## FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AVIATION AND SPACEPORTS OFFICE

## Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program

Airport Pavement Evaluation Report September 2017



**Keystone Airpark (42J)** General Aviation Airport District 2







141

Florida Department of Transportation

## Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program

#### Prepared by:

FDOT Aviation and Spaceports Office 605 Suwannee Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0450

**OFFICE OF FREIGHT, LOGISTICS & PASSENGER OPERATIONS** 



## **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary10	
Program Background10	
Summary of Results.11Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)11Forecasted Pavement Condition Index 2018-202712Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-202712	
Summary of Keystone Airport14	
Chapter 1 – Introduction	
1.1 Background16	
1.2 Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) Update 2016-201716	
<b>1.3 Organization 18</b> 1.3.1 Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office Program Manager       18         1.3.2 Participating Florida Public-Use and Publicly Owned Airports       18         1.3.3 Florida Department of Transportation District Offices       18         1.3.4 Consultant       18	
1.4 Purpose of Airport Pavement Evaluation Report	
1.5 History of the Program	
1.6 Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	
1.7 FDOT SAPMP Objectives and Components       22         1.7.1 Program Objectives       22         1.7.2 Program Components       22	
1.8 References	
Chapter 2 – Methodology	
2.1 Airfield Pavement Database	
2.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory       28         2.2.1 Pavement Management Program Network Definition Terminology	
2.3 Airfield Pavement Structure       31         2.3.1 Pavement Structure Types       31	
2.4 Airfield Pavement Work History       33         2.4.1 Airfield Pavement Record Keeping       33	
2.5 Airfield Pavement Traffic	
2.6 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (PCI) Survey	



2.6.3 PCI Survey Inspection Procedures 2.6.4 Updates to the ASTM D5340-12	
2.6.4 Updates to the ASTM D5340-12	40
Chapter 3 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory	43
3.1 Airfield Pavement Network Information	
3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction	
3.1.2 Estimated Pavement Age	
3.1.3 Functional Use Classification 3.1.4 Pavement Surface Type	
3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details	40
Chapter 4 – Airfield Pavement Condition	53
4.1 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)	53
4.1.1 Network-Level Analysis	
4.1.2 Branch-Level Analysis	
4.1.3 Section-Level Analysis	
4.2 Summary of Pavement Condition Evaluation Results	59
4.2.1 Network-Level Observations	
4.2.2 Branch-Level Observations	
4.3 Forecasted Pavement Conditions	61
4.3.1 Performance Models and Prediction Curves	
4.3.2 Branch-Level Pavement Condition Forecast	
4.3.3 Section-Level Pavement Condition Forecast	
4.3.4 Forecasted PCI Considerations	65
Chapter 5 – Localized Maintenance and Repair Planning	67
5.1 Localized Maintenance and Repair	
5.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy	68
5.3 Localized Maintenance and Repair Analysis and Recommendations	72
Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation Planning	75
6.1 Major Rehabilitation	75
6.1.1 Critical PCI	
6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI	
6.2 Major Rehabilitation Policy	78
6.2.1 Major Rehabilitation Pavement Section Development	
6.2.2 Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Costs	
6.3 Major Rehabilitation Needs	80
6.3.1 10-Year Unconstrained Budget Major Rehabilitation Needs	80
Chapter 7 – Conclusion	85
7.1 Recommendations	
7.1.1 Continued PCI Survey Inspections	
7.1.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair	



7.1.3 Major Rehabilitation	
7.1.4 Pavement Management System	
7.2 Supporting Documents	86
001 – Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit	
002 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit	
003 – Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit	
004 – Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit	
Inspection Photograph Documentation	
7.3 Conclusion	87



- Appendix A Airfield Pavement Analysis Tables
- Appendix B Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and Major Rehabilitation
- Appendix C Technical Exhibits
- Appendix D Inspection Photograph Documentation
- Appendix E Inspection Distress Details



## List of Figures

Figure E-4 Major Rehabilitation Planning Annual Budget 2018-2027	14
Figure 1.2 Florida Aviation System (Facilities with Pavement) and FDOT Districts	17
Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle	23
Figure 1.7-2 General Pavement Treatments by Condition Range	24
Figure 1.7-3 Flexible Asphalt Concrete	25
Figure 1.7-4 Rigid Portland Cement Concrete	25
Figure 3.1.1-1 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit	44
Figure 3.1.1-2 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit	45
Figure 3.1.2 Average Age of Pavements at Inspection	46
Figure 3.1.3 Airfield Pavement Functional Classification Use by Area	47
Figure 3.1.4 (a) Pavement Surface Type by Area (SF)	48
Figure 3.1.4 (b) Pavement Surface Type by Area (%)	49
Figure 4.1.1 Latest Condition – Overall Network	53
Figure 4.1.2 (a) Latest Condition – Runway Pavements	54
Figure 4.1.2 (b) Latest Condition – Taxiway Pavements	54
Figure 4.1.2 (c) Latest Condition – Apron Pavements	55
Figure 4.1.3 2017 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit	58
Figure 4.2.2 Pavement Condition Summary by Facility Use	59
Figure 4.3.2 (a) Forecasted Runway Pavement Performance	61
Figure 4.3.2 (b) Forecasted Taxiway Pavement Performance	62
Figure 4.3.2 (c) Forecasted Apron Pavement Performance	62
Figure 6.1-1 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, PCI ≤ Critical PCI	75
Figure 6.1-2 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, PCI > Critical PCI	76
Figure 6.3.1-1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year	82
Figure 6.3.1-2 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year Exhibit	83



## List of Tables

Table E-1 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) – Section Level
Table E-2 Pavement Condition Index Forecast 2018-2027    12
Table E-3 Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027       12
Table 2.2.1 Airfield Pavement Database Network Definition Terminology         30
Table 2.6.2-1 (a) Pavement Distress Types – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields 35
Table 2.6.2-1 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced         Airfields         36
Table 2.6.2-1 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced         Airfields         36
Table 2.6.2-2 (a) Pavement Distresses – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields .37
Table 2.6.2-2 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-         Surfaced Airfields         38
Table 2.6.2-2 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields
Table 2.6.3 (a) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Flexible Asphalt Concrete
Table 2.6.3 (b) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Rigid Portland Cement Concrete39
Table 2.6.4 Summary of Updates to ASTM D5340-1241
Table 3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction
Table 3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details    50
Table 4.1.3 Latest Pavement Condition Index Summary
Table 4.3.3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027
Table 5.2-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Flexible Asphalt Concrete
Table 5.2-2 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete
Table 5.2-3 (a) Localized Repair Planning-Level Unit Costs – Flexible Asphalt Concrete71
Table 5.2-3 (b) Localized M&R Planning-Level Unit Costs – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete .71



Table 5.3-1 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Network Level 72
Table 5.3-2 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Section Level .73
Table 5.3-3 Summary of Localized Maintenance
Table 6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI         77
Table 6.2.1 (a) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Flexible Asphalt         Concrete         78
Table 6.2.1 (b) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Rigid Portland Cement         Concrete         79
Table 6.2.2 General Aviation Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Cost by Pavement Type
Table 6.3.1.10-Year Major Rebabilitation Needs 81



# **Executive Summary**



## **Executive Summary**

#### **Program Background**

Airport airfield pavement infrastructure facilities represent a large capital investment in the Florida Airport System. Timely and appropriate maintenance and strategic rehabilitation are essential as repair costs increase significantly in proportion to deterioration. Airport pavement distresses can also contribute to the development of loose debris and decreased ride quality, which can be a safety concern for aircraft operations.

In 2016, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO) selected Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. with subconsultants Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC and AVCON, Inc. to provide professional services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of performing a system update to the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP). This work is to be completed from fiscal year 2016 through fiscal year 2019. The SAPMP has 95 public use airport facilities throughout the seven FDOT Districts that participate in the system update. The results of this system update for this specific airport are presented in this report and can be utilized by FDOT and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects.

Pavement condition was assessed utilizing the pavement condition index (PCI) methodology as defined in the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)" using the documented procedures set forth by ASTM D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys."

Pavement deterioration, in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12, was characterized in terms of distinct distress types, severity level of distress, and quantity of distress. This information is utilized to calculate a PCI numeric that represents the overall condition of the pavement in a numeric index that ranges from 0 (a condition category of FAILED) to 100 (GOOD). The PCI methodology analyzes an overall measure of the pavement condition and provides an indication of the degree of maintenance, repair, or rehabilitation efforts that will be required to sustain functional pavement.

The tasks required for the system update at each participating airport consist of the following:

- Obtain recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction work data.
- Update airport airfield pavement system inventory records (construction history, identification, geometry, and facility classification).
- Perform PCI Survey Inspections at each participating airport.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP PAVER<sup>™</sup> database system.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP GIS Airfield Navigation GPS enabled Maps.
- Update airfield pavement performance models and pavement condition forecasting.
- Identification of planning-level maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation to address pavement needs based on functional PCI analysis.
- Development of planning-level opinion of probable construction costs for pavement rehabilitation.

### Summary of Results

#### Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)

#### Table E-1 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) – Section Level

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	PCI	Condition Rating
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6105	15,000	43	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6110	18,125	41	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6115	220,000	81	Satisfactory
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6120	220,000	85	Satisfactory
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6130	15,627	50	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6135	19,518	49	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RUNWAY	6205	22,286	43	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RUNWAY	6215	329,625	55	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RUNWAY	6220	28,125	39	Very Poor
42J	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TAXIWAY	105	195,631	35	Very Poor
42J	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TAXIWAY	205	19,612	49	Poor
42J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	210	77,412	51	Poor
42J	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	220	14,679	53	Poor
42J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	305	92,494	58	Fair
42J	TAXIWAY E - CONNECTOR TO T-HANGAR	TAXIWAY	505	31,823	58	Fair
42J	APRON	APRON	4105	167,821	64	Fair
42J	APRON	APRON	4110	42,163	100	Good
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4505	24,431	31	Very Poor
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4510	40,735	78	Satisfactory
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4515	15,277	52	Poor
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4520	61,168	35	Very Poor

141

#### Forecasted Pavement Condition Index 2018-2027

#### Table E-2 Pavement Condition Index Forecast 2018-2027

Network ID	Brench ID	Section ID		Forecasted PCI									
Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
42J	AP	4105	64	62	61	59	58	56	55	54	53	52	51
42J	AP	4110	100	94	88	85	83	81	80	78	77	75	73
42J	AP T-HANG	4505	31	30	29	28	26	25	24	23	22	21	20
42J	AP T-HANG	4510	78	76	75	73	72	70	68	67	65	64	62
42J	AP T-HANG	4515	52	50	49	47	46	44	42	41	39	38	36
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	35	33	32	30	29	27	25	24	22	21	19
42J	RW 11-29	6205	43	42	41	40	39	39	38	37	36	35	34
42J	RW 11-29	6215	55	53	52	50	48	47	45	44	42	40	39
42J	RW 11-29	6220	39	38	37	36	35	35	34	33	32	31	30
42J	RW 5-23	6105	43	42	41	40	39	39	38	37	36	35	34
42J	RW 5-23	6110	41	40	39	38	37	37	36	35	34	33	32
42J	RW 5-23	6115	81	79	76	74	72	69	68	66	64	63	62
42J	RW 5-23	6120	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	69	67	65	64
42J	RW 5-23	6130	50	49	48	47	46	46	45	44	43	42	41
42J	RW 5-23	6135	49	48	47	46	45	45	44	43	42	41	40
42J	TW A	105	35	31	27	23	19	15	11	8	4	0	0
42J	TW B	205	49	48	46	45	44	44	43	43	43	43	43
42J	TW B	210	51	49	48	46	45	43	41	40	38	37	36
42J	TW B	220	53	51	50	48	47	45	43	42	40	39	37
42J	TW C	305	58	57	55	54	53	51	50	48	46	45	43
42J	TW E	505	58	57	55	54	53	51	50	48	46	45	43

#### Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027

Table E-3 Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027

Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	42J	AP	4105	PCC	167,821	62	PCC Restoration	\$ 1,679,000.00
2018	42J	AP T-HANG	4505	PCC	24,431	30	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 367,000.00
2018	42J	AP T-HANG	4515	AC	15,277	50	AC Restoration	\$ 107,000.00
2018	42J	AP T-HANG	4520	AC	61,168	33	AC Reconstruction	\$ 551,000.00
2018	42J	RW 11-29	6205	PCC	22,286	42	PCC Restoration	\$ 308,000.00
2018	42J	RW 11-29	6215	AC	329,625	53	AC Restoration	\$ 2,308,000.00
2018	42J	RW 11-29	6220	PCC	28,125	38	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 422,000.00
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6105	PCC	15,000	42	PCC Restoration	\$ 207,000.00
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6110	PCC	18,125	40	PCC Restoration	\$ 269,000.00

2017

Keystone Airpark (42J)




141

Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6130	PCC	15,627	49	PCC Restoration	\$ 161,000.00
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6135	PCC	19,518	48	PCC Restoration	\$ 211,000.00
2018	42J	TW A	105	AAC	195,631	31	AC Reconstruction	\$ 1,761,000.00
2018	42J	TW B	205	AAC	19,612	48	AC Restoration	\$ 145,000.00
2018	42J	TW B	210	AC	77,412	49	AC Restoration	\$ 544,000.00
2018	42J	TW B	220	AC	14,679	51	AC Restoration	\$ 103,000.00
2018	42J	TW C	305	AC	92,494	57	AC Restoration	\$ 648,000.00
2018	42J	TW E	505	AC	31,823	57	AC Restoration	\$ 223,000.00
2025	42J	RW 5-23	6115	AAC	220,000	64	AC Restoration	\$ 1,541,000.00
2026	42J	AP T-HANG	4510	AC	40,735	64	AC Restoration	\$ 286,000.00
2027	42J	RW 5-23	6120	AAC	220,000	64	AC Restoration	\$ 1,541,000.00

\*All planning cost values have been rounded to the nearest thousand-dollar.







#### Summary of Keystone Airport

Keystone Airpark was inspected in April 2017 – the overall weighted PCI value was 60, a condition rating of Fair. The results of the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation analysis identified \$1,349,620 in localized M&R needs based on current conditions and a 10-Year major rehabilitation need of \$13,382,000 based on forecasted conditions. The current major rehabilitation needs based on the latest inspection consist of \$10,014,000 for pavements below critical condition.

Localized maintenance and repair identified within this report are categorized as preventive or stopgap; the FDOT SAPMP has defined maintenance policies based on FAA recommendations. Major rehabilitation is identified within the FDOT SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or resetting of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Such activities could include: mill and hot-mix asphalt overlay, rigid pavement repair and slab replacement, and full-depth reconstruction. It is recommended that the airport use this as a planning tool for future project development and prioritization – all localized maintenance and repair and major rehabilitation recommendations should be considered as planning-level only. All final localized maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation is subject to change based on airport prioritization and further design-level evaluation.



# **Chapter 1**

### FDOT

## **Chapter 1 – Introduction**

#### 1.1 Background

The State of Florida has 128 public airports of which 100 public-use airports are recognized as part of the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS) that are vital to the Florida economy as well as the economy of the United States. The Florida Aviation System (FAS) provides opportunities for the State to capitalize on an increasingly global marketplace. Florida's system of commercial service and general aviation (GA) airports are important to businesses throughout the entire State. Air travel is essential to tourism, Florida's number one industry.

There are millions of square feet of pavement infrastructure that consists of runways, taxiways, aprons, ramps, and other areas of airports that are vital to the support and safety of aircraft operations. Timely pavement maintenance, repair and major rehabilitation of these pavements will support the airport in operating safely, efficiently, economically and without excessive down time.

In general, adherence to the FAA Advisory Circulars are mandatory for all projects funded with federal grant monies through the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) and with revenue from the Passenger Facilities Charges (PFC) Program. Further information is detailed in FAA Grant Assurance No. 11 "Pavement Maintenance," No. 34 "Policies, Standards, and Specifications," and PFC Assurance No. 9 "Standards and Specifications." The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) performs the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) System Updates for the benefit of participating public-use and publicly owned airports through the Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO).

The SAPMP addresses the requirements of maintaining an effective pavement management program for the participating airports at the network level. Network-level management of pavement assets provides insight for short-term and long-term budget needs, understanding of the overall condition of the network (current and future), and pavement facilities that are subject for project consideration. A network-level evaluation can be supportive in the identification of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs and budgetary planning-level opinions of probable construction costs.

## 1.2 Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) Update 2016-2017

In 1992, the FDOT established the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) to provide program managers, District Aviation and Spaceport Offices, and airport operators a system to proactively manage airport airfield pavement infrastructure within the Florida Aviation System. The SAPMP performs network-level Pavement Condition Index (PCI) survey inspections for airport facilities that are categorized as General Aviation (GA), Reliever (RL), and Commercial (PR). Currently, the program consists of 95 actively participating publicuse airports with pavement facilities and provides users with comprehensive data to better manage pavement assets.






Figure 1.2 Florida Aviation System (Facilities with Pavement) and FDOT Districts

In 2016, the Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office contracted Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. along with subconsultants Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC and AVCON, Inc. to provide professional services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of performing a system update to the SAPMP. This work is to be completed from fiscal year 2016 through fiscal year 2019.

#### 1.3 Organization

#### 1.3.1 Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office Program Manager

The FDOT Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO) Aviation Engineering Manager serves as the Program Manager (ASO-PM) for the SAPMP. The ASO-PM monitors the work performed by the designated Consultant for the program. The ASO-PM has review and approval authority for each program task and manages the program's day-to-day details and pertinent updates.

The ASO-PM reports updates and milestones to the FDOT State Aviation and Spaceports Manager and Development Administrator.

#### 1.3.2 Participating Florida Public-Use and Publicly Owned Airports

The airports are the end-user and beneficiary of the SAPMP. The SAPMP provides a specific Airport Pavement Evaluation Report that meets the requirements of the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)."** Individual participating airports will be provided a final Airport Pavement Evaluation Report by the designated Consultant that is specific to each airport's airfield pavement condition index survey. The ASO-PM has full authority and final approval of each report prior to finalization. In advance of each PCI survey and prior to completion of each Airport Pavement Evaluation Report, participating airports are asked to provide the necessary record documentation for the proper analysis efforts. Relevant record documentation artifacts may consist of but are not limited to: Airport Layout Plans (ALP), Construction Bid Tabulations, As-Built Construction Drawings, Engineer's Reports, and/or field pavement inspection reports.

#### 1.3.3 Florida Department of Transportation District Offices

The seven (7) FDOT District Offices, specifically the Aviation representatives (currently the Freight and Logistics personnel), provide essential support to the SAPMP update and the ASO-PM. Each District supports the SAPMP's on-going efforts by providing local construction cost information throughout the State. The construction cost information, typically consisting of plans and bid tabulations, are used as the basis of the development maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation opinions of probable construction costs for planning purposes. Each District Office receives copies of individual Airport Pavement Evaluation Reports for the participating airport facilities located within their respective Districts.

#### 1.3.4 Consultant

The Consultant, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., provides technical and administrative support to the ASO-PM for the SAPMP update. The support consists of airfield pavement system inventory updates, performance of PCI Surveys in accordance with ASTM *D5340-12* "*Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys,*" evaluation and reporting of the pavement condition in accordance with the FAA Advisory Circular *150/5380-7B* "*Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP).*"

The Consultant Team consists of Kimley-Horn, Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC., and AVCON, Inc.

A brief description of the general scope of work undertaken to update the SAPMP includes but is not limited to:

- Research and evaluation of existing record documentation was performed to identify construction projects that have taken place since the most recent major update of the SAPMP. This data is used to update the pavement inventory and network definition.
- An update to the existing Network Definition Map was made to reflect geometric changes, pavement composition updates, and section characterization. Furthermore, an update to the PCI Survey sample units were made to reflect the field investigation efforts.
- A functional pavement evaluation with PCI Survey inspections was completed on all airfield pavements maintained by the Airport. The PCI Survey procedure, as defined by ASTM D5340-12, was used as the basis of the functional pavement evaluation. For this specific evaluation, the sample units defined by prior studies were inspected as to better develop performance models for prediction curves. Pavement subject to construction or anticipated construction during scheduled PCI Survey inspection or within 2 years were omitted from inspection based on confirmation of airport personnel.
- Condition Analysis was performed based on the distress data observed, rated, measured, and recorded in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 for the calculation of PCI values and ratings. The results of the current condition analysis were used in concert with the historic PCI Survey data and construction work history to develop performance models to forecast future PCI values for each section for a 10-year study duration.
- Maintenance, Repair, and Rehabilitation Planning was performed predicated on the results of the condition analysis with updated policies and planning-level unit costs. The policies, or M&R policies, have been updated to reflect standard practices for maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation as defined by the FAA AC 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements." Planning-level unit costs were developed based on representative construction bid tabulations provided by participating airports. The bid tabulations consisted of limited airfield pavement construction projects that took place between 2009 and 2015 at participating airports.



The individual airport airfield pavement evaluation report discusses the work performed, a summary of findings, condition analysis results, and recommendations for maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation (M&R) planning associated with the SAPMP system update. It also briefly describes the procedures used to ensure that the appropriate engineering and scientific standards of care, quality, budget, schedules, and safety requirements were implemented during the performance of this work.

The purpose of this Airfield Pavement Evaluation Report is to achieve the following:

- Describe the goals, procedures, and purpose of the SAPMP
- Provide a brief technical explanation of the pavement management methodology, standard practices, and objectives
- Analyze pavement distresses data for the determination of pavement conditions and for identification of airfield pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs based on functional PCI trends

#### The identification of rehabilitation needs has been determined at the planning level. Design-level investigation is recommended prior to developing construction-level design documents and budgets.

In compliance with FAA Grant Assurances 11 and 19; the FDOT SAPMP provides airports with airfield pavement evaluation reports in accordance with FAA AC 150/5380-7B Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP) and AC 150/5380-6C Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements. The application of the results of a PCI survey are for planning purposes and are limited to the visual observation of deteriorated pavements in limited sampling; design-level investigation is recommended in accordance with the FAA procedures defined in AC 5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation and AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements. The aforementioned ACs provide the design-level material properties of in-situ pavement and subgrade layers for the determination of appropriate rehabilitation actions. The FDOT Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program is organized to provide airports with planning-level data and does not intend to preclude the responsible engineer in performing the appropriate level of investigation and analysis in determining the appropriate design details of a pavement rehabilitation. It would not be advisable to solely base design-level rehabilitation without the appropriate level of investigation and determination of pavement deterioration beyond that of a visual functional condition assessment.

#### 1.5 History of the Program

In 1992, the FDOT implemented the SAPMP to understand the pavement conditions at public airports in the FAS, systematically update pavement infrastructure information, and assist airport operators with recommendations of pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs. The 1992 SAPMP implementation provided the FDOT and the participating airports valuable information for establishing and performing timely and appropriate pavement rehabilitation.

During the 1992-1993 implementation and again during the 1998-1999 updates; the SAPMP performed the development with proprietary software for pavement management system analysis. This development allowed for the creation of pavement management database file system populated with airport attributes and condition data. The pavement management database was used to establish maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation policies; consider planning-level unit costs; and develop recommendations for performing pavement maintenance. This system, known as AIRPAV, was initially developed during the 1992-1993 SAPMP implementation for the analysis of distress data. The AIRPAV system was used again in the 1998-1999 SAPMP update.

In 2004, the SAPMP system update included the review of the AIRPAV software compared to other industry available non-proprietary software packages. As a result of this review, MicroPAVER<sup>™</sup> (currently known as PAVER<sup>™</sup>) was selected for implementation of the system update. MicroPAVER<sup>™</sup> was developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering Research Laboratory for pavement management. Data from the 1998-1999 FDOT SAPMP update, which was built upon the initial 1992-1993 implementation of AIRPAV, was reviewed and converted to be compatible with the MicroPAVER<sup>™</sup> system. This data conversion included all documented pavement facilities, classifications, types, histories, geometries, PCI condition data and pertinent attributes gathered from airport feedback at the time. This information was used to develop the inventory of each participating airport's pavement facilities in a consistent format. This was the development of Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits. These inventory exhibits visually depicted the branch, section, and sample units that were based upon the pavement construction history and composition information provided by each airport.

In the 2006-2008 system update, the SAPMP was updated again with continued use of the MicroPAVER<sup>™</sup> system. Based on the distress data collected, a maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning program was developed for each airport. As part of this SAPMP update, the procedures for the inspection and the collection of the pavement distress data were documented, and an interactive website (http://www.dot.state.fl.us/aviation/pavement.shtm) was established for input of data.

In the 2010-2012 system update, the SAPMP was updated using new global positioning system (GPS) integrated technology to digitally collect pavement distress data. Interactive geographic information system (GIS) map files were developed from updated Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits to aid pavement condition inspectors in the collection of sample distress data. The data collected was utilized to develop pavement performance models to predict future pavement PCI values and make recommendations for major rehabilitation.

In the 2013-2015 system update, the SAPMP integrated PAVER<sup>™</sup> and FieldInspector<sup>™</sup> with the use of GPS and GIS capable field tablets. Furthermore, the update included continued adherence to the ASTM *D5340-12* "*Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.*" The ASTM update consisted of refinement of distress definition types and deduction values for select asphalt concrete and Portland Cement Concrete distresses.



#### 1.6 Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

Currently, airports participating in the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Grant Program are required by the FAA to develop and implement a pavement maintenance program to be eligible for funding (FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for *Maintenance of Airport Pavements*" and 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)"). This program requires detailed inspection of airfield pavement conditions by trained personnel. The inspections are required to be performed at least once a year using the PASER method or every three years if the pavement is inspected as defined by the PCI survey procedure in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys."

In general, adherence to the Advisory Circulars are mandatory for all projects funded with federal grant monies through the AIP program and with revenue from the Passenger Facilities Charges (PFC) Program. Further information is detailed in FAA Grant Assurance No. 11 "Pavement Maintenance," No. 34 "Policies, Standards, and Specifications," and PFC Assurance No. 9 "Standards and Specifications."

#### 1.7 FDOT SAPMP Objectives and Components

The FDOT SAPMP is a program that provides the FAS support in implementing and/or maintaining a network-level Pavement Management Program in a consistent and regularly scheduled manner.

In accordance with FAA AC150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)" an effective Pavement Management Program consists of a system that achieves specific objectives. The FDOT SAPMP objectives are as follows:

#### 1.7.1 Program Objectives

- 1 A systematic means for collecting and storing information regarding existing pavement structure and condition.
- 2 An objective and repeatable system for evaluating pavement condition.
- 3 Procedures for predicting future pavement condition.
- 4 Procedures for modeling both past and future pavement performance conditions.
- 5 Procedures to determine the budget requirements to meet management objectives, such as the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation budget required to keep a pavement at a specified PCI level or the budget required to improve to target PCI level.
- 6 Procedures for formulating and prioritizing maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects.

The objectives are accomplished by the following components:


#### 1.7.2 Program Components

- A. Database
- B. Pavement Inventory
- C. Pavement Structure
- D. Pavement Work History
- E. Pavement Condition Data



- F. Pavement Performance Modeling for the Prediction/Forecast of PCI
- G. Maintenance, Repair, and Major Rehabilitation Policies and Budget Simulation

A well-maintained network-level pavement management program may provide airport staff a better understanding of the airfield pavement performance for developing and planning for specific maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects. The understanding of specific distress types and severities will assist the airport in addressing pavement maintenance and repair with the appropriate treatments as defined by the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements." The development of projects with an understanding of system inventory, deterioration details, and pavement condition forecasts may assist airport staff in developing practical rehabilitation actions and budgets. Furthermore, the understanding of pavements' past performance and forecasted condition may assist airport staff in addressing pavement rehabilitation in a timely and costeffective manner. Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle, which is based on the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)." Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle, depicts a general duration of a pavement section and identifies the ideal condition to perform rehabilitative treatments at an optimal cost rather than allowing significant increase in rate of deterioration that would result in increased costs.






\*Figure is for conceptual purposes only – unit costs are not specific to airfield pavements (AC vs PCC).

**Figure 1.7-2 General Pavement Treatments by Condition Range** depicts generic flexible asphalt concrete (AC) pavement treatments that are effective at specific condition ranges. This graphic is a general concept and will vary based on pavement surface type and overall

composition. The intent is to convey various treatment types that would be effective based on the condition of the pavement along the deterioration model.





Pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation would be quite anticipatory if all pavements behaved as depicted in **Figures 1.7-1 and 1.7-2**, however pavement condition performance vary significantly based on several factors. Factors that contribute to a pavement section's condition and deterioration performance may include: functional design life, material type, material construction quality, climatic conditions, aircraft loading type and frequency, non-aircraft loading type and frequency, maintenance history, subgrade conditions, and other infrastructure in the vicinity. The list of factors is not all-inclusive of all factors that may contribute to a pavement's life cycle, it is intended to clarify that unique conditions certainly will affect a pavement's deterioration.


**Figures 1.7-3 and Figure 1.7-4** depict visual conditions of pavement facilities, for both AC and PCC respectively, with approximated PCI ranges and corresponding repair and rehabilitation measures.

avement 2017



141

#### Representative **Rehabilitation Activities PCI Range Representative PCI Pavement Surface** Routine Maintenance Pavements with PCI values above 85, or 86-100 90 'Good', may require periodic joint/crack sealing and local patching. Pavement Preservation Pavements with PCI conditions ranging from 'Fair' to 'Satisfactory' may require surface 65-85 70 treatments (seal coat), thin overlays, and/or joint/crack sealing. Major Rehabiliation Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 65, or within the range of 'Very Poor' to 40-64 50 rehabilitation such as pavement mill and Major Reconstruction Pavements that have deteriorated below a 15 PCI 40, or within the range of 'Failed' to 0 - 39'Very Poor' conditions, may require major reconstruction.



	PCI Range	Representative PCI	Representative Pavement Surface	Rehabilitation Activities
Routine Maintenance	86-100	90		Pavements with PCI values above 85, or 'Good', may require periodic joint/crack sealing and local patching.
Pavement Preservation	65-85	70		Pavements with PCI conditions ranging from 'Fair' to 'Satisfactory' may require patches and/or joint/crack sealing.
Major Rehabiliation	40-64	50		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 65, or within the range of 'Very Poor' to 'Fair' conditions may require major rehabilitation such as slab replacement and PCC restoration activity.
Major Reconstruction	0-39	15		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 40, or within the range of 'Failed' to 'Very Poor' conditions, may require major reconstruction.

#### Figure 1.7-3 Flexible Asphalt Concrete

#### 1.8 References

The following reference documents were referenced as specific guidelines and procedures for maintaining airport pavements; establishing an effective pavement maintenance program; and identifying specific pavement distresses, probable causes of distresses, inspection guidelines, and recommended methods of repair:

- ASTM D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys."
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program."
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements."
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5320-6F "Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation."
- Department of the Air Force, Air Force Civil Engineer Center "Engineering Technical Letter (ETL) 14-3: Preventive Maintenance Plan (PMP) for Airfield Pavements."
- Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-260-16FA 16 "Airfield Pavement Condition Survey Procedures Pavements."
- Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-260-03 "Airfield Pavement Evaluation."
- Pavement Management for Airports, Roads, and Parking Lots 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, M.Y. Shahin.



# **Chapter 2**

## FDOT

## Chapter 2 – Methodology

An effective pavement management program incorporates the regular collection of pavement condition information and communication of information to appropriate sponsors. This chapter of the report defines the specific methods utilized as part of the SAPMP System Update to meet the requirements of an effective pavement management system as defined by the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B** "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)."

#### 2.1 Airfield Pavement Database

The SAPMP program has historically utilized PAVER<sup>™</sup> (formerly MicroPAVER<sup>™</sup>); the current update has maintained the use of the PAVER<sup>™</sup> 7.0 version of the software. The PAVER<sup>™</sup> software application was developed by the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory sponsored by the FAA, Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Army, U.S. Air Force, and the U.S. Navy to meet the objectives of an effective pavement management system. The SAPMP consists of a network-level database of the airport's airfield pavement facilities that are part of the program. PAVER<sup>™</sup> can achieve the following pavement management objectives: a manageable inventory system, the analysis of the current condition of pavements in accordance with the ASTM D5340, the development of pavement performance models to forecast conditions, and the development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation recommendations based on budgetary scenarios.

PAVER<sup>™</sup> inventory management is based on a tiered organizational structure that consists of networks, branches, and sections, with the section being the smallest unit of management. Critical elements of an effective pavement management program are maintained within the network-level PAVER<sup>™</sup> database. These elements typically consist of pavement inventory characteristics, pavement structure, work history, historic condition records, and analytical customization.

The SAPMP System Update consisted of the conversion of the previous database from a PAVER<sup>™</sup> version 6.5 to a version 7.0.

#### 2.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory

An airfield pavement system inventory typically maintains the location of all runways, taxiways, and aprons; geometric characteristics; type of pavement structure, year of construction and/or last major rehabilitation; and general composition details of the pavement.

The pavement inventory for an airport's airfield is an assembly of pavement infrastructure information that builds an inventory of branches and sections that codifies the airport's airfield pavement network. General geometry characteristics, estimated length, width, functional classification, pavement surface type, and operational function are among the characteristics identified at this initial phase in the pavement management process. The development of a pavement inventory that reasonably reflects the airport's airfield pavement facilities that are maintained by the airport provides a defined scope of the inspection and analysis efforts. As in the past, the SAPMP scope of work is specific to the airport-maintained airfield pavements as defined in the field network definition exhibits presented to current airport personnel.

A critical input to the pavement system inventory and network definition in the development of the SAPMP update is the date of last major rehabilitation/construction performed on the pavement assets that would set the asset at a PCI of 100 and a condition rating of Good. The airport provided a limited combination of record drawings, reports, and staff input that was pertinent information in developing the construction history of the airport's pavements from inception. Major rehabilitation/construction activities performed in the last 24-months or anticipated in the next 24-months are assumed to restore the PCI to 100. These activities include; pavement overlay, mill and replace, mill and overlay, new construction, and/or complete reconstruction.

Aerial imagery was obtained through the FDOT Surveying & Mapping Office's *Aerial Photo Look Up System (APLUS)*. This spatially projected imagery was utilized with computer-aided drafting software (AutoCAD) in concert with geographical information system software (ArcGIS) to develop a planning-level representative model that reasonably reflects the pavement assets at the airport.

#### 2.2.1 Pavement Management Program Network Definition Terminology

There are several terms that are common in the communication of the results of the SAPMP System Update, these terms are defined as follows:

#### **Pavement Network**

A pavement network is a logical unit for organizing pavements into a structure for pavement management. A network will typically consist of one or more pavement *branches*, which are typically comprised of one or many pavement *sections*. The network is the starting point of the hierarchy of pavement management organization. For example, a network can be all the pavements within an airport's airfield or all the pavements in a statewide program. For the FDOT SAPMP, a network represents an individual airport's airfield pavement facilities maintained by the airport.


The SAPMP System Update consists of research and evaluation of existing record documentation for the participating airports' airfield facilities. The pavement network is typically limited to the pavement facilities subject to aircraft use that is also maintained by the airport owner and eligible for public funding.

#### **Pavement Branch**

A pavement branch, also known as a facility, is a logical unit of generally identifiable pavement of a network with distinct functional classification. For example, within an airfield each runway, taxiway, or apron is considered a branch. A branch must consist of at least one section.

#### **Pavement Section**

A pavement section, also known as a feature, is the most specific management unit when considering the application and selection of maintenance, repair, and/or major rehabilitation treatments on an area of pavement within a branch. Each branch consists of at least one section, but may consist of more if pavement feature characteristics are distinct throughout the branch. Characteristics considered when subdividing branches into sections include, but are not limited to: pavement structure, type, age, condition, and function; traffic composition and frequency (current and future); geometric location; construction history; and other related



#### **Pavement Sample Unit**

A pavement sample unit is a subdivision of a pavement section that has a standard size range: twenty (20) continuous slabs ( $\pm 8$  slabs) for Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) pavement and 5,000 contiguous square feet ( $\pm 2,000$  ft<sup>2</sup>) for flexible asphalt concrete (AC) or porous friction course pavements.

PMS Network Level	Common Definition	Airport Example
Network	Overall pavement assets maintained by the Airport	"Tallahassee International Airport – Airfield Pavements"
Branch Name	Commonly defined asset name as established by Airport and by use	"Runway 18-36"
Branch ID	Codified shorthand name for commonly defined asset established for database identification	"RW 18-36" RW, Branch Use, "Runway" 18-36, Runway Facility
Section ID	Codified identification for pavement asset that is distinct by the following: Pavement Composition Construction Work History Aircraft Traffic Condition Records	"6105"
Sample Unit	A numeric identification of an area of pavement (5,000±2,000 SF of AC or 20±8 slabs of PCC) that has been inspected in accordance with ASTM D5340-12.	"300"

#### Table 2.2.1 Airfield Pavement Database Network Definition Terminology



#### 2.3 Airfield Pavement Structure

#### 2.3.1 Pavement Structure Types

Airport airfield pavements are constructed to provide adequate support for the loads imposed by aircraft and produce a firm, stable, smooth, all-year, all-weather surface free of debris or other particles that may be blown or dislocated by propeller wash or jet blast. Typical pavement planning and design requires coordination of factors that include but are not limited to; subgrade conditions, material layer types, aircraft fleet mix (type, frequency, and traffic growth), and functional use. A pavement structure is composed of constructed layers that consist of subgrade, subbase, base course, structural courses, and surfaces courses. For the FDOT SAPMP, two major pavement structure types are classified for evaluation and analysis: Flexible Asphalt Concrete Surface and Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Surface. Additionally, Composite Structures known as Whitetopping Pavements are also present at limited airports within the Florida Airports System; these unique pavement structures are evaluated separately.

#### Flexible Asphalt Concrete Surface

A pavement comprised of aggregate mixture with an asphalt cement binder. The FDOT SAPMP consists of three (3) asphalt concrete surface types: Asphalt Concrete (AC), Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Asphalt Concrete (AAC), and Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Portland Cement Concrete (APC).

#### Asphalt Concrete (AC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on engineered base course material that is layered on subbase and subgrade soil material.

#### Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Asphalt Concrete (AAC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on an existing flexible AC pavement section. Flexible airfield pavement sections are AAC when a pavement rehabilitation consists of a pavement milling operation and a resurfacing of asphalt layers; or a direct overlay of asphalt concrete without surface preparation.

#### Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Portland Cement Concrete (APC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on an existing Rigid PCC pavement section. This unique pavement composition may result in distinct pavement distress manifestations known as reflective joint cracking.



#### **Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Surface**

A pavement comprised of aggregate mixture with a Portland Cement binder. The FDOT SAPMP recognizes Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) as the primary rigid pavement section.

#### Portland Cement Concrete (PCC)

A rigid pavement section composed of Portland cement concrete placed on a granular or treated base course that is supported on a compacted subgrade. The concrete surface must provide a texture of nonskid qualities, prevent the infiltration of surface water into the subgrade, and provide structural support to the airplanes. Rigid pavement construction requires the layout of appropriately designed joint spacing.

#### Composite Structure – Whitetopping Pavement

A composite pavement comprised of relatively thin Portland Cement Concrete overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement structure. There are three (3) types of Whitetopping Pavements; Conventional (WHT), Thin (TWT), and Ultra-Thin (UTW).

#### Conventional Whitetopping (WHT)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible AC pavement section area. The modified PCC layer is typically greater than 6-inches in thickness.

#### Thin Whitetopping (TWT)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement section. The modified PCC layer is typically between 4 and 6 inches in thickness.

#### Ultra-Thin Whitetopping (UTW)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement section. The Portland Cement Concrete layer is typically between 2 and 4 inches in thickness.



#### 2.4 Airfield Pavement Work History

#### 2.4.1 Airfield Pavement Record Keeping

It is strongly recommended that airports maintain records of all airfield construction and maintenance related to the pavement facilities. A history of all maintenance and repair performed and its associated costs (construction and soft costs) can provide valuable information on the effectiveness of various treatments on pavements. An airport should maintain detailed records of maintenance (routine, emergency, and proactive) activities. The records should consist of the following:

- 1. Location and Limits of Work.
- 2. Types and Severity of Distresses Repaired.
- 3. Type of Work.
- 4. Cost of Work.
- 5. Supporting Documents (contract documents, construction drawings, specifications, bid tabulations, repair product, photograph records, etc.).

#### 2.5 Airfield Pavement Traffic

A pavement section is typically designed to meet the needs of the user (airlines, air cargo, general aviation, and/or military) in providing a safe, smooth, operational surface. Pavement deterioration generally occurs gradually through increased roughness and/or fatigue cracking caused by successive and heavy aircraft traffic.

This study does not consist of a study or analysis of each individual airport's airfield aircraft fleet mix or traffic operations. However, it is strongly recommended that airports incorporate the requirements of FAA Advisory Circular **150/5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation** when developing design-level rehabilitation activities. The AC provides guidance on incorporation of aircraft traffic fleet mix data.

#### 2.6 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (PCI) Survey

#### 2.6.1 PCI Survey Methodology

In adherence to the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B** "Airport Pavement Management **Program (PMP)**," the FDOT SAPMP utilizes the PCI Survey Method of inspection to collect pavement distress data and analyze the condition. The PCI Survey Inspection procedure is a visual statistical sampling of pavements for recording primary distress types (e.g. cracking and deformation), associated severities, and quantities as defined by the ASTM D5340-12. This effort is the primary means of obtaining and recording pavement distress data. The survey inspection consists primarily of visual inspection of pavement surfaces for signs of distress and deterioration resulting from loading (aircraft) and environmental influences.

A visual pavement condition survey provides an indication of the cause and rate of deterioration of a pavement section from a functional point of view and can be an indicator of structural distress. The functional condition analysis assesses the rating of the operational surface. A visual PCI Survey Inspection does not predict the remaining structural life of a pavement section, or its ability to support loads. The functional condition determined by the PCI method



can provide a cost-effective means to plan for pavement rehabilitation projects. The timely application of pavement rehabilitation may lead to the extension of functional life of individual pavement sections. This method varies from structural evaluation; functional condition is limited to visually observed distresses and indicative modes of pavement deterioration. A formal structural evaluation analyzes subsurface conditions, material characteristics, and qualitative pavement structure attributes. A structural evaluation may consist of; subsurface geotechnical exploration, falling weight deflectometer testing, petrographic testing, material coring, and/or flexural testing.

#### 2.6.2 Pavement Distress Types

For each section, the severity and quantity of defined distresses are recorded and then analyzed in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 standard. The standard identifies 17 distinct flexible asphalt concrete distress types and 16 distinct rigid Portland Cement Concrete distress types.

Table 2.6.2-1 (a) Pavement Distress Types – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Distress	Common Distress Mechanisms
Alligator Cracking	Load / Fatigue
Bleeding	Construction Quality/ Mix Design
Block Cracking	Climate / Age
Corrugation	Load / Construction Quality
Depression	Load / Subsurface
Jet Blast	Aircraft
Joint Reflection - Cracking	Climate / Subsurface Pavement / Traffic Load
Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking	Climate / Construction Quality
Oil Spillage	Aircraft / Vehicle
Patching	Utility / Pavement Repair / Age
Polished Aggregate	Repeated Traffic Loading
Raveling	Climate / Age
Rutting	Load / Fatigue
Shoving	PCC Pavement Growth / Movement
Slippage Cracking	Load / Pavement Bond / Mix Design
Swelling	Climate / Subsurface
Weathering	Climate / Age

## Table 2.6.2-1 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-SurfacedAirfields

Classification by Possible Causes								
Load	Climate / Durability	Moisture / Drainage	Others					
<ul> <li>Alligator Cracking</li> <li>Corrugation</li> <li>Depression</li> <li>Patching of Load-based distress</li> <li>Polished Aggregate</li> <li>Rutting</li> <li>Slippage Cracking</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bleeding</li> <li>Block Cracking</li> <li>Joint Reflection Cracking</li> <li>L/T Cracking</li> <li>Patching of climate / durability-caused distresses</li> <li>Shoving from PCC</li> <li>Raveling</li> <li>Weathering</li> <li>Swelling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Alligator Cracking</li> <li>Depression</li> <li>Patching of moisture / drainage caused distress</li> <li>Swelling</li> <li>Raveling</li> <li>Weathering</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Oil Spillage</li> <li>Jet Blast Erosion</li> <li>Polished Aggregate</li> </ul>					

## Table 2.6.2-1 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-SurfacedAirfields

Classification by Possible Effects								
Roughness	Skid / Hydroplaning Potential	FOD Potential	Rate of Deterioration and Maintenance Requirements					
<ul> <li>Corrugation</li> <li>Depression</li> <li>Rutting</li> <li>Shoving of asphalt pavement</li> <li>Swelling</li> <li>Raveling</li> <li>Weathering</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bleeding</li> <li>Depression</li> <li>Polished Aggregate</li> <li>Rutting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Block Cracking</li> <li>Joint Reflection Cracking</li> <li>L/T Cracking</li> <li>Slippage Cracking</li> </ul>	All Distresses					



#### Table 2.6.2-2 (a) Pavement Distresses – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Distress	Common Distress Mechanisms			
Blowup	Climate / ASR			
Corner Break	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses			
Linear Cracking	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses / Shrinkage Stresses			
Durability Cracking	Freeze-Thaw Cycling			
Joint Seal Damage	Material Deterioration / Construction Quality / Age			
Small Patch	Pavement Repair			
Large Patch/Utility Cut	Utility / Pavement Repair			
Popout	Freeze-Thaw Cycling / ASR / Material Quality			
Pumping	Load Repetition / Poor Joint Sealant			
Scaling	Construction Quality / Freeze-Thaw Cycling			
Faulting	Subgrade Quality / ASR / Inadequate Load Transfer			
Shattered Slab	Overloading			
Shrinkage Cracking	Construction Quality / Climate			
Joint Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material / Deterioration of Dowel (Load Transfer) Bars			
Corner Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material / Deterioration of Dowel (Load Transfer) Bars			
Alkali-Silica Reaction (ASR)	Construction Quality / Climate / Chemical Reaction			



	Classification by Possible Causes								
Load	Climate / Durability	Moisture / Drainage	Others						
<ul> <li>Corner Break</li> <li>Shattered Slab</li> <li>L/T/D Cracking</li> <li>Pumping</li> <li>Patching of Load- associated distress</li> <li>Spalling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Blowup</li> <li>"D" Cracking</li> <li>Joint Seal Damage</li> <li>Popouts</li> <li>Scaling</li> <li>Patch of Climate/Durability- associated distress</li> <li>Shrinkage Cracking</li> <li>Spalling</li> <li>L/T/D Cracking</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Corner Break</li> <li>Shattered Slab</li> <li>Pumping</li> <li>Patching of Moisture/Drainage- associated distress</li> </ul>	Settlement     / Faulting						

## Table 2.6.2-2 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-<br/>Surfaced Airfields

	Classification by Possible Effects								
Roughness	Skid / Hydroplaning Potential	FOD Potential	Rate of Deterioration and Maintenance Requirements						
<ul> <li>Blowup</li> <li>Corner Break</li> <li>L/T/D Cracking</li> <li>Shattered Slab</li> <li>Settlement / Faulting</li> <li>Spalling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Settlement / Faulting</li> <li>Spalling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Corner Break</li> <li>L/T/D Cracking</li> <li>"D" Cracking</li> <li>Joint Seal Damage</li> <li>Shattered Slab</li> <li>Popouts</li> <li>Scaling</li> </ul>	All distresses						



#### 2.6.3 PCI Survey Inspection Procedures

#### Inspection Sampling Rate

The FDOT SAPMP performs PCI Survey Inspections on sample units defined in the previous update. The sample units are subject to change at the discretion of the inspection personnel and/or to major pavement rehabilitation treatments. Furthermore, access to the sample units based on accessibility or impacts to operations may affect the overall sampling rate effort at each airport. The following **Tables 2.6.3 (a) and (b)** define the sampling criteria used by the FDOT SAPMP. A higher sampling rate may be utilized to achieve a greater statistical confidence should the airport have the available resources to perform PCI Survey Inspections independent of the FDOT SAPMP.

#### Table 2.6.3 (a) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Number of Total	Sample Units to Inspect						
Sample Units in Section	Runways	Taxiways, Aprons, and Others					
1 - 4	1	1					
5 - 10	2	1					
11 - 15	3	2					
16 - 30	5	3					
31 - 40	7	4					
41 - 50	8	5					
51 or more	20% but ≤20	10% but ≤10					

#### Table 2.6.3 (b) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Number of Total	Sample Units to Inspect						
Sample Units in Section	Runways	Taxiways, Aprons, and Others					
1 - 3	1	1					
4 - 6	2	1					
7 - 10	3	2					
11 - 15	4	2					
16 - 20	5	3					
21 - 30	7	3					
31 - 40	8	4					
41 - 50	10	5					
51 or more	20% but ≤20	10% but ≤10					

#### 2.6.4 Updates to the ASTM D5340-12

Airfield pavement distresses and conditions were surveyed in accordance with the methods outlined in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C and ASTM D5340-12. These procedures define distress type, severity, and quantity for sampling areas within each defined pavement section area to analyze and determine the PCI value and condition rating. During the 2013-2015 System Update, the incorporation of the significant chances to the ASTM D5340 (version D5340-12) resulted in an adjusted pavement condition indices on pavement sections subject to the distress types updated. Furthermore, the revision of the PCI deduction curves and the separation of distress types from the original, such as Weathering and Raveling, have in select cases increased the PCI value of the section without any rehabilitation performed.

#### Flexible Asphalt Concrete Pavement Distress Updates

The previous methodology which featured "(52) Weathering and Raveling" distress has been separated into two distresses "(52) Raveling" and "(57) Weathering." Previously, areas that were recorded as "Weathering and Raveling" were considered as one distress with a high deduction. Based on the updated methodology, in certain situations where "Weathering" only exists and does not meet the definition of "Raveling," the PCI deduction is not as high as the former "Weathering and Raveling." Therefore, areas identified only as "(57) Weathering" based on current ASTM standards, which were previously identified as "(52) Weathering and Raveling," may be subject to an improvement in PCI. In instances where pavement PCI has increased due to this update, it is not due to an improvement in actual condition, however indicative of the adjusted distress deterioration effects.

#### Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Pavement Distress Updates

The previous methodology defined "(70) Scaling" as a distress that consisted of surface deterioration caused by construction defects, material defects, and environmental factors. The distress included Alkali-Silica Reaction, also known as ASR. The current methodology has separated Alkali-Silica Reaction as a distress identified as "(76) Alkali-Silica Reaction / ASR." As a result the previous "(70) Scaling" numerical deduction contribution to the PCI has been reduced. Previous inspections that recorded "(70) Scaling," and currently do not exhibit "(76) Alkali-Silica Reactivity / ASR" may potentially see an increase in PCI. Additionally, "(73) Shrinkage Cracks" has been redefined as "(73) Shrinkage Cracking". Shrinkage Cracking is characterized in two forms; drying shrinkage and plastic shrinkage. Drying shrinkage occurs over time as moisture leaves the pavement, it develops when hardened pavement continues to shrink as excess water not needed for cement hydration evaporates. It forms when subsurface resistance to the shrinkage is present and may extend through the entire depth of the slab. Plastic shrinkage develops when there is rapid loss of water in the surface of recently placed pavement or can form from over finishing/overworking of the pavement during construction. These shrinkage cracks appear as a series of inter-connected hairline cracks, or pattern cracking, and are often observed throughout the majority of the slab surface. This condition is also referred to as map cracking or crazing.



#### Table 2.6.4 Summary of Updates to ASTM D5340-12

Use and Surface Type	Updated Distress	Former Distress in Prior to 5340-10	Deduction Curve	Potential Effect
AC/AAC/ APC Airfield	(52) Raveling - Low	(52) Weathering and Raveling - Low	No Change	N/A
Airfield	(52) Raveling - Medium	(52) Weathering and Raveling - Medium	No Change	N/A
	(52) Raveling - High	(52) Weathering and Raveling - High	No Change	N/A
	(57) Weathering - Low	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(57) Weathering - Medium	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(57) Weathering - High	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
PCC Airfield	(70) Scaling - Low	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing - Low	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(70) Scaling - Medium	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing - Medium	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(70) Scaling - High	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing - High	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – Low	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – Medium	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – High	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(73) Shrinkage Cracking	(73) Shrinkage Cracking	No Change	Prior distress types identified as 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing' may now be identified as 'Shrinkage Cracking'

### Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program



## **Chapter 3**



## Chapter 3 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory

A significant element of an effective airfield pavement management system is the appropriate record keeping of changes due to construction or operational use of the pavement facilities. This chapter discusses the inventory data collected from the airport and summarizes network-level characteristics of the airport's airfield pavements. At the start of each FDOT SAPMP System Update, all airports are asked to review the existing Airfield Pavement Network Definition exhibit for accuracy. Furthermore, participating airports are asked to provide documentation for any recent or anticipated construction related to their airfield pavements.

#### 3.1 Airfield Pavement Network Information

#### 3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction

Based on information provided by the airport, the following **Table 3.1.1** summarizes the airfield pavement construction projects that have been incorporated into the SAPMP database system since the 2013-2015 System Update. **Figure 3.1.1-1** and **Figure 3.1.1-2** provides an inset view of the 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit and the 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibits that depict the updated network details for the airport reflected in the PAVER Database. Large format exhibits are referenced in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**.

Year	General Work Description					
2016	AP - Overlay					
AP - Joint/Crack Sealing, Patching						
2018	TW A, TW B - Rehabilitation					
2020	TW B, TW C - Rehabilitation					

Table 3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction

The airport provided a limited combination of record drawings, reports, and staff input that was pertinent information in developing the construction history of the airport's pavements from inception. Major rehabilitation/construction activities performed in the last 24-months or anticipated in the next 24-months are assumed to restore the PCI to 100. These activities include: pavement overlay, mill and replace, mill and overlay, new construction, and/or complete reconstruction. These pavements were not formally subject to a PCI Survey and actual conditions may vary. Furthermore, any localized maintenance or repair performed that would improve the PCI will be considered in the condition analysis, if performed within inspection areas.




Figure 3.1.1-1 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit provides details to the PCI Survey inspection efforts. The exhibit identifies the pavement facilities, surface type, section definition, and sample unit delineation.






Figure 3.1.1-2 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit provides details to the work history updates communicated by the Airport. The Exhibit provides the approximate limits of recent and/or anticipated construction on the airfield pavement facilities. The limits are based on documentation provided by the Airport and, if constructed, observed in the field.

#### 3.1.2 Estimated Pavement Age

Standard pavement design practice considers a design life of a 20-year period. Design inputs typically require subgrade soil conditions, pavement section layer material characteristics, and anticipated loading (aircraft fleet mix) for the design-life period. Based on the review of the historic airfield pavement construction, **Figure 3.1.2** summarizes the average age of the pavement sections since any major construction activity has occurred during the PCI Survey inspection. This is intended to be a rough estimate based on interpretation of the limited data available at the time of report.





The estimation of the pavement age is based on information requested and provided by participating airports. Additionally, data collected in the prior system updates since 1992 have been relied upon.

#### 3.1.3 Functional Use Classification

Pavements are subject to varying aircraft loading patterns based on utilization and overall operations. For this SAPMP Update, the following categories of airfield functional use have been identified and associated with the following possible pavement branch facilities: Apron, Runway, Taxiway, and Taxilane. **Figure 3.1.3** summarizes the identified pavements' functional use by area in square feet. The pavement areas reviewed exclude shoulder pavement facilities.





Figure 3.1.3 Airfield Pavement Functional Classification Use by Area

## FDOT

#### 3.1.4 Pavement Surface Type

The airfield pavement facility surface types within the SAPMP include four common types of pavement: Portland cement concrete (PCC), asphalt concrete (AC), asphalt concrete overlaid on asphalt concrete (AAC), and asphalt concrete overlaid on Portland cement concrete (APC).


Based on the record documentation incorporated within the SAPMP database throughout the years, the pavement surface types have been assigned to the various pavement sections in accordance to its work history composition. The following **Figures 3.1.4 (a) and (b)** summarize the applicable pavement types observed at this specific airport's airfield.



#### Figure 3.1.4 (a) Pavement Surface Type by Area (SF)



Figure 3.1.4 (b) Pavement Surface Type by Area (%)



#### 3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details

The following **Table 3.1.5** displays the section-level details assembled as part of this update. The section-level details are based on the record documentation provided by the airports to FDOT and from SAPMP System Updates. The details assembled rely on the accuracy and the adequacy of data provided; however, it should be noted that characteristics such as pavement areas may be based on aerial interpretation of spatially projected imagery. The accuracy of data is presented with the intention of a network planning-level document; should the airport elect to perform rehabilitation work, it is recommended that further investigation be performed at the project level for construction purposes.

In summary, the scope of the pavement inventory update resulted in the updating of select existing pavement geometry and the development of an AutoCAD model with spatial projection for use within GIS. **Appendix A** includes the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit and the Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit which visually summarize the results of the Airfield Pavement System Inventory analysis and reporting.

ment 2017

## FDOT

#### Table 3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	Area (SF)	Surface Type	Est. Last Construction Date
42J	APRON	AP	APRON	4105	500	300	167,821	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	APRON	AP	APRON	4110	300	138	42,163	AAC	1/1/2016
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	AP T-HANG	APRON	4505	520	50	24,431	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	AP T-HANG	APRON	4510	780	100	40,735	AC	1/1/2004
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	AP T-HANG	APRON	4515	500	30	15,277	AC	1/1/2008
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	AP T-HANG	APRON	4520	765	80	61,168	AC	1/1/2009
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RW 11-29	RUNWAY	6205	225	75	22,286	PCC	1/1/1942
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RW 11-29	RUNWAY	6215	4450	75	329,625	AC	1/1/1991
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RW 11-29	RUNWAY	6220	300	75	28,125	PCC	1/1/1942
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6105	300	50	15,000	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6110	300	100	18,125	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6115	4400	60	220,000	AAC	7/1/2010
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6120	4400	20	220,000	AAC	7/1/2010
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6130	300	100	15,627	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6135	300	100	19,518	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TW A	TAXIWAY	105	5500	35	195,631	AAC	1/1/1987
42J	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	TAXIWAY	205	470	35	19,612	AAC	1/1/1987
42J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	210	2100	35	77,412	AC	1/1/1997
42J	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	TAXIWAY	220	330	35	14,679	AC	1/1/1997
42J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	305	2600	35	92,494	AC	1/1/1997
42J	TAXIWAY E - CONNECTOR TO T-HANGAR	TW E	TAXIWAY	505	1200	25	31,823	AC	1/1/1990



FDOT

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

### Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program



## **Chapter 4**


## Chapter 4 – Airfield Pavement Condition

The examination of specific distress types (with causes attributed to load, climate, or other defined distress mechanism), determination of the severity of distress, and determination of the quantity of distress manifestation are required in the computation of a PCI value. The PCI provides valuable information that can be used to determine the existing condition of the pavement, possible cause of the pavement deterioration, and eventually aid in the planning of the rehabilitation of pavements. It should be noted that the PCI method of pavement condition evaluation is strictly a visual and functional evaluation. Further evaluation of the pavement rehabilitation.

#### 4.1 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)

#### 4.1.1 Network-Level Analysis

The following **Figure 4.1.1** summarizes the network-level pavement condition analysis based on the most recent PCI Survey inspection results.



#### Figure 4.1.1 Latest Condition – Overall Network

#### 4.1.2 Branch-Level Analysis


The following **Figures 4.1.2 (a) through (c)** summarize the branch-level pavement condition analysis based on the most recent PCI Survey inspection results; the following Figures provide overall branch-level conditions by branch use.








Figure 4.1.2 (b) Latest Condition – Taxiway Pavements









#### 4.1.3 Section-Level Analysis

The following **Table 4.1.3** provides details for each pavement section of its area-weighted average PCI and the percent of distress which is related to load, climate, or other factors. The amount of distress attributed to the various causes provides insight into maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation needs. Load-related distress indicates that pavements are reaching the end of their structural design life, and for those pavements exhibiting a significant amount of these distress types, rehabilitation should be planned to strengthen or reconstruct the pavement. **Appendix C Technical Exhibits** provides a technical exhibit that graphically depicts the PCI values and ratings determined from this SAPMP System Update.

Any pavement facilities subject to pavement construction within the past 2 years or anticipated for construction within the next year may have been omitted from inspection. Pavement subject to major rehabilitation will be set to a PCI of 100.

Network ID	Branch ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	Surface	PCI	PCI Rating	PCI Pct Climate	PCI Pct Load	PCI Pct Other	Sample Units Inspected	Total Sample Units in Section
42J	AP	APRON	APRON	4105	167,821	PCC	64	Fair	0%	47%	53%	4	33
42J	AP	APRON	APRON	4110	42,163	AAC	100	Good	0%	0%	0%	1	7
42J	AP T-HANG	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4505	24,431	PCC	31	Very Poor	13%	85%	2%	1	6
42J	AP T-HANG	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4510	40,735	AC	78	Satisfactory	92%	0%	8%	1	10
42J	AP T-HANG	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4515	15,277	AC	52	Poor	100%	0%	0%	1	3
42J	AP T-HANG	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4520	61,168	AC	35	Very Poor	46%	10%	44%	3	14
42J	RW 11-29	RUNWAY 11-29	RUNWAY	6205	22,286	PCC	43	Poor	19%	46%	35%	3	6
42J	RW 11-29	RUNWAY 11-29	RUNWAY	6215	329,625	AC	55	Poor	100%	0%	0%	18	88
42J	RW 11-29	RUNWAY 11-29	RUNWAY	6220	28,125	PCC	39	Very Poor	7%	62%	31%	2	4
42J	RW 5-23	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6105	15,000	PCC	43	Poor	8%	42%	50%	2	4
42J	RW 5-23	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6110	18,125	PCC	41	Poor	8%	57%	35%	2	4
42J	RW 5-23	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6115	220,000	AAC	81	Satisfactory	92%	0%	8%	8	44
42J	RW 5-23	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6120	220,000	AAC	85	Satisfactory	93%	0%	7%	8	44
42J	RW 5-23	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6130	15,627	PCC	50	Poor	10%	42%	48%	2	4
42J	RW 5-23	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6135	19,518	PCC	49	Poor	10%	58%	32%	1	3
42J	TW A	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TAXIWAY	105	195,631	AAC	35	Very Poor	100%	0%	0%	6	55
42J	TW B	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	205	19,612	AAC	49	Poor	100%	0%	0%	2	5
42J	TW B	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	210	77,412	AC	51	Poor	96%	0%	4%	4	21
42J	TW B	TAXIWAY B	TAXIWAY	220	14,679	AC	53	Poor	81%	0%	19%	1	3
42J	TW C	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	305	92,494	AC	58	Fair	99%	0%	1%	6	26
42J	TW E	TAXIWAY E - CONNECTOR TO T-HANGAR	TAXIWAY	505	31,823	AC	58	Fair	97%	0%	3%	2	6


#### Table 4.1.3 Latest Pavement Condition Index Summary



121

**Figure 4.1.3** is an inset view of the 2017 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit that visually represents the results of the latest PCI Survey inspection. A large format exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits.** 

Keystone Airpark (42J)





FDOŤ

141



#### 4.2 Summary of Pavement Condition Evaluation Results

#### 4.2.1 Network-Level Observations

The field PCI Survey performed at Keystone Airpark (42J) was started and completed on 04/24/2017. The resulting overall average area-weighted PCI value was 60 representing a condition rating of Fair. Keystone Airpark is served by two runways; Runway 05-23 is 100-ft wide and 5,046-ft long and Runway 11-29 is 75-ft wide and 4,899-ft long.

Based on the FAA 5010 Report as of 07/28/2017 the Airport has reported 32,400 operations for 12 months ending 10/03/2016.

#### 4.2.2 Branch-Level Observations

The following branch-level observations are intended to be an overall summary of select pavement facilities identified during the PCI Survey; further detail at the section and sample-level may be referenced for all pavements assessed as part of this System Update. The branch-level observations discussed are limited to select branches based on use and condition.

#### Runway 05-23

Runway 5-23 consists of 6 sections constructed of AAC and PCC. The last construction years range from 1943 to 2010. The average area-weighted PCI for Runway 5-23 is 78 representing a Satisfactory condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate, Load, and Other distress classifications. Distresses observed in Runway 5-23 consist of Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Swelling, Weathering, Corner Break, Linear Cracking, Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch, Large Patch/Utility Cut, Faulting, Shrinkage Cracking, Joint Spall, and Corner Spall.

#### Runway 11-29

Runway 11-29 consists of 3 sections constructed of AC and PCC. The last construction years range from 1942 to 1991. The average area-weighted PCI for Runway 11-29 is 53 representing a Poor condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate, Load, and Other distress classifications. Distresses observed in Runway 11-29 consist of Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Patching, Raveling, Corner Break, Linear Cracking, Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch, Large Patch/Utility Cut, Faulting, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Joint Spall, and Corner Spall.

#### Taxiway A

Taxiway A consists of 1 section constructed of AAC. The last construction year for Taxiway A was 1987. The average area-weighted PCI for Taxiway A is 35 representing a Very Poor condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate distress classifications. Distresses observed in Taxiway A consist of Block Cracking, Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, and Raveling.

#### Apron

Apron consists of 2 sections constructed of AAC and PCC. The last construction years range from 1943 to 2016. The average area-weighted PCI for Apron is 71 representing a Satisfactory condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Load and Other distress



classifications. Distresses observed in Apron consist of Corner Break, Linear Cracking, Small Patch, Faulting, and Shrinkage Cracking.

#### Apron T-Hangars

Apron T-Hangars consists of 4 sections constructed of AC and PCC. The last construction years range from 1943 to 2009. The average area-weighted PCI for Apron T-Hangars is 48 representing a Poor condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate, Load, and Other distress classifications. Distresses observed in Apron T-Hangars consist of Alligator Cracking, Block Cracking, Depression, Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Patching, Raveling, Swelling, Weathering, Linear Cracking, Joint Seal Damage, Shattered Slab, and Shrinkage Cracking.

#### Figure 4.2.2 Pavement Condition Summary by Facility Use

Facility Use	Average Area-Weighted PCI	Condition Rating
Runway	67	Fair
Taxiway	45	Poor
Apron	62	Fair


#### 4.3 Forecasted Pavement Conditions

#### 4.3.1 Performance Models and Prediction Curves

Pavement Performance Models are developed from the distress data and historic construction records collected for the SAPMP. This data is consolidated in a database and organized by inspection/construction date, pavement type, age, and pavement use. The pavement Performance Models are used to develop broad Prediction Curves, alternatively known as deterioration curves or family curves. These Prediction Curves are utilized to developed forecasted PCI values based on historic trends and statistical models.

#### 4.3.2 Branch-Level Pavement Condition Forecast

The following **Figures 4.3.2 (a) through (c)** depict the branch-level pavement condition forecast by Branch Use (Runway, Taxiway, and/or Apron). The forecasted conditions are for a 10-year duration starting in January 2018 through January 2027.



#### Figure 4.3.2 (a) Forecasted Runway Pavement Performance







Figure 4.3.2 (c) Forecasted Apron Pavement Performance





#### 4.3.3 Section-Level Pavement Condition Forecast

The following **Table 4.3.3** provides detail to the forecasted PCI values for each section inspected. Please note the forecasted Branch- and Section-Level PCI's are for planning purposes and are subject to the sensitivities in changes in traffic and maintenance frequency. Airport staff should perform annual visual condition assessments to maintain recent understanding of pavement conditions.

Table 4.3.3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027

Network ID	Branch ID Section I	Continu ID		Forecasted PCI									
Network ID		Section ID	Last PCI	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
42J	AP	4105	64	62	61	59	58	56	55	54	53	52	51
42J	AP	4110	100	94	88	85	83	81	80	78	77	75	73
42J	AP T-HANG	4505	31	30	29	28	26	25	24	23	22	21	20
42J	AP T-HANG	4510	78	76	75	73	72	70	68	67	65	64	62
42J	AP T-HANG	4515	52	50	49	47	46	44	42	41	39	38	36
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	35	33	32	30	29	27	25	24	22	21	19
42J	RW 11-29	6205	43	42	41	40	39	39	38	37	36	35	34
42J	RW 11-29	6215	55	53	52	50	48	47	45	44	42	40	39
42J	RW 11-29	6220	39	38	37	36	35	35	34	33	32	31	30
42J	RW 5-23	6105	43	42	41	40	39	39	38	37	36	35	34
42J	RW 5-23	6110	41	40	39	38	37	37	36	35	34	33	32
42J	RW 5-23	6115	81	79	76	74	72	69	68	66	64	63	62
42J	RW 5-23	6120	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	69	67	65	64
42J	RW 5-23	6130	50	49	48	47	46	46	45	44	43	42	41
42J	RW 5-23	6135	49	48	47	46	45	45	44	43	42	41	40
42J	TW A	105	35	31	27	23	19	15	11	8	4	0	0
42J	TW B	205	49	48	46	45	44	44	43	43	43	43	43
42J	TW B	210	51	49	48	46	45	43	41	40	38	37	36
42J	TW B	220	53	51	50	48	47	45	43	42	40	39	37
42J	TW C	305	58	57	55	54	53	51	50	48	46	45	43
42J	TW E	505	58	57	55	54	53	51	50	48	46	45	43



141

#### 4.3.4 Forecasted PCI Considerations

As FDOT continues to update the SAPMP with future PCI Survey inspections and assembly of airfield pavement construction work history, the performance models will be further refined. With the refinement of additional PCI and work history data points, the forecasting of pavement conditions will continue to better reflect the performance trends of airfield pavements in the Florida Airports System. Forecasted or predicted pavement conditions for the airport are intended for planning purposes only. Design-level recommendations for pavement rehabilitation and/or reconstruction will require the appropriate application of the procedures defined in FAA *AC 150/5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation* and *AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements* to determine structural and/or functional conditions at the time of project.

### Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program



## **Chapter 5**

### FD

# Chapter 5 – Localized Maintenance and Repair Planning

General Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) methods are characterized under three broad categories: localized maintenance and repair, global treatments, and major rehabilitation.

- > Localized Maintenance and Repair includes patching and crack sealing.
- > Global Treatments includes surface seals and rejuvenators (flexible pavements).
- Major Rehabilitation includes overlays, significant slab replacement, and reconstruction.

This chapter discusses the FDOT SAPMP Localized Maintenance and Repair Planning approach. Proactive localized maintenance and repair, specifically preservation, is highly recommended to the airports. However, it is certainly recognized that once pavements have deteriorated below a certain condition, the facility would benefit from a more substantial rehabilitation in lieu of localized efforts. Chapter 6 Major Rehabilitation Planning discusses the addressing of pavements through timely rehabilitation once it has deteriorated below a critical PCI where localized repairs may not be as cost effective.

#### 5.1 Localized Maintenance and Repair

Localized maintenance and repair is best applied as a conservation measure and is oftentimes applied to slow the rate of deterioration of distress pavements; however, may be applied as a temporary corrective measure in isolated areas. Localized maintenance and repair can be applied either as a safety ("stopgap") measure or preventive measure. Example distress types subject to localized preventive maintenance and repair may consist of low-severity longitudinal and transverse cracking and low-severity weathering. In many cases however, localized stopgap repair is applied as a safety measure to address high-severity distress manifestations when major rehabilitation is not funded for a given section with a PCI value below critical PCI. Some agencies may elect to define both types; preventative and stopgap, as localized maintenance.

#### Localized Stopgap/Safety Maintenance and Repair

Localized Stopgap or Safety Maintenance and Repair is defined as the localized distress repair needed to keep pavements operational in a safe condition. These activities are typically applied to high-severity distresses or distresses affecting operational activities. Typical pavement section PCIs will range from 0 to 65.

#### Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair

Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair is defined as distress maintenance activities performed with the primary objective of slowing the rate of deterioration. These activities typically include crack sealing and patching. Typical pavement section PCIs will be above 65.



#### 5.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy

The resulting Localized Maintenance and Repair recommendations are identified based on the policy defined in **Table 5.2.1** and **Table 5.2.2**, for flexible asphalt concrete and rigid Portland cement concrete pavements, respectively. The activities identified were based on the research of practical pavement treatments in consideration of the FAA *AC 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements"* and the *FDOT Airfield Pavement Distress Repair Manual.* Additionally, the *Engineering Technical Letter (ETL) 14-3: Preventive Maintenance Plan (PMP) for Airfield Pavements* was referenced for conservative application of pavement treatments. The Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy and associated planning-level unit costs were developed in consideration of a network-level analysis – it is strictly intended to provide a glimpse of the condition of the airport pavements with a limited PCI survey effort.

The developed Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy and associated planning-level unit costs were based on a statewide consideration of pavement treatments and review of state construction costs for both Airfield Pavements and from the FDOT Historical Cost Information archives. Furthermore, a consideration of limited repair quantities was factored in the determination of conservative planning-level unit costs. The identified Localized maintenance activities for both preventive and stopgap activities are based on a statewide network approach; project-specific evaluation and maintenance quantities should be developed prior to any construction.

Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
41	Low	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
41	Medium	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
41	High	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
42	N/A	BLEEDING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
43	Low	BLOCK CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
43	Medium	BLOCK CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
43	High	BLOCK CR	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
44	Low	CORRUGATION	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
44	Medium	CORRUGATION	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
44	High	CORRUGATION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	Low	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	Medium	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	High	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
46	High	JET BLAST	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
46	N/A	JET BLAST	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
47	Low	JT REF. CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
47	Medium	JT REF. CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
47	High	JT REF. CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft

#### Table 5.2-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Keystone Airpark (42J)

FDOT

141

Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
48	Low	L&TCR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
48	Medium	L&TCR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
48	High	L&TCR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
49	N/A	OIL SPILLAGE	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
50	Low	PATCHING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
50	Medium	PATCHING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
50	High	PATCHING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
51	N/A	POLISHED AG	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
52	Low	RAVELING	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
52	Medium	RAVELING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
52	High	RAVELING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
53	Low	RUTTING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
53	Medium	RUTTING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
53	High	RUTTING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
54	Low	SHOVING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
54	Medium	SHOVING	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
54	High	SHOVING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
55	N/A	SLIPPAGE CR	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
56	Low	SWELLING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
56	Medium	SWELLING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
56	High	SWELLING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
57	Low	WEATHERING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
57	Medium	WEATHERING	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
57	High	WEATHERING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt

#### Table 5.2-2 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
61	Low	BLOW-UP	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
61	Medium	BLOW-UP	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
61	High	BLOW-UP	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
62	Low	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
62	Medium	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
62	High	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
63	Low	LINEAR CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
63	Medium	LINEAR CR	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
63	High	LINEAR CR	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt

Keystone Airpark (42J)

FDOT

141

Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
64	Low	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
64	Medium	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
64	High	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
65	Low	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
65	Medium	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
65	High	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
66	Low	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
66	Medium	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
66	High	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
67	Low	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
67	Medium	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
67	High	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
68	N/A	POPOUTS	FDOT-PO-FL	FDOT - POPOUT FILLER	SqFt
69	N/A	PUMPING	FDOT-SB-PC	FDOT – SLAB STABILIZATION - PCC	SqFt
70	Low	SCALING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
70	Medium	SCALING	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
70	High	SCALING	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
71	Low	FAULTING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
71	Medium	FAULTING	FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	Ft
71	High	FAULTING	FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	Ft
72	Low	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
72	Medium	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
72	High	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
73	N/A	SHRINKAGE CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
74	Low	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
74	Medium	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
74	High	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
75	Low	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
75	Medium	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
75	High	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
76	Low	ASR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
76	Medium	ASR	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
76	High	ASR	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt



#### Table 5.2-3 (a) Localized Repair Planning-Level Unit Costs – Flexible Asphalt Concrete

2017

Code	Name	Cost	Units
FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	\$0.55	SqFt
FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	\$2.00	SqFt
FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	\$2.00	Ft
FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	\$3.00	Ft
FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	\$0.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	\$6.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	\$3.00	SqFt

#### Table 5.2-3 (b) Localized M&R Planning-Level Unit Costs – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Code	Name	Cost	Units
FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	\$100.00	SqFt
FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	\$30.00	SqFt
FDOT-SB-PC	FDOT - SLAB STABILIZATION - PCC	\$30.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	\$72.00	SqFt
FDOT-PO-FL	FDOT - POPOUT FILLER	\$0.05	SqFt
FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	\$2.00	Ft
FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	\$4.25	Ft
FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	\$0.00	N/A
FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	\$2.75	Ft

\*PCC Patching (Full Depth and Partial Depth) consider high-early-strength and high-performing repair material.



#### 5.3 Localized Maintenance and Repair Analysis and Recommendations

The SAPMP provides a planning-level estimation of Localized Maintenance and Repair based on the results of the latest PCI Survey Inspection performed at the airport. Based on the limited sample units inspected, a statistical extrapolation of distresses at the section level is used to estimate the quantities of recommended repair activities based on the policies defined in 5.2 Localized M&R Policy. The PCI Survey Inspections did not consist of 100% inspection of all sample units; therefore, the section-level distress quantities used to estimate the Localized Maintenance and Repair needs are for conceptual planning purposes. The accuracy of the extrapolated distresses, and therefore work quantities, is subject to the amount of sample units inspected and the concentration of distress types observed in sample units. Appendix B provides the estimated Localized Maintenance and Repair based on this SAPMP's PCI Survey Inspection efforts. Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair is typically applied to pavements that are in a condition at or above the Critical PCI of 65. Localized Stopgap Maintenance and Repair is typically applied to pavements that are below the Critical PCI of 65. It is recommended that airport staff evaluate the application of Localized Maintenance and Repair in concert with the planning of Major Rehabilitation efforts identified in Chapter 6 Major Rehabilitation Planning. Pavements with Stopgap recommendations that are subject to nearterm Major Rehabilitation efforts may remove the need to perform localized maintenance efforts.

The following **Table 5.3-1** summarizes the anticipated Localized Maintenance and Repair efforts based on the PCI Survey Inspection efforts performed at this airport as part of this SAPMP System Update. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

Work Description	Work Category	Rough Estimate of Work Quantity	Work Units	Plann	ing Material Cost
FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	PREVENTIVE	2,040	SqFt	\$	1,130.00
FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	PREVENTIVE	30	Ft	\$	90.00
FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	STOPGAP	490,935	SqFt	\$	270,020.00
FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	STOPGAP	19,125	SqFt	\$	114,740.00
FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	STOPGAP	279,760	SqFt	\$	839,280.00
FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	STOPGAP	10,720	Ft	\$	32,160.00
FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	STOPGAP	2,835	Ft	\$	12,040.00
FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	STOPGAP	110	SqFt	\$	7,850.00
FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	STOPGAP	540	SqFt	\$	16,080.00
FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	STOPGAP	20,425	Ft	\$	56,160.00
FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	STOPGAP	35	Ft	\$	70.00

The following **Table 5.3-2** provides further breakdown of the anticipated planning-level cost at the section level for the pavements exhibiting distresses that would benefit from Localized M&R. The table shows the approximate improved "End Condition" of the section after the application of Localized M&R. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Area (SF)	Start Condition	End Condition	Cost
42J	AP	4105	167,821	64	64	\$ 290.00
42J	AP	4110	42,163	100	100	\$ -
42J	AP T-HANG	4505	24,431	31	70	\$ 13,230.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4510	40,735	78	84	\$ 1,130.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4515	15,277	52	70	\$ 11,160.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	61,168	35	67	\$ 125,380.00
42J	RW 11-29	6205	22,286	43	61	\$ 11,480.00
42J	RW 11-29	6215	329,625	55	74	\$ 286,390.00
42J	RW 11-29	6220	28,125	39	52	\$ 25,740.00
42J	RW 5-23	6105	15,000	43	57	\$ 6,660.00
42J	RW 5-23	6110	18,125	41	54	\$ 16,070.00
42J	RW 5-23	6115	220,000	81	81	\$ -
42J	RW 5-23	6120	220,000	85	86	\$ 90.00
42J	RW 5-23	6130	15,627	50	58	\$ 9,190.00
42J	RW 5-23	6135	19,518	49	63	\$ 9,670.00
42J	TW A	105	195,631	35	53	\$ 587,760.00
42J	TW B	205	19,612	49	67	\$ 19,700.00
42J	TW B	210	77,412	51	69	\$ 95,600.00
42J	TW B	220	14,679	53	86	\$ 9,250.00
42J	TW C	305	92,494	58	74	\$ 97,290.00
42J	TW E	505	31,823	58	81	\$ 23,820.00

Table 5.3-2 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Section Level

The following **Table 5.3-3** provides a summary of the anticipated planning-level costs for Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair and Localized Stopgap Maintenance and Repair. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

#### Table 5.3-3 Summary of Localized Maintenance

Work Category	Cost
Preventive	\$ 1,220.00
Stopgap	\$ 1,348,400.00
Planning-Level Localized M&R Needs =	\$ 1,349,620.00

#### Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program




# **Chapter 6**

## Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation Planning

#### 6.1 Major Rehabilitation

Major rehabilitation is recommended to correct or improve structural deficiencies and/or functional deterioration for pavement sections within a network. Often, when pavements are subject to significant changes in the aircraft fleet mix (frequency and type), major rehabilitation is required to provide a pavement section to meet the traffic demand. Major rehabilitation is recommended when a pavement section falls below the Critical PCI value that is defined during the system customization or if a pavement section has a significant observation of load-related distress. Observation of any load-related distress potentially indicates that the section may be structurally deficient or that the aircraft loads being applied to the pavement section are different than what the section was designed for. **Figures 6.1-1 and 6.1-2** depict the decision process for major rehabilitation project identification with the assumption of available funds. Should funding be unavailable for pavement sections in need of major rehabilitation, the airport may elect to apply the appropriate localized stopgap repair.


#### Figure 6.1-1 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, PCI ≤ Critical PCI





111

Figure 6.1-2 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, PCI > Critical PCI



#### 6.1.1 Critical PCI

For the FDOT SAPMP the development of a major rehabilitation program is based on the Critical PCI concept. The *Critical PCI* concept assumes that it is more cost-effective to maintain pavements above, rather than below their critical PCI. It is assumed that once a pavement section deteriorates to the Critical PCI value that it is more cost-effective to complete a major rehabilitation project rather than continuing to apply preventive maintenance. This method includes defining the Critical PCI and introducing major rehabilitation work types.

Identification of annual and long-range Major Rehabilitation work plans are typically based on the Critical PCI concept. The Critical PCI is defined as the PCI value at which the rate of loss (deterioration) increases with time, or the cost of applying localized maintenance and repair increases or is not effective. A Critical PCI is usually within a range of 55 and 70; the following procedure is standard approach in developing a specific Critical PCI:

- 1. Develop a pavement performance model and refine a prediction model for the pavements considered.
- 2. Select a localized maintenance and repair policy to be used in developing a work plan.
- 3. Apply the selected localized policy to the pavement sections for a range of PCI.
- 4. Compute the unit cost per area for each PCI range.
- 5. Plot the cost versus the PCI.
- 6. Determine the Critical PCI based on the point where the cost is insignificant.

The FDOT SAPMP defines the Critical PCI at 65 – this is based on the historic trends in pavement performance and Statewide planning efforts.

#### 6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI

The FDOT has recommended *Minimum Service-Level PCI* for airports' airfield pavements based on the following characteristics; airport type within FDOT SAPMP, branch use, and expected aircraft operations. For the purposes of Major Rehabilitation, the Critical PCI is typically the threshold condition that triggers major construction, however it is recommended that the airports maintain the Minimum Service-Level PCI with a combination of Localized Maintenance and Repair and timely Major Rehabilitation. **Table 6.1.2** summarizes the FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI.

Branch Use	FDOT Recommended PCI	Additional Consideration
Runway	75	Aircraft Fleet Mix Changes Primary Runway
Taxiway / Taxilane	65	Aircraft Fleet Mix Changes Expected Operations
Aprons / Run-Ups / Ramps	60	Ground Service Equipment Non-Aircraft Operations (e.g. fueling)

#### Table 6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI

#### 6.2 Major Rehabilitation Policy

#### 6.2.1 Major Rehabilitation Pavement Section Development

The review of the existing as-built record documentation within the participating airports' archives was used as the basis of the conceptual pavement design sections. Refinement of the pavement section layers was performed in consideration of the FAA *AC 150/ 5320-6F "Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation."* It should be noted that no subsurface geotechnical investigation, ALTA/ACSM Survey, topographic survey, utilities survey, environmental, or site specific air traffic study(s) have been utilized in the development of the design criteria. No warranty or assurance is implied in this document for final design nor construction for any airfield pavements discussed within this report. The following **Tables 6.2.1 (a) and (b)** provide details on the conceptual pavement sections developed for this study.

Major rehabilitation is divided into two policy categories as part of this program: Full-Depth Reconstruction (Reconstruction) and Intermediate-Level Major Rehabilitation (Restoration). Based on the pavement type, the general categories are defined as AC Reconstruction and AC Restoration for AC, AAC, and APC flexible pavement types and PCC Reconstruction and PCC Restoration for PCC rigid pavement types. The pavement sections have been based on the average GA Airport Type requirements; no pavement design has been performed in accordance with AC 150/5320-6F for the determined conceptual sections.

Rehabilitation Type	General Aviation (GA) Airport
AC Restoration	75% Mill and Overlay
Combination of conholt never and milling and	P-101 AC Milling (2") P-603 Bituminous Tack
Combination of asphalt pavement milling and overlay with 25% of the areas subject to full- depth reconstruction.	P-401 (HMA) (2")
	25% AC Reconstruction
PCI = 41 to 65	P-101 Pavement Removal
	P-152 Subgrade (12")
	P-211 Base (6")
	P-602 Bituminous Prime P-603 Bituminous Tack
	P-401 HMA (2")
	Evaluates any payed should be fastures
	Excludes any paved shoulder features.
AC Reconstruction	P-101 Pavement Removal
	P-152 Subgrade (12")
Full donth conholt novement conting	P-211 Base (6")
Full-depth asphalt pavement section reconstruction.	P-602 Bituminous Prime P-603 Bituminous Tack
	P-401 HMA (2")
PCI = 40 or less	Excludes any paved shoulder features.
FCI = 40 01 1635	Excludes any paved shoulder reatures.

## Table 6.2.1 (a) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Flexible AsphaltConcrete

### Table 6.2.1 (b) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Rigid Portland CementConcrete

Rehabilitation Type	General Aviation (GA) Airport
PCC Restoration Restoration of PCC pavement with a combination of crack sealing, joint seal replacement, and replacement of 25% of slab panels. PCI = 41 to 65	P-101 Pavement Removal P-605 Joint Seal Repair P-152 Subgrade (6") P-211 Base (if needed, typical) (6") P-501 Rigid PCC (10") *Select Slabs (25%) **Crack Seal and Limited Patching
PCC Reconstruction Full-depth rigid pavement section reconstruction. PCI = 40 or less	P-101 Pavement Removal P-605 Joint Seal Repair P-152 Subgrade (6") P-211 Base (6") P-501 Rigid PCC (10")

# The identification of rehabilitation needs and conceptual pavement sections have been determined at the planning level. Design-level investigation is recommended prior to developing construction-level design documents and budgets.

In compliance with FAA Grant Assurances 11 and 19, the FDOT SAPMP provides airports with airfield pavement evaluation reports in accordance with FAA AC 150/5380-7B Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP) and AC 150/5380-6C Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements. The application of the results of a PCI survey are for planning purposes and are limited to the visual observation of deteriorated pavements in limited sampling; design-level investigation is recommended in accordance with the FAA procedures defined in AC 5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation and AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements. The aforementioned ACs provide the design-level material properties of in-situ pavement and subgrade layers for the determination of appropriate rehabilitation actions. The FDOT SAPMP is organized to provide airports with planning-level data and does not intend to preclude the responsible engineer in performing the appropriate level of investigation and analysis in determining the appropriate design-level rehabilitation. It would not be advisable to solely base design-level rehabilitation without the appropriate level of investigation and determination of pavement deterioration beyond that of a visual functional condition assessment.

#### 6.2.2 Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Costs

Planning-level opinion of probable construction unit costs developed for this System Update was based on archived bid tabulations and records from airfield pavement projects provided by participating airports. A review of cost trends and cost factors have been incorporated to assist airports in planning for project budgets. Neither FDOT nor the Consultant Team has control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable construction costs

provided herein are based on the information known to FDOT at this time and represent only the Consultant Team's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. This report cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable construction costs.

Table 6.2.2 General Av	viation Major R	Rehabilitation	Planning-Level	Unit Cos	st by Pavement Type
------------------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------	----------	---------------------

Rehabilitation Type	PCI Range	Flexible Asphalt Concrete Cost Per SF		Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Cost per SF		
Restoration	41 to 65	\$	7.00	\$	10.00	
Reconstruction	0 to 40	\$	9.00	\$	15.00	

Planning-level opinion of probable construction unit costs consider factors for non-pavement improvements, QA/QC testing, and administrative costs.

#### 6.3 Major Rehabilitation Needs

The objective of the major pavement rehabilitation needs analysis is to provide planning-level projects within an airport's airfield pavement network. Major rehabilitation activities are recommended when a pavement section has deteriorated below the Critical PCI value, a point at which localized maintenance and repair activities may not be the most cost-effective solution. In addition, major rehabilitation is also recommended when the Section PCI is at or above the Critical PCI but the section has significant load-related PCI distresses. Identification of rehabilitation needs is done at the Airfield Pavement Network Definition's section level. This however does not limit the airport from further refining limits of project planning areas.

Major rehabilitation is identified within the FDOT SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or resetting of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Major rehabilitation recommendations (AC Restoration, AC Reconstruction, PCC Restoration, and PCC Reconstruction) should be considered as planning-level only. Additional design-level investigation in accordance to the FAA Advisory Circulars will be required. Recommendations identified within this planning document do not imply final design.

#### 6.3.1 10-Year Unconstrained Budget Major Rehabilitation Needs

An unconstrained budget (unlimited budget) is performed for a 10-year duration to identify pavement rehabilitation needs based on current or forecasted PCI values deteriorating below the Critical PCI. FDOT recognizes airports are constrained by budgets and does not intend to convey an unrealistic approach of addressing pavement rehabilitation. The intent of the 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs analysis is to identify pavements that will warrant rehabilitation. It is highly recommended that airport staff utilize this information in support of the development of a practical Capital Improvement Program based on priorities, further design/project-level investigation, and budgetary constraints. The following **Table 6.3.1** summarizes all identified section-level major rehabilitation needs forecasted for the next 10-year period. It should be noted that the following table depicts planning-level costs and have been rounded for planning purposes.



Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	42J	AP	4105	PCC	167,821	62	PCC Restoration	\$ 1,679,000.00
2018	42J	AP T-HANG	4505	PCC	24,431	30	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 367,000.00
2018	42J	AP T-HANG	4515	AC	15,277	50	AC Restoration	\$ 107,000.00
2018	42J	AP T-HANG	4520	AC	61,168	33	AC Reconstruction	\$ 551,000.00
2018	42J	RW 11-29	6205	PCC	22,286	42	PCC Restoration	\$ 308,000.00
2018	42J	RW 11-29	6215	AC	329,625	53	AC Restoration	\$ 2,308,000.00
2018	42J	RW 11-29	6220	PCC	28,125	38	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 422,000.00
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6105	PCC	15,000	42	PCC Restoration	\$ 207,000.00
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6110	PCC	18,125	40	PCC Restoration	\$ 269,000.00
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6130	PCC	15,627	49	PCC Restoration	\$ 161,000.00
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6135	PCC	19,518	48	PCC Restoration	\$ 211,000.00
2018	42J	TW A	105	AAC	195,631	31	AC Reconstruction	\$ 1,761,000.00
2018	42J	TW B	205	AAC	19,612	48	AC Restoration	\$ 145,000.00
2018	42J	TW B	210	AC	77,412	49	AC Restoration	\$ 544,000.00
2018	42J	TW B	220	AC	14,679	51	AC Restoration	\$ 103,000.00
2018	42J	TW C	305	AC	92,494	57	AC Restoration	\$ 648,000.00
2018	42J	TW E	505	AC	31,823	57	AC Restoration	\$ 223,000.00
2025	42J	RW 5-23	6115	AAC	220,000	64	AC Restoration	\$ 1,541,000.00
2026	42J	AP T-HANG	4510	AC	40,735	64	AC Restoration	\$ 286,000.00
2027	42J	RW 5-23	6120	AAC	220,000	64	AC Restoration	\$ 1,541,000.00


#### Table 6.3.1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs

\*All values have been rounded to the nearest thousand-dollar.

The following Figure 6.3.1-1 summarizes the section-level major rehabilitation needs for a 10year period between 2018 and 2027. Figure 6.3.1-2 provides an inset view of Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit, a large format exhibit is located in Appendix C Technical **Exhibits**. The exhibit graphically depicts the Major Rehabilitation Needs with rounded costs.









141




Figure 6.3.1-2 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year Exhibit

#### Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program



# **Chapter 7**

## Chapter 7 – Conclusion

#### 7.1 Recommendations

#### 7.1.1 Continued PCI Survey Inspections

It is recommended that the airport continue to perform regularly scheduled PCI Survey inspections in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 (or latest edition) to monitor the condition of the airfield pavement facilities.

A high priority should be considered for continuous maintenance record keeping and reinspection of all the airport's maintained pavement facilities to ensure continued safe aircraft operations. A series of scheduled periodic inspections must be carried out for an effective maintenance program. Re-inspection of pavements should be scheduled in a timely manner to ensure that all areas, particularly those that may not come under day-to-day observation, are thoroughly evaluated and reported.

#### 7.1.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair

While deterioration of the pavements due to usage and exposure to the environment cannot be completely prevented, applying timely and effective maintenance efforts can slow the anticipated rate of deterioration. Lack of adequate and timely maintenance is the significant factor in pavement deterioration.

It is recommended that airport sponsors coordinate with their respective Airport Maintenance staff and Airport Engineer when developing project-level maintenance and repair efforts.

#### 7.1.3 Major Rehabilitation

Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation Planning identified major pavement rehabilitation project needs from 2018-2027. The identification of the rehabilitation needs was performed at the section level for manageable project areas with the assumption of an unconstrained budget scenario. Given the uncertainty in the airport-specific budget information and prioritization goals, the unconstrained budget scenario was performed to evaluate the worst-case scenario and identify all the inspected pavements' needs in a 10-year period. Certainly, it is understood that most airports are faced with constrained budgets; further evaluation of projects based on prioritization, operational criticality, funding availability, and practicality is recommended.

#### 7.1.4 Pavement Management System

The following recommendations are made to fully implement an effective pavement management program for the airport:

- > Develop a detailed preventive maintenance program for the airport.
- Further refine and implement the identified 10-year major rehabilitation needs.
- Maintain detailed records on pavement maintenance, construction, and inspection.
- Maintain records on major pavement construction projects (year, scope, cost, and construction documents).

#### 7.2 Supporting Documents

#### 001 – Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit depicts the airfield layout in a manner that defines the airfield pavement infrastructure as branches, sections, and sample units in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12. The exhibit is intended for planning purposes only – further detail on facilities can be found on the Airport's adopted Airport Layout Plan. Detailed characteristics are tabulated in **Appendix A Pavement Analysis Tables**.

#### 002 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit in is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit depicts any recent and/or anticipated construction activity within the airfield pavement facilities reported by airport staff. The exhibit is intended to schematically identify the pavement limits of works and general work description. The information reported on the *Airport Response Form* provided by each participating airport was used as the basis of the changes; furthermore, changes are confirmed at the airport with airport staff during the in-brief and debrief meeting.

#### 003 – Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit is a visual summary of the latest conditions calculated from the results of the PCI Survey performed at the airport. The analysis of the distresses surveyed in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 (referenced in **Appendix E Inspection Distress Details**) were analyzed using PAVER<sup>™</sup> software to determine PCI values. The PCI values are identified in the exhibit and graphically represented using the standard ASTM D5340-12 colors for condition rating categories.

#### 004 – Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit has been prepared based on the section condition analysis, pavement condition forecasts, and major rehabilitation needs analysis. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with the associated rehabilitation type activity, program year, and the planning-level costs. The area limits, rehabilitation type, and planning-level costs should not be considered a design-level recommendation. A tabulation of the 10-Year Major Rehabilitation is located in **Appendix B Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and Major Rehabilitation**.

#### Inspection Photograph Documentation

Representative field conditions from the PCI Survey are documented with digital photographs located in **Appendix D Inspection Photograph Documentation.** Select photographs are provided with limited caption on the distresses observed – the Appendix does not contain photographs for every sample unit.



#### 7.3 Conclusion


The FDOT SAPMP Update Phase 1 2016-2017 was completed for the airport on behalf of the FDOT ASO in accordance with the Advisory Circulars 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)" and 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements." FDOT's implementation of the SAPMP has assisted public airports with this requirement in performing PCI survey inspections and analysis in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys."

#### Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program



# Appendix A

## **Airfield Pavement Analysis Tables**





11

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	Area (SF)	Surface Type	Est. Last Construction Date
42J	APRON	AP	APRON	4105	500	300	167,821	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	APRON	AP	APRON	4110	300	138	42,163	AAC	1/1/2016
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	AP T-HANG	APRON	4505	520	50	24,431	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	AP T-HANG	APRON	4510	780	100	40,735	AC	1/1/2004
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	AP T-HANG	APRON	4515	500	30	15,277	AC	1/1/2008
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	AP T-HANG	APRON	4520	765	80	61,168	AC	1/1/2009
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RW 11-29	RUNWAY	6205	225	75	22,286	PCC	1/1/1942
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RW 11-29	RUNWAY	6215	4450	75	329,625	AC	1/1/1991
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RW 11-29	RUNWAY	6220	300	75	28,125	PCC	1/1/1942
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6105	300	50	15,000	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6110	300	100	18,125	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6115	4400	60	220,000	AAC	7/1/2010
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6120	4400	20	220,000	AAC	7/1/2010
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6130	300	100	15,627	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6135	300	100	19,518	PCC	1/1/1943
42J	TAXIWAY A	TW A	TAXIWAY	105	5500	35	195,631	AAC	1/1/1987
42J	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	TAXIWAY	205	470	35	19,612	AAC	1/1/1987
42J	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TW B	TAXIWAY	210	2100	35	77,412	AC	1/1/1997
42J	TAXIWAY B	TW B	TAXIWAY	220	330	35	14,679	AC	1/1/1997
42J	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	305	2600	35	92,494	AC	1/1/1997
42J	TAXIWAY E - CONNECTOR TO T-HANGAR	TW E	TAXIWAY	505	1200	25	31,823	AC	1/1/1990

#### Table A-1 Pavement System Inventory Details



141

#### Table A-2 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) – Section Level

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	PCI	Condition Rating
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6105	15,000	43	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6110	18,125	41	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6115	220,000	81	Satisfactory
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6120	220,000	85	Satisfactory
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6130	15,627	50	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6135	19,518	49	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RUNWAY	6205	22,286	43	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RUNWAY	6215	329,625	55	Poor
42J	RUNWAY 11-29	RUNWAY	6220	28,125	39	Very Poor
42J	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Α	TAXIWAY	105	195,631	35	Very Poor
42J	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TAXIWAY	205	19,612	49	Poor
42J	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TAXIWAY	210	77,412	51	Poor
42J	ΤΑΧΙΨΑΥ Β	TAXIWAY	220	14,679	53	Poor
42J	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	305	92,494	58	Fair
42J	TAXIWAY E - CONNECTOR TO T-HANGAR	TAXIWAY	505	31,823	58	Fair
42J	APRON	APRON	4105	167,821	64	Fair
42J	APRON	APRON	4110	42,163	100	Good
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4505	24,431	31	Very Poor
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4510	40,735	78	Satisfactory
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4515	15,277	52	Poor
42J	APRON T-HANGARS	APRON	4520	61,168	35	Very Poor

Airport Pavement 2017 Evaluation Report

Keystone Airpark (42J)



141

#### Table A-3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027

Nature de ID	Duranak ID	On attack ID						Forecas	sted PC	1			
Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
42J	AP	4105	64	62	61	59	58	56	55	54	53	52	51
42J	AP	4110	100	94	88	85	83	81	80	78	77	75	73
42J	AP T-HANG	4505	31	30	29	28	26	25	24	23	22	21	20
42J	AP T-HANG	4510	78	76	75	73	72	70	68	67	65	64	62
42J	AP T-HANG	4515	52	50	49	47	46	44	42	41	39	38	36
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	35	33	32	30	29	27	25	24	22	21	19
42J	RW 11-29	6205	43	42	41	40	39	39	38	37	36	35	34
42J	RW 11-29	6215	55	53	52	50	48	47	45	44	42	40	39
42J	RW 11-29	6220	39	38	37	36	35	35	34	33	32	31	30
42J	RW 5-23	6105	43	42	41	40	39	39	38	37	36	35	34
42J	RW 5-23	6110	41	40	39	38	37	37	36	35	34	33	32
42J	RW 5-23	6115	81	79	76	74	72	69	68	66	64	63	62
42J	RW 5-23	6120	85	83	80	78	75	73	71	69	67	65	64
42J	RW 5-23	6130	50	49	48	47	46	46	45	44	43	42	41
42J	RW 5-23	6135	49	48	47	46	45	45	44	43	42	41	40
42J	TW A	105	35	31	27	23	19	15	11	8	4	0	0
42J	TW B	205	49	48	46	45	44	44	43	43	43	43	43
42J	TW B	210	51	49	48	46	45	43	41	40	38	37	36
42J	TW B	220	53	51	50	48	47	45	43	42	40	39	37
42J	TW C	305	58	57	55	54	53	51	50	48	46	45	43
42J	TW E	505	58	57	55	54	53	51	50	48	46	45	43

7/25/2017	7	Wo Pavement Database:	rk History <i>FDOT</i>	y Report			Page 1 of 5
Network:	KEYSTON	NE AIRPA Branch: AP T-H	HANG APRO	N T-HANG	Section:	4505	Surface: PCC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1	943 Us	e: APRON Rank: P L	ength: 520	.00 (Ft) Wie	d <b>th:</b> 50.	00 (Ft) True Area:	24,431.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Com	nents
1/1/1943	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00		SOIL: SP-SM	
1/1/1943	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	8.00		1943: 8" PCC	
Network:	KEYSTON	JE AIRPA Branch: AP T-H	HANG APRO	N T-HANG	Section:	4510	Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 1/1/2	004 Us	e: APRON Rank: P I	<b>Length:</b> 780	.00 (Ft) Wie	dth: 100.	00 (Ft) True Area:	40,735.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Com	nents
1/1/2004	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00			
<b>Network:</b> <b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2					Section: dth: 30.	4515 00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b>	Surface: AC 15,277.00 (SqFt)
	Work			Thickness	Major		
Work Date	Code	Work Description	Cost	(in)	M&R	Com	nents
1/1/2008	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00			
Network:					Section:		Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	Work			.00 (Ft) Wie Thickness	dth: 80. Major	00 (Ft) True Area:	61,168.00 (SqFt)
<b>Work Date</b> 1/1/2009	Code	Work Description New Construction - AC	Cost 0.00	(in)	M&R	Com	nents
1/1/2009	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00			
Network:	KEYSTON	NE AIRPA Branch: AP	APRO	N	Section:	4105	Surface: PCC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1	943 Us	e: APRON Rank: P L	<b>.ength:</b> 500	.00 (Ft) Wie	dth: 300.	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b>	167,821.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Com	nents
1/1/2016	CS-PC	Crack Sealing - PCC	0.00	0.00			
1/1/2016		Joint Seal - PCC	0.00				
1/1/2016 1/1/1943		Patching - PCC Partial Depth OVERLAY	0.00	0.00 0.00		SOIL: SP-SM	
1/1/1943	ED IMPORT		0.00			1943: 8" PCC	
	ED						
Network:	KEYSTON	NE AIRPA Branch: AP	APRO	N	Section:	4110	Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 1/1/2	016 Us	e: APRON Rank: P I	ength: 300	0.00 (Ft) Wie	dth: 138.	00 (Ft) True Area:	42,163.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Com	nents
1/1/2016		Overlay - AC Structural	0.00	0.00			
1/1/1990	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	2.00		1990: 2" P-401 ON (	5" P-211

7/25/2017	1	Wo Pavement Database:	rk History <i>FDOT</i>	y Report		Page 2 of 5
Network:	KEYSTON	NE AIRPA Branch: RW 11	-29 RUNV	VAY 11-29	Section:	6205 Surface: PCC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1	942 Us	e: RUNWAY Rank: S I	Length: 225	.00 (Ft) Wie	<b>dth:</b> 75.	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b> 22,286.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/1990	IMPORT ED	REPAIR	0.00	0.00		1990: SEAL CRACKS/JOINTS
1/1/1942	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	8.00		1942: 8" PCC PAVEMENT
1/1/1942		OVERLAY	0.00	0.00		SOIL: SP-SM
Network:	KEYSTON	NE AIRPA Branch: RW 11	-29 RUNV	VAY 11-29	Section:	6215 Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1	991 Us	se: RUNWAY Rank: S I	<b>Length:</b> 4,450	.00 (Ft) Wid	<b>ith:</b> 75.	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b> 329,625.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/1991		OVERLAY	0.00	0.00		SOIL: SP-SM
1/1/1991	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	2.00		1991: 2" P-401 ON 6" CRUSH & MIX
Network:	KEYSTON	NE AIRPA Branch: RW 11	-29 RUNV	VAY 11-29	Section:	6220 Surface: PCC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1	942 Us	se: RUNWAY Rank: S I	Length: 300	.00 (Ft) Wie	<b>dth:</b> 75.	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b> 28,125.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/1990	IMPORT ED	REPAIR	0.00	0.00		1990: SEAL JOINTS/CRACKS
1/1/1942	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	8.00		1942: 8" PCC PAVEMENT
1/1/1942		OVERLAY	0.00	0.00		SOIL: SP-SM
Network:	KEYSTON	NE AIRPA Branch: RW 5-	23 RUNV	VAY 5-23	Section:	6105 Surface: PCC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1	943 Us	se: RUNWAY Rank: P I	<b>Length:</b> 300	.00 (Ft) Wie	<b>dth:</b> 50.	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b> 15,000.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2010		Patching - PCC	0.00	0.00		
1/1/1943	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	8.00		1943: 8" PCC PAVEMENT
1/1/1943	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00		SOIL: SP-SM
Network:	KEYSTON	NE AIRPA Branch: RW 5-	23 RUNV	VAY 5-23	Section:	6110 Surface: PCC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1	943 Us	e: RUNWAY Rank: P I	<b>Length: 300</b>	.00 (Ft) Wie	<b>dth:</b> 100.	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b> 18,125.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2010		Patching - PCC	0.00	0.00		
1/1/1943	OL-MR	Overlay	0.00	0.00		SOIL:SP-SM
1/1/1943	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00		1943: 8" PCC PAVEMENT

7/25/2017	7	Pavement Databa		k History FDOT	<b>Report</b>		Page 3 of 5
Network:	KEYSTON	E AIRPA Branch: R	W 5-23	3 RUNW	VAY 5-23	Section:	6115 Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 7/1/2	010 Us	e: RUNWAY Rank: P	Le	ngth: 4,400	.00 (Ft) Wie	lth: 60.0	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b> 220,000.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description		Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2010 1/1/1984	IMPORT	Mill and Overlay OVERLAY		0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00		SOIL: SP-SM
1/1/1984		OVERLAY		0.00	2.00		1984: 2" P-401 OVERLAY
1/1/1943	ED IMPORT ED	BUILT		0.00	2.00		1943: 2" AC ON 6" LIME ROCK BASE
Network:	KEYSTON	E AIRPA Branch: R	W 5-23	3 RUNW	VAY 5-23	Section:	6120 Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 7/1/2	010 Us	e: RUNWAY Rank: P	Le	ngth: 4,400	.00 (Ft) Wie	lth: 20.0	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b> 220,000.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description		Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2010	ML-OL	Mill and Overlay		0.00	0.00		
1/1/1984	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY		0.00	2.00		1984: 2" MAX. TAPERING P-401 OVERLAY
1/1/1943	IMPORT ED	BUILT		0.00	2.00		1943: 2" AC ON 6" LIME ROCK BASE
Network:	KEYSTON	JE AIRPA Branch: RV	W 5-23	3 RUNW	VAY 5-23	Section:	6130 Surface: PCC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1	943 Us	e: RUNWAY Rank: P	Le	<b>ngth:</b> 300	.00 (Ft) Wie	lth: 100.0	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b> 15,627.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description		Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2010	PA-PCC	Patching - PCC		0.00	0.00		
1/1/1943	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY		0.00	0.00		SOIL: SP-SM
1/1/1943	IMPORT ED	BUILT		0.00	8.00		1943: 8" PCC PAVEMENT
Network:	KEYSTON	E AIRPA Branch: R	W 5-23	3 RUNW	VAY 5-23	Section:	6135 Surface: PCC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1	943 Us	e: RUNWAY Rank: P	Le	ngth: 300	.00 (Ft) Wie	lth: 100.0	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b> 19,518.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description		Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2010	PA-PCC	Patching - PCC		0.00	0.00		
1/1/1943	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial		0.00	0.00		1943:8" PCC PAVEMENT
1/1/1943	OL-MR	Overlay		0.00	0.00		SOIL: SP-SM
Network:	KEYSTON	NE AIRPA Branch: TV	ΝA	TAXIV	WAY A	Section:	105 Surface: AAC
<b>Network:</b> <b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/19		E AIRPA Branch: TV e: TAXIWAY Rank: P		TAXIV ngth: 5,500			105         Surface: AAC           00 (Ft)         True Area:         195,631.00 (SqFt)
	987 Us Work Code	e: TAXIWAY Rank: P Work Description				lth: 35.0 Major M&R	
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1	987 Us Work Code IMPORT ED	e: TAXIWAY Rank: P Work Description		ngth: 5,500	.00 (Ft) Wid	lth: 35.0 Major	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b> 195,631.00 (SqFt)

7/25/2017	7	Wo Pavement Database:	ork History FDOT	y Report			Page 4 of 5
<b>Network:</b> <b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1		NE AIRPA <b>Branch:</b> TW B se: TAXIWAY <b>Rank:</b> P J		WAY B .00 (Ft) <b>Wi</b> d	Section: lth: 35.0	205 00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b>	Surface: AAC 19,612.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comr	nents
1/1/1987	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	0.00		1987: AC OVERLA EXISTING AC PAV	Y PLACED ON EMENT
<b>Network:</b> <b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1		NE AIRPA <b>Branch:</b> TW B se: TAXIWAY <b>Rank:</b> P I	TAXI (100 TAXI)	WAY B .00 (Ft) <b>Wi</b> o	Section: lth: 35.0	210 00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b>	Surface: AC 77,412.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comr	nents
1/1/1997	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	0.00		1997 AC PAVEMEN	T
		NE AIRPA <b>Branch:</b> TW B se: TAXIWAY <b>Rank:</b> P J		WAY B .00 (Ft) <b>Wi</b> o	Section: ith: 35.0	220 00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b>	Surface: AC 14,679.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comr	nents
1/1/1997	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00		1997 AC PAVEMEN	νT
<b>Network:</b> <b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1		NE AIRPA <b>Branch:</b> TW C se: TAXIWAY <b>Rank:</b> P <b>I</b>		WAY C .00 (Ft) <b>Wi</b> o	Section: lth: 35.9	305 00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b>	Surface: AC 92,494.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comr	nents
1/1/1997	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00		1997 AC PAVEMEN	ΤT
<b>Network:</b> <b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1		NE AIRPA <b>Branch:</b> TW E se: TAXIWAY <b>Rank:</b> P I	TAXIV Length: 1,200	WAYE-C	Section:	505 00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b>	Surface: AC 31,823.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comr	
1/1/1990		OVERLAY	0.00	0.00		SOIL: SP-SM	
1/1/1990	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	2.00		1990: 2" P-401 ON 6 MINIMUM 12" STA	

7/25/2017

#### Work History Report

Pavement Database: FDOT

#### Summary:

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
BUILT	14	1,409,556.00	4.14	3.42
Crack Sealing - PCC	1	167,821.00	0.00	0.00
Joint Seal - PCC	1	167,821.00	0.00	0.00
Mill and Overlay	2	440,000.00	0.00	0.00
New Construction - AC	3	117,180.00	0.00	0.00
New Construction - Initial	4	144,816.00	0.00	0.00
OVERLAY	14	1,528,012.00	0.29	0.70
Overlay - AC Structural	1	42,163.00	0.00	0.00
Patching - PCC	4	68,270.00	0.00	0.00
Patching - PCC Partial Depth	1	167,821.00	0.00	0.00
REPAIR	2	50,411.00	0.00	0.00

7/25/2017	7/25/2017 Branch Condition Report Page 1 of 2 Pavement Database: FDOT									
Branch ID	Number of Sections	Sum Section Length (Ft)	Avg Section Width (Ft)	True Area (SqFt)	Use	Average PCI	Standard Deviation PCI	Weighted Average PCI		
AP	2	800.00	219.00	209,984.00	APRON	82.00	18.00	71.23		
AP T-HAN	4	2,565.00	65.00	141,611.00	APRON	49.00	18.51	48.51		
RW 11-29	3	4,975.00	75.00	380,036.00	RUNWAY	45.67	6.80	53.11		
RW 5-23	6	10,000.00	71.67	508,270.00	RUNWAY	58.17	17.87	78.00		
TW A	1	5,500.00	35.00	195,631.00	TAXIWAY	35.00	0.00	35.00		
TW B	3	2,900.00	35.00	111,703.00	TAXIWAY	51.00	1.63	50.91		
TW C	1	2,600.00	35.00	92,494.00	TAXIWAY	58.00	0.00	58.00		
TW E	1	1,200.00	25.00	31,823.00	TAXIWAY	58.00	0.00	58.00		

7/25/2017     Branch Condition Report     Page 2 of 2       Pavement Database:     FDOT										
Use Category	Number of Sections	Total Area (SqFt)	Arithmetic Average PCI	Average STD PCI	Weighted Average PCI					
APRON	6	351595.000012452	60.00	24.05	62.08					
RUNWAY	9	888306.000017364	54.00	16.22	67.35					
TAXIWAY	6	431651.0000598	50.67	7.76	45.74					
ALL	21	1671552.00008962	54.76	17.55	60.66					

7/25/2017		Section	on Con	dition R	eport				Page 1	of 2
Pavement Data										
Branch ID	Section ID	Last Const. Date	Surface	Use	Rank	Lanes	True Area (SqFt)	Last Inspection Date	Age At Inspec tion	
AP	4105	1/1/1943	PCC	APRON	Р	0	167,821.00	4/24/2017	74	64
AP	4110	1/1/2016	AAC	APRON	Р	0	42,163.00	4/24/2017	1	100
AP T-HANG	4505	1/1/1943	PCC	APRON	Р	0	24,431.00	4/24/2017	74	31
AP T-HANG	4510	1/1/2004	AC	APRON	Р	0	40,735.00	4/24/2017	13	78
AP T-HANG	4515	1/1/2008	AC	APRON	Р	0	15,277.00	4/24/2017	9	52
AP T-HANG	4520	1/1/2009	AC	APRON	Р	0	61,168.00	4/24/2017	8	35
RW 11-29	6205	1/1/1942	PCC	RUNWAY	S	0	22,286.00	4/24/2017	75	43
RW 11-29	6215	1/1/1991	AC	RUNWAY	S	0	329,625.00	4/24/2017	26	55
RW 11-29	6220	1/1/1942	PCC	RUNWAY	S	0	28,125.00	4/24/2017	75	39
RW 5-23	6105	1/1/1943	PCC	RUNWAY	Р	0	15,000.00	4/24/2017	74	43
RW 5-23	6110	1/1/1943	PCC	RUNWAY	Р	0	18,125.00	4/24/2017	74	41
RW 5-23	6115	7/1/2010	AAC	RUNWAY	Р	0	220,000.00	4/24/2017	7	81
RW 5-23	6120	7/1/2010	AAC	RUNWAY	Р	0	220,000.00	4/24/2017	7	85
RW 5-23	6130	1/1/1943	PCC	RUNWAY	Р	0	15,627.00	4/24/2017	74	50
RW 5-23	6135	1/1/1943	PCC	RUNWAY	Р	0	19,518.00	4/24/2017	74	49
TW A	105	1/1/1987	AAC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	195,631.00	4/24/2017	30	35
TW B	205	1/1/1987	AAC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	19,612.00	4/24/2017	30	49
TW B	210	1/1/1997	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	77,412.00	4/24/2017	20	51
TW B	220	1/1/1997	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	14,679.00	4/24/2017	20	53
TW C	305	1/1/1997	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	92,494.00	4/24/2017	20	58
TW E	505	1/1/1990	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	31,823.00	4/24/2017	27	58

7/25/2017	7/25/2017 Section Condition Report (Summary) Page 2 of Pavement Database: FDOT										
Age Category	Average Age at Inspection	Total Area (SqFt)	Number of Sections	Arithmetic Average PCI	Standard Deviation PCI	Weighted Average PCI					
00-02	1	42,163.00	1	100.00	0.00	100.00					
06-10	8	516,445.00	4	63.25	20.69	76.40					
11-15	13	40,735.00	1	78.00	0.00	78.00					
16-20	20	184,585.00	3	54.00	2.94	54.67					
26-30	28	576,691.00	4	49.25	8.84	48.18					
ALL	39	1,671,552.00	21	54.76	17.55	60.66					
Over 50	74	310,933.00	8	45.00	9.07	53.64					

#### Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program



# Appendix B

## Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and Major Rehabilitation

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Code	Description	Severity	Distress Qty	Distress Unit	Percent Distress	Work Description	Work Qty	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
42J	AP	4105	62	CORNER BREAK	Low	8.22	Slabs	1.6%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	67.26	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 290.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4505	63	LINEAR CR	Medium	67.44	Slabs	81.3%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	1264.44	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 5,380.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4505	65	JT SEAL DMG	High	83	Slabs	100.0%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	2549.87	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 7,020.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4505	72	SHAT. SLAB	Low	5.19	Slabs	6.3%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	194.55	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 830.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4510	52	RAVELING	Low	2036.75	SqFt	5.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	2036.53	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 1,130.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4515	48	L & T CR	Medium	794.39	Ft	5.2%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	794.29	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 2,390.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4515	52	RAVELING	Low	15130.29	SqFt	99.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	15130.83	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 8,330.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4515	52	RAVELING	Medium	146.71	SqFt	1.0%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	146.39	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 440.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	41	ALLIGATOR CR	Low	646.37	SqFt	1.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	752.4	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 4,520.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	45	DEPRESSION	Low	131	SqFt	0.2%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	180.83	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 1,090.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	45	DEPRESSION	Medium	87.4	SqFt	0.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	129.17	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 780.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	48	L & T CR	Medium	3035.47	Ft	5.0%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	3035.43	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 9,110.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	48	L & T CR	High	572.15	Ft	0.9%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	572.18	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 1,720.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	52	RAVELING	Low	1091.89	SqFt	1.8%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	1091.46	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 610.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	52	RAVELING	Medium	43.7	SqFt	0.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	44.13	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 140.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	52	RAVELING	High	34.98	SqFt	0.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	34.44	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 110.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	56	SWELLING	Medium	655.09	SqFt	1.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	762.08	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 4,580.00
42J	AP T-HANG	4520	56	SWELLING	High	16596.77	SqFt	27.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	17118.92	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 102,720.00
42J	RW 11-29	6205	62	CORNER BREAK	Low	2.63	Slabs	2.4%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	21.65	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 100.00
42J	RW 11-29	6205	63	LINEAR CR	Medium	13.17	Slabs	12.2%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	164.7	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 700.00
42J	RW 11-29	6205	65	JT SEAL DMG	Medium	71.12	Slabs	65.9%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	1580.38	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 4,350.00
42J	RW 11-29	6205	65	JT SEAL DMG	High	36.88	Slabs	34.2%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	819.55	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 2,260.00
42J	RW 11-29	6205	71	FAULTING	Medium	2.63	Slabs	2.4%	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	32.81	Ft	\$ 2.00	\$ 70.00
42J	RW 11-29	6205	72	SHAT. SLAB	Low	2.63	Slabs	2.4%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	65.94	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 280.00
42J	RW 11-29	6205	74	JOINT SPALL	Low	2.63	Slabs	2.4%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	4.27	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 20.00
42J	RW 11-29	6205	74	JOINT SPALL	Medium	7.9	Slabs	7.3%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	50.59	SqFt	\$ 72.00	\$ 3,680.00
42J	RW 11-29	6205	75	CORNER SPALL	Low	2.63	Slabs	2.4%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	4.27	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 20.00
42J	RW 11-29	6215	48	L&TCR	Medium	4907.74	Ft	1.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	4907.81	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 14,730.00
42J	RW 11-29	6215	52	RAVELING	Low	287540.43	SqFt	87.2%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	287540.6	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 158,150.00
42J	RW 11-29	6215	52	RAVELING	Medium	37836.11	SqFt	11.5%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	37836.22	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 113,510.00
42J	RW 11-29	6220	62	CORNER BREAK	Low	6.86	Slabs	9.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	56.1	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 240.00
42J	RW 11-29	6220	63	LINEAR CR	Medium	5.14	Slabs	7.1%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	96.46	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 410.00
42J	RW 11-29	6220	65	JT SEAL DMG	Medium	72	Slabs	100.0%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	2325.13	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 6,400.00
42J	RW 11-29	6220	72	SHAT. SLAB	Low	3.43	Slabs	4.8%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	128.61	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 550.00
42J	RW 11-29	6220	72	SHAT. SLAB	Medium	1.71	Slabs	2.4%	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	536.04	SqFt	\$ 30.00	\$ 16,080.00
42J	RW 11-29	6220	74	JOINT SPALL	Low	12	Slabs	16.7%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	19.69	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 90.00
42J	RW 11-29	6220	74	JOINT SPALL	Medium	3.43	Slabs	4.8%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	22.6	SqFt	\$ 72.00	\$ 1,600.00
42J	RW 11-29	6220	75	CORNER SPALL	Low	3.43	Slabs	4.8%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	5.58	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 30.00

#### Table B-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair Needs based on Current Condition



141

Statewide Airfield Pavement	Airport Pavement
Management Program	<b>Evaluation Report</b>

												at you	
Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Code	Description	Severity	Distress Qty	Distress Unit	Percent Distress	Work Description	Work Qty	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
42J	RW 11-29	6220	75	CORNER SPALL	Medium	1.71	Slabs	2.4%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	4.31	SqFt	\$ 72.00	\$ 340.00
42J	RW 5-23	6105	63	LINEAR CR	Medium	4	Slabs	8.3%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	75.13	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 320.00
42J	RW 5-23	6105	65	JT SEAL DMG	Medium	48	Slabs	100.0%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	1450.13	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 3,990.00
42J	RW 5-23	6105	74	JOINT SPALL	Low	10	Slabs	20.8%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	16.4	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 70.00
42J	RW 5-23	6105	74	JOINT SPALL	Medium	4	Slabs	8.3%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	25.83	SqFt	\$ 72.00	\$ 1,860.00
42J	RW 5-23	6105	75	CORNER SPALL	Low	4	Slabs	8.3%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	6.56	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 30.00
42J	RW 5-23	6105	75	CORNER SPALL	Medium	2	Slabs	4.2%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	5.38	SqFt	\$ 72.00	\$ 390.00
42J	RW 5-23	6110	62	CORNER BREAK	Low	12	Slabs	12.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	98.43	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 420.00
42J	RW 5-23	6110	63	LINEAR CR	Medium	12	Slabs	12.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	225.07	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 960.00
42J	RW 5-23	6110	65	JT SEAL DMG	Medium	96	Slabs	100.0%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	5296.59	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 14,570.00
42J	RW 5-23	6110	74	JOINT SPALL	Low	12	Slabs	12.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	19.69	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 90.00
42J	RW 5-23	6110	75	CORNER SPALL	Low	4	Slabs	4.2%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	6.56	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 30.00
42J	RW 5-23	6120	48	L & T CR	Medium	27.49	Ft	0.0%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	27.56	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 90.00
42J	RW 5-23	6130	62	CORNER BREAK	Low	2.81	Slabs	3.2%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	22.97	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 100.00
42J	RW 5-23	6130	63	LINEAR CR	Medium	2.81	Slabs	3.2%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	52.49	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 230.00
42J	RW 5-23	6130	65	JT SEAL DMG	Medium	87	Slabs	100.0%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	3200.13	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 8,800.00
42J	RW 5-23	6130	74	JOINT SPALL	Low	5.61	Slabs	6.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	9.19	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 40.00
42J	RW 5-23	6130	75	CORNER SPALL	Low	2.81	Slabs	3.2%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	4.59	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 20.00
42J	RW 5-23	6135	63	LINEAR CR	Medium	9.16	Slabs	10.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	171.59	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 730.00
42J	RW 5-23	6135	65	JT SEAL DMG	Medium	87	Slabs	100.0%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	3200.13	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 8,800.00
42J	RW 5-23	6135	74	JOINT SPALL	Low	9.16	Slabs	10.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	15.09	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 70.00
42J	RW 5-23	6135	75	CORNER SPALL	Low	9.16	Slabs	10.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	15.09	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 70.00
42J	TW A	105	48	L & T CR	Medium	283.4	Ft	0.1%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	283.46	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 860.00
42J	TW A	105	52	RAVELING	Medium	195630.95	SqFt	100.0%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	195630.8	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 586,900.00
42J	TW B	205	48	L & T CR	Medium	448.26	Ft	2.3%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	448.16	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 1,350.00
42J	TW B	205	52	RAVELING	Low	16530.14	SqFt	84.3%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	16530.14	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 9,100.00
42J	TW B	205	52	RAVELING	Medium	3081.92	SqFt	15.7%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	3081.71	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 9,250.00
42J	TW B	210	48	L & T CR	Medium	147.97	Ft	0.2%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	147.97	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 450.00
42J	TW B	210	52	RAVELING	Low	55958.23	SqFt	72.3%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	55958.34	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 30,780.00
42J	TW B	210	52	RAVELING	Medium	21453.77	SqFt	27.7%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	21453.55	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 64,370.00
42J	TW B	220	45	DEPRESSION	Low	127.88	SqFt	0.9%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	177.6	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 1,070.00
42J	TW B	220	52	RAVELING	Low	14605.12	SqFt	99.5%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	14605.55	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 8,040.00
42J	TW B	220	52	RAVELING	Medium	45.42	SqFt	0.3%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	45.21	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 140.00
42J	TW C	305	48	L & T CR	Medium	530.45	Ft	0.6%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	530.51	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 1,600.00
42J	TW C	305	52	RAVELING	Low	71497.77	SqFt	77.3%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	71498.2	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 39,330.00
42J	TW C	305	52	RAVELING	Medium	18786.15	SqFt	20.3%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	18786.25	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 56,360.00
42J	TW E	505	49	OIL SPILLAGE	N/A	24.86	SqFt	0.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	48.44	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 150.00
42J	TW E	505	52	RAVELING	Low	28578.61	SqFt	89.8%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	28578.18	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 15,720.00
42J	TW E	505	52	RAVELING	Medium	2648.78	SqFt	8.3%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	2649	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 7,950.00
	1			1	1	1	1		1	1	1		

#### Keystone Airpark (42J)



141



Table B-2 10-Year Maj	or Rehabilitation	<b>Planning</b> Needs	at Section Level
-----------------------	-------------------	-----------------------	------------------


Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	42J	AP	4105	PCC	167,821	62	PCC Restoration	\$ 1,679,000.00
2018	42J	AP T-HANG	4505	PCC	24,431	30	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 367,000.00
2018	42J	AP T-HANG	4515	AC	15,277	50	AC Restoration	\$ 107,000.00
2018	42J	AP T-HANG	4520	AC	61,168	33	AC Reconstruction	\$ 551,000.00
2018	42J	RW 11-29	6205	PCC	22,286	42	PCC Restoration	\$ 308,000.00
2018	42J	RW 11-29	6215	AC	329,625	53	AC Restoration	\$ 2,308,000.00
2018	42J	RW 11-29	6220	PCC	28,125	38	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 422,000.00
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6105	PCC	15,000	42	PCC Restoration	\$ 207,000.00
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6110	PCC	18,125	40	PCC Restoration	\$ 269,000.00
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6130	PCC	15,627	49	PCC Restoration	\$ 161,000.00
2018	42J	RW 5-23	6135	PCC	19,518	48	PCC Restoration	\$ 211,000.00
2018	42J	TW A	105	AAC	195,631	31	AC Reconstruction	\$ 1,761,000.00
2018	42J	TW B	205	AAC	19,612	48	AC Restoration	\$ 145,000.00
2018	42J	TW B	210	AC	77,412	49	AC Restoration	\$ 544,000.00
2018	42J	TW B	220	AC	14,679	51	AC Restoration	\$ 103,000.00
2018	42J	TW C	305	AC	92,494	57	AC Restoration	\$ 648,000.00
2018	42J	TW E	505	AC	31,823	57	AC Restoration	\$ 223,000.00
2025	42J	RW 5-23	6115	AAC	220,000	64	AC Restoration	\$ 1,541,000.00
2026	42J	AP T-HANG	4510	AC	40,735	64	AC Restoration	\$ 286,000.00
2027	42J	RW 5-23	6120	AAC	220,000	64	AC Restoration	\$ 1,541,000.00


#### Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program



# Appendix C


## Technical Exhibits






# 001 - AIRFIELD PAVEMENT NETWORK DEFINITION EXHIBIT Airport Pavement Evaluation Report **Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program** KEYSTONE AIRPARK - 42J FDOT

#### LEGEND




TOTAL SAMPLES INSPECTED = 78 AC: 61 PCC: 17

RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.










PROJECTS	YEAR	2013
PROJECTS	YEAR	2014
PROJECTS	YEAR	2015
PROJECTS	YEAR	2016
PROJECTS	YEAR	2017
PROJECTS	YEAR	2018
PROJECTS	YEAR	2019
PROJECTS	YEAR	2020
PROJECTS	YEAR	2021
PROJECTS	YEAR	2022

RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.






 Statewide Airfield Pavement
 Airport Pavement Evaluation Report

 Management Program
 2017


 KEYSTONE AIRPARK - 42J


FDOT



### LEGEND TYPICAL RUNWAY BRANCH ID TWA TYPICAL RUNWAY BRANCH ID TYPICAL TAXIWAY BRANCH ID APS TYPICAL APRON BRANCH ID PCI 86–100 GOOD PCI 71–85 SATISFACTORY PCI 56–70 FAIR PCI 41–55 POOR PCI 41–55 POOR PCI 41–55 SERIOUS PCI 11–25 SERIOUS PCI 0–10 FAILED

RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.








#### LEGEND

RW 13-31	TYPICAL	RUNWAY	BRANCH ID	
TWA	TYPICAL	TAXIWAY	BRANCH ID	
AP S	TYPICAL	APRON E	BRANCH ID	

#### PROGRAM YEAR






RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.

## Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program



# Appendix D

## **Inspection Photograph Documentation**







Runway 5-23, Section 6110, Sample Unit 96 – Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Low Severity (67) Large Patching



Runway 5-23, Section 6105, Sample Unit 297 – Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Low Severity (66) Small Patching





Runway 5-23, Section 6115, Sample Unit 307 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (56) Swelling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 5-23, Section 6115, Sample Unit 332 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (56) Swelling, Low Severity (57) Weathering






Runway 5-23, Section 6120, Sample Unit 542 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering




Runway 11-29, Section 6220, Sample Unit 103 – Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Low Severity (74) Joint Spalling





Runway 11-29, Section 6215, Sample Unit 156 – Low and Medium Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling



Runway 11-29, Section 6215, Sample Unit 170 – Low and Medium Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling





Runway 11-29, Section 6205, Sample Unit 195 – Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage



Taxiway B, Section 210, Sample Unit 111 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Medium Severity (52) Raveling

2017





Taxiway C, Section 305, Sample Unit 139 - Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling



Taxiway A, Section 105, Sample Unit 147 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Medium Severity (52) Raveling





Taxiway A, Section 105, Sample Unit 122 – Low Severity (43) Block Cracking, Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Medium Severity (52) Raveling



Apron T-Hangars, Section 4520, Sample Unit 402 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, High Severity (56) Swelling







Apron T-Hangars, Section 4520, Sample Unit 402 – Low and Medium Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, High Severity (56) Swelling



Apron, Section 4105, Sample Unit 205 – Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Low Severity (66) Small Patching






Apron, Section 4105, Sample Unit 500 – Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Low Severity (66) Small Patching

## Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program



# Appendix E

## **Inspection Distress Details**



### **Re-Inspection Report**

FDOT	,				-	-				
-	ated Date	7/24	5/2017							Page 1 of 24
Netwo			-2017	N	ame: KE	YSTONE AIRI	PARK			
Brancl	h: AP		Name:	APRON		Use:	APRON	Area:	209,984 SqFt	
Section	<b>n:</b> 4105	of 2	Fr	·om: -			То: -		Last Const.:	1/1/1943
Surfac			59-GA-AP-I		one:		Category:		Rank: P	
Area:	167,821	•	Length:	500		Width:	300 Ft			
Slabs:		Slab Length:		13 Ft	Slab Width:		25 Ft	Joint Len	gth: 17,200 Fi	t
Should		Street Type:			Grade: 0			Lanes:	0	
	n Comments:								Ū	
				<b>.</b>						
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1943	Work Ty	ype: OVER	LAY		Co	de: IMPORTED	Is Ma	njor M&R: True	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1943	Work Ty	ype: BUILT	1		Co	de: IMPORTED	Is Ma	njor M&R: True	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/2016	Work Ty	ype: Patchir	ng - PCC Partia	l Depth	Co	de: PA-PP	Is Ma	ojor M&R: False	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/2016	Work Ty	ype: Crack	Sealing - PCC		Co	de: CS-PC	Is Ma	ajor M&R: False	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/2016	Work Ty	ype: Joint So	eal - PCC		Co	de: JS-PC	Is Ma	ajor M&R: False	
Last Iı	nsp. Date: 4/24/2017		TotalSan	mples: 33		Surveyed	l: 4			
Condit				-						
Inspec	ction Comments:									
Sampl	e Number: 101	Type:	R	Area:	1	6.00 Slabs	<b>PCI:</b> 6	2		
Sampl	e Comments:									
71	FAULTING	L	_	1.00 Slab	s					
	LINEAR CR	L		16.00 Slab	S					
	SMALL PATCH	L		7.00 Slab						
	SHRINKAGE CR	N		16.00 Slab						
-	e Number: 205	Type:	R	Area:	1	6.00 Slabs	<b>PCI:</b> 5	9		
Sample	e Comments:									
	LINEAR CR	L		16.00 Slab						
	SMALL PATCH	L		8.00 Slab						
	FAULTING	L		3.00 Slab						
	SHRINKAGE CR	N		16.00 Slab		< 00.01.1				
-	e Number: 500 e Comments:	Type:	R	Area:	1	6.00 Slabs	<b>PCI:</b> 6	3		
	CORNER BREAK	L		1.00 Slab						
	LINEAR CR	L		15.00 Slab						
	SMALL PATCH SHRINKAGE CR	L N		13.00 Slab 15.00 Slab						
						6.00 Slabs	<b>PCI:</b> 72			
-	e Number: 503 e Comments:	Туре:	R	Area:	1	0.00 51805	r CI; 7.	2		
-		т		0.00 Slat						
	LINEAR CR SMALL PATCH	L		8.00 Slab 2.00 Slab						
	SHRINKAGE CR	L N		10.00 Slab						

Network:	42J				Nar	ne: KE	YSTONE AII	RPARK				
Branch:	AP		Nan	ne: APH	RON		Use:	APRON	A	Area:	209,98	4 SqFt
Section:	4110		of 2	From:	-			To:	-		Las	st Const.: 1/1/2016
Surface:	AAC	Family	C9N59-0 APC	GA-AP-AAC-	Zon	ie:		Categ	ory:		Rai	nk: P
Area:		42,163 SqFt	Le	ngth:	300 I	Ft	Width:	1	38 Ft			
Slabs:		Slab L	ength:	I	<sup>7</sup> t	Slab Width:		Ft		Joint Leng	gth:	Ft
Shoulder:		Street	Туре:			Grade: 0				Lanes:	0	
Section Co	mments	:										
Work Date	e: 1/1/19	90	Work Type:	BUILT			С	ode: IMPO	ORTED	Is Ma	jor M&R	: True
Work Date	e: 1/1/20	16	Work Type:	Overlay - AC	Structura	1	С	ode: OL-A	\S	Is Ma	jor M&R:	: True
Last Insp. 1	Date: 4	/24/2017	]	TotalSamples:	7		Surveye	e <b>d:</b> 1				
Conditions	s: PCI	: 100										
Inspection	Comme	nts:										
Sample Nu	mber:	200 <b>T</b>	ype: F	R	Area:	700	0.00 SqFt	F	<b>PCI:</b> 100			
Sample Co	omments	:										

<No Distress>

Network:	42J				Name: K	EYSTONE AII	RPARK		
Branch:	AP T-HANG	ł	Name:	APRO	N T-HANGARS	Use:	APRON	Area:	141,611 SqFt
Section:	4505	of	4 <b>F</b> 1	rom:	-		То: -		Last Const.: 1/1/1943
Surface:	PCC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-	PCC	Zone:		Category:		Rank: P
Area:	24,4	31 SqFt	Length:		520 Ft	Width:	50 Ft		
Slabs:	83	Slab Leng	th:	13 Ft	Slab Widt	n:	25 Ft	Joint Le	<b>ngth:</b> 2,550 Ft
Shoulder:		Street Typ	e:		Grade:	0		Lanes:	0
Section C	omments:								
Work Dat	e: 1/1/1943	Wor	rk Type: BUILT	Г		С	ode: IMPORTED	Is N	Iajor M&R: True
Work Dat	<b>e:</b> 1/1/1943	Woi	rk Type: OVER	RLAY		С	ode: IMPORTED	Is N	lajor M&R: True
Last Insp.	<b>Date:</b> 4/24/201	7	TotalSa	mples:	6	Surveye	<b>d:</b> 1		
Condition	s: PCI: 31								
Inspection	Comments: In	naginary slabs	added due to adj	justed geo	metry				
Sample N	umber: 102	Туре	: R	A	rea:	16.00 Slabs	<b>PCI:</b> 3	1	
Sample C	omments:								
63 LII	NEAR CR		L	2.00	Slabs				
63 LII	NEAR CR		Μ	13.00	Slabs				
	SEAL DMG		Н	16.00	Slabs				
65 JT									
	AT. SLAB		L	1.00	Slabs				

Network	<b>42J</b>			Nai	ne: KE	YSTONE AIF	RPARK		
Branch:	AP T-HANG		Name:	APRON T-H	ANGARS	Use:	APRON	Area:	141,611 SqFt
Section:	4510	of 4	4 From	n: -			То: -		Last Const.: 1/1/2004
Surface:	AC	Family: C	9N59-GA-AP-AG	C Zor	ne:		Category:		Rank: P
Area:	40,73	5 SqFt	Length:	780 1	Ft	Width:	100 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Length	1:	Ft	Slab Width:		Ft	Joint Length	n: Ft
Shoulder	r:	Street Type	:		Grade: 0			Lanes: 0	1
Section (	Comments:								
Work Da	ate: 1/1/2004	Work	<b>Type:</b> New Con	struction - AC	1	C	ode: NC-AC	Is Major	r M&R: True
oct Inc	<b>p. Date:</b> 4/24/2017	,	TotalSamp	les: 10		Surveye	<b>d:</b> 1		
Last maj	<b>F</b> =								
-	-								
Conditio	-								
Conditio Inspectio	ons: PCI: 78	Туре:	R	Area:	500	0.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 7	8	
Conditio Inspectio Sample I	ons: PCI: 78		R	Area:	500	0.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 7:	8	
Conditio Inspectio Sample I Sample (	ons: PCI: 78 on Comments: Number: 102		R	Area: 21.00 SqFt	500	0.00 SqFt	PCI: 7	8	
Conditio Inspectio Sample I Sample (	ons: PCI: 78 on Comments: Number: 102 Comments:				500	0.00 SqFt	PCI: 7	8	
Conditio Inspectio Sample I Sample ( 56 S 50 P	ons: PCI: 78 on Comments: Number: 102 Comments: WELLING		L	21.00 SqFt	500	0.00 SqFt	PCI: 7	8	
Condition Inspection Sample I Sample O 56 S 50 P 48 L	ons: PCI: 78 on Comments: Number: 102 Comments: WELLING PATCHING		L L L	21.00 SqFt 50.00 SqFt	500	0.00 SqFt	PCI: 7	8	

Network:	42J			Name:	KEYSTONE AI	RPARK		
Branch:	AP T-HANG		Name:	APRON T-HANG	ARS Use:	APRON	Area:	141,611 SqFt
Section:	4515	of	4 <b>F</b>	rom: -		То: -		Last Const.: 1/1/2008
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP	-AC Zone:		Category:		Rank: P
Area:	15,27	7 SqFt	Length:	500 Ft	Width:	30 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Leng	gth:	Ft Sla	b Width:	Ft	Joint Length	n: Ft
Shoulder:		Street Ty	pe:	Gra	ade: 0		Lanes: 0	1
Section Co	omments:							
Work Dat	e: 1/1/2008	Wo	rk Type: New	Construction - AC	С	ode: NC-AC	Is Major	r M&R: True
Last Insp.	Date: 4/24/2017	,	TotalSa	mples: 3	Surveye	ed: 1		
Condition	s: PCI: 52							
Inspection	Comments:							
	umber: 104	Туре	e: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 52	2	
Sample Nu	umber. 104							
•								
Sample Co			L	362.00 Ft				
Sample Co 48 L &	omments:		L M	362.00 Ft 260.00 Ft				
48 L &	omments: & T CR							

Network	<b>x:</b> 42J				Nan	ne:	KEYSTONE	AIRP	PARK					
Branch:	-		Name:	APRO		ANGARS	Us		APRON	Ar	ea:	141,611	SqFt	
Section:		of 4			-				То: -				t Const.:	1/1/2009
			N59-GA-A											1/1/2007
Surface:		-			Zon				Category:			Kan	<b>k:</b> P	
Area:	61,16	58 SqFt	Length:		765 F	t	Width:		80 F	Į.				
Slabs:		Slab Length:		Ft		Slab Wi	ith:		Ft		Joint Ler	ngth:	Ft	
Shoulde	r:	Street Type:				Grade:	0				Lanes:	0		
Section	Comments:													
Work D	ate: 1/1/2009	Work I	ype: New	Constructi	on - AC			Cod	le: NC-AC		Is Ma	ajor M&R:	True	
Last Ins	<b>p. Date:</b> 4/24/2017	7	Totals	Samples:	14		Surv	eyed:	: 3					
Conditio	ons: PCI: 35													
Inspecti	on Comments:													
Sample	<b>Number:</b> 100	Туре:	R		Area:		4005.00 SqFt		PCI:	23				
		pairs done												
52 R	AVELING	]	М	10.00	SqFt									
48 L	. & T CR	]	Ĺ	184.00										
50 P	ATCHING	]	Ĺ	300.00	SqFt									
56 S	WELLING	1	H	300.00										
56 S	WELLING		М	150.00										
41 A	LLIGATOR CR	]	Ĺ	148.00										
45 D	DEPRESSION	]	М	20.00	SqFt									
Sample	Number: 202	Type:	R	I	Area:		5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	79				
Sample	Comments:													
48 L	. & T CR	]	L	92.00	Ft									
52 R	AVELING	]	Ĺ	250.00	SqFt									
57 V	VEATHERING	]	Ĺ	4750.00	SqFt									
45 D	DEPRESSION	1	Ĺ	30.00	SqFt									
Sample	Number: 402	Type:	R	I	Area:		5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	1				
Sample	Comments: Air	rport has acknow	ledged pav	ment defici	encies a	nd potenti	al subsurface is	ssues.						
48 L	2 & T CR	]	L	1299.00	Ft									
48 L	. & T CR	1	М	695.00										
48 L	. & T CR	1	Н	131.00	Ft									
52 R	AVELING	1	Н	8.00	SqFt									
	WELLING		Н	3500.00	SqFt									
43 B	BLOCK CR	]	Ĺ	224.00	SqFt									

Netwo	ork: 42J		Name:	KEYSTONE AIRPA	RK	
Branc	<b>h:</b> RW 11-29	Name:	RUNWAY 11-29	Use: R	UNWAY	Area: 380,036 SqFt
Sectio	<b>n:</b> 6205	of 3 Fr	om: -		То: -	Last Const.: 1/1/1942
Surfa	ce: PCC Fa	mily: C9N59-GA-RW	TW-PCC Zone:		Category:	Rank: S
Area:	22,286 Sc	Ft Length:	225 Ft	Width:	75 Ft	
Slabs		ab Length:	13 Ft Slab W		3 Ft	Joint Length: 2,400 Ft
Shoul		reet Type:	Grade:		511	Lanes: 0
		reet Type:	Graue:	0		Lanes: 0
Sectio	n Comments:					
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1942	Work Type: OVER	LAY	Code	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1942	Work Type: BUILT	<b>,</b>	Code	: IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1990	Work Type: REPAI	R	Code	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: False
Last I	nsp. Date: 4/24/2017	TotalSar	nples: 6	Surveyed:	3	
	tions: PCI: 43			-		
Inspe	ction Comments:					
		Type: R	<b>A</b>	12.00 Slabs	<b>DCI</b> . 29	
_	le Number: 195	Type: R	Area:	12.00 Stabs	<b>PCI:</b> 28	
Samp	le Comments:					
63	LINEAR CR	L	8.00 Slabs			
65	JT SEAL DMG	М	12.00 Slabs			
66	SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs			
71	FAULTING	L	1.00 Slabs			
73	SHRINKAGE CR	N	12.00 Slabs			
74	JOINT SPALL	М	2.00 Slabs			
63	LINEAR CR	Μ	2.00 Slabs			
67	LARGE PATCH	L	6.00 Slabs			
71	FAULTING	М	1.00 Slabs			
Samp	le Number: 197	Type: R	Area:	15.00 Slabs	<b>PCI:</b> 52	
Samp	le Comments:					
62	CORNER BREAK	L	1.00 Slabs			
63	LINEAR CR	L	3.00 Slabs			
63	LINEAR CR	М	1.00 Slabs			
65	JT SEAL DMG	М	15.00 Slabs			
67	LARGE PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs			
73	SHRINKAGE CR	Ν	14.00 Slabs			
75	CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs			
66	SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs			
74	JOINT SPALL	М	1.00 Slabs			
Samp	le Number: 200	Type: R	Area:	14.00 Slabs	<b>PCI:</b> 47	
Samp	le Comments:					
63	LINEAR CR	L	5.00 Slabs			
65	JT SEAL DMG	Н	14.00 Slabs			
72	SHAT. SLAB	L	1.00 Slabs			
73	SHRINKAGE CR	Ν	6.00 Slabs			
74	JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs			
63	LINEAR CR	М	2.00 Slabs			

Netwo	ork: 42J			Name:	KEYSTONE AIRI	PARK		
Branch			Name:	RUNWAY 11-29	Use:	RUNWAY	Area: 380,036 SqFt	
								1/1/1001
Section		of 3		From: -		To: -	Last Const.:	1/1/1991
Surfac		•	9N59-GA-1			Category:	Rank: S	
Area:	329,625 S	qFt	Length	<b>h:</b> 4,450 Ft	Width:	75 Ft		
Slabs:	S	lab Length:	:	Ft Slab	Width:	Ft	Joint Length: F	<sup>7</sup> t
Should	ler: S	treet Type:		Grad	<b>de:</b> 0		Lanes: 0	
	n Comments:	• 4 * *						
	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1991	Work	Type: OV	/ERLAY	Co.	de: IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True	
	Date: 1/1/1991		Type: BU			de: IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True	
	nsp. Date: 4/24/2017			llSamples: 88	Surveyed			
Condit			1 Ula	<b>.</b> 50	Sui veyet	<u>-</u> *		
	ction Comments:							
_	le Number: 108	Type:	R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 59		
Sample	le Comments:							
48	L & T CR		L	190.00 Ft				
48	L & T CR		М	57.00 Ft				
52	RAVELING		L	3700.00 SqFt				
	RAVELING		М	50.00 SqFt				
_	le Number: 111	Type:	R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 59		
Sample	le Comments:							
	L & T CR		L	182.00 Ft				
48	L & T CR		М	93.00 Ft				
	RAVELING		L M	3700.00 SqFt				
	RAVELING		M	50.00 SqFt	0000			
_	le Number: 113	Type:	R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 59		
Sample	le Comments:							
48	L & T CR		L	147.00 Ft				
48	L & T CR		М	102.00 Ft				
	RAVELING		L M	3700.00 SqFt				
	RAVELING		M	50.00 SqFt	2750.00 7 5			
-	le Number: 117	Type:	R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 59		
_	le Comments:							
	L & T CR		L	211.00 Ft				
	L & T CR RAVELING		M I	50.00 Ft 3700.00 SaEt				
	RAVELING RAVELING		L M	3700.00 SqFt 50.00 SqFt				
	le Number: 120	Туре:	R	<b>Area:</b>	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 59		
_	le Comments:	-ype:	N	111 <b>00</b> ,		101. 39		
_			_					
	L&TCR		L M	186.00 Ft				
	L & T CR RAVELING		M L	100.00 Ft 3700.00 SaFt				
	RAVELING RAVELING		L M	3700.00 SqFt 50.00 SqFt				
	le Number: 127	Туре:	R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 59		
_	le Comments:	- 3 bc.	N		L L OIOU DYL'I			
_			т	<b>A</b> 40 00 -				
	L&TCR L&TCR		L M	240.00 Ft 50.00 Ft				
	L & T CR RAVELING		M L	50.00 Ft 3700.00 SqFt				
	RAVELING RAVELING		L M	3700.00 SqFt 50.00 SqFt				
	le Number: 134	Туре:	R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 59		
_	le Comments:	- J PC.			<b>4</b> * *			
_			T					
	L&TCR L&TCR		L M	158.00 Ft 35.00 Ft				
	L & T CR RAVELING		M L	35.00 Ft 3650.00 SqFt			E-8	
			~	Socolog Sqrt				

50	DATE				100.00 G F			
52	RAVELING		М		100.00 SqFt			
Samp	le Number: 141	Type:		R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 50	
Samp	ole Comments:							
40			т		126 00 Et			
48 48	L & T CR L & T CR		L M		136.00 Ft 126.00 Ft			
48 52	RAVELING		M		938.00 SqFt			
52	RAVELING		L		2812.00 SqFt			
			L	<b>D</b>		2550.00 0 5	DOI 1	
-	ble Number: 145	Type:		R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 51	
Samp	ole Comments:							
48	L & T CR		L		280.00 Ft			
48	L & T CR		M		15.00 Ft			
52	RAVELING		L		2812.00 SqFt			
52	RAVELING		М		938.00 SqFt			
Samr	le Number: 150	Type:		R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 56	
-		1 <i>j</i> pc.		n.		5750.00 Bqr (	1011 30	
Samp	le Comments:							
48	L & T CR		L		274.00 Ft			
48	L & T CR		М		8.00 Ft			
52	RAVELING		L		3350.00 SqFt			
52	RAVELING		М		400.00 SqFt			
Samp	le Number: 156	Type:		R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 59	
-	le Comments:							
-								
48	L & T CR		L		290.00 Ft			
48	L & T CR		Μ		25.00 Ft			
52	RAVELING		L		3600.00 SqFt			
52	RAVELING		М		150.00 SqFt			
Samp	le Number: 163	Type:		R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 58	
Samp	ole Comments:							
40			т		222 00 E4			
48 48	L & T CR L & T CR		L M		223.00 Ft 50.00 Ft			
48 52	RAVELING		L		3350.00 SqFt			
52	RAVELING		M		400.00 SqFt			
	ble Number: 170	<b>T</b> 0.1		R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 48	
-		Type:		ĸ	Area:	5750.00 SqFt	<b>FCI:</b> 40	
Samp	le Comments:							
48	L & T CR		L		388.00 Ft			
48	L & T CR		М		70.00 Ft			
52	RAVELING		L		2812.00 SqFt			
52	RAVELING		М		938.00 SqFt			
Samp	le Number: 178	Type:		R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 51	
-	le Comments:	• •				1		
Samh								
48	L & T CR		L		183.00 Ft			
48	L & T CR		Μ		100.00 Ft			
52	RAVELING		L		2812.00 SqFt			
52	RAVELING		М		938.00 SqFt			
Samp	le Number: 182	Type:		R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 59	
Samp	le Comments:							
40	LeTCD		т		075 00 E			
48 48	L&TCR		L M		275.00 Ft 50.00 Ft			
48 52	L & T CR RAVELING		M L		3700.00 SqFt			
52 52	RAVELING		M		50.00 SqFt			
	le Number: 185	Туре:		R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 49	
-				к	Aita;	5750.00 syri	1 01, 47	
Samp	<b>ble Comments:</b> Repairs of	lone						
48	L & T CR		L		182.00 Ft			
48	L & T CR		М		44.00 Ft			
50	PATCHING		L		870.00 SqFt			
52	RAVELING		L		2160.00 SqFt			
52	RAVELING		М		720.00 SqFt			
Samp	le Number: 189	Type:		R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 51	E-9
-	la Commonta	• • •				1		E-9

Sample Comments:

48	L & T CR	L	406.00 Ft			
52	RAVELING	L	2812.00 SqFt			
52	RAVELING	М	938.00 SqFt			
Samj	ple Number: 192	Type: R	Area:	3750.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 52	
Samj	ple Comments:					
48	L & T CR	L	238.00 Ft			
48	L & T CR	М	30.00 Ft			
52	RAVELING	L	2812.00 SqFt			
52	RAVELING	М	938.00 SqFt			

Netwo	ork: 42J			Nan	ne: KEY	YSTONE A	AIRPAR	K			
Branc		Na	ame: RUNV	VAY 11		Use		JNWAY	Area:	380,036 SqFt	
Sectio	<b>n:</b> 6220	of 3	From:	-				То: -		Last Const.:	1/1/1942
Surfa			-GA-RW-TW-PCO	Zon	e:			Category:		Rank: S	
Area:		•	ength:	300 F		Width:		75 Ft			
Slabs:		Slab Length:	13 Ft	500 1	Slab Width:	vviutii.	25		Joint Len	gth: 2,325 Ft	
Shoul		Street Type:	15 14		Grade: 0		23	11	Lanes:	0	
		Street Type.			Graue. 0				Lanes.	0	
Sectio	on Comments:										
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1942	Work Typ	e: OVERLAY				Code:	IMPORTED	Is Ma	jor M&R: True	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1942	Work Typ	e: BUILT				Code:	IMPORTED	Is Ma	jor M&R: True	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1990	Work Typ	e: REPAIR				Code:	IMPORTED	Is Ma	jor M&R: False	
Last I	nsp. Date: 4/24/2017		TotalSamples:	4		Surve	yed: 2	2			
	itions: PCI: 39		•				•				
	ction Comments:										
		<b>T</b>	D		2	1.00 81-1		<b>PCI:</b> 42			
_	le Number: 103	Туре:	R A	rea:	24	4.00 Slabs		<b>PCI:</b> 42			
Samp	le Comments:										
62	CORNER BREAK	L	4.00	Slabs							
63	LINEAR CR	L	21.00	Slabs							
63	LINEAR CR	М		Slabs							
65	JT SEAL DMG	Μ		Slabs							
73	SHRINKAGE CR	Ν	20.00	Slabs							
74	JOINT SPALL	L	5.00	Slabs							
75	CORNER SPALL	L	2.00	Slabs							
71	FAULTING	L	1.00	Slabs							
74	JOINT SPALL	Μ	1.00	Slabs							
75	CORNER SPALL	Μ	1.00	Slabs							
_	le Number: 301	Туре:	R A	rea:	18	3.00 Slabs		<b>PCI:</b> 35			
Samp	le Comments:										
63	LINEAR CR	L	14.00	Slabs							
65	JT SEAL DMG	M	18.00								
66	SMALL PATCH	L		Slabs							
67	LARGE PATCH	L		Slabs							
71	FAULTING	L		Slabs							
72	SHAT. SLAB	L		Slabs							
72	SHAT. SLAB	M		Slabs							
73	SHRINKAGE CR	N		Slabs							
74	JOINT SPALL	L		Slabs							
74 74	JOINT SPALL	L M		Slabs							
63	LINEAR CR	M		Slabs							
55		141	1.00	51405							

Netw	ork: 42J				Nam	ne: KE	YSTONE A	AIRPAF	RK				
Bran	ch: RW 5-23	Ň	ame:	RUNW	AY 5-2	23	Use	e: RI	JNWAY	Area:	50	08,270 SqFt	
Sectio	on: 6105	of 6	Fro	om: -					To: -			Last Const.:	1/1/1943
Surfa	nce: PCC	Family: C9N5	9-GA-RW-	TW-PCC	Zone	e:			Category:			Rank: P	
Area	: 15,000	) SqFt	Length:		300 F	ť	Width:		50 Ft				
Slabs	<b>::</b> 48	Slab Length:		12 Ft		Slab Width:		25	Ft	J	oint Length:	1,450 Ft	
Shou	lder:	Street Type:				Grade: 0				I	anes: 0		
Sectio	on Comments:												
Work	<b>A Date:</b> 1/1/1943	Work Ty	pe: OVERL	.AY				Code:	IMPORTED	I	Is Major N	I&R: True	
Work	<b>A Date:</b> 1/1/1943	Work Ty	pe: BUILT					Code:	IMPORTED	I	Is Major N	I&R: True	
Work	<b>x Date:</b> 7/1/2010	Work Ty	pe: Patching	g - PCC				Code:	PA-PCC		Is Major N	<b>I&amp;R:</b> False	
Last	Insp. Date: 4/24/2017		TotalSam	ples: 4			Surve	eyed:	2				
Cond	litions: PCI: 43												
Inspe	ection Comments:												
Samp	ble Number: 297	Type:	R	A	rea:	1	2.00 Slabs		PCI:	40			
Samp	ole Comments:												
63	LINEAR CR	М		1.00	Slabs								
63	LINEAR CR	L		8.00									
65	JT SEAL DMG	М		12.00									
66 67	SMALL PATCH LARGE PATCH	L L		1.00 1.00	Slabs								
71	FAULTING	L		1.00									
73	SHRINKAGE CR	N		11.00									
74	JOINT SPALL	L			Slabs								
75	CORNER SPALL	L		2.00									
75	CORNER SPALL	М		1.00	Slabs								
Samp	ole Number: 299	Type:	R	A	rea:	1	2.00 Slabs		PCI: 4	17			
Samp	ole Comments:												
63	LINEAR CR	М		1.00	Slabs								
63	LINEAR CR	L		5.00	Slabs								
65	JT SEAL DMG	М		12.00	Slabs								
67	LARGE PATCH	L		2.00	Slabs								
73	SHRINKAGE CR	Ν		12.00									
74	JOINT SPALL	М			Slabs								
74	JOINT SPALL	L		1.00	Slabs								

Netwo	ork: 42J				Name:	KEY	STONE AIR	PARK					
Branc	h: RW 5-23	3	Name:	RUNWA	AY 5-23		Use:	RUNWAY	Area	:	508,27	'0 SqFt	
Sectio	<b>n:</b> 6110	0	f 6 <b>F</b>	rom: -				To: -			La	st Const.:	1/1/1943
Surfa	e: PCC	Family:	C9N59-GA-RW	V-TW-PCC	Zone:			Category:			Ra	nk: P	
Area:		18,125 SqFt	Length:		300 Ft		Width:	100 Ft					
Slabs:	58	Slab Len	igth:	12 Ft	Slab V	Vidth:		25 Ft		Joint Leng	th:	3,200 Ft	
Shoul	der:	Street Ty	ype:		Grade	: 0				Lanes:	0		
Sectio	n Comments:												
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1943	W	ork Type: Overl	ay			C	ode: OL-MR		Is Maj	or M&R	: True	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1943	W	ork Type: New	Construction	- Initial		C	ode: NU-IN		Is Maj	or M&R	: True	
Work	Date: 7/1/2010	W	ork Type: Patch	ing - PCC			C	ode: PA-PCC		Is Maj	or M&R	: False	
Last I	nsp. Date: 4/24	/2017	TotalSa	mples: 4			Surveye	<b>d:</b> 2					
Condi	tions: PCI:	41											
Inspee	ction Comments:												
Samp	le Number: 498	з Тур	e: R	Ar	ea:	12.	00 Slabs	PCI:	33				
Samp	le Comments:												
52	CORNER BREA	AK	L	2.00 \$	labs								
53	LINEAR CR		L	4.00 \$	labs								
57	LARGE PATCH	I	L	6.00 \$	labs								
73	SHRINKAGE C	R	Ν		labs								
55	JT SEAL DMG		М	12.00 \$									
53	LINEAR CR		М	2.00 \$									
74	JOINT SPALL		L	2.00 \$									
75	CORNER SPAL	L	L	1.00 \$	labs								
Samp	le Number: 96	Тур	e: R	Ar	ea:	12.	00 Slabs	PCI:	48				
Samp	le Comments:												
52	CORNER BREA	AK	L	1.00 \$	labs								
53	LINEAR CR		L	8.00 S									
65	JT SEAL DMG		М	12.00 \$									
57	LARGE PATCH	I	L	2.00 \$	labs								
73	SHRINKAGE C	R	Ν	6.00 \$	labs								
63	LINEAR CR		М	1.00 S	labs								

Network: 42J		Name:	KEYSTONE AIRPA	RK	
Branch: RW 5-23	Name:	RUNWAY 5-23		UNWAY Ar	ea: 508,270 SqFt
Section: 6115		From: -		To: -	Last Const.: 7/1/2010
Surface: AAC	Family: C9N59-GA-R			Category:	Rank: P
	APC			gj-	
<b>Area:</b> 220,00	00 SqFt Length:	4,400 Ft	Width:	60 Ft	
Slabs:	Slab Length:	Ft Slab W	idth:	Ft	Joint Length: Ft
Shoulder:	Street Type:	Grade:	0		<b>Lanes:</b> 0
Section Comments:					
Work Date: 1/1/1943	Work Type: BUI	LT	Code	: IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date: 1/1/1984	Work Type: OVE	RLAY	Code	: IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date: 1/1/1984	Work Type: OVE	RLAY	Code	: IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date: 7/1/2010	Work Type: Mill	and Overlay	Code	: ML-OL	Is Major M&R: True
Last Insp. Date: 4/24/2017	7 TotalS	amples: 44	Surveyed:	8	
Conditions: PCI: 81					
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 302	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 86	
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	134.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING Sample Number: 307	L Type: R	5000.00 SqFt Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 78	
Sample Comments:	Type. R	Alta.	5000.00 5411	101. 70	
48 L&TCR	L	248.00 Ft			
56 SWELLING	L	30.00 SqFt			
57 WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 312	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 86	
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR 57 WEATHERING	L L	123.00 Ft 5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 318	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 73	
Sample Comments:			1		
48 L & T CR	L	361.00 Ft			
56 SWELLING	L	60.00 SqFt			
57 WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 326	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 76	
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	312.00 Ft			
<ul><li>56 SWELLING</li><li>57 WEATHERING</li></ul>	L L	15.00 SqFt 5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 332	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 75	
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	338.00 Ft			
56 SWELLING	L	10.00 SqFt			
57 WEATHERING	L Type: R	5000.00 SqFt	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 87	
Sample Number: 337 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 Sqrt	rU; 8/	
-	т	117.00 Et			
<ul><li>48 L &amp; T CR</li><li>57 WEATHERING</li></ul>	L L	117.00 Ft 5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 342	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 86	
Sample Comments:			*		
48 L&TCR	L	137.00 Ft			E-14
	_				

57 WEATHERING L 5000.00 SqFt

Network: 42J		Name:	KEYSTONE AIR	PARK	
Branch: RW 5-23	Name:	RUNWAY 5-23	Use:		Area: 508,270 SqFt
Section: 6120	of 6 I	From: -		То: -	Last Const.: 7/1/2010
Surface: AAC	Family: C9N59-GA-R APC	W-AAC- Zone:		Category:	Rank: P
Area: 220,000		4,400 Ft	Width:	20 Ft	
Slabs:	Slab Length:		Width:	Ft	Joint Length: Ft
Shoulder:	Street Type:	Grade	<b>e:</b> 0		<b>Lanes:</b> 0
Section Comments:					
Work Date: 1/1/1943	Work Type: BUI			ode: IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Work Date: 1/1/1984 Work Date: 7/1/2010	Work Type: OVE Work Type: Mill			ode: IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True Is Major M&R: True
					18 IVIAJUI IVICER. 1140
Last Insp. Date: 4/24/2017	10(8)5	amples: 44	Surveyed	1: 8	
Conditions: PCI: 85					
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 102 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 87	
<ul><li>48 L &amp; T CR</li><li>57 WEATHERING</li></ul>	L L	118.00 Ft 5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 110	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 73	
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	323.00 Ft			
48 L & T CR	М	5.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 122	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 84	
Sample Comments:					
<ul><li>48 L &amp; T CR</li><li>56 SWELLING</li></ul>	L L	129.00 Ft 20.00 SaFt			
<ul><li>56 SWELLING</li><li>57 WEATHERING</li></ul>	L L	20.00 SqFt 5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 140	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 89	
Sample Comments:					
<ul><li>48 L &amp; T CR</li><li>56 SWELLING</li></ul>	L L	26.00 Ft 4.00 SqFt			
<ul><li>56 SWELLING</li><li>57 WEATHERING</li></ul>	L L	4.00 SqFt 5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 504	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 85	
Sample Comments:					
48 L&TCR	L	152.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 514 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 89	
-	Ŧ				
48 L & T CR 57 WEATHERING	L L	45.00 Ft 5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 526	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 83	
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	150.00 Ft			
56 SWELLING	L	30.00 SqFt			
57 WEATHERING	L Type: R	5000.00 SqFt	5000 00 SaEt	<b>DCI.</b> 01	
Sample Number: 542 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 91	
48 L & T CR	L	14.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt			E-16

Network:	42J				Name:	KEYSIC	ONE AIR	PARK					
Branch:	RW 5-23		Name:	RUNW	AY 5-23		Use:	RUNWAY	Area:		508,270	SqFt	
Section:	6130	of	6 <b>F</b>	rom: -				To: -			Last	Const.:	1/1/1943
Surface:	PCC	Family:	C9N59-GA-RV	V-TW-PCC	Zone:			Category:			Ranl	k: P	
Area:	15,62	27 SqFt	Length:		300 Ft	Wie	lth:	100 Ft					
Slabs:	87	Slab Leng	th:	13 Ft	Slab	Width:		25 Ft	Jo	oint Length	:	3,200 Ft	
Shoulder:	:	Street Typ	e:		Grad	le: 0			La	anes: 0			
Section C	omments:												
Work Dat	<b>te:</b> 1/1/1943	Wor	<b>k Type:</b> OVE	RLAY			Co	ode: IMPORTED		Is Major	M&R:	True	
Work Dat	te: 1/1/1943	Wor	<b>k Type:</b> BUIL	Т			Co	ode: IMPORTED		Is Major	M&R:	True	
Work Dat	te: 7/1/2010	Wor	k Type: Patch	ing - PCC			Co	ode: PA-PCC		Is Major	M&R:	False	
Last Insp.	. Date: 4/24/201	7	TotalSa	mples: 4		S	Surveye	<b>d:</b> 2					
Condition	ns: PCI: 50												
Inspection	n Comments:												
	n Comments: fumber: 344	Туре	: R	A	rea:	17.00 \$	Slabs	PCI:	55				
Sample N		Туре	: R	A	rea:	17.00 \$	Slabs	PCI:	55				
Sample N Sample C	<b>umber:</b> 344	Туре	R R		rea: Slabs	17.00 \$	Slabs	PCI:	55				
Sample N Sample C 65 JT	umber: 344 comments:	Туре		17.00		17.00 \$	Slabs	PCI: 5	55				
Sample N Sample C 65 JT 66 SM	<b>SEAL DMG</b>	Туре	М	17.00 1.00	Slabs	17.00 \$	Slabs	PCI:	55				
Sample N Sample C 65 JT 66 SN 74 JO	<b>SEAL DMG</b>	Туре	M L	17.00 1.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs	17.00 \$	Slabs	PCI: 5	55				
Sample N Sample C 65 JT 66 SN 74 JO 62 CC	umber: 344 comments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH DINT SPALL	Туре	M L L	17.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs	17.00 \$	Slabs	PCI: 5	55				
Sample N           Sample C           65         JT           66         SN           74         JO           62         CC           63         LI	umber: 344 Jomments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH DINT SPALL DRNER BREAK	Туре	M L L L	17.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 8.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	17.00 \$	Slabs	PCI: 5	55				
Sample N           Sample C           65         JT           66         SM           74         JO           62         CC           63         LII           67         LA	umber: 344 Jomments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH JINT SPALL DRNER BREAK NEAR CR	Туре	M L L L L	17.00 1.00 1.00 8.00 3.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	17.00 \$	Slabs	PCI: 5	55				
Sample N           Sample C           65         JT           66         SM           74         JO           62         CC           63         LII           67         LA           73         SH	umber: 344 Jomments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH DINT SPALL DRNER BREAK NEAR CR ARGE PATCH	Туре	M L L L L L	17.00 1.00 1.00 8.00 3.00 15.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	17.00 \$	Slabs	PCI: 5	55				
Sample N           Sample C           65         JT           66         SM           74         JO           62         CC           63         LII           67         LA           73         SH           75         CC	umber: 344 Jomments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH JINT SPALL DRNER BREAK NEAR CR NEAR CR NRGE PATCH IRINKAGE CR	Туре	M L L L L N L	17.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 8.00 3.00 15.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs	17.00 \$		PCI: 4					
Sample N           Sample C           65         JT           66         SM           74         JO           62         CC           63         LII           67         LA           73         SH           75         CC           Sample N	umber: 344 fomments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH UNT SPALL DRNER BREAK NEAR CR ARGE PATCH HRINKAGE CR DRNER SPALL		M L L L L N L	17.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 8.00 3.00 15.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs								
Sample N           Sample C           65         JT           66         SM           74         JO           62         CC           63         LII           67         LA           73         SH           75         CC           Sample N         Sample C	umber: 344 omments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH UNT SPALL DRNER BREAK NEAR CR NEAR CR NRGE PATCH IRINKAGE CR DRNER SPALL umber: 346		M L L L L N L	17.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 8.00 3.00 15.00 1.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs <b>Slabs</b>								
Sample N           Sample C           65         JT           66         SN           74         JO           62         CC           63         LII           67         LA           73         SH           75         CC           Sample N         Sample C           63         LII	umber: 344 omments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH DINT SPALL DRNER BREAK NEAR CR NEAR CR NRGE PATCH IRINKAGE CR DRNER SPALL Umber: 346 omments:		M L L L L N L : R	17.00 1.00 1.00 8.00 3.00 15.00 1.00 <b>A</b> 6.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs <b>Slabs</b>								
Sample N           Sample C           65         JT           66         SM           74         JO           62         CC           63         LII           67         LA           73         SH           75         CC           Sample N         Sample C           63         LII           65         JT	umber: 344 omments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH DINT SPALL DRNER BREAK NEAR CR ARGE PATCH HRINKAGE CR DRNER SPALL ORNER SPALL umber: 346 omments:		M L L L L N L : R	17.00 1.00 1.00 8.00 3.00 15.00 1.00 <b>A</b> 6.00 14.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs <b>Slabs</b> Slabs								
Sample N           Sample C           65         JT           66         SM           74         JO           62         CC           63         LII           67         LA           73         SH           75         CC           Sample N         Sample C           63         LII           65         JT           65         JT           66         SN	umber: 344 omments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH DINT SPALL DRNER BREAK NEAR CR ARGE PATCH HRINKAGE CR DRNER SPALL ORNER SPALL ORNER: 346 omments: NEAR CR SEAL DMG		M L L L L L S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	17.00 1.00 1.00 8.00 3.00 15.00 1.00 <b>A</b> 6.00 14.00 5.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs rea: Slabs Slabs								
Sample N           Sample C           65         JT           66         SM           74         JO           62         CC           63         LII           67         LA           73         SH           75         CC           Sample N         Sample C           63         LII           65         JT           66         SM           67         LA	umber: 344 omments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH DINT SPALL DRNER BREAK NEAR CR ARGE PATCH IRINKAGE CR DRNER SPALL Umber: 346 omments: NEAR CR SEAL DMG MALL PATCH		M L L L L L S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	17.00 1.00 1.00 8.00 3.00 15.00 1.00 <b>A</b> 6.00 14.00 5.00 8.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs rea: Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs								
Sample N           Sample C           65         JT           66         SM           74         JO           62         CC           63         LII           67         LA           73         SH           75         CC           Sample N         Sample C           63         LII           65         JT           66         SM           67         LA	umber: 344 Somments: SEAL DMG MALL PATCH DINT SPALL DRNER BREAK NEAR CR ARGE PATCH IRINKAGE CR DRNER SPALL Umber: 346 Somments: NEAR CR SEAL DMG MALL PATCH ARGE PATCH		M L L L L L S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	17.00 1.00 1.00 8.00 3.00 15.00 1.00 <b>A</b> 6.00 14.00 5.00 8.00 12.00	Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs Slabs								

Network:	42J				Name	KEY	YSTONE A	RPAF	RK				
Branch:	RW 5-23		Name:	RUNW	AY 5-23		Use:	RU	JNWAY	Area:	508,27	'0 SqFt	
Section:	6135	0	f 6 1	From: -					То: -		La	st Const.:	1/1/1943
Surface:	PCC	Family:	C9N59-GA-R	W-TW-PCC	Zone:				Category:		Ra	nk: P	
Area:	19	,518 SqFt	Length:		300 Ft		Width:		100 Ft	I.			
Slabs:	87	Slab Ler	ngth:	13 Ft	S	lab Width:		25	Ft	Join	nt Length:	3,200 Fi	t
Shoulder:		Street T	vne:		0	Grade: 0				La	nes: 0		
Section Co		5	, por										
Work Dat	te: 1/1/1943	W	ork Type: Over	lay				Code:	OL-MR		Is Major M&R	: True	
Work Dat	te: 1/1/1943	W	ork Type: New	Constructio	n - Initial			Code:	NU-IN		Is Major M&R	: True	
Work Dat	te: 7/1/2010	W	ork Type: Patcl	ning - PCC				Code:	PA-PCC		Is Major M&R	: False	
Last Insp.	<b>Date:</b> 4/24/2	017	TotalS	amples:	3		Survey	ed:	1				
Condition	s: PCI: 4	.9											
Inspection	n Comments:												
Sample N	umber: 144	Ту	pe: R	А	rea:	19	0.00 Slabs		PCI:	49			
Sample Co	omments:												
63 LIN	NEAR CR		L	10.00	Slabs								
65 JT	SEAL DMG		М	19.00	Slabs								
66 SM	IALL PATCH		L	1.00	Slabs								
73 SH	IRINKAGE CR		Ν	19.00	Slabs								
63 LIN	NEAR CR		М	2.00	Slabs								
74 JO	INT SPALL		L	2.00	Slabs								
75 CO	ORNER SPALL		L	2.00	Slabs								

Network:	42J			Nam	e: KEYSTONE A	AIRPARK		
Branch:	TW A		Name:	TAXIWAY A	Use	: TAXIWAY	Area:	195,631 SqFt
Section:	105	of 1		From: -		То: -		Last Const.: 1/1/1987
Surface:	AAC		9N59-GA-' PC	TW-AAC- Zone	:	Category:		Rank: P
Area:	195,63	1 SqFt	Length	1: 5,500 Ft	Width:	35 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Length	:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joi	nt Length: Ft
Shoulder:		Street Type:	:		Grade: 0		La	<b>nes:</b> 0
Section Con	nments:							
Work Date:	: 1/1/1987	Work	Type: OV	/ERLAY		Code: IMPORTED		Is Major M&R: True
Work Date:	: 1/1/1987	Work	Type: BL	JILT		Code: IMPORTED		Is Major M&R: True
Last Insp. D	Date: 4/24/2017	,	Tota	ISamples: 55	Surve	<b>yed:</b> 6		
Conditions:	<b>PCI:</b> 35							
Inspection (	Comments:							
Sample Nur	<b>mber:</b> 101	Туре:	R	Area:	3398.00 SqFt	PCI: 38		
Sample Cor		-54						
48 L&	T CR		L	505.00 Ft				
	/ELING		M	3398.00 SqFt				
Sample Nur	<b>mber:</b> 111	Type:	R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 33		
Sample Cor	nments:							
43 BLO	OCK CR		L	1380.00 SqFt				
	T CR		L	283.00 Ft				
	/ELING		М	3500.00 SqFt				
-	<b>mber:</b> 122	Type:	R	Area:	4000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 33		
Sample Cor	nments:							
	OCK CR		L	650.00 SqFt				
	T CR		L	272.00 Ft				
	/ELING	T	M	4000.00 SqFt	2500.00.0.5	DOL 20		
•	mber: 135	Туре:	R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 38		
Sample Cor								
	T CR		L M	659.00 Ft				
	/ELING	True et	R	3500.00 SqFt	3500.00 SqFt	DCI. 22		
-	<b>mber:</b> 147	Туре:	ĸ	Area:	5500.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 33		
Sample Cor								
	T CR		L	580.00 Ft				
	T CR /ELING		M M	12.00 Ft 3500.00 SqFt				
	<b>mber:</b> 153	Туре:	R	Area:	3501.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 33		
Sample Cor		1 y pci						
-	T CR		L	492.00 Ft				
	/ELING		M	3501.00 SqFt				
	T CR		М	19.00 Ft				

Network:	42J				Nan	ne: KEY	STONE AIF	RPARK			
Branch:	TW B		Nai	me: TA	AXIWAY B		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	111,703 SqFt	
Section: 2	05	of	3	From:	-			То: -		Last Const.:	1/1/1987
Surface: A	AAC	Family:	C9N59- APC	GA-TW-AAO	C- Zon	e:		Category:		Rank: P	
Area:	1	9,612 SqFt	Le	ength:	470 F	łt	Width:	35 Ft			
Slabs:		Slab Leng	gth:		Ft	Slab Width:		Ft	Joint Leng	gth: Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Ty	pe:			<b>Grade:</b> 0			Lanes:	0	
Section Com	iments:										
Work Date:	1/1/1987	Wo	rk Type	: BUILT			C	ode: IMPORTED	Is Maj	jor M&R: True	
Last Insp. Da	ate: 4/24/2	2017	,	TotalSamples	<b>:</b> 5		Surveye	<b>d:</b> 2			
Conditions:	PCI:	49									
		49									
Inspection C	Comments:	49 <b>Тур</b>	e: ]	R	Area:	3500	).00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 4	8		
Inspection C Sample Num	<b>Comments:</b> <b>aber:</b> 101		<b>e:</b> ]	R	Area:	3500	).00 SqFt	PCI: 4	8		
Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com	Comments: nber: 101 nments:		e: ]		<b>Area:</b>	3500	0.00 SqFt	PCI: 4	8		
Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com 48 L & T	Comments: nber: 101 nments: Γ CR			506		3500	).00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 4	8		
Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com 48 L & T 48 L & T	Comments: nber: 101 nments: Γ CR		L	506 45	5.00 Ft	3500	0.00 SqFt	PCI: 4	8		
Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com 48 L & T 48 L & T 52 RAVI	Comments: nber: 101 nments: Γ CR Γ CR		L M	506 45 3000	5.00 Ft 5.00 Ft	3500	0.00 SqFt	PCI: 4	8		
Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com 48 L & T 48 L & T 52 RAVI 52 RAVI	Comments: aber: 101 aments: Γ CR Γ CR ELING ELING		L M L M	506 45 3000	5.00 Ft 5.00 Ft 9.00 SqFt		0.00 SqFt	PCI: 4			
Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com 48 L & T 48 L & T 52 RAVI 52 RAVI 52 RAVI Sample Num	Comments: nber: 101 nments: F CR F CR ELING ELING ELING nber: 103	Тур	L M L M	506 45 3000 500	5.00 Ft 5.00 Ft 9.00 SqFt 9.00 SqFt						
Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com 48 L & T 48 L & T 52 RAVI 52 RAVI 52 RAVI Sample Num Sample Com	Comments: hber: 101 hments: F CR F CR ELING ELING ELING hber: 103 hments:	Тур	L M L M	506 45 3000 500 R	5.00 Ft 5.00 Ft 9.00 SqFt 9.00 SqFt						
48 L & T 52 RAVI 52 RAVI Sample Num Sample Com	Comments: hber: 101 ments: F CR F CR ELING ELING ELING hber: 103 ments: F CR	Тур	L M L M	506 45 3000 500 R 348	6.00 Ft 6.00 Ft 9.00 SqFt 9.00 SqFt Area:						
Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com 48 L & T 48 L & T 52 RAVI 52 RAVI Sample Num Sample Com 48 L & T 48 L & T	Comments: hber: 101 ments: F CR F CR ELING ELING ELING hber: 103 ments: F CR	Тур	L M L M e:	500 45 3000 500 R 348 115	6.00 Ft 6.00 Ft 0.00 SqFt 0.00 SqFt Area:						

Network: 42J		Name:	KEYSTONE AIRI	PARK	
Branch: TW B	Name:	TAXIWAY B	Use:	TAXIWAY A	rea: 111,703 SqFt
Section: 210	of 3 <b>H</b>	'rom: -		To: -	Last Const.: 1/1/1997
Surface: AC Fan	nily: C9N59-GA-TW	V-AC Zone:		Category:	Rank: P
Area: 77,412 Sq	Ft Length:	2,100 Ft	Width:	35 Ft	
Slabs: Sla	ab Length:	Ft Slab	Width:	Ft	Joint Length: Ft
Shoulder: Str	reet Type:	Grad	<b>e:</b> 0		<b>Lanes:</b> 0
Section Comments:					
Work Date: 1/1/1997	Work Type: BUIL	.Т	Co	de: IMPORTED	Is Major M&R: True
Last Insp. Date: 4/24/2017	TotalSa	amples: 21	Surveyed	: 4	
Conditions: PCI: 51					
Inspection Comments:					
Sample Number: 101	Type: R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 51	
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	45.00 Ft			
48 L & T CR	М	8.00 Ft			
52 RAVELING	L	2400.00 SqFt			
52 RAVELING	M	1100.00 SqFt	2500 00 G E	<b>PCI:</b> 53	
Sample Number: 111	Type: R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	PCI: 55	
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	160.00 Ft			
52 RAVELING	L	2395.00 SqFt			
52 RAVELING	М	1105.00 SqFt			
56 SWELLING	L	25.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 115	Type: R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 50	
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	168.00 Ft			
48 L & T CR	М	8.00 Ft			
52 RAVELING	L	2500.00 SqFt			
56 SWELLING	L	10.00 SqFt			
52 RAVELING	М	1000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 120	Type: R	Area:	4673.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 51	
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	133.00 Ft			
48 L & T CR	М	13.00 Ft			
52 RAVELING	L	3673.00 SqFt			
56 SWELLING	L	105.00 SqFt			
52 RAVELING	М	1000.00 SqFt			

Network:	42J				Nam	e: KEY	STONE AII	RPARK			
Branch:	TW B		Name:	TAXIV	WAY B		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	111,703 SqFt	
Section: 2	20	0	f 3	From:	-			То: -		Last Const	: 1/1/1997
Surface: A	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-	-TW-AC	Zone	:		Category:		Rank: P	
Area:	1-	4,679 SqFt	Lengtl	n:	330 Ft	t	Width:	35 Ft			
Slabs:		Slab Len	ıgth:	Ft		Slab Width:		Ft	Joint L	ength:	Ft
Shoulder:		Street Ty	ype:			Grade: 0			Lanes:	0	
Section Com	iments:										
Work Date:	1/1/1997	W	ork Type: Ne	ew Constructio	on - Initia	al	С	ode: NU-IN	Is N	Major M&R: True	
										v	
Last Insp. Da	ate: 4/24/2			alSamples:			Surveye	<b>d:</b> 1			
-		2017					Surveye	d: 1			
Conditions:	PCI:	2017					Surveye	d: 1			
Conditions: Inspection C	PCI:	2017	Tota	alSamples:			Surveye	d: 1 PCI:			
Conditions: Inspection C Sample Num	PCI: 202	2017 53	Tota	alSamples:	3						
Conditions: Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com	PCI: 202 aber: 202 aments:	2017 53	Tota pe: R	alSamples: 3	3 .rea:						
Conditions: Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com 48 L & T	PCI: 202 aber: 202 aments:	2017 53	Tota pe: R L	alSamples: A A 74.00	3 .rea: Ft						
Conditions: Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com 48 L & T 50 PATC	PCI: 202 aber: 202 aments:	2017 53	Tota pe: R	AlSamples: A A 74.00 10.00	3 rea: Ft SqFt						
Conditions: Inspection C Sample Num Sample Com 48 L & T 50 PATC 52 RAVI	PCI: 202 aber: 202 aments: F CR CHING	2017 53	Tota pe: R L L	AlSamples: 3 A 74.00 10.00 5140.00	3 Ft SqFt SqFt						
50 PATO 52 RAVI 56 SWEI	PCI: 5 comments: 202 ments: 7 CR CHING ELING	2017 53	Tota pe: R L L L	AlSamples: A A 74.00 10.00	3 Ft SqFt SqFt SqFt SqFt						

			WENGEONE + T	DADY	
Network: 42J		Name:	KEYSTONE AIR		
Branch: TW C	Name:	TAXIWAY C	Use:	TAXIWAY	<b>Area:</b> 92,494 SqFt
Section: 305	of 1	From: -		То: -	Last Const.: 1/1/1997
Surface: AC Fa	amily: C9N59-GA-T	W-AC Zone:		Category:	Rank: P
Area: 92,494 S	SqFt Length:	2,600 Ft	Width:	35 Ft	
Slabs:	Slab Length:	Ft Slab	Width:	Ft	Joint Length: Ft
Shoulder:	Street Type:	Grad	le: 0		Lanes: 0
Section Comments:					
Work Date: 1/1/1997	Work Type: New	Construction - Initial	Co	de: NU-IN	Is Major M&R: True
Last Insp. Date: 4/24/2017	TotalS	amples: 26	Surveyed	<b>l:</b> 6	
Conditions: PCI: 58		•	·		
Inspection Comments:					
	Type: R	<b>A</b>	2500.00 SaEt	PCI: 58	<u>,                                     </u>
Sample Number: 124 Sample Comments:	Type: R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	PCI: 3d	5
-					
48 L & T CR 52 RAVELING	L	152.00 Ft			
52 RAVELING 56 SWELLING	L L	2750.00 SqFt 6.00 SqFt			
50 SWEELING 52 RAVELING	M	750.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 127	Type: R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 60	)
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	149.00 Ft			
52 RAVELING	L	2750.00 SqFt			
52 RAVELING	М	750.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 132	Type: R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 57	7
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	75.00 Ft			
48 L & T CR	М	70.00 Ft			
52 RAVELING	L	3000.00 SqFt			
52 RAVELING	М	500.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 139	Type: R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 60	)
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	243.00 Ft			
52 RAVELING	L	2500.00 SqFt			
52 RAVELING	М	500.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 142	Type: R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 61	
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	101.00 Ft			
52 RAVELING	L	2750.00 SqFt			
52 RAVELING	М	750.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 145	Type: R	Area:	3425.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 52	2
Sample Comments:					
48 L & T CR	L	159.00 Ft			
52 RAVELING	L	2425.00 SqFt			
52 RAVELING	М	1000.00 SqFt			
48 L & T CR	М	50.00 Ft			

Network:	42J				Na	me: K	EYSTONE A	IRPARK						
Branch:	TW E		Nar		XIWAY I T-HANG	E - CONNECT GAR	OR Use:	TAXIWA	ΑY	Area:		31,823 Sql	Ft	
Section: 50	)5	of	f 1	From:	-			To:	-			Last Co	nst.: 1/	1/1990
Surface: A	С	Family:	C9N59-	GA-TW-AC	Zor	ne:		Categ	gory:			Rank:	Р	
Area:	31,8	823 SqFt	Le	ngth:	1,200	Ft	Width:		25 Ft					
Slabs:		Slab Len	ngth:	H	Ft	Slab Width	:	Ft		Joint L	ength:		Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Ty	ype:			Grade:	0			Lanes:	0			
Section Comr	ments:													
Work Date:	1/1/1990	W	ork Type	OVERLAY				Code: IMP	ORTED	Is N	Major N	<b>1&amp;R:</b> Tru	ie	
Work Date:	1/1/1990	W	ork Type	BUILT				Code: IMP	ORTED	Is N	Major N	<b>1&amp;R:</b> Tru	ie	
Last Insp. Da	ate: 4/24/20	17	[	<b>FotalSamples:</b>	6		Surve	yed: 2						
	nte: 4/24/20 PCI: 58		r	FotalSamples:	6		Surve	yed: 2						
Conditions:	<b>PCI:</b> 58		5	FotalSamples:	6		Surve	yed: 2						
Conditions: Inspection Co	PCI: 58 omments:			CotalSamples:	6 Area:	50	Surve		PCI: 60					
Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Numl	<b>PCI:</b> 58 omments: ber: 102					50			PCI: 60					
Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Numl Sample Comr	PCI: 58 omments: ber: 102 ments:		pe: I	2	Area:	50			<b>PCI:</b> 60					
Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Numl Sample Comr 48 L&T	PCI: 58 omments: ber: 102 ments:			292.0	Area:	50			<b>PCI:</b> 60					
Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Numl Sample Comr 48 L & T 52 RAVE	PCI: 58 omments: ber: 102 ments: CR ELING		pe: I L	292.0 4250.0	Area:	50			<b>PCI:</b> 60					
Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Numl Sample Comr 48 L & T 52 RAVE 52 RAVE	PCI: 58 omments: ber: 102 ments: CR ELING ELING		pe: I L L M	292.0 4250.0	Area: 00 Ft 00 SqFt			]	PCI: 60 PCI: 57					
Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Numl Sample Comr 48 L & T 52 RAVE 52 RAVE 52 RAVE Sample Numl	PCI: 58 omments: ber: 102 ments: CCR ELING ELING ber: 108	Тур	pe: I L L M	292.0 4250.0 750.0	Area: 00 Ft 00 SqFt 00 SqFt		00.00 SqFt	]						
Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Numl Sample Comr 48 L & T 52 RAVE 52 RAVE Sample Numl Sample Comr	PCI: 58 omments: ber: 102 ments: CCR ELING ELING ELING ber: 108 ments:	Тур	pe: I L L M	292.0 4250.0 750.0	Area: 00 Ft 00 SqFt 00 SqFt		00.00 SqFt	]						
Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Numl Sample Comr 48 L & T 52 RAVE 52 RAVE Sample Numl Sample Comr 48 L & T	PCI: 58 omments: ber: 102 ments: CCR ELING ELING ber: 108 ments: CCR	Тур	pe: I L L M pe: I	292.0 4250.0 750.0 8 144.0	<b>Area:</b> 10 Ft 10 SqFt 10 SqFt <b>Area:</b> 10 Ft		00.00 SqFt	]						
Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Numl Sample Comr 48 L & T 52 RAVE 52 RAVE Sample Numl Sample Comr 48 L & T 50 PATC	PCI: 58 omments: ber: 102 ments: CCR ELING ELING ber: 108 ments: CCR CCR CCR	Тур	pe: I L L M pe: I L	292.0 4250.0 750.0 2 144.0 192.0	Area:           00         Ft           00         SqFt           00         SqFt           00         SqFt           00         Ft           00         Ft	52	00.00 SqFt	]						
Conditions: Inspection Co Sample Numl Sample Comr 48 L & T 52 RAVE 52 RAVE Sample Numl Sample Comr 48 L & T 50 PATCI 52 RAVE	PCI: 58 omments: ber: 102 ments: CCR ELING ELING ber: 108 ments: CCR CCR CCR	Тур	pe: I L L M pe: I L L	292.0 4250.0 750.0 8 144.0 192.0 4964.0	<b>Area:</b> 10 Ft 10 SqFt 10 SqFt <b>Area:</b> 10 Ft	52	00.00 SqFt	]						