 (Ft)	Width: 40.00 (Ft)	True Area: 3,433.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments	
1/1/2011	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SOIL: SP	
1/1/2006	ML-OL	Mill and Overlay	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1994: AC OVERLAY	
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1983: 1.5" RECYCLED AC ON 2" LIMEROCK ON 9" EXISTNG LIMER	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP		Branch: TW B		TAXIWAY B		Section: 225	Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 1/1/2006		Use: TAXIWAY	Rank: P	Length: 280.00 (Ft)	Width: 40.00 (Ft)	True Area: 12,691.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments	
1/1/2006	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1983: 1.5" RECYCLED AC ON 2" PROPOSED LIME ROCK ON EXISTI	
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
						SOIL: SP	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP		Branch: TW B		TAXIWAY B		Section: 230	Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 7/1/2008		Use: TAXIWAY	Rank: P	Length: 125.00 (Ft)	Width: 40.00 (Ft)	True Area: 11,803.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments	
7/1/2008	ML-OL	Mill and Overlay	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1/1/2006	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP		Branch: TW C1		TAXIWAY C1		Section: 330	Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 1/1/2013		Use: TAXIWAY	Rank: P	Length: 400.00 (Ft)	Width: 35.00 (Ft)	True Area: 17,330.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments	
1/1/2013	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SOIL: SP	
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1986: 1.5" AC ON 2" LIME ROCK ON EXISTING +- 9" LIME ROCK	

6/29/2017

Work History Report

Page 7 of 9

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW C2 TAXIWAY C2 Section: 320 Surface: AC L.C.D.: 7/1/2009 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 490.00 (Ft) Width: 50.00 (Ft) True Area: 18,074.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2009	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW C TAXIWAY C Section: 303 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 7/1/2012 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 80.00 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 2,119.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2012	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1983: 1.5" RECYCLED AC ON ?" LIME ROCK ON EXISTING +- 9" LIM

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW C TAXIWAY C Section: 305 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2013 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 1,063.00 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 32,590.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2013	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1983: 1.5" RECYCLED AC ON ?" LIME ROCK ON EXISTING +- 9" LIM

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW C TAXIWAY C Section: 306 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 7/1/2010 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 172.00 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 8,714.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2010	ML-OL	Mill and Overlay	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1983	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW C TAXIWAY C Section: 310 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2013 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 2,385.00 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 66,344.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2013	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SOIL: SP
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1986: 1.5" AC ON ?" LIME ROCK ON EXISTING +- 9" LIME ROCK

Network: PALATKA MUNICIP Branch: TW C TAXIWAY C Section: 311 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2011 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 104.12 (Ft) Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 3,314.00 (SqFt)						
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2011	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1994: AC OVERLAY
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SOIL: SP
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1983: 1.5" RECYCLED AC ON 9" LIMEROCK

6/29/2017

Work History Report

Page 8 of 9

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network: PALATKA MUNICIPAL		Branch: TW C		TAXIWAY C		Section: 315		Surface: AAC	
L.C.D.: 1/1/2011		Use: TAXIWAY		Rank: P		Length: 62.80 (Ft)		Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 5,043.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments			
1/1/2011	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SOIL: SP 1994: AC OVERLAY 1983:1.5" RECYCLED AC ON 8" LIMEROCK			
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
1/1/1994	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
1/1/1983	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

Network: PALATKA MUNICIPAL		Branch: TW C3		TAXIWAY C3		Section: 405		Surface: AAC	
L.C.D.: 1/1/2013		Use: TAXIWAY		Rank: P		Length: 295.00 (Ft)		Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 13,867.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments			
1/1/2013	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1986: 1.5" AC ON ?" LIME ROCK ON EXISTING +- 9" EXISTING LIME RO SOIL: SP			
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
1/1/1986	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

Network: PALATKA MUNICIPAL		Branch: TW C3		TAXIWAY C3		Section: 410		Surface: AAC	
L.C.D.: 7/1/2010		Use: TAXIWAY		Rank: P		Length: 188.00 (Ft)		Width: 40.00 (Ft) True Area: 4,920.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments			
7/1/2010	ML-OL	Mill and Overlay	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
1/1/1986	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

Work History Report*Pavement Database: FDOT***Summary:**

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
BUILT	24	1,460,366.00	1.12	0.65
MILL and OVERLAY	34	1,609,806.00	0.00	0.00
New Construction - AC	5	175,550.00	0.00	0.00
New Construction - Initial	14	270,475.00	0.00	0.00
OVERLAY	25	1,695,477.00	0.06	0.29

6/29/2017

Branch Condition Report

Page 1 of 2

Pavement Database: FDOT

Branch ID	Number of Sections	Sum Section Length (Ft)	Avg Section Width (Ft)	True Area (SqFt)	Use	Average PCI	Standard Deviation PCI	Weighted Average PCI
AP	4	998.50	176.75	276,230.00	APRON	80.50	23.67	86.87
AP RU 27	1	203.00	128.00	29,317.00	APRON	94.00	0.00	94.00
AP RU 35	2	298.00	50.00	11,971.00	APRON	87.00	0.00	87.00
E T-HANG	3	1,540.00	23.33	35,641.00	TAXILANE	80.33	16.78	76.78
N T-HANG	3	2,845.00	40.00	86,384.00	TAXILANE	41.33	6.94	38.51
RW 17-35	2	3,412.00	75.00	257,641.00	RUNWAY	92.50	0.50	92.06
RW 9-27	3	5,995.00	100.00	600,500.00	RUNWAY	89.33	0.94	89.65
TW A	7	6,834.85	42.00	270,759.00	TAXIWAY	67.29	21.89	72.39
TW A1	2	610.35	40.00	23,442.00	TAXIWAY	83.00	5.00	79.28
TW B	6	3,190.30	45.00	142,191.00	TAXIWAY	71.50	18.17	75.91
TW C	6	3,866.92	40.00	118,124.00	TAXIWAY	84.17	5.87	89.11
TW C1	1	400.00	35.00	17,330.00	TAXIWAY	87.00	0.00	87.00
TW C2	1	490.00	50.00	18,074.00	TAXIWAY	92.00	0.00	92.00
TW C3	2	483.00	40.00	18,787.00	TAXIWAY	90.00	4.00	91.90

6/29/2017**Branch Condition Report****Page 2 of 2***Pavement Database: FDOT*

Use Category	Number of Sections	Total Area (SqFt)	Arithmetic Average PCI	Average STD PCI	Weighted Average PCI
APRON	7	317518.000097058	84.29	18.55	87.53
RUNWAY	5	858141.000152423	90.60	1.74	90.38
TAXILANE	6	122025.0000373	60.83	23.35	49.69
TAXIWAY	25	608707.000161699	77.20	17.33	78.32
ALL	43	1906391.00044848	77.63	19.32	83.45

Pavement Database: FDOT

NetworkId: 28J

Branch ID	Section ID	Last Const. Date	Surface	Use	Rank	Lanes	True Area (SqFt)	Last Inspection Date	Age At Inspection	PCI
AP	4105	7/1/2010	AAC	APRON	P	0	39,323.00	1/23/2017	7	91
AP	4115	7/1/2010	AAC	APRON	P	0	170,262.00	1/23/2017	7	91
AP	4120	1/1/2015	AC	APRON	P	0	37,638.00	1/1/2015	0	100
AP	4215	1/1/1986	AC	APRON	P	0	29,007.00	1/23/2017	31	40
AP RU 27	5105	1/1/2011	AC	APRON	P	0	29,317.00	1/23/2017	6	94
AP RU 35	5205	7/1/2009	AC	APRON	P	0	5,235.00	1/23/2017	8	87
AP RU 35	5210	1/1/2013	AAC	APRON	P	0	6,736.00	1/23/2017	4	87
E T-HANG	4305	12/25/1999	AC	TAXILANE	P	0	15,004.00	1/23/2017	18	59
E T-HANG	4310	7/1/2009	AC	TAXILANE	P	0	11,792.00	1/23/2017	8	82
E T-HANG	4315	6/1/2015	AC	TAXILANE	P	0	8,845.00	6/1/2015	0	100
N T-HANG	4205	12/25/1999	AC	TAXILANE	P	0	21,999.00	1/23/2017	18	41
N T-HANG	4210	12/25/1999	AC	TAXILANE	P	0	46,739.00	1/23/2017	18	33
N T-HANG	4220	12/25/1999	AC	TAXILANE	P	0	17,646.00	1/23/2017	18	50
RW 17-35	6205	7/1/2009	AAC	RUNWAY	S	0	242,316.00	1/23/2017	8	92
RW 17-35	6210	7/1/2009	AAC	RUNWAY	S	0	15,325.00	1/23/2017	8	93
RW 9-27	6105	1/1/2011	AAC	RUNWAY	P	0	255,800.00	1/23/2017	6	90
RW 9-27	6110	1/1/2011	AAC	RUNWAY	P	0	241,000.00	1/23/2017	6	90
RW 9-27	6115	1/1/2011	AAC	RUNWAY	P	0	103,700.00	1/23/2017	6	88
TW A	100	1/1/2003	AC	TAXIWAY	T	0	53,572.00	1/23/2017	14	71
TW A	103	1/1/2011	AAC	TAXIWAY	T	0	1,709.00	1/23/2017	6	89
TW A	105	1/1/2006	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	129,791.00	1/23/2017	11	75
TW A	107	1/1/2006	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	7,472.00	1/23/2017	11	35
TW A	110	1/1/2006	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	60,917.00	1/23/2017	11	80
TW A	120	1/1/2011	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	3,723.00	1/23/2017	6	88
TW A	125	1/1/2006	AC	TAXIWAY	P	0	13,575.00	1/23/2017	11	33
TW A1	115	1/1/2011	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	2,993.00	1/23/2017	6	88
TW A1	117	1/1/2006	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	20,449.00	1/23/2017	11	78
TW B	205	7/1/2008	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	69,160.00	1/23/2017	9	83
TW B	210	7/1/2008	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	29,104.00	1/23/2017	9	86
TW B	215	7/1/2008	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	16,000.00	1/23/2017	9	41
TW B	220	1/1/2011	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	3,433.00	1/23/2017	6	88
TW B	225	1/1/2006	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	12,691.00	1/23/2017	11	52
TW B	230	7/1/2008	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	11,803.00	1/23/2017	9	79
TW C	303	7/1/2012	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	2,119.00	1/23/2017	5	76
TW C	305	1/1/2013	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	32,590.00	1/23/2017	4	92
TW C	306	7/1/2010	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	8,714.00	1/23/2017	7	79
TW C	310	1/1/2013	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	66,344.00	1/23/2017	4	90
TW C	311	1/1/2011	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	3,314.00	1/23/2017	6	81
TW C	315	1/1/2011	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	5,043.00	1/23/2017	6	87
TW C1	330	1/1/2013	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	17,330.00	1/23/2017	4	87
TW C2	320	7/1/2009	AC	TAXIWAY	P	0	18,074.00	1/23/2017	8	92
TW C3	405	1/1/2013	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	13,867.00	1/23/2017	4	94
TW C3	410	7/1/2010	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	4,920.00	1/23/2017	7	86

6/29/2017

Section Condition Report (Summary)

Page 2 of 2

Pavement Database: FDOT

Age Category	Average Age at Inspection	Total Area (SqFt)	Number of Sections	Arithmetic Average PCI	Standard Deviation PCI	Weighted Average PCI
00-02		46,483.00	2	100.00	0.00	100.00
03-05	4	138,986.00	6	87.67	5.79	90.14
06-10	7	1,292,060.00	23	85.43	10.34	89.13
11-15	11	298,467.00	7	60.57	18.84	71.62
16-20	18	101,388.00	4	45.75	9.73	41.54
31-35	31	29,007.00	1	40.00	0.00	40.00
ALL	9	1,906,391.00	43	77.63	19.32	83.45

Appendix B

Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and
Major Rehabilitation



Table B-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair Needs based on Current Condition

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Code	Description	Severity	Distress Qty	Distress Unit	Percent Distress	Work Description	Work Qty	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
28J	AP	4105	49	OIL SPILLAGE	N/A	125.83	SqFt	0.3%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	175.45	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 530.00
28J	AP	4115	49	OIL SPILLAGE	N/A	474.9	SqFt	0.3%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	566.18	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 1,700.00
28J	AP	4215	41	ALLIGATOR CR	Low	158.12	SqFt	0.6%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	213.13	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 1,280.00
28J	AP	4215	41	ALLIGATOR CR	Medium	316.24	SqFt	1.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	391.81	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 2,360.00
28J	AP	4215	45	DEPRESSION	Low	951.31	SqFt	3.3%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	1079.62	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 6,480.00
28J	AP	4215	52	RAVELING	Low	29007.02	SqFt	100.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	29006.59	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 15,960.00
28J	AP RU 35	5205	45	DEPRESSION	Low	20.99	SqFt	0.4%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	43.06	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 270.00
28J	AP RU 35	5210	52	RAVELING	Low	50.05	SqFt	0.7%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	49.51	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 30.00
28J	E T-HANG	4305	48	L & T CR	Medium	75	Ft	0.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	75.13	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 230.00
28J	E T-HANG	4305	52	RAVELING	Low	9003.58	SqFt	60.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	9004.01	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 4,960.00
28J	E T-HANG	4310	45	DEPRESSION	Low	11.84	SqFt	0.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	30.14	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 180.00
28J	N T-HANG	4205	48	L & T CR	Medium	169.29	Ft	0.8%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	169.29	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 510.00
28J	N T-HANG	4205	52	RAVELING	Low	12842.42	SqFt	58.4%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	12842.42	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 7,070.00
28J	N T-HANG	4205	52	RAVELING	Medium	8562.58	SqFt	38.9%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	8562.69	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 25,690.00
28J	N T-HANG	4210	41	ALLIGATOR CR	Low	238.42	SqFt	0.5%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	304.62	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 1,830.00
28J	N T-HANG	4210	48	L & T CR	Medium	1251.94	Ft	2.7%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	1251.97	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 3,760.00
28J	N T-HANG	4210	52	RAVELING	Low	28039.45	SqFt	60.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	28038.91	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 15,430.00
28J	N T-HANG	4210	52	RAVELING	Medium	18699.6	SqFt	40.0%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	18699.07	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 56,100.00
28J	N T-HANG	4220	48	L & T CR	Medium	588.19	Ft	3.3%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	588.25	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 1,770.00
28J	N T-HANG	4220	52	RAVELING	Low	16763.71	SqFt	95.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	16763.71	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 9,230.00
28J	N T-HANG	4220	52	RAVELING	Medium	882.32	SqFt	5.0%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	882.64	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 2,650.00
28J	TW A	100	52	RAVELING	Low	36786.42	SqFt	68.7%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	36786.74	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 20,240.00
28J	TW A	100	52	RAVELING	Medium	1362.5	SqFt	2.5%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	1362.71	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 4,090.00
28J	TW A	105	52	RAVELING	Low	19468.68	SqFt	15.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	19468.68	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 10,710.00
28J	TW A	105	55	SLIPPAGE CR	N/A	81.16	SqFt	0.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	121.63	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 370.00
28J	TW A	107	48	L & T CR	Medium	344	Ft	4.6%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	344.16	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 1,040.00
28J	TW A	107	52	RAVELING	Low	2000.04	SqFt	26.8%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	1999.93	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 1,110.00
28J	TW A	107	52	RAVELING	Medium	5472.05	SqFt	73.2%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	5472.37	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 16,420.00
28J	TW A	110	52	RAVELING	Low	1410.72	SqFt	2.3%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	1411.15	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 780.00
28J	TW A	125	52	RAVELING	Medium	13558.01	SqFt	99.9%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	13558.22	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 40,680.00
28J	TW A	125	52	RAVELING	High	17.01	SqFt	0.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	17.22	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 60.00
28J	TW A1	117	52	RAVELING	Low	3069.97	SqFt	15.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	3069.87	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 1,690.00
28J	TW B	205	52	RAVELING	Low	3016.8	SqFt	4.4%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	3017.12	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 1,660.00
28J	TW B	210	52	RAVELING	Low	463.28	SqFt	1.6%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	462.85	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 260.00
28J	TW B	215	52	RAVELING	Low	399.99	SqFt	2.5%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	400.42	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 220.00
28J	TW B	220	45	DEPRESSION	Low	9.04	SqFt	0.3%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	24.76	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 160.00
28J	TW B	225	52	RAVELING	Low	9515.19	SqFt	75.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	9515.3	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 5,240.00
28J	TW B	225	52	RAVELING	Medium	3172.77	SqFt	25.0%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	3173.2	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 9,520.00



Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Code	Description	Severity	Distress Qty	Distress Unit	Percent Distress	Work Description	Work Qty	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
28J	TW B	230	52	RAVELING	Low	1180.05	SqFt	10.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	1179.72	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 650.00
28J	TW C	303	52	RAVELING	Low	847.98	SqFt	40.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	848.2	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 470.00
28J	TW C	305	52	RAVELING	Low	339.39	SqFt	1.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	339.06	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 190.00
28J	TW C	306	52	RAVELING	Low	1090.6	SqFt	12.5%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	1090.38	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 600.00
28J	TW C	311	52	RAVELING	Low	299.99	SqFt	9.1%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	300.31	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 170.00
28J	TW C2	320	52	RAVELING	Low	12.92	SqFt	0.1%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	12.92	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 10.00
28J	TW C3	410	52	RAVELING	Low	245.96	SqFt	5.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	246.49	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 140.00




Table B-2 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Planning Needs at Section Level

Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	28J	AP	4215	AC	29,007	38	AC Reconstruction	\$ 262,000.00
2018	28J	E T-HANG	4305	AC	15,004	57	AC Restoration	\$ 106,000.00
2018	28J	N T-HANG	4205	AC	21,999	39	AC Restoration	\$ 199,000.00
2018	28J	N T-HANG	4210	AC	46,739	32	AC Reconstruction	\$ 421,000.00
2018	28J	N T-HANG	4220	AC	17,646	48	AC Restoration	\$ 129,000.00
2018	28J	TW A	107	AAC	7,472	30	AC Reconstruction	\$ 68,000.00
2018	28J	TW A	125	AC	13,575	32	AC Reconstruction	\$ 123,000.00
2018	28J	TW B	215	AAC	16,000	38	AC Restoration	\$ 145,000.00
2018	28J	TW B	225	AAC	12,691	50	AC Restoration	\$ 89,000.00
2024	28J	TW A	100	AC	53,572	64	AC Restoration	\$ 376,000.00

Appendix C

Technical Exhibits






RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR
PAYEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT
MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.


-
- RW 13-31** — TYPICAL RUNWAY BRANCH ID
- TWA** — TYPICAL TAXIWAY BRANCH ID
- APS** — TYPICAL APRON BRANCH ID
- 4105** — SECTION NUMBER
- AC** — PAVEMENT TYPE
- 100' x 50'** — TYPICAL SAMPLE UNIT INFORMATION
- 8' x 14'** — FLEXIBLE (AC) PAVEMENT LENGTH & WIDTH
- 1** — RIGID (PCG) PAVEMENT NO. OF SLABS AND SLAB SIZE
- 5** — NUMBER OF SAMPLE UNITS IN SECTION
- 14** — NUMBER OF SAMPLE UNITS TO BE INSPECTED
- 605** — SECTION NOT INSPECTED DUE TO RECENT CONSTRUCTION. SEE SYSTEM INVENTORY MAP FOR CONSTRUCTION DATES.
- 100** — INSPECTED SAMPLE UNITS, GPS COORDINATES, AND THE CENTROID OF THE SAMPLE UNIT

CONSTRUCTION SINCE LAST INSPECTION & ANTICIPATED CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY		
CONSTRUCTION YEAR	LOCATION	WORK TYPE / PAVEMENT SECTION
2013	TW C	MILL AND OVERLAY/ 1.5" P-401, 2.5" P-401
2013	TW C1	MILL AND OVERLAY/ 1.5" P-401, 2.5" P-401
2013	TW C3	MILL AND OVERLAY/ 2" P-401
2013	AP RU 35	MILL AND OVERLAY/ 1.5" P-401, 2.5" P-401
2015	AP	NEW CONSTRUCTION/ 2" P-409, 6" P-211, 12" STABILIZED SUBGRADE
2015	E T-HANG	NEW CONSTRUCTION/ 2" P-409, 6" P-211, 12" P-160





RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.





"SECTION NO."
"PCI NO."



LEGEND

RW 13-31 — TYPICAL RUNWAY BRANCH ID
TW A — TYPICAL TAXIWAY BRANCH ID
AP S — TYPICAL APRON BRANCH ID

PROGRAM YEAR

2018	2023
2019	2024
2020	2025
2021	2026
2022	2027

"PROGRAM YEAR"
"BRANCH"/"SECTION"
"REHAB ACTIVITY"
"EST. COST"

RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.

Appendix D

Inspection Photograph Documentation



Runway 17-35, Section 6205, Sample Unit 130 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 17-35, Section 6205, Sample Unit 154 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 9-27, Section 6115, Sample Unit 98 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering




Runway 9-27, Section 6105, Sample Unit 134 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 9-27, Section 6110, Sample Unit 190 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway A, Section 105, Sample Unit 103 – (55) Slippage Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway A, Section 110, Sample Unit 141 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway A, Section 100, Sample Unit 102 – Low Severity (52) Raveling, Medium Severity (52) Raveling



Taxiway A1, Section 117, Sample Unit 102 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway B, Section 205, Sample Unit 124 – (42) Bleeding, Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Medium Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway B, Section 215, Sample Unit 108 – (42) Bleeding, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway B, Section 220, Sample Unit 100 – Low Severity (45) Depression, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway C, Section 305, Sample Unit 103 – Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway C, Section 310, Sample Unit 120 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway C1, Section 330, Sample Unit 102 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway C2, Section 320, Sample Unit 103 – Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway C3, Section 410, Sample Unit 103 – Low Severity (52) Raveling



Apron, Section 4105, Sample Unit 201 – (49) Oil Spillage, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Apron, Section 4115, Sample Unit 403 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Apron, Section 4215, Sample Unit 100 – Low Severity (41) Alligator Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling



Apron, Section 4215, Sample Unit 200 – Medium Severity (41) Alligator Cracking, Low Severity (45) Depression, Low Severity (52) Raveling



Run-Up Apron RW 27, Section 5105, Sample Unit 100 – Low Severity (57) Weathering



Run-Up Apron RW 35, Section 5210, Sample Unit 101 – Low Severity (57) Weathering, Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking



Run-Up Apron RW 35, Section 5205, Sample Unit 100 – Low Severity (50) Patching, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Apron E T-Hangar, Section 4310, Sample Unit 501 – Low Severity (50) Patching, Low Severity (57) Weathering



East T-Hangar Taxiways, Section 4305, Sample Unit 100 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



North T-Hangar Taxilane, Section 4220, Sample Unit 503 – Low and Medium Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Medium Severity (52) Raveling



North T-Hangar Taxilane, Section 4205, Sample Unit 403 – Low Severity (43) Block Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Medium Severity (52) Raveling



North T-Hangar Taxilane, Section 4210, Sample Unit 204 – Low Severity (43) Block Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling

Appendix E

Inspection Distress Details

Re-Inspection Report

FDOT

Generated Date 6/29/2017

Page 1 of 43

Network: 28J Name: PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD

Branch: AP Name: APRON Use: APRON Area: 276,230 SqFt

Section: 4105 of 4 From: - To: - Last Const.: 7/1/2010

Surface: AAC Family: C9N59-GA-AP-AAC-APC Zone: Category: Rank: P

Area: 39,323 SqFt Length: 177 Ft Width: 200 Ft

Slabs: Slab Length: Ft Slab Width: Ft Joint Length: Ft

Shoulder: Street Type: Grade: 0 Lanes: 0

Section Comments:

Work Date: 1/1/1966 Work Type: BUILT Code: IMPORTED Is Major M&R: True

Work Date: 7/1/2010 Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY Code: ML-OV Is Major M&R: True

Last Insp. Date: 1/23/2017 TotalSamples: 8 Surveyed: 1

Conditions: PCI: 91

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number: 201 Type: R Area: 5000.00 SqFt PCI: 91

Sample Comments:

49 OIL SPILLAGE N 16.00 SqFt
57 WEATHERING L 5000.00 SqFt

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	AP	Name:	APRON	Use:	APRON	Area:	276,230 SqFt		
Section:	4115	of	4	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	7/1/2010
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	170,262 SqFt	Length:	400 Ft	Width:	180 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type: BUILT				Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	7/1/2010	Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY				Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	36	Surveyed:	4				
Conditions:	PCI: 91								
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	102	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt	PCI:	92		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	2.00	Ft					
57	WEATHERING	L	4000.00	SqFt					
Sample Number:	205	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI:	94		
Sample Comments:									
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00	SqFt					
Sample Number:	301	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI:	90		
Sample Comments:									
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00	SqFt					
49	OIL SPILLAGE	N	53.00	SqFt					
Sample Number:	403	Type:	R	Area:	5001.00 SqFt	PCI:	90		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	24.00	Ft					
57	WEATHERING	L	5001.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	AP	Name:	APRON	Use:	APRON	Area:	276,230 SqFt		
Section:	4215	of	4	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/1986
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	29,007 SqFt	Length:	231 Ft	Width:	127 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial		Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	7	Surveyed:	2				
Conditions:	PCI: 40								
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	100	Type:	R	Area:	6739.00 SqFt	PCI:	44		
Sample Comments:									
45	DEPRESSION	L	148.00	SqFt					
41	ALLIGATOR CR	L	41.00	SqFt					
43	BLOCK CR	L	6686.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	L	6739.00	SqFt					
41	ALLIGATOR CR	M	12.00	SqFt					
Sample Number:	200	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI:	34		
Sample Comments:									
41	ALLIGATOR CR	L	23.00	SqFt					
41	ALLIGATOR CR	M	116.00	SqFt					
45	DEPRESSION	L	237.00	SqFt					
43	BLOCK CR	L	4861.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	L	5000.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	AP RU 27	Name:	Run-Up Apron RW 27	Use:	APRON	Area:	29,317 SqFt		
Section:	5105	of	1	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2011
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	29,317 SqFt	Length:	203 Ft	Width:	128 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/2011	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial		Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	6	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	94							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	100	Type:	R	Area:	5613.00 SqFt	PCI:	94		
Sample Comments:									
57	WEATHERING	L	5613.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	AP RU 35	Name:	Run-Up Apron RW 35	Use:	APRON	Area:	11,971 SqFt		
Section:	5205	of	2	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	7/1/2009
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	5,235 SqFt	Length:	200 Ft	Width:	50 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	7/1/2009	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial		Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	1	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	87							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	100	Type:	R	Area:	5235.00 SqFt	PCI:	87		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	5.00	Ft					
45	DEPRESSION	L	21.00	SqFt					
50	PATCHING	L	1.00	SqFt					
57	WEATHERING	L	5234.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J		Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD		
Branch:	AP RU 35		Name:	Run-Up Apron RW 35		Use: APRON
Area:			Area:	11,971 SqFt		
Section:	5210	of 2	From:	-		To: -
Last Const.:	1/1/2013					
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:
Rank:	P					
Area:	6,736 SqFt	Length:	98 Ft	Width:	50 Ft	
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:
						Ft
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes: 0
Section Comments:						
Work Date:	7/1/2009		Work Type:	New Construction - Initial		Code: NU-IN
Is Major M&R:	True					
Work Date:	1/1/2013		Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY		Code: ML-OV
Is Major M&R:	True					
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017		TotalSamples:	1		Surveyed: 1
Conditions:	PCI:	87				
Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number:	101	Type:	R	Area:	6736.00 SqFt	PCI: 87
Sample Comments:						
48	L & T CR	L	57.00	Ft		
57	WEATHERING	L	6686.00	SqFt		
52	RAVELING	L	50.00	SqFt		

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	E T-HANG	Name:	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	Use:	TAXILANE	Area:	35,641 SqFt		
Section:	4305	of	3	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	12/25/1999
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	15,004 SqFt	Length:	840 Ft	Width:	20 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	12/25/1999	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial		Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	3	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	59							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	100	Type:	R	Area:	5001.00 SqFt	PCI:	59		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	M	25.00	Ft					
48	L & T CR	L	636.00	Ft					
52	RAVELING	L	3001.00	SqFt					
57	WEATHERING	L	2000.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	E T-HANG	Name:	EAST T-HANGAR TAXILANES	Use:	TAXILANE	Area:	35,641 SqFt		
Section:	4310	of	3	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	7/1/2009
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	11,792 SqFt	Length:	400 Ft	Width:	25 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	7/1/2009	Work Type:	New Construction - AC	Code:	NC-AC	Is Major M&R:	True		
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	2	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI: 82								
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	501	Type:	R	Area:	5998.00 SqFt	PCI:	82		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	59.00 Ft						
50	PATCHING	L	204.00 SqFt						
45	DEPRESSION	L	6.00 SqFt						
57	WEATHERING	L	5794.00 SqFt						

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	N T-HANG	Name:	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES		Use:	TAXILANE	Area:	86,384 SqFt	
Section:	4205	of	3	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	12/25/1999
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	21,999 SqFt	Length:	1,615 Ft	Width:	25 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	12/25/1999	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial			Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	6	Surveyed:	2				
Conditions:	PCI: 41								
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	305	Type:	R	Area:	3939.00 SqFt	PCI:	45		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	508.00	Ft					
52	RAVELING	M	1576.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	L	2363.00	SqFt					
Sample Number:	403	Type:	R	Area:	3468.00 SqFt	PCI:	35		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	500.00	Ft					
48	L & T CR	M	57.00	Ft					
50	PATCHING	L	200.00	SqFt					
43	BLOCK CR	L	517.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	M	1307.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	L	1961.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	N T-HANG	Name:	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES	Use:	TAXILANE	Area:	86,384 SqFt		
Section:	4210	of	3	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	12/25/1999
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	46,739 SqFt	Length:	630 Ft	Width:	65 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	12/25/1999	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial	Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True		
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	10	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	33							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	204	Type:	R	Area:	4704.00 SqFt	PCI:	33		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	M	126.00	Ft					
48	L & T CR	L	500.00	Ft					
43	BLOCK CR	L	713.00	SqFt					
41	ALLIGATOR CR	L	24.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	M	1882.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	L	2822.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J		Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD							
Branch:	N T-HANG		Name:	NORTH T-HANGARS TAXILANES		Use:	TAXILANE	Area:	86,384 SqFt		
Section:	4220	of 3	From:	-			To:	-		Last Const.:	12/25/1999
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AC		Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P	
Area:	17,646 SqFt		Length:	600 Ft		Width:	30 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft		Slab Width:	Ft		Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:			Grade:	0		Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:											
Work Date:	12/25/1999		Work Type:	New Construction - Initial			Code:	NU-IN		Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017		TotalSamples:	6		Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	50									
Inspection Comments:											
Sample Number:	503	Type:	R	Area:	3000.00 SqFt		PCI:	50			
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR	M	100.00 Ft								
48	L & T CR	L	444.00 Ft								
52	RAVELING	L	2850.00 SqFt								
52	RAVELING	M	150.00 SqFt								

Network: 28J		Name: PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD	
Branch: RW 17-35	Name: RUNWAY 17-35	Use: RUNWAY	Area: 257,641 SqFt
Section: 6205 of 2	From: -	To: -	Last Const.: 7/1/2009
Surface: AAC	Family: C9N59-GA-RW-AAC-APC	Zone:	Category: Rank: S
Area: 242,316 SqFt	Length: 3,240 Ft	Width: 75 Ft	
Slabs:	Slab Length: Ft	Slab Width: Ft	Joint Length: Ft
Shoulder:	Street Type:	Grade: 0	Lanes: 0
Section Comments:			
Work Date: 1/1/1986	Work Type: OVERLAY	Code: IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date: 1/1/1986	Work Type: BUILT	Code: IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date: 7/1/2009	Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY	Code: ML-OV	Is Major M&R: True
Last Insp. Date: 1/23/2017	TotalSamples: 65	Surveyed: 13	
Conditions: PCI: 92			
Inspection Comments:			
Sample Number: 101	Type: R	Area: 3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 90
Sample Comments:			
48 L & T CR	L	23.00 Ft	
57 WEATHERING	L	3750.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 107	Type: R	Area: 3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 89
Sample Comments:			
48 L & T CR	L	32.00 Ft	
57 WEATHERING	L	3750.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 111	Type: R	Area: 3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 94
Sample Comments:			
57 WEATHERING	L	3750.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 118	Type: R	Area: 3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 94
Sample Comments:			
57 WEATHERING	L	3750.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 123	Type: R	Area: 3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 94
Sample Comments:			
57 WEATHERING	L	3750.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 126	Type: R	Area: 3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 92
Sample Comments:			
48 L & T CR	L	2.00 Ft	
57 WEATHERING	L	3750.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 130	Type: R	Area: 3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 89
Sample Comments:			
48 L & T CR	L	37.00 Ft	
57 WEATHERING	L	3750.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 135	Type: R	Area: 3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 90
Sample Comments:			
57 WEATHERING	L	3750.00 SqFt	
48 L & T CR	L	12.00 Ft	
Sample Number: 145	Type: R	Area: 4474.00 SqFt	PCI: 90
Sample Comments:			
48 L & T CR	L	15.00 Ft	
57 WEATHERING	L	4474.00 SqFt	

Sample Number: 148		Type:	R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 94
Sample Comments:						
57	WEATHERING		L		3750.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 154		Type:	R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 92
Sample Comments:						
48	L & T CR		L		4.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING		L		3750.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 161		Type:	R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 91
Sample Comments:						
48	L & T CR		L		6.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING		L		3750.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 167		Type:	R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	PCI: 94
Sample Comments:						
57	WEATHERING		L		3750.00 SqFt	

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	RW 17-35	Name:	RUNWAY 17-35	Use:	RUNWAY	Area:	257,641 SqFt		
Section:	6210	of	2	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	7/1/2009
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-RW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	S
Area:	15,325 SqFt	Length:	172 Ft	Width:	75 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1982	Work Type:	BUILT		Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True	
Work Date:	7/1/2009	Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY		Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	4	Surveyed:	2				
Conditions:	PCI:	93							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	141	Type:	R	Area:	3612.00 SqFt	PCI:	92		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	4.00	Ft					
57	WEATHERING	L	3612.00	SqFt					
Sample Number:	144	Type:	R	Area:	3026.00 SqFt	PCI:	94		
Sample Comments:									
57	WEATHERING	L	3026.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J			Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD							
Branch:	RW 9-27		Name:	RUNWAY 9-27		Use:	RUNWAY		Area:	600,500 SqFt		
Section:	6105		of	3	From:	-		To:	-		Last Const.:	1/1/2011
Surface:	AAC		Family:	C9N59-GA-RW-AAC-APC		Zone:			Category:	Rank: P		
Area:	255,800 SqFt		Length:	2,550 Ft		Width:	100 Ft					
Slabs:			Slab Length:	Ft		Slab Width:	Ft		Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:			Street Type:			Grade:	0		Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:												
Work Date:	1/1/1982		Work Type:	BUILT				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/1994		Work Type:	OVERLAY				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/1994		Work Type:	OVERLAY				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/2011		Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY				Code:	ML-OV		Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017		TotalSamples:	51		Surveyed:	13					
Conditions:	PCI:	90										
Inspection Comments:												
Sample Number:	101		Type:	R		Area:	4996.00 SqFt		PCI:	90		
Sample Comments:												
48	L & T CR		L	24.00 Ft								
57	WEATHERING		L	4996.00 SqFt								
Sample Number:	103		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	89		
Sample Comments:												
48	L & T CR		L	74.00 Ft								
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt								
Sample Number:	105		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90		
Sample Comments:												
48	L & T CR		L	17.00 Ft								
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt								
Sample Number:	107		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90		
Sample Comments:												
48	L & T CR		L	33.00 Ft								
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt								
Sample Number:	112		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	91		
Sample Comments:												
48	L & T CR		L	14.00 Ft								
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt								
Sample Number:	113		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	94		
Sample Comments:												
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt								
Sample Number:	120		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90		
Sample Comments:												
48	L & T CR		L	30.00 Ft								
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt								
Sample Number:	127		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90		
Sample Comments:												
48	L & T CR		L	33.00 Ft								
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt								
Sample Number:	134		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90		
Sample Comments:												

E-15

48	L & T CR	L	31.00	Ft				
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00	SqFt				
<hr/>								
Sample Number:		136	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00	SqFt	PCI: 90
Sample Comments:								
48	L & T CR	L	30.00	Ft				
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00	SqFt				
<hr/>								
Sample Number:		141	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00	SqFt	PCI: 90
Sample Comments:								
48	L & T CR	L	39.00	Ft				
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00	SqFt				
<hr/>								
Sample Number:		145	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00	SqFt	PCI: 94
Sample Comments:								
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00	SqFt				
<hr/>								
Sample Number:		148	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00	SqFt	PCI: 87
Sample Comments:								
48	L & T CR	L	102.00	Ft				
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00	SqFt				

Network:	28J			Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD								
Branch:	RW 9-27		Name:	RUNWAY 9-27		Use:	RUNWAY		Area:	600,500 SqFt			
Section:	6110		of	3	From:	-		To:	-		Last Const.:	1/1/2011	
Surface:	AAC		Family:	C9N59-GA-RW-AAC-APC		Zone:			Category:			Rank:	P
Area:	241,000 SqFt		Length:	2,400 Ft		Width:	100 Ft						
Slabs:			Slab Length:	Ft		Slab Width:	Ft		Joint Length:	Ft			
Shoulder:			Street Type:			Grade:	0		Lanes:	0			
Section Comments:													
Work Date:	1/1/1984		Work Type: OVERLAY				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R: True			
Work Date:	1/1/1994		Work Type: OVERLAY				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R: True			
Work Date:	1/1/1994		Work Type: BUILT				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R: True			
Work Date:	1/1/2011		Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY				Code:	ML-OV		Is Major M&R: True			
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017		TotalSamples:	48		Surveyed:	8						
Conditions:	PCI: 90												
Inspection Comments:													
Sample Number:	155		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90			
Sample Comments:													
48	L & T CR		L	20.00 Ft									
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt									
Sample Number:	162		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90			
Sample Comments:													
48	L & T CR		L	29.00 Ft									
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt									
Sample Number:	169		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90			
Sample Comments:													
48	L & T CR		L	25.00 Ft									
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt									
Sample Number:	173		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	92			
Sample Comments:													
48	L & T CR		L	4.00 Ft									
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt									
Sample Number:	176		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90			
Sample Comments:													
48	L & T CR		L	17.00 Ft									
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt									
Sample Number:	183		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	89			
Sample Comments:													
48	L & T CR		L	47.00 Ft									
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt									
Sample Number:	190		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	89			
Sample Comments:													
48	L & T CR		L	78.00 Ft									
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt									
Sample Number:	198		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90			
Sample Comments:													
48	L & T CR		L	31.00 Ft									
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt									

Network:	28J			Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD									
Branch:	RW 9-27		Name:	RUNWAY 9-27		Use:	RUNWAY	Area:	600,500 SqFt					
Section:	6115		of	3	From:	-		To:	-		Last Const.:	1/1/2011		
Surface:	AAC		Family:	C9N59-GA-RW-AAC-APC		Zone:			Category:				Rank:	P
Area:	103,700 SqFt		Length:	1,045 Ft		Width:	100 Ft							
Slabs:			Slab Length:	Ft		Slab Width:	Ft		Joint Length:	Ft				
Shoulder:			Street Type:			Grade:	0		Lanes:	0				
Section Comments:														
Work Date:	1/1/2004		Work Type: New Construction - AC					Code:	NC-AC		Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/2011		Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY					Code:	ML-OV		Is Major M&R:	True		
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017		TotalSamples:	21		Surveyed:	5							
Conditions:	PCI:		88											
Inspection Comments:														
Sample Number:	80		Type:	R		Area:	3700.00 SqFt		PCI:	86				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	99.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	3700.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	82		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	89				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	77.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	86		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	87				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	110.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	89		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	88				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	99.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	98		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	89				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	48.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW A	Name:	TAXIWAY A	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	270,759 SqFt		
Section:	100	of	7	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2003
Surface:	AC	Family:	DEFAULT	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	T
Area:	53,572 SqFt	Length:	1,150 Ft	Width:	50 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/2003	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial		Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	13	Surveyed:	2				
Conditions:	PCI:	71							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	102	Type:	R	Area:	3864.00 SqFt	PCI:	72		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	172.00	Ft					
52	RAVELING	L	1400.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	M	200.00	SqFt					
Sample Number:	109	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt	PCI:	70		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	11.00	Ft					
52	RAVELING	L	4000.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J			Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW A		Name:	TAXIWAY A		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	270,759 SqFt		
Section:	103	of	7	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2011		
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC		Zone:		Category:		Rank:	T	
Area:	1,709 SqFt		Length:	30 Ft		Width:	44 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft		Slab Width:	Ft		Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0		Lanes:	0			
Section Comments:											
Work Date:	1/1/2003		Work Type:	New Construction - Initial			Code:	NU-IN		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/2004		Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY			Code:	ML-OV		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/2011		Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY			Code:	ML-OV		Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017		TotalSamples:	1		Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	89									
Inspection Comments:											
Sample Number:	99		Type:	R		Area:	1709.00 SqFt		PCI:	89	
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	25.00 Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	1709.00 SqFt							

Network:	28J			Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD				
Branch:	TW A		Name:	TAXIWAY A		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	270,759 SqFt
Section:	105	of	7	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2006
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	129,791 SqFt		Length:	3,680 Ft		Width:	40 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft		Slab Width:	Ft		Joint Length:	Ft
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0		Lanes:	0	
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1983		Work Type: OVERLAY			Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R: True
Work Date:	1/1/1983		Work Type: BUILT			Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R: True
Work Date:	1/1/2006		Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY			Code:	ML-OV		Is Major M&R: True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017		TotalSamples:	32		Surveyed:	4		
Conditions:	PCI:	75							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	103	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt		PCI:	68	
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	243.00 Ft						
52	RAVELING	L	800.00 SqFt						
55	SLIPPAGE CR	N	10.00 SqFt						
57	WEATHERING	L	3200.00 SqFt						
Sample Number:	111	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt		PCI:	78	
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	91.00 Ft						
52	RAVELING	L	600.00 SqFt						
57	WEATHERING	L	3400.00 SqFt						
Sample Number:	123	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt		PCI:	76	
Sample Comments:									
57	WEATHERING	L	3400.00 SqFt						
48	L & T CR	L	191.00 Ft						
52	RAVELING	L	600.00 SqFt						
Sample Number:	131	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt		PCI:	80	
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	56.00 Ft						
52	RAVELING	L	400.00 SqFt						
57	WEATHERING	L	3600.00 SqFt						

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD				
Branch:	TW A	Name:	TAXIWAY A	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	270,759 SqFt
Section:	107	of 7	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.: 1/1/2006
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:	Category:	Rank:	P
Area:	7,472 SqFt	Length:	130 Ft	Width:	40 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0
Section Comments:							
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type:	BUILT	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/2006	Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY	Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	2	Surveyed:	1		
Conditions:	PCI: 35						
Inspection Comments:							
Sample Number:	119	Type:	R	Area:	3736.00 SqFt	PCI:	35
Sample Comments:							
48	L & T CR	M	172.00	Ft			
48	L & T CR	L	410.00	Ft			
52	RAVELING	L	1000.00	SqFt			
52	RAVELING	M	2736.00	SqFt			

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD				
Branch:	TW A	Name:	TAXIWAY A	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	270,759 SqFt
Section:	110	of 7	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.: 1/1/2006
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:	Category:	Rank:	P
Area:	60,917 SqFt	Length:	1,545 Ft	Width:	40 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0
Section Comments:							
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type: OVERLAY			Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type: BUILT			Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date:	1/1/2006	Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY			Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R: True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	15	Surveyed:	3		
Conditions:	PCI: 80						
Inspection Comments:							
Sample Number:	135	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt	PCI:	81
Sample Comments:							
48	L & T CR	L	109.00	Ft			
52	RAVELING	L	200.00	SqFt			
57	WEATHERING	L	3800.00	SqFt			
Sample Number:	141	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt	PCI:	80
Sample Comments:							
48	L & T CR	L	152.00	Ft			
52	RAVELING	L	50.00	SqFt			
57	WEATHERING	L	3950.00	SqFt			
Sample Number:	146	Type:	R	Area:	3875.00 SqFt	PCI:	78
Sample Comments:							
48	L & T CR	L	196.00	Ft			
52	RAVELING	L	25.00	SqFt			
57	WEATHERING	L	3850.00	SqFt			

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW A	Name:	TAXIWAY A	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	270,759 SqFt		
Section:	120	of	7	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2011
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	3,723 SqFt	Length:	60 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type:	BUILT	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/1994	Work Type:	OVERLAY	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/1994	Work Type:	OVERLAY	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/2006	Work Type:	Mill and Overlay	Code:	ML-OL	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/2011	Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY	Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True		
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	1	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI: 88								
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	149	Type:	R	Area:	3723.00 SqFt	PCI:	88		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	65.00 Ft						
57	WEATHERING	L	3723.00 SqFt						

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW A	Name:	TAXIWAY A	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	270,759 SqFt		
Section:	125	of	7	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2006
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	13,575 SqFt	Length:	240 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/2006	Work Type:	New Construction - AC		Code:	NC-AC	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	3	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	33							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	100	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt	PCI:	33		
Sample Comments:									
52	RAVELING	H	5.00	SqFt					
48	L & T CR	L	300.00	Ft					
52	RAVELING	M	3995.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW A1	Name:	TAXIWAY A1	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	23,442 SqFt		
Section:	115	of	2	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2011
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	2,993 SqFt	Length:	160 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type:	BUILT	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/1994	Work Type:	OVERLAY	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/1994	Work Type:	OVERLAY	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/2005	Work Type:	Mill and Overlay	Code:	ML-OL	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/2011	Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY	Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True		
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	1	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	88							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	100	Type:	R	Area:	2993.00 SqFt	PCI:	88		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	50.00	Ft					
57	WEATHERING	L	2993.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J			Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW A1		Name:	TAXIWAY A1		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	23,442 SqFt		
Section:	117 of 2		From:	-			To:	-			
Surface:	AAC		Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC		Zone:	Category:		Rank: P		
Area:	20,449 SqFt		Length:	450 Ft		Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:			Slab Length:	Ft		Slab Width:	Ft		Joint Length:	Ft	
Shoulder:			Street Type:			Grade:	0		Lanes:	0	
Section Comments:											
Work Date:	1/1/1983		Work Type: OVERLAY				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/1983		Work Type: BUILT				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/2006		Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY				Code:	ML-OV		Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017		TotalSamples:	4		Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI: 78										
Inspection Comments:											
Sample Number:	102		Type:	R		Area:	3930.00 SqFt		PCI:	78	
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	85.00 Ft							
52	RAVELING		L	590.00 SqFt							
57	WEATHERING		L	3340.00 SqFt							

Network:	28J	Name:		PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD			
Branch:	TW B	Name:	TAXIWAY B	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	142,191 SqFt
Section:	205	of 6	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.: 7/1/2008
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:	Category:	Rank:	P
Area:	69,160 SqFt	Length:	1,730 Ft	Width:	50 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0
Section Comments:							
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type: BUILT			Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type: OVERLAY			Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date:	7/1/2008	Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY			Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R: True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	16	Surveyed:	3		
Conditions:	PCI: 83						
Inspection Comments:							
Sample Number:	114	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt	PCI:	82
Sample Comments:							
48	L & T CR	L	96.00	Ft			
57	WEATHERING	L	3800.00	SqFt			
52	RAVELING	L	200.00	SqFt			
42	BLEEDING	N	1.00	SqFt			
Sample Number:	119	Type:	R	Area:	4566.00 SqFt	PCI:	84
Sample Comments:							
48	L & T CR	L	67.00	Ft			
52	RAVELING	L	137.00	SqFt			
57	WEATHERING	L	4429.00	SqFt			
Sample Number:	124	Type:	R	Area:	5739.00 SqFt	PCI:	84
Sample Comments:							
48	L & T CR	L	43.00	Ft			
52	RAVELING	L	287.00	SqFt			
57	WEATHERING	L	5452.00	SqFt			
42	BLEEDING	N	.50	SqFt			

Network:	28J			Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW B		Name:	TAXIWAY B		Use:	TAXIWAY		Area:	142,191 SqFt	
Section:	210	of	6	From:	-		To:	-		Last Const.:	7/1/2008
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC		Zone:				Category:	Rank:	P
Area:	29,104 SqFt		Length:	585 Ft		Width:	50 Ft				
Slabs:	Slab Length:		Ft		Slab Width:		Ft		Joint Length:	Ft	
Shoulder:	Street Type:				Grade:		0		Lanes:	0	
Section Comments:											
Work Date:	1/1/1942		Work Type:				BUILT		Code:	IMPORTED	
Work Date:	7/1/2008		Work Type:				MILL and OVERLAY		Code:	ML-OV	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017		TotalSamples:	6		Surveyed:	2				
Conditions:	PCI:	86									
Inspection Comments:											
Sample Number:	101	Type:	R	Area:	4947.00 SqFt		PCI:	84			
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR	L	2.00 Ft								
42	BLEEDING	N	24.00 SqFt								
52	RAVELING	L	99.00 SqFt								
57	WEATHERING	L	4848.00 SqFt								
Sample Number:	103	Type:	R	Area:	4414.00 SqFt		PCI:	87			
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR	L	36.00 Ft								
52	RAVELING	L	50.00 SqFt								
57	WEATHERING	L	4364.00 SqFt								

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW B	Name:	TAXIWAY B	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	142,191 SqFt		
Section:	215	of	6	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	7/1/2008
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	16,000 SqFt	Length:	400 Ft	Width:	50 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type:	OVERLAY		Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True	
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type:	OVERLAY		Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True	
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type:	BUILT		Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True	
Work Date:	7/1/2008	Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY		Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	4	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	41							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	108	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt	PCI:	41		
Sample Comments:									
52	RAVELING	L	100.00	SqFt					
57	WEATHERING	L	3900.00	SqFt					
42	BLEEDING	N	775.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW B	Name:	TAXIWAY B	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	142,191 SqFt		
Section:	220	of	6	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2011
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	3,433 SqFt	Length:	70 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type:	BUILT	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/1994	Work Type:	OVERLAY	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/1994	Work Type:	OVERLAY	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/2006	Work Type:	Mill and Overlay	Code:	ML-OL	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/2011	Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY	Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True		
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	1	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI: 88								
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	100	Type:	R	Area:	3433.00 SqFt	PCI:	88		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	31.00 Ft						
57	WEATHERING	L	3433.00 SqFt						
45	DEPRESSION	L	9.00 SqFt						

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW B	Name:	TAXIWAY B	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	142,191 SqFt		
Section:	225	of	6	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2006
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	12,691 SqFt	Length:	280 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type:	OVERLAY	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type:	BUILT	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	1/1/2006	Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY	Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True		
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	3	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	52							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	101	Type:	R	Area:	4092.00 SqFt	PCI:	52		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	228.00	Ft					
52	RAVELING	M	1023.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	L	3068.00	SqFt					
56	SWELLING	L	75.00	SqFt					
50	PATCHING	L	1.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW B	Name:	TAXIWAY B	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	142,191 SqFt		
Section:	230	of	6	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	7/1/2008
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	11,803 SqFt	Length:	125 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/2006	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial		Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True	
Work Date:	7/1/2008	Work Type:	Mill and Overlay		Code:	ML-OL	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	2	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	79							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	104	Type:	R	Area:	5801.00 SqFt	PCI:	79		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	185.00	Ft					
52	RAVELING	L	580.00	SqFt					
57	WEATHERING	L	5221.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW C	Name:	TAXIWAY C	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	118,124 SqFt		
Section:	303	of	6	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	7/1/2012
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	2,119 SqFt	Length:	80 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type:	BUILT	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	7/1/2012	Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY	Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True		
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	1	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	76							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	112	Type:	R	Area:	2119.00 SqFt	PCI:	76		
Sample Comments:									
52	RAVELING	L	848.00	SqFt					
57	WEATHERING	L	1271.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW C	Name:	TAXIWAY C		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	118,124 SqFt	
Section:	305	of 6	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2013	
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	32,590 SqFt	Length:	1,063 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type:	BUILT			Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/2013	Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY			Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	9	Surveyed:	2				
Conditions:	PCI: 92								
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	103	Type:	R	Area:	3160.00 SqFt	PCI:	90		
Sample Comments:									
52	RAVELING	L	70.00 SqFt						
57	WEATHERING	L	3090.00 SqFt						
Sample Number:	106	Type:	R	Area:	3562.00 SqFt	PCI:	94		
Sample Comments:									
57	WEATHERING	L	3562.00 SqFt						

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW C	Name:	TAXIWAY C	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	118,124 SqFt		
Section:	306	of	6	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	7/1/2010
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	8,714 SqFt	Length:	172 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial		Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True	
Work Date:	7/1/2010	Work Type:	Mill and Overlay		Code:	ML-OL	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	2	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	79							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	104	Type:	R	Area:	3995.00 SqFt	PCI:	79		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	83.00	Ft					
57	WEATHERING	L	3495.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	L	500.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD					
Branch:	TW C	Name:	TAXIWAY C		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	118,124 SqFt
Section:	310	of 6	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2013
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:	Rank:	P
Area:	66,344 SqFt	Length:	2,385 Ft	Width:	40 Ft			
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0	
Section Comments:								
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type: OVERLAY			Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type: BUILT			Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/2013	Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY			Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	18	Surveyed:	3			
Conditions:	PCI: 90							
Inspection Comments:								
Sample Number:	109	Type:	R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	PCI:	90	
Sample Comments:								
48	L & T CR	L	14.00 Ft					
57	WEATHERING	L	3500.00 SqFt					
Sample Number:	115	Type:	R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	PCI:	90	
Sample Comments:								
48	L & T CR	L	21.00 Ft					
57	WEATHERING	L	3500.00 SqFt					
Sample Number:	120	Type:	R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	PCI:	89	
Sample Comments:								
48	L & T CR	L	50.00 Ft					
57	WEATHERING	L	3500.00 SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:		PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD					
Branch:	TW C	Name:	TAXIWAY C		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	118,124 SqFt	
Section:	311	of	6	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2011
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	3,314 SqFt	Length:	104 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1983	Work Type: BUILT				Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/1994	Work Type: OVERLAY				Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/1994	Work Type: OVERLAY				Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/2011	Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY				Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	1	Surveyed:		1			
Conditions:	PCI: 81								
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	122	Type:	R	Area:	3314.00 SqFt	PCI:	81		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	52.00	Ft					
57	WEATHERING	L	3014.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	L	300.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD								
Branch:	TW C	Name:	TAXIWAY C		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	118,124 SqFt			
Section:	315	of 6	From:	-		To:	-		Last Const.:	1/1/2011	
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC		Zone:	Category:		Rank:		P	
Area:	5,043 SqFt		Length:	63 Ft		Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:	Slab Length:		Ft		Slab Width:	Ft		Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:	Street Type:		Grade:		0		Lanes:	0			
Section Comments:											
Work Date:	1/1/1983		Work Type: BUILT				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/1994		Work Type: OVERLAY				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/1994		Work Type: OVERLAY				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/2011		Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY				Code:	ML-OV		Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017		TotalSamples:	1		Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI: 87										
Inspection Comments:											
Sample Number:	100	Type:	R	Area:	5043.00 SqFt		PCI:	87			
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	105.00 Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	5043.00 SqFt							

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD			
Branch:	TW C1	Name:	TAXIWAY C1	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area: 17,330 SqFt
Section:	330	of 1	From: -	To: -	Last Const.:	1/1/2013
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:	Category:	Rank: P
Area:	17,330 SqFt	Length:	400 Ft	Width:	35 Ft	
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length: Ft
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes: 0
Section Comments:						
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type:	OVERLAY	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type:	BUILT	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date:	1/1/2013	Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY	Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R: True
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	4	Surveyed:	1	
Conditions:	PCI: 87					
Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number:	102	Type:	R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	PCI: 87
Sample Comments:						
48	L & T CR	L	76.00 Ft			
57	WEATHERING	L	3500.00 SqFt			

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW C2	Name:	TAXIWAY C2	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	18,074 SqFt		
Section:	320	of	1	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	7/1/2009
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	18,074 SqFt	Length:	490 Ft	Width:	50 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	7/1/2009	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial		Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	5	Surveyed:	2				
Conditions:	PCI:	92							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	101	Type:	R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	PCI:	93		
Sample Comments:									
57	WEATHERING	L	3495.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	L	5.00	SqFt					
Sample Number:	103	Type:	R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	PCI:	92		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	1.00	Ft					
57	WEATHERING	L	3500.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW C3	Name:	TAXIWAY C3		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	18,787 SqFt	
Section:	405	of	2	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/2013
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	13,867 SqFt	Length:	295 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type: OVERLAY				Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True	
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type: BUILT				Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True	
Work Date:	1/1/2013	Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY				Code:	ML-OV	Is Major M&R: True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	3	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI: 94								
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	101	Type:	R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	PCI:	94		
Sample Comments:									
57	WEATHERING	L	3500.00	SqFt					

Network:	28J	Name:	PALATKA MUNICIPAL - LT. KAY LARKIN FIELD						
Branch:	TW C3	Name:	TAXIWAY C3	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	18,787 SqFt		
Section:	410	of	2	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	7/1/2010
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AAC-APC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P
Area:	4,920 SqFt	Length:	188 Ft	Width:	40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1986	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial		Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True	
Work Date:	7/1/2010	Work Type:	Mill and Overlay		Code:	ML-OL	Is Major M&R:	True	
Last Insp. Date:	1/23/2017	TotalSamples:	1	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	86							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	103	Type:	R	Area:	4920.00 SqFt	PCI:	86		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	2.00	Ft					
52	RAVELING	L	246.00	SqFt					
57	WEATHERING	L	4674.00	SqFt					