# FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION







Florida Department of Transportation

# Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program

#### Prepared by:

FDOT Aviation and Spaceports Office 605 Suwannee Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0450

















OFFICE OF FREIGHT, LOGISTICS & PASSENGER OPERATIONS



# **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary11
Program Background11
Summary of Results12Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)12Forecasted Pavement Condition Index 2018-202713Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-202713
Summary of Sebring Regional Airport15
Chapter 1 – Introduction17
1.1 Background17
1.2 Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) Update 2016-201717
1.3 Organization191.3.1 Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office Program Manager191.3.2 Participating Florida Public-Use and Publicly Owned Airports191.3.3 Florida Department of Transportation District Offices191.3.4 Consultant19
1.4 Purpose of Airport Pavement Evaluation Report21
1.5 History of the Program
1.6 Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)23
1.7 FDOT SAPMP Objectives and Components231.7.1 Program Objectives231.7.2 Program Components23
1.8 References27
Chapter 2 – Methodology29
2.1 Airfield Pavement Database
2.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory       29         2.2.1 Pavement Management Program Network Definition Terminology       30
2.3 Airfield Pavement Structure
2.4 Airfield Pavement Work History
2.5 Airfield Pavement Traffic34
2.6 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (PCI) Survey



2.6.3 PCI Survey Inspection Procedures	
2.6.4 Updates to the ASTM D5340-12	41
Chapter 3 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory	44
3.1 Airfield Pavement Network Information	44
3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction	
3.1.2 Estimated Pavement Age	
3.1.3 Functional Use Classification	
3.1.4 Pavement Surface Type	
3. 1.3 Pavement System inventory Details	50
Chapter 4 – Airfield Pavement Condition	54
4.1 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)	54
4.1.1 Network-Level Analysis	
4.1.2 Branch-Level Analysis	
4.1.3 Section-Level Analysis	57
4.2 Summary of Pavement Condition Evaluation Results	
4.2.1 Network-Level Observations	
4.2.2 Branch-Level Observations	60
4.3 Forecasted Pavement Conditions	
4.3.1 Performance Models and Prediction Curves	
4.3.2 Branch-Level Pavement Condition Forecast	
4.3.3 Section-Level Pavement Condition Forecast	
4.3.4 Forecasted For Considerations	05
Chapter 5 – Localized Maintenance and Repair Planning	67
5.1 Localized Maintenance and Repair	
5.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy	68
5.3 Localized Maintenance and Repair Analysis and Recommendations	72
Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation Planning	76
6.1 Major Rehabilitation	76
6.1.1 Critical PCI	
6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI	78
6.2 Major Rehabilitation Policy	79
6.2.1 Major Rehabilitation Pavement Section Development	
6.2.2 Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Costs	
6.3 Major Rehabilitation Needs	81
6.3.1 10-Year Unconstrained Budget Major Rehabilitation Needs	
Chapter 7 – Conclusion	85
7.1 Recommendations	85
7.1.1 Continued PCI Survey Inspections	
7.1.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair	



7.1.3 Major Rehabilitation	85
7.1.4 Pavement Management System	
7.2 Supporting Documents	
001 – Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit	
001 – Americ Pavement Network Definition Exhibit	
002 – Americ Pavement System Inventory Exhibit	
003 – Americ Pavement Condition Index Exhibit	
Inspection Photograph Documentation	
7.3 Conclusion	87





Appendix A Airfield Pavement Analysis Tables

Appendix B Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and Major

Rehabilitation

Appendix C Technical Exhibits

Appendix D Inspection Photograph Documentation

Appendix E Inspection Distress Details



# **List of Figures**

Figure E-4 Major Rehabilitation Planning Annual Budget 2018-2027	14
Figure 1.2 Florida Aviation System (Facilities with Pavement) and FDOT Districts	18
Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle	24
Figure 1.7-2 General Pavement Treatments by Condition Range	25
Figure 1.7-3 Flexible Asphalt Concrete	26
Figure 1.7-4 Rigid Portland Cement Concrete	26
Figure 3.1.1-1 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit	45
Figure 3.1.1-2 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit	46
Figure 3.1.2 Average Age of Pavements at Inspection	47
Figure 3.1.3 Airfield Pavement Functional Classification Use by Area	48
Figure 3.1.4 (a) Pavement Surface Type by Area (SF)	49
Figure 3.1.4 (b) Pavement Surface Type by Area (%)	50
Figure 4.1.1 Latest Condition – Overall Network	54
Figure 4.1.2 (a) Latest Condition – Runway Pavements	55
Figure 4.1.2 (b) Latest Condition – Taxiway Pavements	55
Figure 4.1.2 (c) Latest Condition – Apron Pavements	56
Figure 4.1.2 (d) Latest Condition – Taxilane Pavements	56
Figure 4.1.3 2017 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit	59
Figure 4.2.2 Pavement Condition Summary by Facility Use	60
Figure 4.3.2 (a) Forecasted Runway Pavement Performance	61
Figure 4.3.2 (b) Forecasted Taxiway Pavement Performance	62
Figure 4.3.2 (c) Forecasted Apron Pavement Performance	62
Figure 6.1-1 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, PCI ≤ Critical PCI	76



Figure 6.1-2 Maj	jor Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, PCI > Critical	PCI77
Figure 6.3.1-1 10	0-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year	82
Figure 6.3.1-2 10	0-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year Exhibit	83



# **List of Tables**

Table E-1 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) – Section Level
Table E-2 Pavement Condition Index Forecast 2018-202713
Table E-3 Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027
Table 2.2.1 Airfield Pavement Database Network Definition Terminology31
Table 2.6.2-1 (a) Pavement Distress Types – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields 36
Table 2.6.2-1 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields
Table 2.6.2-1 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields
Table 2.6.2-2 (a) Pavement Distresses – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields .38
Table 2.6.2-2 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields
Table 2.6.2-2 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-
Surfaced Airfields 39
Table 2.6.3 (a) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Flexible Asphalt Concrete40
Table 2.6.3 (b) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Rigid Portland Cement Concrete40
Table 2.6.4 Summary of Updates to ASTM D5340-1242
Table 3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction
Table 3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details
Table 4.1.3 Latest Pavement Condition Index Summary
Table 4.3.3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027
Table 5.2-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Flexible Asphalt Concrete68
Table 5.2-2 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete69
Table 5.2-3 (a) Localized Repair Planning-Level Unit Costs – Flexible Asphalt Concrete71
Table 5.2-3 (b) Localized M&R Planning-Level Unit Costs – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete .71



Table 5.3-1 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Network Level 72
Table 5.3-2 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Section Level .73
Table 5.3-3 Summary of Localized Maintenance74
Table 6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI
Table 6.2.1 (a) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Flexible Asphalt Concrete
Table 6.2.1 (b) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete
Table 6.2.2 General Aviation Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Cost by Pavement Type81
Table 6.3.1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs



# **Executive Summary**





# **Executive Summary**

## Program Background

Airport airfield pavement infrastructure facilities represent a large capital investment in the Florida Airport System. Timely and appropriate maintenance and strategic rehabilitation are essential as repair costs increase significantly in proportion to deterioration. Airport pavement distresses can also contribute to the development of loose debris and decreased ride quality, which can be a safety concern for aircraft operations.

In 2016, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO) selected Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. with subconsultants Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC and AVCON, Inc. to provide professional services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of performing a system update to the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP). This work is to be completed from fiscal year 2016 through fiscal year 2019. The SAPMP has 95 public use airport facilities throughout the seven FDOT Districts that participate in the system update. The results of this system update for this specific airport are presented in this report and can be utilized by FDOT and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects.

Pavement condition was assessed utilizing the pavement condition index (PCI) methodology as defined in the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)" using the documented procedures set forth by ASTM D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys."

Pavement deterioration, in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12, was characterized in terms of distinct distress types, severity level of distress, and quantity of distress. This information is utilized to calculate a PCI numeric that represents the overall condition of the pavement in a numeric index that ranges from 0 (a condition category of FAILED) to 100 (GOOD). The PCI methodology analyzes an overall measure of the pavement condition and provides an indication of the degree of maintenance, repair, or rehabilitation efforts that will be required to sustain functional pavement.

The tasks required for the system update at each participating airport consist of the following:

- Obtain recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction work data.
- Update airport airfield pavement system inventory records (construction history, identification, geometry, and facility classification).
- Perform PCI Survey Inspections at each participating airport.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP PAVER™ database system.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP GIS Airfield Navigation GPS enabled Maps.
- Update airfield pavement performance models and pavement condition forecasting.
- Identification of planning-level maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation to address pavement needs based on functional PCI analysis.
- Development of planning-level opinion of probable construction costs for pavement rehabilitation.





# **Summary of Results**

## Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)

Table E-1 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) - Section Level

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	PCI	Condition Rating
SEF	RUNWAY 01-19	RUNWAY	6105	527,744	93	Good
SEF	RUNWAY 14-32	RUNWAY	6205	479,927	78	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	605	11,502	76	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	610	13,223	94	Good
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TAXIWAY	105	19,296	94	Good
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TAXIWAY	110	24,061	77	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TAXIWAY	115	3,298	37	Very Poor
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TAXIWAY	205	25,673	83	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TAXIWAY	210	16,931	90	Good
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TAXIWAY	215	2,587	41	Poor
SEF	TAXIWAY A4	TAXIWAY	320	9,745	80	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY A4	TAXIWAY	325	15,390	91	Good
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	405	191,244	83	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	420	55,719	84	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	422	26,514	85	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	305	35,167	75	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	315	30,239	89	Good
SEF	TAXIWAY T-HANGARS	TAXILANE	505	34,611	49	Poor
SEF	RUN UP APRON	APRON	415	33,540	85	Satisfactory
SEF	APRON	APRON	4105	954,796	26	Very Poor
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	APRON	4115	125,007	72	Satisfactory
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	APRON	4120	15,909	79	Satisfactory
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	APRON	4125	29,215	71	Satisfactory
SEF	RUN UP APRON	APRON	5110	31,951	70	Fair





### Forecasted Pavement Condition Index 2018-2027

Table E-2 Pavement Condition Index Forecast 2018-2027

Notwork ID	Drawah ID	Continu ID	Locat DCI	Forecasted PCI									
Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
SEF	AP	4105	26	25	24	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15
SEF	AP RU	415	85	83	82	80	79	77	75	74	72	71	69
SEF	AP RU	5110	70	68	67	65	64	62	60	59	57	56	54
SEF	AP T-HANG	4115	72	70	69	67	66	64	62	61	59	58	56
SEF	AP T-HANG	4120	79	77	76	74	73	71	69	68	66	65	63
SEF	AP T-HANG	4125	71	69	68	66	65	63	61	60	58	57	55
SEF	RW 01-19	6105	93	91	90	88	86	85	83	82	80	78	77
SEF	RW 14-32	6205	78	76	75	73	71	70	68	67	65	63	62
SEF	TW A	405	83	81	79	77	75	73	72	71	69	68	67
SEF	TW A	420	84	82	80	78	76	74	72	71	70	69	68
SEF	TW A	422	85	83	81	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70
SEF	TW A1	605	76	75	73	72	71	71	70	69	68	67	66
SEF	TW A1	610	94	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73
SEF	TW A2	105	94	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73
SEF	TW A2	110	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68	67
SEF	TW A2	115	37	33	28	25	21	17	13	9	6	2	0
SEF	TW A3	205	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	71	70
SEF	TW A3	210	90	87	85	82	80	78	77	75	74	73	72
SEF	TW A3	215	41	39	36	31	26	23	19	15	11	7	4
SEF	TW A4	320	80	78	76	74	73	71	70	69	68	67	66
SEF	TW A4	325	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72
SEF	TW C	305	75	73	72	71	69	68	67	66	65	65	64
SEF	TW C	315	89	87	84	82	80	78	76	74	73	71	70
SEF	TW T-HANG	505	49	47	46	44	42	41	39	38	36	35	34

# Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027

Table E-3 Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027

Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	SEF	AP	4105	PCC	954,796	25	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 14,323,000.00
2018	SEF	TW A2	115	APC	3,298	33	AC Reconstruction	\$ 30,000.00
2018	SEF	TW A3	215	APC	2,587	39	AC Restoration	\$ 24,000.00
2018	SEF	TW T-HANG	505	AC	34,611	47	AC Restoration	\$ 258,000.00
2021	SEF	AP RU	5110	AC	31,951	64	AC Restoration	\$ 224,000.00
2022	SEF	AP T-HANG	4115	AC	125,007	64	AC Restoration	\$ 876,000.00






Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2022	SEF	AP T-HANG	4125	AC	29,215	63	AC Restoration	\$ 205,000.00
2026	SEF	RW 14-32	6205	AC	479,927	63	AC Restoration	\$ 3,360,000.00
2027	SEF	AP T-HANG	4120	AC	15,909	63	AC Restoration	\$ 112,000.00
2027	SEF	TW C	305	AC	35,167	64	AC Restoration	\$ 247,000.00

<sup>\*</sup>All planning cost values have been rounded to the nearest thousand-dollar.

Figure E-4 Major Rehabilitation Planning Annual Budget 2018-2027







## Summary of Sebring Regional Airport

Sebring Regional Airport was inspected in April 2017 - the overall weighted PCI value was 62, a condition rating of Fair. The results of the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation analysis identified \$2,140,550 in localized M&R needs based on current conditions and a 10-Year major rehabilitation need of \$19,659,000 based on forecasted conditions. The current major rehabilitation needs based on the latest inspection consist of \$14,635,000 for pavements below critical condition.

Localized maintenance and repair identified within this report are categorized as preventive or stopgap; the FDOT SAPMP has defined maintenance policies based on FAA recommendations. Major rehabilitation is identified within the FDOT SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or resetting of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Such activities could include: mill and hot-mix asphalt overlay, rigid pavement repair and slab replacement, and full-depth reconstruction. It is recommended that the airport use this as a planning tool for future project development and prioritization – all localized maintenance and repair and major rehabilitation recommendations should be considered as planning-level only. All final localized maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation is subject to change based on airport prioritization and further design-level evaluation.









# **Chapter 1 – Introduction**

## 1.1 Background

The State of Florida has 128 public airports of which 100 public-use airports are recognized as part of the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS) that are vital to the Florida economy as well as the economy of the United States. The Florida Aviation System (FAS) provides opportunities for the State to capitalize on an increasingly global marketplace. Florida's system of commercial service and general aviation (GA) airports are important to businesses throughout the entire State. Air travel is essential to tourism, Florida's number one industry.

There are millions of square feet of pavement infrastructure that consists of runways, taxiways, aprons, ramps, and other areas of airports that are vital to the support and safety of aircraft operations. Timely pavement maintenance, repair and major rehabilitation of these pavements will support the airport in operating safely, efficiently, economically and without excessive down time.

In general, adherence to the FAA Advisory Circulars are mandatory for all projects funded with federal grant monies through the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) and with revenue from the Passenger Facilities Charges (PFC) Program. Further information is detailed in FAA Grant Assurance No. 11 "Pavement Maintenance," No. 34 "Policies, Standards, and Specifications," and PFC Assurance No. 9 "Standards and Specifications." The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) performs the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) System Updates for the benefit of participating public-use and publicly owned airports through the Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO).

The SAPMP addresses the requirements of maintaining an effective pavement management program for the participating airports at the network level. Network-level management of pavement assets provides insight for short-term and long-term budget needs, understanding of the overall condition of the network (current and future), and pavement facilities that are subject for project consideration. A network-level evaluation can be supportive in the identification of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs and budgetary planning-level opinions of probable construction costs.

## 1.2 Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) Update 2016-2017


In 1992, the FDOT established the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) to provide program managers, District Aviation and Spaceport Offices, and airport operators a system to proactively manage airport airfield pavement infrastructure within the Florida Aviation System. The SAPMP performs network-level Pavement Condition Index (PCI) survey inspections for airport facilities that are categorized as General Aviation (GA), Reliever (RL), and Commercial (PR). Currently, the program consists of 95 actively participating publicuse airports with pavement facilities and provides users with comprehensive data to better manage pavement assets.

**Airport Pavement** 

**Evaluation Report** 



Figure 1.2 Florida Aviation System (Facilities with Pavement) and FDOT Districts



In 2016, the Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office contracted Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. along with subconsultants Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC and AVCON, Inc. to provide professional services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of performing a system update to the SAPMP. This work is to be completed from fiscal year 2016 through fiscal year 2019.





## 1.3 Organization

## 1.3.1 Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office Program Manager

The FDOT Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO) Aviation Engineering Manager serves as the Program Manager (ASO-PM) for the SAPMP. The ASO-PM monitors the work performed by the designated Consultant for the program. The ASO-PM has review and approval authority for each program task and manages the program's day-to-day details and pertinent updates.

The ASO-PM reports updates and milestones to the FDOT State Aviation and Spaceports Manager and Development Administrator.

#### 1.3.2 Participating Florida Public-Use and Publicly Owned Airports

The airports are the end-user and beneficiary of the SAPMP. The SAPMP provides a specific Airport Pavement Evaluation Report that meets the requirements of the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)." Individual participating airports will be provided a final Airport Pavement Evaluation Report by the designated Consultant that is specific to each airport's airfield pavement condition index survey. The ASO-PM has full authority and final approval of each report prior to finalization. In advance of each PCI survey and prior to completion of each Airport Pavement Evaluation Report, participating airports are asked to provide the necessary record documentation for the proper analysis efforts. Relevant record documentation artifacts may consist of but are not limited to: Airport Layout Plans (ALP), Construction Bid Tabulations, As-Built Construction Drawings, Engineer's Reports, and/or field pavement inspection reports.

#### 1.3.3 Florida Department of Transportation District Offices

The seven (7) FDOT District Offices, specifically the Aviation representatives (currently the Freight and Logistics personnel), provide essential support to the SAPMP update and the ASO-PM. Each District supports the SAPMP's on-going efforts by providing local construction cost information throughout the State. The construction cost information, typically consisting of plans and bid tabulations, are used as the basis of the development maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation opinions of probable construction costs for planning purposes. Each District Office receives copies of individual Airport Pavement Evaluation Reports for the participating airport facilities located within their respective Districts.

#### 1.3.4 Consultant

The Consultant, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., provides technical and administrative support to the ASO-PM for the SAPMP update. The support consists of airfield pavement system inventory updates, performance of PCI Surveys in accordance with ASTM D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys," evaluation and reporting of the pavement condition in accordance with the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)."

The Consultant Team consists of Kimley-Horn, Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC., and AVCON, Inc.





A brief description of the general scope of work undertaken to update the SAPMP includes but is not limited to:

- Research and evaluation of existing record documentation was performed to identify construction projects that have taken place since the most recent major update of the SAPMP. This data is used to update the pavement inventory and network definition.
- An update to the existing Network Definition Map was made to reflect geometric changes, pavement composition updates, and section characterization. Furthermore, an update to the PCI Survey sample units were made to reflect the field investigation efforts.
- A functional pavement evaluation with PCI Survey inspections was completed on all airfield pavements maintained by the Airport. The PCI Survey procedure, as defined by ASTM D5340-12, was used as the basis of the functional pavement evaluation. For this specific evaluation, the sample units defined by prior studies were inspected as to better develop performance models for prediction curves. Pavement subject to construction or anticipated construction during scheduled PCI Survey inspection or within 2 years were omitted from inspection based on confirmation of airport personnel.
- Condition Analysis was performed based on the distress data observed, rated, measured, and recorded in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 for the calculation of PCI values and ratings. The results of the current condition analysis were used in concert with the historic PCI Survey data and construction work history to develop performance models to forecast future PCI values for each section for a 10-year study duration.
- Maintenance, Repair, and Rehabilitation Planning was performed predicated on the results of the condition analysis with updated policies and planning-level unit costs. The policies, or M&R policies, have been updated to reflect standard practices for maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation as defined by the FAA AC 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements." Planninglevel unit costs were developed based on representative construction bid tabulations provided by participating airports. The bid tabulations consisted of limited airfield pavement construction projects that took place between 2009 and 2015 at participating airports.





## 1.4 Purpose of Airport Pavement Evaluation Report

The individual airport airfield pavement evaluation report discusses the work performed, a summary of findings, condition analysis results, and recommendations for maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation (M&R) planning associated with the SAPMP system update. It also briefly describes the procedures used to ensure that the appropriate engineering and scientific standards of care, quality, budget, schedules, and safety requirements were implemented during the performance of this work.

The purpose of this Airfield Pavement Evaluation Report is to achieve the following:

- Describe the goals, procedures, and purpose of the SAPMP
- Provide a brief technical explanation of the pavement management methodology, standard practices, and objectives
- Analyze pavement distresses data for the determination of pavement conditions and for identification of airfield pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs based on functional PCI trends

The identification of rehabilitation needs has been determined at the planning level. Design-level investigation is recommended prior to developing construction-level design documents and budgets.

In compliance with FAA Grant Assurances 11 and 19; the FDOT SAPMP provides airports with airfield pavement evaluation reports in accordance with FAA AC 150/5380-7B Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP) and AC 150/5380-6C Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements. The application of the results of a PCI survey are for planning purposes and are limited to the visual observation of deteriorated pavements in limited sampling; design-level investigation is recommended in accordance with the FAA procedures defined in AC 5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation and AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements. The aforementioned ACs provide the design-level material properties of in-situ pavement and subgrade layers for the determination of appropriate rehabilitation actions. The FDOT Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program is organized to provide airports with planning-level data and does not intend to preclude the responsible engineer in performing the appropriate level of investigation and analysis in determining the appropriate design details of a pavement rehabilitation. It would not be advisable to solely base design-level rehabilitation without the appropriate level of investigation and determination of pavement deterioration beyond that of a visual functional condition assessment.

# 1.5 History of the Program

In 1992, the FDOT implemented the SAPMP to understand the pavement conditions at public airports in the FAS, systematically update pavement infrastructure information, and assist airport operators with recommendations of pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs. The 1992 SAPMP implementation provided the FDOT and the participating airports valuable information for establishing and performing timely and appropriate pavement rehabilitation.





During the 1992-1993 implementation and again during the 1998-1999 updates; the SAPMP performed the development with proprietary software for pavement management system analysis. This development allowed for the creation of pavement management database file system populated with airport attributes and condition data. The pavement management database was used to establish maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation policies; consider planning-level unit costs; and develop recommendations for performing pavement maintenance. This system, known as AIRPAV, was initially developed during the 1992-1993 SAPMP implementation for the analysis of distress data. The AIRPAV system was used again in the 1998-1999 SAPMP update.

In 2004, the SAPMP system update included the review of the AIRPAV software compared to other industry available non-proprietary software packages. As a result of this review, MicroPAVER™ (currently known as PAVER™) was selected for implementation of the system update. MicroPAVER™ was developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering Research Laboratory for pavement management. Data from the 1998-1999 FDOT SAPMP update, which was built upon the initial 1992-1993 implementation of AIRPAV, was reviewed and converted to be compatible with the MicroPAVER™ system. This data conversion included all documented pavement facilities, classifications, types, histories, geometries, PCI condition data and pertinent attributes gathered from airport feedback at the time. This information was used to develop the inventory of each participating airport's pavement facilities in a consistent format. This was the development of Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits. These inventory exhibits visually depicted the branch, section, and sample units that were based upon the pavement construction history and composition information provided by each airport.

In the 2006-2008 system update, the SAPMP was updated again with continued use of the MicroPAVER™ system. Based on the distress data collected, a maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning program was developed for each airport. As part of this SAPMP update, the procedures for the inspection and the collection of the pavement distress data were documented, and an interactive website (http://www.dot.state.fl.us/aviation/pavement.shtm) was established for input of data.

In the 2010-2012 system update, the SAPMP was updated using new global positioning system (GPS) integrated technology to digitally collect pavement distress data. Interactive geographic information system (GIS) map files were developed from updated Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits to aid pavement condition inspectors in the collection of sample distress data. The data collected was utilized to develop pavement performance models to predict future pavement PCI values and make recommendations for major rehabilitation.

In the 2013-2015 system update, the SAPMP integrated PAVER™ and FieldInspector™ with the use of GPS and GIS capable field tablets. Furthermore, the update included continued adherence to the ASTM D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys." The ASTM update consisted of refinement of distress definition types and deduction values for select asphalt concrete and Portland Cement Concrete distresses.





## 1.6 Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

Currently, airports participating in the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Grant Program are required by the FAA to develop and implement a pavement maintenance program to be eligible for funding (FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements" and 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management **Program (PMP)**"). This program requires detailed inspection of airfield pavement conditions by trained personnel. The inspections are required to be performed at least once a year using the PASER method or every three years if the pavement is inspected as defined by the PCI survey procedure in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys."

In general, adherence to the Advisory Circulars are mandatory for all projects funded with federal grant monies through the AIP program and with revenue from the Passenger Facilities Charges (PFC) Program. Further information is detailed in FAA Grant Assurance No. 11 "Pavement Maintenance," No. 34 "Policies, Standards, and Specifications," and PFC Assurance No. 9 "Standards and Specifications."

## 1.7 FDOT SAPMP Objectives and Components

The FDOT SAPMP is a program that provides the FAS support in implementing and/or maintaining a network-level Pavement Management Program in a consistent and regularly scheduled manner.

In accordance with FAA AC150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)" an effective Pavement Management Program consists of a system that achieves specific objectives. The FDOT SAPMP objectives are as follows:

## 1.7.1 Program Objectives

- 1 A systematic means for collecting and storing information regarding existing pavement structure and condition.
- An objective and repeatable system for evaluating pavement condition.
- 3 Procedures for predicting future pavement condition.
- Procedures for modeling both past and future pavement performance conditions.
- Procedures to determine the budget requirements to meet management objectives, such as the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation budget required to keep a pavement at a specified PCI level or the budget required to improve to target PCI level.
- 6 Procedures for formulating and prioritizing maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects.

The objectives are accomplished by the following components:

## 1.7.2 Program Components

- A. Database
- B. Pavement Inventory
- C. Pavement Structure
- D. Pavement Work History
- E. Pavement Condition Data





- F. Pavement Performance Modeling for the Prediction/Forecast of PCI
- G. Maintenance, Repair, and Major Rehabilitation Policies and Budget Simulation

A well-maintained network-level pavement management program may provide airport staff a better understanding of the airfield pavement performance for developing and planning for specific maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects. The understanding of specific distress types and severities will assist the airport in addressing pavement maintenance and repair with the appropriate treatments as defined by the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements." The development of projects with an understanding of system inventory, deterioration details, and pavement condition forecasts may assist airport staff in developing practical rehabilitation actions and budgets. Furthermore, the understanding of pavements' past performance and forecasted condition may assist airport staff in addressing pavement rehabilitation in a timely and costeffective manner. Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle, which is based on the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)." Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle, depicts a general duration of a pavement section and identifies the ideal condition to perform rehabilitative treatments at an optimal cost rather than allowing significant increase in rate of deterioration that would result in increased costs.




Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle

\*Figure is for conceptual purposes only – unit costs are not specific to airfield pavements (AC vs PCC).

Figure 1.7-2 General Pavement Treatments by Condition Range depicts generic flexible asphalt concrete (AC) pavement treatments that are effective at specific condition ranges. This graphic is a general concept and will vary based on pavement surface type and overall



composition. The intent is to convey various treatment types that would be effective based on the condition of the pavement along the deterioration model.

WITH TREATMENT Good 86-100 **Pavement Condition** \$2/sy to \$4/sy here for Satisfactory preventive maintenance surface seals every 5-7 71-85 Fair \$15/sy to \$25/sy here for minor resurfacing 56-70 thin AC overlay Poor 41-55 WITHOUT TREATMENT \$19/sv to \$35/sv here Very Poor for major resurfacing thick AC overlay 26-40 Serious 11-25 \$57/sy to \$86/sy here Failed 0-10 0 5 20 25 Age (Years)

Figure 1.7-2 General Pavement Treatments by Condition Range

Pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation would be quite anticipatory if all pavements behaved as depicted in Figures 1.7-1 and 1.7-2, however pavement condition performance vary significantly based on several factors. Factors that contribute to a pavement section's condition and deterioration performance may include: functional design life, material type, material construction quality, climatic conditions, aircraft loading type and frequency, nonaircraft loading type and frequency, maintenance history, subgrade conditions, and other infrastructure in the vicinity. The list of factors is not all-inclusive of all factors that may contribute to a pavement's life cycle, it is intended to clarify that unique conditions certainly will affect a pavement's deterioration.

Figures 1.7-3 and Figure 1.7-4 depict visual conditions of pavement facilities, for both AC and PCC respectively, with approximated PCI ranges and corresponding repair and rehabilitation measures.



Figure 1.7-3 Flexible Asphalt Concrete

	PCI Range	Representative PCI	Representative Pavement Surface	Rehabilitation Activities
Routine Maintenance	86-100	90		Pavements with PCI values above 85, or 'Good', may require periodic joint/crack sealing and local patching.
Pavement Preservation	65-85	70		Pavements with PCI conditions ranging from 'Fair' to 'Satisfactory' may require surface treatments (seal coat), thin overlays, and/or joint/crack sealing.
Major Rehabiliation	40-64	50		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 65, or within the range of 'Very Poor' to 'Fair' conditions, may require major rehabilitation such as pavement mill and overlay or partial full-depth reconstruction.
Major Reconstruction	0-39	15		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 40, or within the range of 'Failed' to 'Very Poor' conditions, may require major reconstruction.

Figure 1.7-4 Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

	PCI Range	Representative PCI	Representative Pavement Surface	Rehabilitation Activities
Routine Maintenance	86-100	90		Pavements with PCI values above 85, or 'Good', may require periodic joint/crack sealing and local patching.
Pavement Preservation	65-85	70		Pavements with PCI conditions ranging from 'Fair' to 'Satisfactory' may require patches and/or joint/crack sealing.
Major Rehabiliation	40-64	50		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 65, or within the range of 'Very Poor' to 'Fair' conditions may require major rehabilitation such as slab replacement and PCC restoration activity.
Major Reconstruction	0-39	15		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 40, or within the range of 'Failed' to 'Very Poor' conditions, may require major reconstruction.



#### 1.8 References

The following reference documents were referenced as specific guidelines and procedures for maintaining airport pavements; establishing an effective pavement maintenance program; and identifying specific pavement distresses, probable causes of distresses, inspection guidelines, and recommended methods of repair:

- ASTM D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys."
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program."
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements."
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5320-6F "Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation."
- Department of the Air Force, Air Force Civil Engineer Center "Engineering Technical Letter (ETL) 14-3: Preventive Maintenance Plan (PMP) for Airfield Pavements."
- Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-260-16FA 16 "Airfield Pavement Condition Survey Procedures Pavements."
- Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-260-03 "Airfield Pavement Evaluation."
- Pavement Management for Airports, Roads, and Parking Lots 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, M.Y. Shahin.



# **Chapter 2**



# **Chapter 2 – Methodology**

An effective pavement management program incorporates the regular collection of pavement condition information and communication of information to appropriate sponsors. This chapter of the report defines the specific methods utilized as part of the SAPMP System Update to meet the requirements of an effective pavement management system as defined by the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)."

#### 2.1 Airfield Pavement Database

The SAPMP program has historically utilized PAVER™ (formerly MicroPAVER™); the current update has maintained the use of the PAVER™ 7.0 version of the software. The PAVER™ software application was developed by the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory sponsored by the FAA, Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Army, U.S. Air Force, and the U.S. Navy to meet the objectives of an effective pavement management system. The SAPMP consists of a network-level database of the airport's airfield pavement facilities that are part of the program. PAVER™ can achieve the following pavement management objectives: a manageable inventory system, the analysis of the current condition of pavements in accordance with the ASTM D5340, the development of pavement performance models to forecast conditions, and the development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation recommendations based on budgetary scenarios.

PAVER™ inventory management is based on a tiered organizational structure that consists of networks, branches, and sections, with the section being the smallest unit of management. Critical elements of an effective pavement management program are maintained within the network-level PAVER<sup>TM</sup> database. These elements typically consist of pavement inventory characteristics, pavement structure, work history, historic condition records, and analytical customization.

The SAPMP System Update consisted of the conversion of the previous database from a PAVER™ version 6.5 to a version 7.0.

## 2.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory

An airfield pavement system inventory typically maintains the location of all runways, taxiways, and aprons; geometric characteristics; type of pavement structure, year of construction and/or last major rehabilitation; and general composition details of the pavement.

The pavement inventory for an airport's airfield is an assembly of pavement infrastructure information that builds an inventory of branches and sections that codifies the airport's airfield pavement network. General geometry characteristics, estimated length, width, functional classification, pavement surface type, and operational function are among the characteristics identified at this initial phase in the pavement management process. The development of a pavement inventory that reasonably reflects the airport's airfield pavement facilities that are maintained by the airport provides a defined scope of the inspection and analysis efforts. As in the past, the SAPMP scope of work is specific to the airport-maintained airfield pavements as defined in the field network definition exhibits presented to current airport personnel.





A critical input to the pavement system inventory and network definition in the development of the SAPMP update is the date of last major rehabilitation/construction performed on the pavement assets that would set the asset at a PCI of 100 and a condition rating of Good. The airport provided a limited combination of record drawings, reports, and staff input that was pertinent information in developing the construction history of the airport's pavements from inception. Major rehabilitation/construction activities performed in the last 24-months or anticipated in the next 24-months are assumed to restore the PCI to 100. These activities include; pavement overlay, mill and replace, mill and overlay, new construction, and/or complete reconstruction.

Aerial imagery was obtained through the FDOT Surveying & Mapping Office's Aerial Photo Look Up System (APLUS). This spatially projected imagery was utilized with computer-aided drafting software (AutoCAD) in concert with geographical information system software (ArcGIS) to develop a planning-level representative model that reasonably reflects the pavement assets at the airport.

#### 2.2.1 Pavement Management Program Network Definition Terminology

There are several terms that are common in the communication of the results of the SAPMP System Update, these terms are defined as follows:

#### **Pavement Network**

A pavement network is a logical unit for organizing pavements into a structure for pavement management. A network will typically consist of one or more pavement branches, which are typically comprised of one or many pavement sections. The network is the starting point of the hierarchy of pavement management organization. For example, a network can be all the pavements within an airport's airfield or all the pavements in a statewide program. For the FDOT SAPMP, a network represents an individual airport's airfield pavement facilities maintained by the airport.

The SAPMP System Update consists of research and evaluation of existing record documentation for the participating airports' airfield facilities. The pavement network is typically limited to the payement facilities subject to aircraft use that is also maintained by the airport owner and eligible for public funding.

#### **Pavement Branch**

A pavement branch, also known as a facility, is a logical unit of generally identifiable pavement of a network with distinct functional classification. For example, within an airfield each runway, taxiway, or apron is considered a branch. A branch must consist of at least one section.

#### **Pavement Section**

A pavement section, also known as a feature, is the most specific management unit when considering the application and selection of maintenance, repair, and/or major rehabilitation treatments on an area of pavement within a branch. Each branch consists of at least one section, but may consist of more if pavement feature characteristics are distinct throughout the branch. Characteristics considered when subdividing branches into sections include, but are not limited to: pavement structure, type, age, condition, and function; traffic composition and frequency (current and future); geometric location; construction history; and other related

Airport Pavement

**Evaluation Report** 





infrastructure features (e.g. drainage). A pavement section is defined as a subordinate of a pavement branch, which is a subordinate of a "parent" pavement network.

#### **Pavement Sample Unit**

A pavement sample unit is a subdivision of a pavement section that has a standard size range: twenty (20) continuous slabs (±8 slabs) for Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) pavement and 5,000 contiguous square feet (±2,000 ft²) for flexible asphalt concrete (AC) or porous friction course pavements.

Table 2.2.1 Airfield Pavement Database Network Definition Terminology

PMS Network Level	Common Definition	Airport Example
Network	Overall pavement assets maintained by the Airport	"Tallahassee International Airport – Airfield Pavements"
Branch Name	Commonly defined asset name as established by Airport and by use	"Runway 18-36"
Branch ID	Codified shorthand name for commonly defined asset established for database identification	"RW 18-36" RW, Branch Use, "Runway" 18-36, Runway Facility
Section ID	Codified identification for pavement asset that is distinct by the following:  Pavement Composition Construction Work History Aircraft Traffic Condition Records	"6105"
Sample Unit	A numeric identification of an area of pavement (5,000±2,000 SF of AC or 20±8 slabs of PCC) that has been inspected in accordance with ASTM D5340-12.	"300"





#### 2.3 Airfield Pavement Structure

#### 2.3.1 Pavement Structure Types

Airport airfield pavements are constructed to provide adequate support for the loads imposed by aircraft and produce a firm, stable, smooth, all-year, all-weather surface free of debris or other particles that may be blown or dislocated by propeller wash or jet blast. Typical pavement planning and design requires coordination of factors that include but are not limited to; subgrade conditions, material layer types, aircraft fleet mix (type, frequency, and traffic growth), and functional use. A pavement structure is composed of constructed layers that consist of subgrade, subbase, base course, structural courses, and surfaces courses. For the FDOT SAPMP, two major pavement structure types are classified for evaluation and analysis: Flexible Asphalt Concrete Surface and Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Surface. Additionally, Composite Structures known as Whitetopping Pavements are also present at limited airports within the Florida Airports System; these unique pavement structures are evaluated separately.

#### Flexible Asphalt Concrete Surface

A pavement comprised of aggregate mixture with an asphalt cement binder. The FDOT SAPMP consists of three (3) asphalt concrete surface types: Asphalt Concrete (AC), Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Asphalt Concrete (AAC), and Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Portland Cement Concrete (APC).

#### Asphalt Concrete (AC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on engineered base course material that is layered on subbase and subgrade soil material.

#### Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Asphalt Concrete (AAC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on an existing flexible AC pavement section. Flexible airfield pavement sections are AAC when a pavement rehabilitation consists of a pavement milling operation and a resurfacing of asphalt layers; or a direct overlay of asphalt concrete without surface preparation.

#### Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Portland Cement Concrete (APC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on an existing Rigid PCC pavement section. This unique pavement composition may result in distinct pavement distress manifestations known as reflective joint cracking.





#### Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Surface

A pavement comprised of aggregate mixture with a Portland Cement binder. The FDOT SAPMP recognizes Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) as the primary rigid pavement section.

#### Portland Cement Concrete (PCC)

A rigid pavement section composed of Portland cement concrete placed on a granular or treated base course that is supported on a compacted subgrade. The concrete surface must provide a texture of nonskid qualities, prevent the infiltration of surface water into the subgrade, and provide structural support to the airplanes. Rigid pavement construction requires the layout of appropriately designed joint spacing.

#### Composite Structure - Whitetopping Pavement

A composite pavement comprised of relatively thin Portland Cement Concrete overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement structure. There are three (3) types of Whitetopping Pavements; Conventional (WHT), Thin (TWT), and Ultra-Thin (UTW).

#### Conventional Whitetopping (WHT)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible AC pavement section area. The modified PCC layer is typically greater than 6inches in thickness.

#### Thin Whitetopping (TWT)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement section. The modified PCC layer is typically between 4 and 6 inches in thickness.

### Ultra-Thin Whitetopping (UTW)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement section. The Portland Cement Concrete layer is typically between 2 and 4 inches in thickness.



## 2.4 Airfield Pavement Work History

#### 2.4.1 Airfield Pavement Record Keeping

It is strongly recommended that airports maintain records of all airfield construction and maintenance related to the pavement facilities. A history of all maintenance and repair performed and its associated costs (construction and soft costs) can provide valuable information on the effectiveness of various treatments on pavements. An airport should maintain detailed records of maintenance (routine, emergency, and proactive) activities. The records should consist of the following:

- 1. Location and Limits of Work.
- Types and Severity of Distresses Repaired.
- 3. Type of Work.
- 4. Cost of Work.
- 5. Supporting Documents (contract documents, construction drawings, specifications, bid tabulations, repair product, photograph records, etc.).

#### 2.5 Airfield Pavement Traffic

A pavement section is typically designed to meet the needs of the user (airlines, air cargo, general aviation, and/or military) in providing a safe, smooth, operational surface. Pavement deterioration generally occurs gradually through increased roughness and/or fatigue cracking caused by successive and heavy aircraft traffic.

This study does not consist of a study or analysis of each individual airport's airfield aircraft fleet mix or traffic operations. However, it is strongly recommended that airports incorporate the requirements of FAA Advisory Circular 150/5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation when developing design-level rehabilitation activities. The AC provides guidance on incorporation of aircraft traffic fleet mix data.

## 2.6 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (PCI) Survey

## 2.6.1 PCI Survey Methodology

In adherence to the FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)," the FDOT SAPMP utilizes the PCI Survey Method of inspection to collect pavement distress data and analyze the condition. The PCI Survey Inspection procedure is a visual statistical sampling of pavements for recording primary distress types (e.g. cracking and deformation), associated severities, and quantities as defined by the ASTM D5340-12. This effort is the primary means of obtaining and recording pavement distress data. The survey inspection consists primarily of visual inspection of pavement surfaces for signs of distress and deterioration resulting from loading (aircraft) and environmental influences.

A visual pavement condition survey provides an indication of the cause and rate of deterioration of a pavement section from a functional point of view and can be an indicator of structural distress. The functional condition analysis assesses the rating of the operational surface. A visual PCI Survey Inspection does not predict the remaining structural life of a pavement section, or its ability to support loads. The functional condition determined by the PCI method





can provide a cost-effective means to plan for pavement rehabilitation projects. The timely application of pavement rehabilitation may lead to the extension of functional life of individual pavement sections. This method varies from structural evaluation; functional condition is limited to visually observed distresses and indicative modes of pavement deterioration. A formal structural evaluation analyzes subsurface conditions, material characteristics, and qualitative pavement structure attributes. A structural evaluation may consist of; subsurface geotechnical exploration, falling weight deflectometer testing, petrographic testing, material coring, and/or flexural testing.





# 2.6.2 Pavement Distress Types

For each section, the severity and quantity of defined distresses are recorded and then analyzed in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 standard. The standard identifies 17 distinct flexible asphalt concrete distress types and 16 distinct rigid Portland Cement Concrete distress types.

Table 2.6.2-1 (a) Pavement Distress Types - Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Distress	Common Distress Mechanisms			
Alligator Cracking	Load / Fatigue			
Bleeding	Construction Quality/ Mix Design			
Block Cracking	Climate / Age			
Corrugation	Load / Construction Quality			
Depression	Load / Subsurface			
Jet Blast	Aircraft			
Joint Reflection - Cracking	Climate / Subsurface Pavement / Traffic Load			
Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking	Climate / Construction Quality			
Oil Spillage	Aircraft / Vehicle			
Patching	Utility / Pavement Repair / Age			
Polished Aggregate	Repeated Traffic Loading			
Raveling	Climate / Age			
Rutting	Load / Fatigue			
Shoving	PCC Pavement Growth / Movement			
Slippage Cracking	Load / Pavement Bond / Mix Design			
Swelling	Climate / Subsurface			
Weathering	Climate / Age			





	Classification by Possible Causes									
Load	Climate / Durability	Moisture / Drainage	Others							
<ul> <li>Alligator Cracking</li> <li>Corrugation</li> <li>Depression</li> <li>Patching of Load-based distress</li> <li>Polished Aggregate</li> <li>Rutting</li> <li>Slippage Cracking</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bleeding</li> <li>Block Cracking</li> <li>Joint Reflection Cracking</li> <li>L/T Cracking</li> <li>Patching of climate / durability-caused distresses</li> <li>Shoving from PCC</li> <li>Raveling</li> <li>Weathering</li> <li>Swelling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Alligator Cracking</li> <li>Depression</li> <li>Patching of moisture / drainage caused distress</li> <li>Swelling</li> <li>Raveling</li> <li>Weathering</li> </ul>	Oil Spillage Jet Blast Erosion Polished Aggregate							

Table 2.6.2-1 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects - Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Air fields

	Classification by Possible Effects										
Roughness	Skid / Hydroplaning Potential	FOD Potential	Rate of Deterioration and Maintenance Requirements								
<ul> <li>Corrugation</li> <li>Depression</li> <li>Rutting</li> <li>Shoving of asphalt pavement</li> <li>Swelling</li> <li>Raveling</li> <li>Weathering</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bleeding</li> <li>Depression</li> <li>Polished Aggregate</li> <li>Rutting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Block Cracking</li> <li>Joint Reflection Cracking</li> <li>L/T Cracking</li> <li>Slippage Cracking</li> </ul>	All Distresses								





Table 2.6.2-2 (a) Pavement Distresses - Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Distress	Common Distress Mechanisms				
Blowup	Climate / ASR				
Corner Break	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses				
Linear Cracking	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses / Shrinkage Stresses				
Durability Cracking	Freeze-Thaw Cycling				
Joint Seal Damage	Material Deterioration / Construction Quality / Age				
Small Patch	Pavement Repair				
Large Patch/Utility Cut	Utility / Pavement Repair				
Popout	Freeze-Thaw Cycling / ASR / Material Quality				
Pumping	Load Repetition / Poor Joint Sealant				
Scaling	Construction Quality / Freeze-Thaw Cycling				
Faulting	Subgrade Quality / ASR / Inadequate Load Transfer				
Shattered Slab	Overloading				
Shrinkage Cracking	Construction Quality / Climate				
Joint Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material / Deterioration of Dowel (Load Transfer) Bars				
Corner Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material / Deterioration of Dowel (Load Transfer) Bars				
Alkali-Silica Reaction (ASR)	Construction Quality / Climate / Chemical Reaction				





#### Table 2.6.2-2 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes - Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Classification by Possible Causes									
Load	Climate / Durability	Moisture / Drainage	Others						
<ul> <li>Corner Break</li> <li>Shattered Slab</li> <li>L/T/D Cracking</li> <li>Pumping</li> <li>Patching of Load-associated distress</li> <li>Spalling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Blowup</li> <li>"D" Cracking</li> <li>Joint Seal Damage</li> <li>Popouts</li> <li>Scaling</li> <li>Patch of Climate/Durability- associated distress</li> <li>Shrinkage Cracking</li> <li>Spalling</li> <li>L/T/D Cracking</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Corner Break</li> <li>Shattered Slab</li> <li>Pumping</li> <li>Patching of Moisture/Drainage- associated distress</li> </ul>	Settlement     / Faulting						

Table 2.6.2-2 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects - Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

	Classification by Possible Effects										
Roughness	Skid / Hydroplaning Potential	Rate of Deterioration and Maintenance Requirements									
<ul> <li>Blowup</li> <li>Corner Break</li> <li>L/T/D Cracking</li> <li>Shattered Slab</li> <li>Settlement / Faulting</li> <li>Spalling</li> </ul>	Blowup     Corner Break     L/T/D Cracking     Shattered Slab     Settlement /     Faulting		All distresses								





# 2.6.3 PCI Survey Inspection Procedures

Airport Pavement

**Evaluation Report** 

#### Inspection Sampling Rate

The FDOT SAPMP performs PCI Survey Inspections on sample units defined in the previous update. The sample units are subject to change at the discretion of the inspection personnel and/or to major pavement rehabilitation treatments. Furthermore, access to the sample units based on accessibility or impacts to operations may affect the overall sampling rate effort at each airport. The following Tables 2.6.3 (a) and (b) define the sampling criteria used by the FDOT SAPMP. A higher sampling rate may be utilized to achieve a greater statistical confidence should the airport have the available resources to perform PCI Survey Inspections independent of the FDOT SAPMP.

Table 2.6.3 (a) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Number of Total	Sample Units to Inspect						
Sample Units in Section	Runways	Taxiways, Aprons, and Others					
1 - 4	1	1					
5 - 10	2	1					
11 - 15	3	2					
16 - 30	5	3					
31 - 40	7	4					
41 - 50	8	5					
51 or more	20% but ≤20	10% but ≤10					

Table 2.6.3 (b) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Number of Total	Sample Units to Inspect						
Sample Units in Section	Runways	Taxiways, Aprons, and Others					
1 - 3	1	1					
4 - 6	2	1					
7 - 10	3	2					
11 - 15	4	2					
16 - 20	5	3					
21 - 30	7	3					
31 - 40	8	4					
41 - 50	10	5					
51 or more	20% but ≤20	10% but ≤10					





#### 2.6.4 Updates to the ASTM D5340-12

Airfield pavement distresses and conditions were surveyed in accordance with the methods outlined in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C and ASTM D5340-12. These procedures define distress type, severity, and quantity for sampling areas within each defined pavement section area to analyze and determine the PCI value and condition rating. During the 2013-2015 System Update, the incorporation of the significant chances to the ASTM D5340 (version D5340-12) resulted in an adjusted pavement condition indices on pavement sections subject to the distress types updated. Furthermore, the revision of the PCI deduction curves and the separation of distress types from the original, such as Weathering and Raveling, have in select cases increased the PCI value of the section without any rehabilitation performed.

#### Flexible Asphalt Concrete Pavement Distress Updates

The previous methodology which featured "(52) Weathering and Raveling" distress has been separated into two distresses "(52) Raveling" and "(57) Weathering." Previously, areas that were recorded as "Weathering and Raveling" were considered as one distress with a high deduction. Based on the updated methodology, in certain situations where "Weathering" only exists and does not meet the definition of "Raveling," the PCI deduction is not as high as the former "Weathering and Raveling." Therefore, areas identified only as "(57) Weathering" based on current ASTM standards, which were previously identified as "(52) Weathering and Raveling," may be subject to an improvement in PCI. In instances where pavement PCI has increased due to this update, it is not due to an improvement in actual condition, however indicative of the adjusted distress deterioration effects.

#### Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Pavement Distress Updates

The previous methodology defined "(70) Scaling" as a distress that consisted of surface deterioration caused by construction defects, material defects, and environmental factors. The distress included Alkali-Silica Reaction, also known as ASR. The current methodology has separated Alkali-Silica Reaction as a distress identified as "(76) Alkali-Silica Reaction / ASR." As a result the previous "(70) Scaling" numerical deduction contribution to the PCI has been reduced. Previous inspections that recorded "(70) Scaling," and currently do not exhibit "(76) Alkali-Silica Reactivity / ASR" may potentially see an increase in PCI. Additionally, "(73) Shrinkage Cracks" has been redefined as "(73) Shrinkage Cracking". Shrinkage Cracking is characterized in two forms; drying shrinkage and plastic shrinkage. Drying shrinkage occurs over time as moisture leaves the pavement, it develops when hardened pavement continues to shrink as excess water not needed for cement hydration evaporates. It forms when subsurface resistance to the shrinkage is present and may extend through the entire depth of the slab. Plastic shrinkage develops when there is rapid loss of water in the surface of recently placed pavement or can form from over finishing/overworking of the pavement during construction. These shrinkage cracks appear as a series of inter-connected hairline cracks, or pattern cracking, and are often observed throughout the majority of the slab surface. This condition is also referred to as map cracking or crazing.





Table 2.6.4 Summary of Updates to ASTM D5340-12

Use and Surface Type	Updated Distress	Former Distress in Prior to 5340-10	Deduction Curve	Potential Effect
AC/AAC/ APC Airfield	(52) Raveling - Low	(52) Weathering and Raveling - Low	No Change	N/A
	(52) Raveling - Medium	(52) Weathering and Raveling - Medium	No Change	N/A
	(52) Raveling - High	(52) Weathering and Raveling - High	No Change	N/A
	(57) Weathering - Low	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(57) Weathering - Medium	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(57) Weathering - High	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
PCC Airfield	(70) Scaling - Low	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing - Low	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(70) Scaling - Medium	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing - Medium	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(70) Scaling - High	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing - High	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – Low	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – Medium	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – High	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(73) Shrinkage Cracking	(73) Shrinkage Cracking	No Change	Prior distress types identified as 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazing' may now be identified as 'Shrinkage Cracking'



# **Chapter 3**



# Chapter 3 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory

A significant element of an effective airfield pavement management system is the appropriate record keeping of changes due to construction or operational use of the pavement facilities. This chapter discusses the inventory data collected from the airport and summarizes network-level characteristics of the airport's airfield pavements. At the start of each FDOT SAPMP System Update, all airports are asked to review the existing Airfield Pavement Network Definition exhibit for accuracy. Furthermore, participating airports are asked to provide documentation for any recent or anticipated construction related to their airfield pavements.

#### 3.1 Airfield Pavement Network Information

#### 3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction

Based on information provided by the airport, the following Table 3.1.1 summarizes the airfield pavement construction projects that have been incorporated into the SAPMP database system since the 2013-2015 System Update. Figure 3.1.1-1 and Figure 3.1.1-2 provides an inset view of the 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit and the 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibits that depict the updated network details for the airport reflected in the PAVER Database. Large format exhibits are referenced in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**.

Table 3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction


Year	General Work Description
2012	<b>RW 1-19</b> - Reconstruction: 4" P-401, 12" P-219, 12" P-160
2013	TW A1, A2, A3, A4 - Mill and Overlay

The airport provided a limited combination of record drawings, reports, and staff input that was pertinent information in developing the construction history of the airport's pavements from inception. Major rehabilitation/construction activities performed in the last 24-months or anticipated in the next 24-months are assumed to restore the PCI to 100. These activities include: pavement overlay, mill and replace, mill and overlay, new construction, and/or complete reconstruction. These pavements were not formally subject to a PCI Survey and actual conditions may vary. Furthermore, any localized maintenance or repair performed that would improve the PCI will be considered in the condition analysis, if performed within inspection areas.






Figure 3.1.1-1 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit



The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit provides details to the PCI Survey inspection efforts. The exhibit identifies the pavement facilities, surface type, section definition, and sample unit delineation.

Figure 3.1.1-2 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit




The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit provides details to the work history updates communicated by the Airport. The Exhibit provides the approximate limits of recent and/or anticipated construction on the airfield pavement facilities. The limits are based on documentation provided by the Airport and, if constructed, observed in the field.

# 3.1.2 Estimated Pavement Age

Standard pavement design practice considers a design life of a 20-year period. Design inputs typically require subgrade soil conditions, pavement section layer material characteristics, and anticipated loading (aircraft fleet mix) for the design-life period. Based on the review of the historic airfield pavement construction, Figure 3.1.2 summarizes the average age of the pavement sections since any major construction activity has occurred during the PCI Survey inspection. This is intended to be a rough estimate based on interpretation of the limited data available at the time of report.



Figure 3.1.2 Average Age of Pavements at Inspection



The estimation of the pavement age is based on information requested and provided by participating airports. Additionally, data collected in the prior system updates since 1992 have been relied upon.






# 3.1.3 Functional Use Classification

Pavements are subject to varying aircraft loading patterns based on utilization and overall operations. For this SAPMP Update, the following categories of airfield functional use have been identified and associated with the following possible pavement branch facilities: Apron, Runway, Taxiway, and Taxilane. Figure 3.1.3 summarizes the identified pavements' functional use by area in square feet. The pavement areas reviewed exclude shoulder pavement facilities.

Figure 3.1.3 Airfield Pavement Functional Classification Use by Area







# 3.1.4 Pavement Surface Type

The airfield pavement facility surface types within the SAPMP include four common types of pavement: Portland cement concrete (PCC), asphalt concrete (AC), asphalt concrete overlaid on asphalt concrete (AAC), and asphalt concrete overlaid on Portland cement concrete (APC).

Based on the record documentation incorporated within the SAPMP database throughout the years, the pavement surface types have been assigned to the various pavement sections in accordance to its work history composition. The following Figures 3.1.4 (a) and (b) summarize the applicable pavement types observed at this specific airport's airfield.





Figure 3.1.4 (a) Pavement Surface Type by Area (SF)



Figure 3.1.4 (b) Pavement Surface Type by Area (%)



#### 3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details

The following **Table 3.1.5** displays the section-level details assembled as part of this update. The section-level details are based on the record documentation provided by the airports to FDOT and from SAPMP System Updates. The details assembled rely on the accuracy and the adequacy of data provided; however, it should be noted that characteristics such as pavement areas may be based on aerial interpretation of spatially projected imagery. The accuracy of data is presented with the intention of a network planning-level document; should the airport elect to perform rehabilitation work, it is recommended that further investigation be performed at the project level for construction purposes.

In summary, the scope of the pavement inventory update resulted in the updating of select existing pavement geometry and the development of an AutoCAD model with spatial projection for use within GIS. Appendix A includes the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit and the Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit which visually summarize the results of the Airfield Pavement System Inventory analysis and reporting.





Table 3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	Area (SF)	Surface Type	Est. Last Construction Date
SEF	APRON	AP	APRON	4105	3000	300	954,796	PCC	1/1/1942
SEF	RUN UP APRON	AP RU	APRON	415	200	150	33,540	AC	1/1/2003
SEF	RUN UP APRON	AP RU	APRON	5110	300	100	31,951	AC	1/1/2001
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	AP T-HANG	APRON	4115	800	150	125,007	AC	1/1/2007
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	AP T-HANG	APRON	4120	50	310	15,909	AC	1/1/2007
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	AP T-HANG	APRON	4125	400	70	29,215	AC	1/1/2007
SEF	RUNWAY 01-19	RW 01-19	RUNWAY	6105	5200	100	527,744	AC	1/1/2013
SEF	RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	RUNWAY	6205	4750	100	479,927	AC	1/1/2010
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	TAXIWAY	405	3700	50	191,244	AC	1/1/2001
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	TAXIWAY	420	1110	50	55,719	AC	1/1/2003
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	TAXIWAY	422	450	50	26,514	AAC	1/1/2010
SEF	TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	605	200	50	11,502	AAC	1/1/2001
SEF	TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	610	200	55	13,223	AAC	1/1/2013
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	105	500	35	19,296	APC	1/1/2013
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	110	400	50	24,061	APC	1/1/1987
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	115	50	50	3,298	APC	1/1/1987
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	205	350	70	25,673	APC	1/1/1987
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	210	200	70	16,931	APC	1/1/2013
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	215	50	50	2,587	APC	1/1/1987
SEF	TAXIWAY A4	TW A4	TAXIWAY	320	200	40	9,745	AC	1/1/2003
SEF	TAXIWAY A4	TW A4	TAXIWAY	325	225	60	15,390	AAC	1/1/2013
SEF	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	305	800	40	35,167	AC	1/1/2010
SEF	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	315	700	40	30,239	AC	1/1/2010
SEF	TAXIWAY T-HANGARS	TW T-HANG	TAXILANE	505	1600	20	34,611	AC	1/1/1995





### PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



# **Chapter 4**



# **Chapter 4 – Airfield Pavement** Condition

The examination of specific distress types (with causes attributed to load, climate, or other defined distress mechanism), determination of the severity of distress, and determination of the quantity of distress manifestation are required in the computation of a PCI value. The PCI provides valuable information that can be used to determine the existing condition of the pavement, possible cause of the pavement deterioration, and eventually aid in the planning of the rehabilitation of pavements. It should be noted that the PCI method of pavement condition evaluation is strictly a visual and functional evaluation. Further evaluation of the pavement condition may be necessary for design and/or project-level determination of pavement rehabilitation.

# 4.1 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)

#### 4.1.1 Network-Level Analysis

The following Figure 4.1.1 summarizes the network-level pavement condition analysis based on the most recent PCI Survey inspection results.




Figure 4.1.1 Latest Condition - Overall Network

#### 4.1.2 Branch-Level Analysis

The following Figures 4.1.2 (a) through (d) summarize the branch-level pavement condition analysis based on the most recent PCI Survey inspection results; the following Figures provide overall branch-level conditions by branch use.



Figure 4.1.2 (a) Latest Condition - Runway Pavements



Figure 4.1.2 (b) Latest Condition - Taxiway Pavements








Figure 4.1.2 (c) Latest Condition - Apron Pavements





Figure 4.1.2 (d) Latest Condition - Taxilane Pavements







# 4.1.3 Section-Level Analysis

The following Table 4.1.3 provides details for each pavement section of its area-weighted average PCI and the percent of distress which is related to load, climate, or other factors. The amount of distress attributed to the various causes provides insight into maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation needs. Load-related distress indicates that pavements are reaching the end of their structural design life, and for those pavements exhibiting a significant amount of these distress types, rehabilitation should be planned to strengthen or reconstruct the pavement. Appendix C Technical Exhibits provides a technical exhibit that graphically depicts the PCI values and ratings determined from this SAPMP System Update.

Any pavement facilities subject to pavement construction within the past 2 years or anticipated for construction within the next year may have been omitted from inspection. Pavement subject to major rehabilitation will be set to a PCI of 100.





#### Table 4.1.3 Latest Pavement Condition Index Summary


Network ID	Branch ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	Surface	PCI	PCI Rating	PCI Pct Climate	PCI Pct Load	PCI Pct Other	Sample Units Inspected	Total Sample Units in Section
SEF	AP	APRON	APRON	4105	954,796	PCC	26	Very Poor	6%	62%	32%	10	195
SEF	AP RU	RUN UP APRON	APRON	415	33,540	AC	85	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	7
SEF	AP RU	RUN UP APRON	APRON	5110	31,951	AC	70	Fair	100%	0%	0%	1	6
SEF	AP T-HANG	T-HANGAR APRON	APRON	4115	125,007	AC	72	Satisfactory	71%	0%	29%	3	24
SEF	AP T-HANG	T-HANGAR APRON	APRON	4120	15,909	AC	79	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	4
SEF	AP T-HANG	T-HANGAR APRON	APRON	4125	29,215	AC	71	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	5
SEF	RW 01-19	RUNWAY 01-19	RUNWAY	6105	527,744	AC	93	Good	100%	0%	0%	20	105
SEF	RW 14-32	RUNWAY 14-32	RUNWAY	6205	479,927	AC	78	Satisfactory	96%	0%	4%	21	97
SEF	TW A	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	405	191,244	AC	83	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	4	38
SEF	TW A	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	420	55,719	AC	84	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	2	11
SEF	TW A	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	422	26,514	AAC	85	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	5
SEF	TW A1	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	605	11,502	AAC	76	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	2
SEF	TW A1	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	610	13,223	AAC	94	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	2
SEF	TW A2	TAXIWAY A2	TAXIWAY	105	19,296	APC	94	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	4
SEF	TW A2	TAXIWAY A2	TAXIWAY	110	24,061	APC	77	Satisfactory	70%	0%	30%	1	5
SEF	TW A2	TAXIWAY A2	TAXIWAY	115	3,298	APC	37	Very Poor	100%	0%	0%	1	1
SEF	TW A3	TAXIWAY A3	TAXIWAY	205	25,673	APC	83	Satisfactory	90%	0%	10%	1	6
SEF	TW A3	TAXIWAY A3	TAXIWAY	210	16,931	APC	90	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	4
SEF	TW A3	TAXIWAY A3	TAXIWAY	215	2,587	APC	41	Poor	100%	0%	0%	1	1
SEF	TW A4	TAXIWAY A4	TAXIWAY	320	9,745	AC	80	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	2
SEF	TW A4	TAXIWAY A4	TAXIWAY	325	15,390	AAC	91	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	3
SEF	TW C	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	305	35,167	AC	75	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	1	9
SEF	TW C	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	315	30,239	AC	89	Good	100%	0%	0%	1	8
SEF	TW T-HANG	TAXIWAY T-HANGARS	TAXILANE	505	34,611	AC	49	Poor	83%	0%	17%	2	8





Figure 4.1.3 is an inset view of the 2017 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit that visually represents the results of the latest PCI Survey inspection. A large format exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits.** 

Figure 4.1.3 2017 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit







# 4.2 Summary of Pavement Condition Evaluation Results

#### 4.2.1 Network-Level Observations

The field PCI Survey performed at Sebring Regional Airport (SEF) was started and completed on 04/05/2017. The resulting overall average area-weighted PCI value was 62 representing a condition rating of Fair. Sebring Regional Airport consists of two intersecting runways. Runway 01-19 is 100-ft wide and 5,234-ft long and Runway 14-32 is 100-ft wide and 4,990-ft long.

Based on the FAA 5010 Report as of 06/29/2017 the Airport has reported 103,087 operations for 12 months ending 08/18/2016.

#### 4.2.2 Branch-Level Observations

The following branch-level observations are intended to be an overall summary of select pavement facilities identified during the PCI Survey; further detail at the section and samplelevel may be referenced for all pavements assessed as part of this System Update. The branchlevel observations discussed are limited to select branches based on use and condition.

#### Runway 01-19

Runway 01-19 consists of 1 section constructed of AC. The last construction year for Runway 01-19 was 2012. The average area-weighted PCI for Runway 01-19 is 93 representing a Good condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate distress classifications. Distresses observed in Runway 01-19 consist of Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, and Weathering.

#### Runway 14-32

Runway 14-32 consists of 1 section constructed of AC. The last construction year for Runway 14-32 was 2010. The average area-weighted PCI for Runway 14-32 is 78 representing a Satisfactory condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate and Other distress classifications. Distresses observed in Runway 14-32 consist of Depression, Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Patching, Raveling, Swelling, and Weathering.

#### Apron Pavements

The Apron consists of 1 section constructed of PCC. The last construction year for Apron was 1942. The average area-weighted PCI for Apron is 26 representing a Very Poor condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate, Load, and Other distress classifications. Distresses observed in Apron consist of Corner Break, Linear Cracking, Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch, Large Patch/Utility Cut, Scaling, Faulting, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, Joint Spall, and Corner Spall.

Figure 4.2.2 Pavement Condition Summary by Facility Use

Facility Use	Average Area-Weighted PCI	Condition Rating
Runway	85	Satisfactory
Taxiway	83	Satisfactory
Apron	35	Very Poor
Taxilane	49	Poor



### 4.3 Forecasted Pavement Conditions

Airport Pavement

**Evaluation Report** 

#### 4.3.1 Performance Models and Prediction Curves

Pavement Performance Models are developed from the distress data and historic construction records collected for the SAPMP. This data is consolidated in a database and organized by inspection/construction date, pavement type, age, and pavement use. The pavement Performance Models are used to develop broad Prediction Curves, alternatively known as deterioration curves or family curves. These Prediction Curves are utilized to developed forecasted PCI values based on historic trends and statistical models.

#### 4.3.2 Branch-Level Pavement Condition Forecast

The following Figures 4.3.2 (a) through (c) depict the branch-level pavement condition forecast by Branch Use (Runway, Taxiway, and/or Apron). The forecasted conditions are for a 10-year duration starting in January 2018 through January 2027.




Figure 4.3.2 (a) Forecasted Runway Pavement Performance



Figure 4.3.2 (b) Forecasted Taxiway Pavement Performance





Figure 4.3.2 (c) Forecasted Apron Pavement Performance







#### 4.3.3 Section-Level Pavement Condition Forecast

The following **Table 4.3.3** provides detail to the forecasted PCI values for each section inspected. Please note the forecasted Branch- and Section-Level PCI's are for planning purposes and are subject to the sensitivities in changes in traffic and maintenance frequency. Airport staff should perform annual visual condition assessments to maintain recent understanding of pavement conditions.





Table 4.3.3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027

Natural ID	Daniel ID	Cardian ID	Forecasted PCI										
Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
SEF	AP	4105	26	25	24	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15
SEF	AP RU	415	85	83	82	80	79	77	75	74	72	71	69
SEF	AP RU	5110	70	68	67	65	64	62	60	59	57	56	54
SEF	AP T-HANG	4115	72	70	69	67	66	64	62	61	59	58	56
SEF	AP T-HANG	4120	79	77	76	74	73	71	69	68	66	65	63
SEF	AP T-HANG	4125	71	69	68	66	65	63	61	60	58	57	55
SEF	RW 01-19	6105	93	91	90	88	86	85	83	82	80	78	77
SEF	RW 14-32	6205	78	76	75	73	71	70	68	67	65	63	62
SEF	TW A	405	83	81	79	77	75	73	72	71	69	68	67
SEF	TW A	420	84	82	80	78	76	74	72	71	70	69	68
SEF	TW A	422	85	83	81	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70
SEF	TW A1	605	76	75	73	72	71	71	70	69	68	67	66
SEF	TW A1	610	94	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73
SEF	TW A2	105	94	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73
SEF	TW A2	110	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68	67
SEF	TW A2	115	37	33	28	25	21	17	13	9	6	2	0
SEF	TW A3	205	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	71	70
SEF	TW A3	210	90	87	85	82	80	78	77	75	74	73	72
SEF	TW A3	215	41	39	36	31	26	23	19	15	11	7	4
SEF	TW A4	320	80	78	76	74	73	71	70	69	68	67	66
SEF	TW A4	325	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72
SEF	TW C	305	75	73	72	71	69	68	67	66	65	65	64
SEF	TW C	315	89	87	84	82	80	78	76	74	73	71	70
SEF	TW T-HANG	505	49	47	46	44	42	41	39	38	36	35	34





#### 4.3.4 Forecasted PCI Considerations

As FDOT continues to update the SAPMP with future PCI Survey inspections and assembly of airfield pavement construction work history, the performance models will be further refined. With the refinement of additional PCI and work history data points, the forecasting of pavement conditions will continue to better reflect the performance trends of airfield pavements in the Florida Airports System. Forecasted or predicted pavement conditions for the airport are intended for planning purposes only. Design-level recommendations for pavement rehabilitation and/or reconstruction will require the appropriate application of the procedures defined in FAA AC 150/5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation and AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements to determine structural and/or functional conditions at the time of project.







# Chapter 5 - Localized Maintenance and **Repair Planning**

General Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) methods are characterized under three broad categories: localized maintenance and repair, global treatments, and major rehabilitation.

- Localized Maintenance and Repair includes patching and crack sealing.
- Global Treatments includes surface seals and rejuvenators (flexible pavements).
- > Major Rehabilitation includes overlays, significant slab replacement, and reconstruction.

This chapter discusses the FDOT SAPMP Localized Maintenance and Repair Planning approach. Proactive localized maintenance and repair, specifically preservation, is highly recommended to the airports. However, it is certainly recognized that once pavements have deteriorated below a certain condition, the facility would benefit from a more substantial rehabilitation in lieu of localized efforts. Chapter 6 Major Rehabilitation Planning discusses the addressing of pavements through timely rehabilitation once it has deteriorated below a critical PCI where localized repairs may not be as cost effective.

# 5.1 Localized Maintenance and Repair

Localized maintenance and repair is best applied as a conservation measure and is oftentimes applied to slow the rate of deterioration of distress pavements; however, may be applied as a temporary corrective measure in isolated areas. Localized maintenance and repair can be applied either as a safety ("stopgap") measure or preventive measure. Example distress types subject to localized preventive maintenance and repair may consist of low-severity longitudinal and transverse cracking and low-severity weathering. In many cases however, localized stopgap repair is applied as a safety measure to address high-severity distress manifestations when major rehabilitation is not funded for a given section with a PCI value below critical PCI. Some agencies may elect to define both types; preventative and stopgap, as localized maintenance.

# Localized Stopgap/Safety Maintenance and Repair

Localized Stopgap or Safety Maintenance and Repair is defined as the localized distress repair needed to keep pavements operational in a safe condition. These activities are typically applied to high-severity distresses or distresses affecting operational activities. Typical pavement section PCIs will range from 0 to 65.

#### Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair

Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair is defined as distress maintenance activities performed with the primary objective of slowing the rate of deterioration. These activities typically include crack sealing and patching. Typical pavement section PCIs will be above 65.



# 5.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy

The resulting Localized Maintenance and Repair recommendations are identified based on the policy defined in Table 5.2.1 and Table 5.2.2, for flexible asphalt concrete and rigid Portland cement concrete pavements, respectively. The activities identified were based on the research of practical pavement treatments in consideration of the FAA AC 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements" and the FDOT Airfield Pavement Distress Repair Manual. Additionally, the Engineering Technical Letter (ETL) 14-3: Preventive Maintenance Plan (PMP) for Airfield Pavements was referenced for conservative application of pavement treatments. The Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy and associated planning-level unit costs were developed in consideration of a network-level analysis – it is strictly intended to provide a glimpse of the condition of the airport pavements with a limited PCI survey effort.

The developed Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy and associated planning-level unit costs were based on a statewide consideration of pavement treatments and review of state construction costs for both Airfield Pavements and from the FDOT Historical Cost Information archives. Furthermore, a consideration of limited repair quantities was factored in the determination of conservative planning-level unit costs. The identified Localized maintenance activities for both preventive and stopgap activities are based on a statewide network approach; project-specific evaluation and maintenance quantities should be developed prior to any construction.

Table 5.2-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair - Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
41	Low	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
41	Medium	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
41	High	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
42	N/A	BLEEDING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
43	Low	BLOCK CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
43	Medium	BLOCK CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
43	High	BLOCK CR	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
44	Low	CORRUGATION	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
44	Medium	CORRUGATION	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
44	High	CORRUGATION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	Low	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	Medium	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	High	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
46	High	JET BLAST	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
46	N/A	JET BLAST	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
47	Low	JT REF. CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
47	Medium	JT REF. CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
47	High	JT REF. CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft





Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
48	Low	L&TCR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
48	Medium	L&TCR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
48	High	L&TCR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
49	N/A	OIL SPILLAGE	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
50	Low	PATCHING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
50	Medium	PATCHING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
50	High	PATCHING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
51	N/A	POLISHED AG	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
52	Low	RAVELING	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
52	Medium	RAVELING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
52	High	RAVELING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
53	Low	RUTTING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
53	Medium	RUTTING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
53	High	RUTTING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
54	Low	SHOVING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
54	Medium	SHOVING	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
54	High	SHOVING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
55	N/A	SLIPPAGE CR	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
56	Low	SWELLING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
56	Medium	SWELLING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
56	High	SWELLING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
57	Low	WEATHERING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
57	Medium	WEATHERING	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
57	High	WEATHERING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt

Table 5.2-2 Localized Maintenance and Repair - Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
61	Low	BLOW-UP	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
61	Medium	BLOW-UP	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
61	High	BLOW-UP	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
62	Low	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
62	Medium	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
62	High	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
63	Low	LINEAR CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
63	Medium	LINEAR CR	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
63	High	LINEAR CR	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt





Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
64	Low	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
64	Medium	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
64	High	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
65	Low	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
65	Medium	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
65	High	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
66	Low	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
66	Medium	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
66	High	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
67	Low	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
67	Medium	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
67	High	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
68	N/A	POPOUTS	FDOT-PO-FL	FDOT - POPOUT FILLER	SqFt
69	N/A	PUMPING	FDOT-SB-PC	FDOT – SLAB STABILIZATION - PCC	SqFt
70	Low	SCALING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
70	Medium	SCALING	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
70	High	SCALING	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
71	Low	FAULTING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
71	Medium	FAULTING	FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	Ft
71	High	FAULTING	FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	Ft
72	Low	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
72	Medium	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
72	High	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
73	N/A	SHRINKAGE CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
74	Low	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
74	Medium	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
74	High	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
75	Low	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
75	Medium	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
75	High	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
76	Low	ASR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
76	Medium	ASR	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
76	High	ASR	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt





Table 5.2-3 (a) Localized Repair Planning-Level Unit Costs - Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Code	Name	Cost	Units
FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	\$0.55	SqFt
FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	\$2.00	SqFt
FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	\$2.00	Ft
FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	\$3.00	Ft
FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	\$0.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	\$6.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	\$3.00	SqFt

Table 5.2-3 (b) Localized M&R Planning-Level Unit Costs - Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Code	Name	Cost	Units
FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	\$100.00	SqFt
FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	\$30.00	SqFt
FDOT-SB-PC	FDOT - SLAB STABILIZATION - PCC	\$30.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	\$72.00	SqFt
FDOT-PO-FL	FDOT - POPOUT FILLER	\$0.05	SqFt
FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	\$2.00	Ft
FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	\$4.25	Ft
FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	\$0.00	N/A
FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	\$2.75	Ft

<sup>\*</sup>PCC Patching (Full Depth and Partial Depth) consider high-early-strength and high-performing repair material.





#### 5.3 Localized Maintenance and Repair Analysis and Recommendations

The SAPMP provides a planning-level estimation of Localized Maintenance and Repair based on the results of the latest PCI Survey Inspection performed at the airport. Based on the limited sample units inspected, a statistical extrapolation of distresses at the section level is used to estimate the quantities of recommended repair activities based on the policies defined in 5.2 Localized M&R Policy. The PCI Survey Inspections did not consist of 100% inspection of all sample units; therefore, the section-level distress quantities used to estimate the Localized Maintenance and Repair needs are for conceptual planning purposes. The accuracy of the extrapolated distresses, and therefore work quantities, is subject to the amount of sample units inspected and the concentration of distress types observed in sample units. Appendix B provides the estimated Localized Maintenance and Repair based on this SAPMP's PCI Survey Inspection efforts. Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair is typically applied to pavements that are in a condition at or above the Critical PCI of 65. Localized Stopgap Maintenance and Repair is typically applied to pavements that are below the Critical PCI of 65. It is recommended that airport staff evaluate the application of Localized Maintenance and Repair in concert with the planning of Major Rehabilitation efforts identified in Chapter 6 Major Rehabilitation Planning. Pavements with Stopgap recommendations that are subject to nearterm Major Rehabilitation efforts may remove the need to perform localized maintenance efforts.

The following Table 5.3-1 summarizes the anticipated Localized Maintenance and Repair efforts based on the PCI Survey Inspection efforts performed at this airport as part of this SAPMP System Update. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

Table 5.3-1 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Network Level

Work Description	Work Category	Rough Estimate of Work Quantity	Work Units	Planning Material Cost
FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	PREVENTIVE	69,660	SqFt	\$ 38,320.00
FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	PREVENTIVE	2,410	Ft	\$ 7,230.00
FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	PREVENTIVE	3,810	SqFt	\$ 22,840.00
FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	STOPGAP	645	Ft	\$ 1,940.00
FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	STOPGAP	34,945	SqFt	\$ 19,220.00
FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	STOPGAP	3,070	SqFt	\$ 221,010.00
FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	STOPGAP	33,365	Ft	\$ 141,800.00
FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	STOPGAP	5,220	SqFt	\$ 521,710.00
FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	STOPGAP	104,700	Ft	\$ 287,930.00
FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	STOPGAP	29,285	SqFt	\$ 878,550.00





The following Table 5.3-2 provides further breakdown of the anticipated planning-level cost at the section level for the pavements exhibiting distresses that would benefit from Localized M&R. The table shows the approximate improved "End Condition" of the section after the application of Localized M&R. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

Table 5.3-2 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Section Level

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Area (SF)	Start Condition	End Condition		Cost
SEF	AP	4105	954,796	26	55	\$ 2	,051,040.00
SEF	AP RU	415	33,540	85	91	\$	930.00
SEF	AP RU	5110	31,951	70	90	\$	8,790.00
SEF	AP T-HANG	4115	125,007	72	84	\$	26,930.00
SEF	AP T-HANG	4120	15,909	79	84	\$	880.00
SEF	AP T-HANG	4125	29,215	71	88	\$	6,430.00
SEF	RW 01-19	6105	527,744	93	93	\$	-
SEF	RW 14-32	6205	479,927	78	79	\$	6,720.00
SEF	TW A	405	191,244	83	89	\$	10,520.00
SEF	TW A	420	55,719	84	86	\$	710.00
SEF	TW A	422	26,514	85	89	\$	300.00
SEF	TW A1	605	11,502	76	87	\$	1,270.00
SEF	TW A1	610	13,223	94	94	\$	-
SEF	TW A2	105	19,296	94	94	\$	-
SEF	TW A2	110	24,061	77	85	\$	2,500.00
SEF	TW A2	115	3,298	37	61	\$	1,150.00
SEF	TW A3	205	25,673	83	87	\$	290.00
SEF	TW A3	210	16,931	90	90	\$	-
SEF	TW A3	215	2,587	41	62	\$	980.00
SEF	TW A4	320	9,745	80	85	\$	270.00
SEF	TW A4	325	15,390	91	94	\$	90.00
SEF	TW C	305	35,167	75	78	\$	1,830.00
SEF	TW C	315	30,239	89	89	\$	-
SEF	TW T-HANG	505	34,611	49	60	\$	19,040.00





The following Table 5.3-3 provides a summary of the anticipated planning-level costs for Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair and Localized Stopgap Maintenance and Repair. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

Table 5.3-3 Summary of Localized Maintenance

Work Category	Cost
Preventive	\$ 68,390.00
Stopgap	\$ 2,072,160.00
Planning-Level Localized M&R Needs =	\$ 2,140,550.00







### Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation **Planning**

#### 6.1 Major Rehabilitation

Major rehabilitation is recommended to correct or improve structural deficiencies and/or functional deterioration for pavement sections within a network. Often, when pavements are subject to significant changes in the aircraft fleet mix (frequency and type), major rehabilitation is required to provide a pavement section to meet the traffic demand. Major rehabilitation is recommended when a pavement section falls below the Critical PCI value that is defined during the system customization or if a pavement section has a significant observation of load-related distress. Observation of any load-related distress potentially indicates that the section may be structurally deficient or that the aircraft loads being applied to the pavement section are different than what the section was designed for. Figures 6.1-1 and 6.1-2 depict the decision process for major rehabilitation project identification with the assumption of available funds. Should funding be unavailable for pavement sections in need of major rehabilitation, the airport may elect to apply the appropriate localized stopgap repair.

Figure 6.1-1 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, PCI ≤ Critical PCI







Figure 6.1-2 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, PCI > Critical PCI



**Evaluation Report** 



#### 6.1.1 Critical PCI

For the FDOT SAPMP the development of a major rehabilitation program is based on the Critical PCI concept. The Critical PCI concept assumes that it is more cost-effective to maintain pavements above, rather than below their critical PCI. It is assumed that once a pavement section deteriorates to the Critical PCI value that it is more cost-effective to complete a major rehabilitation project rather than continuing to apply preventive maintenance. This method includes defining the Critical PCI and introducing major rehabilitation work types.

Identification of annual and long-range Major Rehabilitation work plans are typically based on the Critical PCI concept. The Critical PCI is defined as the PCI value at which the rate of loss (deterioration) increases with time, or the cost of applying localized maintenance and repair increases or is not effective. A Critical PCI is usually within a range of 55 and 70; the following procedure is standard approach in developing a specific Critical PCI:

- 1. Develop a pavement performance model and refine a prediction model for the pavements considered.
- 2. Select a localized maintenance and repair policy to be used in developing a work
- 3. Apply the selected localized policy to the pavement sections for a range of PCI.
- 4. Compute the unit cost per area for each PCI range.
- 5. Plot the cost versus the PCI.
- 6. Determine the Critical PCI based on the point where the cost is insignificant.

The FDOT SAPMP defines the Critical PCI at 65 – this is based on the historic trends in pavement performance and Statewide planning efforts.

#### 6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI

The FDOT has recommended *Minimum Service-Level PCI* for airports' airfield pavements based on the following characteristics; airport type within FDOT SAPMP, branch use, and expected aircraft operations. For the purposes of Major Rehabilitation, the Critical PCI is typically the threshold condition that triggers major construction, however it is recommended that the airports maintain the Minimum Service-Level PCI with a combination of Localized Maintenance and Repair and timely Major Rehabilitation. Table 6.1.2 summarizes the FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI.

Table 6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI

Branch Use	FDOT Recommended PCI	Additional Consideration				
Runway	75	Aircraft Fleet Mix Changes Primary Runway				
Taxiway / Taxilane	65	Aircraft Fleet Mix Changes Expected Operations				
Aprons / Run-Ups / Ramps	60	Ground Service Equipment Non-Aircraft Operations (e.g. fueling)				

Airport Pavement

**Evaluation Report** 



#### 6.2 Major Rehabilitation Policy

#### 6.2.1 Major Rehabilitation Pavement Section Development

The review of the existing as-built record documentation within the participating airports' archives was used as the basis of the conceptual pavement design sections. Refinement of the pavement section layers was performed in consideration of the FAA AC 150/5320-6F "Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation." It should be noted that no subsurface geotechnical investigation, ALTA/ACSM Survey, topographic survey, utilities survey, environmental, or site specific air traffic study(s) have been utilized in the development of the design criteria. No warranty or assurance is implied in this document for final design nor construction for any airfield pavements discussed within this report. The following Tables 6.2.1 (a) and (b) provide details on the conceptual pavement sections developed for this study.

Major rehabilitation is divided into two policy categories as part of this program: Full-Depth Reconstruction (Reconstruction) and Intermediate-Level Major Rehabilitation (Restoration). Based on the pavement type, the general categories are defined as AC Reconstruction and AC Restoration for AC, AAC, and APC flexible pavement types and PCC Reconstruction and PCC Restoration for PCC rigid pavement types. The pavement sections have been based on the average GA Airport Type requirements; no pavement design has been performed in accordance with AC 150/5320-6F for the determined conceptual sections.

Table 6.2.1 (a) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation - Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Rehabilitation Type	General Aviation (GA) Airport
AC Restoration  Combination of asphalt pavement milling and overlay with 25% of the areas subject to full-depth reconstruction.	75% Mill and Overlay P-101 AC Milling (2") P-603 Bituminous Tack P-401 (HMA) (2")
PCI = 41 to 65	25% AC Reconstruction P-101 Pavement Removal P-152 Subgrade (12") P-211 Base (6") P-602 Bituminous Prime P-603 Bituminous Tack P-401 HMA (2")  Excludes any paved shoulder features.
AC Reconstruction  Full-depth asphalt pavement section reconstruction.	P-101 Pavement Removal P-152 Subgrade (12") P-211 Base (6") P-602 Bituminous Prime P-603 Bituminous Tack P-401 HMA (2")
PCI = 40 or less	Excludes any paved shoulder features.





Table 6.2.1 (b) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation - Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Rehabilitation Type	General Aviation (GA) Airport
PCC Restoration  Restoration of PCC pavement with a combination of crack sealing, joint seal replacement, and replacement of 25% of slab panels.  PCI = 41 to 65	P-101 Pavement Removal P-605 Joint Seal Repair P-152 Subgrade (6") P-211 Base (if needed, typical) (6") P-501 Rigid PCC (10")  *Select Slabs (25%) **Crack Seal and Limited Patching
PCC Reconstruction  Full-depth rigid pavement section reconstruction.  PCI = 40 or less	P-101 Pavement Removal P-605 Joint Seal Repair P-152 Subgrade (6") P-211 Base (6") P-501 Rigid PCC (10")

The identification of rehabilitation needs and conceptual pavement sections have been determined at the planning level. Design-level investigation is recommended prior to developing construction-level design documents and budgets.

In compliance with FAA Grant Assurances 11 and 19, the FDOT SAPMP provides airports with airfield pavement evaluation reports in accordance with FAA AC 150/5380-7B Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP) and AC 150/5380-6C Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements. The application of the results of a PCI survey are for planning purposes and are limited to the visual observation of deteriorated pavements in limited sampling; design-level investigation is recommended in accordance with the FAA procedures defined in AC 5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation and AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements. The aforementioned ACs provide the design-level material properties of in-situ pavement and subgrade layers for the determination of appropriate rehabilitation actions. The FDOT SAPMP is organized to provide airports with planning-level data and does not intend to preclude the responsible engineer in performing the appropriate level of investigation and analysis in determining the appropriate design details of a pavement rehabilitation. It would not be advisable to solely base design-level rehabilitation without the appropriate level of investigation and determination of pavement deterioration beyond that of a visual functional condition assessment.

#### 6.2.2 Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Costs

Planning-level opinion of probable construction unit costs developed for this System Update was based on archived bid tabulations and records from airfield pavement projects provided by participating airports. A review of cost trends and cost factors have been incorporated to assist airports in planning for project budgets. Neither FDOT nor the Consultant Team has control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable construction costs

Airport Pavement

**Evaluation Report** 





provided herein are based on the information known to FDOT at this time and represent only the Consultant Team's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. This report cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable construction costs.

Table 6.2.2 General Aviation Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Cost by Pavement Type

Rehabilitation Type	PCI Range	ge Flexible Asphalt Concrete Cost Per S		Rigid Portland Cemer Concrete Cost per Si		
Restoration	41 to 65	\$	7.00	\$	10.00	
Reconstruction	0 to 40	\$	9.00	\$	15.00	

Planning-level opinion of probable construction unit costs consider factors for non-pavement improvements, QA/QC testing, and administrative costs.

#### 6.3 Major Rehabilitation Needs

The objective of the major pavement rehabilitation needs analysis is to provide planning-level projects within an airport's airfield pavement network. Major rehabilitation activities are recommended when a pavement section has deteriorated below the Critical PCI value, a point at which localized maintenance and repair activities may not be the most cost-effective solution. In addition, major rehabilitation is also recommended when the Section PCI is at or above the Critical PCI but the section has significant load-related PCI distresses. Identification of rehabilitation needs is done at the Airfield Pavement Network Definition's section level. This however does not limit the airport from further refining limits of project planning areas.

Major rehabilitation is identified within the FDOT SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or resetting of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Major rehabilitation recommendations (AC Restoration, AC Reconstruction, PCC Restoration, and PCC Reconstruction) should be considered as planning-level only. Additional design-level investigation in accordance to the FAA Advisory Circulars will be required. Recommendations identified within this planning document do not imply final design.

#### 6.3.1 10-Year Unconstrained Budget Major Rehabilitation Needs

An unconstrained budget (unlimited budget) is performed for a 10-year duration to identify pavement rehabilitation needs based on current or forecasted PCI values deteriorating below the Critical PCI. FDOT recognizes airports are constrained by budgets and does not intend to convey an unrealistic approach of addressing pavement rehabilitation. The intent of the 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs analysis is to identify pavements that will warrant rehabilitation. It is highly recommended that airport staff utilize this information in support of the development of a practical Capital Improvement Program based on priorities, further design/project-level investigation, and budgetary constraints. The following Table 6.3.1 summarizes all identified section-level major rehabilitation needs forecasted for the next 10-year period. It should be noted that the following table depicts planning-level costs and have been rounded for planning purposes.



Table 6.3.1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs

Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	SEF	AP	4105	PCC	954,796	25	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 14,323,000.00
2018	SEF	TW A2	115	APC	3,298	33	AC Reconstruction	\$ 30,000.00
2018	SEF	TW A3	215	APC	2,587	39	AC Restoration	\$ 24,000.00
2018	SEF	TW T-HANG	505	AC	34,611	47	AC Restoration	\$ 258,000.00
2021	SEF	AP RU	5110	AC	31,951	64	AC Restoration	\$ 224,000.00
2022	SEF	AP T-HANG	4115	AC	125,007	64	AC Restoration	\$ 876,000.00
2022	SEF	AP T-HANG	4125	AC	29,215	63	AC Restoration	\$ 205,000.00
2026	SEF	RW 14-32	6205	AC	479,927	63	AC Restoration	\$ 3,360,000.00
2027	SEF	AP T-HANG	4120	AC	15,909	63	AC Restoration	\$ 112,000.00
2027	SEF	TW C	305	AC	35,167	64	AC Restoration	\$ 247,000.00

<sup>\*</sup>All values have been rounded to the nearest thousand-dollar.

The following Figure 6.3.1-1 summarizes the section-level major rehabilitation needs for a 10year period between 2018 and 2027. Figure 6.3.1-2 provides an inset view of Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit, a large format exhibit is located in Appendix C Technical **Exhibits**. The exhibit graphically depicts the Major Rehabilitation Needs with rounded costs.

Figure 6.3.1-1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year









Figure 6.3.1-2 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year Exhibit





# **Chapter 7**



### **Chapter 7 – Conclusion**

#### 7.1 Recommendations

#### 7.1.1 Continued PCI Survey Inspections

It is recommended that the airport continue to perform regularly scheduled PCI Survey inspections in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 (or latest edition) to monitor the condition of the airfield pavement facilities.

A high priority should be considered for continuous maintenance record keeping and reinspection of all the airport's maintained pavement facilities to ensure continued safe aircraft operations. A series of scheduled periodic inspections must be carried out for an effective maintenance program. Re-inspection of pavements should be scheduled in a timely manner to ensure that all areas, particularly those that may not come under day-to-day observation, are thoroughly evaluated and reported.

#### 7.1.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair

While deterioration of the pavements due to usage and exposure to the environment cannot be completely prevented, applying timely and effective maintenance efforts can slow the anticipated rate of deterioration. Lack of adequate and timely maintenance is the significant factor in pavement deterioration.

It is recommended that airport sponsors coordinate with their respective Airport Maintenance staff and Airport Engineer when developing project-level maintenance and repair efforts.

#### 7.1.3 Major Rehabilitation

Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation Planning identified major pavement rehabilitation project needs from 2018-2027. The identification of the rehabilitation needs was performed at the section level for manageable project areas with the assumption of an unconstrained budget scenario. Given the uncertainty in the airport-specific budget information and prioritization goals, the unconstrained budget scenario was performed to evaluate the worst-case scenario and identify all the inspected pavements' needs in a 10-year period. Certainly, it is understood that most airports are faced with constrained budgets; further evaluation of projects based on prioritization, operational criticality, funding availability, and practicality is recommended.

#### 7.1.4 Pavement Management System

The following recommendations are made to fully implement an effective pavement management program for the airport:

- Develop a detailed preventive maintenance program for the airport.
- Further refine and implement the identified 10-year major rehabilitation needs.
- Maintain detailed records on pavement maintenance, construction, and inspection.
- Maintain records on major pavement construction projects (year, scope, cost, and construction documents).





#### 7.2 Supporting Documents

#### 001 - Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit is located in Appendix C Technical Exhibits. The exhibit depicts the airfield layout in a manner that defines the airfield pavement infrastructure as branches, sections, and sample units in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12. The exhibit is intended for planning purposes only – further detail on facilities can be found on the Airport's adopted Airport Layout Plan. Detailed characteristics are tabulated in Appendix A **Pavement Analysis Tables.** 

#### 002 - Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit in is located in Appendix C Technical Exhibits. The exhibit depicts any recent and/or anticipated construction activity within the airfield pavement facilities reported by airport staff. The exhibit is intended to schematically identify the pavement limits of works and general work description. The information reported on the Airport Response Form provided by each participating airport was used as the basis of the changes; furthermore, changes are confirmed at the airport with airport staff during the in-brief and debrief meeting.

#### 003 - Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit is located in Appendix C Technical Exhibits. The exhibit is a visual summary of the latest conditions calculated from the results of the PCI Survey performed at the airport. The analysis of the distresses surveyed in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 (referenced in Appendix E Inspection Distress Details) were analyzed using PAVER™ software to determine PCI values. The PCI values are identified in the exhibit and graphically represented using the standard ASTM D5340-12 colors for condition rating categories.

#### 004 - Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit is located in Appendix C Technical **Exhibits**. The exhibit has been prepared based on the section condition analysis, pavement condition forecasts, and major rehabilitation needs analysis. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with the associated rehabilitation type activity, program year, and the planning-level costs. The area limits, rehabilitation type, and planning-level costs should not be considered a design-level recommendation. A tabulation of the 10-Year Major Rehabilitation is located in Appendix B Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and Major Rehabilitation.

#### Inspection Photograph Documentation

Representative field conditions from the PCI Survey are documented with digital photographs located in Appendix D Inspection Photograph Documentation. Select photographs are provided with limited caption on the distresses observed – the Appendix does not contain photographs for every sample unit.

**Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program** 

Airport Pavement **Evaluation Report** 

2017

Sebring Regional Airport (SEF)






#### 7.3 Conclusion

The FDOT SAPMP Update Phase 1 2016-2017 was completed for the airport on behalf of the FDOT ASO in accordance with the Advisory Circulars 150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)" and 150/5380-6C "Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements." FDOT's implementation of the SAPMP has assisted public airports with this requirement in performing PCI survey inspections and analysis in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys."



## Appendix A

Airfield Pavement Analysis Tables







#### Table A-1 Pavement System Inventory Details

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	Area (SF)	Surface Type	Est. Last Construction Date
SEF	APRON	AP	APRON	4105	3000	300	954,796	PCC	1/1/1942
SEF	RUN UP APRON	AP RU	APRON	415	200	150	33,540	AC	1/1/2003
SEF	RUN UP APRON	AP RU	APRON	5110	300	100	31,951	AC	1/1/2001
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	AP T-HANG	APRON	4115	800	150	125,007	AC	1/1/2007
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	AP T-HANG	APRON	4120	50	310	15,909	AC	1/1/2007
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	AP T-HANG	APRON	4125	400	70	29,215	AC	1/1/2007
SEF	RUNW AY 01-19	RW 01-19	RUNWAY	6105	5200	100	527,744	AC	1/1/2013
SEF	RUNWAY 14-32	RW 14-32	RUNWAY	6205	4750	100	479,927	AC	1/1/2010
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	TAXIWAY	405	3700	50	191,244	AC	1/1/2001
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	TAXIWAY	420	1110	50	55,719	AC	1/1/2003
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	TAXIWAY	422	450	50	26,514	AAC	1/1/2010
SEF	TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	605	200	50	11,502	AAC	1/1/2001
SEF	TAXIWAY A1	TW A1	TAXIWAY	610	200	55	13,223	AAC	1/1/2013
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	105	500	35	19,296	APC	1/1/2013
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	110	400	50	24,061	APC	1/1/1987
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TW A2	TAXIWAY	115	50	50	3,298	APC	1/1/1987
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	205	350	70	25,673	APC	1/1/1987
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	210	200	70	16,931	APC	1/1/2013
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TW A3	TAXIWAY	215	50	50	2,587	APC	1/1/1987
SEF	TAXIWAY A4	TW A4	TAXIWAY	320	200	40	9,745	AC	1/1/2003
SEF	TAXIWAY A4	TW A4	TAXIWAY	325	225	60	15,390	AAC	1/1/2013
SEF	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	305	800	40	35,167	AC	1/1/2010
SEF	TAXIWAY C	TW C	TAXIWAY	315	700	40	30,239	AC	1/1/2010
SEF	TAXIWAY T-HANGARS	TW T-HANG	TAXILANE	505	1600	20	34,611	AC	1/1/1995





Table A-2 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) - Section Level

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	PCI	Condition Rating
SEF	RUNWAY 01-19	RUNWAY	6105	527,744	93	Good
SEF	RUNWAY 14-32	RUNWAY	6205	479,927	78	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	605	11,502	76	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY A1	TAXIWAY	610	13,223	94	Good
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TAXIWAY	105	19,296	94	Good
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TAXIWAY	110	24,061	77	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY A2	TAXIWAY	115	3,298	37	Very Poor
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TAXIWAY	205	25,673	83	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TAXIWAY	210	16,931	90	Good
SEF	TAXIWAY A3	TAXIWAY	215	2,587	41	Poor
SEF	TAXIWAY A4	TAXIWAY	320	9,745	80	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY A4	TAXIWAY	325	15,390	91	Good
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	405	191,244	83	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	420	55,719	84	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	422	26,514	85	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	305	35,167	75	Satisfactory
SEF	TAXIWAY C	TAXIWAY	315	30,239	89	Good
SEF	TAXIWAY T-HANGARS	TAXILANE	505	34,611	49	Poor
SEF	RUN UP APRON	APRON	415	33,540	85	Satisfactory
SEF	APRON	APRON	4105	954,796	26	Very Poor
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	APRON	4115	125,007	72	Satisfactory
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	APRON	4120	15,909	79	Satisfactory
SEF	T-HANGAR APRON	APRON	4125	29,215	71	Satisfactory
SEF	RUN UP APRON	APRON	5110	31,951	70	Fair





#### Table A-3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027

Natural ID	Daniel ID	Cartian ID	L POL					Forecas	sted PC	1			
Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
SEF	AP	4105	26	25	24	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15
SEF	AP RU	415	85	83	82	80	79	77	75	74	72	71	69
SEF	AP RU	5110	70	68	67	65	64	62	60	59	57	56	54
SEF	AP T-HANG	4115	72	70	69	67	66	64	62	61	59	58	56
SEF	AP T-HANG	4120	79	77	76	74	73	71	69	68	66	65	63
SEF	AP T-HANG	4125	71	69	68	66	65	63	61	60	58	57	55
SEF	RW 01-19	6105	93	91	90	88	86	85	83	82	80	78	77
SEF	RW 14-32	6205	78	76	75	73	71	70	68	67	65	63	62
SEF	TW A	405	83	81	79	77	75	73	72	71	69	68	67
SEF	TW A	420	84	82	80	78	76	74	72	71	70	69	68
SEF	TW A	422	85	83	81	79	77	75	74	73	72	71	70
SEF	TW A1	605	76	75	73	72	71	71	70	69	68	67	66
SEF	TW A1	610	94	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73
SEF	TW A2	105	94	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73
SEF	TW A2	110	77	75	74	73	72	71	70	70	69	68	67
SEF	TW A2	115	37	33	28	25	21	17	13	9	6	2	0
SEF	TW A3	205	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72	71	71	70
SEF	TW A3	210	90	87	85	82	80	78	77	75	74	73	72
SEF	TW A3	215	41	39	36	31	26	23	19	15	11	7	4
SEF	TW A4	320	80	78	76	74	73	71	70	69	68	67	66
SEF	TW A4	325	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	76	74	73	72
SEF	TW C	305	75	73	72	71	69	68	67	66	65	65	64
SEF	TW C	315	89	87	84	82	80	78	76	74	73	71	70
SEF	TW T-HANG	505	49	47	46	44	42	41	39	38	36	35	34

Page 1 of 5

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network:	SEBRING	REGIONA	Branch: AP I	RU	RUN U	JP APRON	Section	: 415	Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	003 Us	se: APRON	Rank: P	Length:	200.	00 (Ft) <b>V</b>	Vidth: 150.	00 (Ft) True Are	ea: 33,540.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work D	Description	C	ost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Co	omments
1/1/2003	NC-AC	New Construct	tion - AC		0.00	0.0			
Network:	SEBRING	REGIONA	Branch: AP I	RU	RUN U	P APRON	Section	5110	Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	001 Us	se: APRON	Rank: T	Length:	300.	00 (Ft) <b>V</b>	Vidth: 100.	00 (Ft) True Are	ea: 31,951.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work D	Description	C	ost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Co	omments
1/1/2001	NU-IN	New Construct	tion - Initial		0.00	4.0	0	4" AC/ 6" AB/ 12	2" ASB
	GEDDDIG	PEGIONA				VG + D + DD	a		
		REGIONA	Branch: AP				Section:		Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	1	se: APRON	Rank: P	Length:	800.			00 (Ft) True Ard	ea: 125,007.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work D	Description	C	ost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Co	omments
1/1/2007	NC-AC	New Construct	tion - AC		0.00	0.0	0		
Network:	SEBRING	REGIONA	Branch: AP	Γ-HANG	T-HAN	IGAR APR	Section	: 4120	Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	007 Us	se: APRON	Rank: P	Length:	50.	00 (Ft) <b>V</b>	<b>Vidth:</b> 310.	00 (Ft) True Are	ea: 15,909.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work D	Description	C	ost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Co	omments
1/1/2007	NC-AC	New Construct	tion - AC		0.00	0.0	0		
Network:	SEBRING	REGIONA	Branch: AP	Γ-HANG	T-HAN	IGAR APR	Section	: 4125	Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2		se: APRON	Rank: P	Length:	400.			00 (Ft) True Are	ea: 29,215.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work D	Description	C	ost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Co	omments
1/1/2007	NC-AC	New Construct	tion - AC		0.00	0.0	0		
N	CEDDRIC	DEGIONA	D 1 1D		4 DD O	. T	g	4105	G & DCC
		REGIONA	Branch: AP	T 41	APRO		Section:		Surface: PCC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1	1	se: APRON	Rank: P	Length:	3,000.			00 (Ft) True Arc	ea: 954,796.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work D	Description	C	ost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Co	omments
1/1/1942	IMPORT ED	BUILT			0.00	8.0	0	1942: 8" PCC PA	VEMENT
1/1/1942		OVERLAY			0.00	0.0	0	SOIL: SM	
	ED								
	CEDDDIC	DECIONA	D 1 DW	01.10	DIDIN	7. V. O.1. 1.O.	g	c105	G 6 4G
		REGIONA	Branch: RW			'AY 01-19	Section:		Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	Work	se: RUNWAY	Rank: P	Length:	5,200.	Thickness	Vidth: 100.	00 (Ft) True Are	ea: 527,744.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Code	Work D	Description	C	ost	(in)	Major M&R	Co	omments
1/1/2013	CR-AC	*	onstruction - A		0.00	0.0		4 "P-401, 12" P-2	219, 12" P-160
1/1/2002	ST-SS	Surface Treatn	nent - Slurry Se	al	0.00	0.0			
1/1/1987	IMPORT	OVERLAY						ICAH - CM	
	ED				0.00	0.0		SOIL: SM	
1/1/1987		OVERLAY			0.00	0.0		ESTIMATE 1983	7 AC OVERLAY

Pavement Management System PAVER 7.0 TM

0.00

**V** 

8.00

1942: 8" PCC PAVEMENT

IMPORT BUILT ED

1/1/1942

Page 2 of 5

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network: SEBRING REGIONA **Branch:** RW 14-32 **RUNWAY 14-32** Section: 6205 Surface: AC **L.C.D.:** 1/1/2010 Use: RUNWAY Rank: S **Length:** 4,750.00 (Ft) **Width:** 100.00 (Ft) **True Area:** 479,927.00 (SqFt) Work Thickness Major **Work Date Work Description** Cost Comments Code (in) M&R 1/1/2010 CR-AC Complete Reconstruction - AC 0.00 0.00 lacksquare1/1/1942 IMPORT OVERLAY 0.00 0.00 V SOIL: SM ED 1/1/1942 IMPORT BUILT 0.00 1942: 8" PCC PAVEMENT 8.00 V ED

Network: SEBRING REGIONA TAXIWAY A1 Branch: TW A1 Section: 605 Surface: AAC L.C.D.: 1/1/2001 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P 200.00 (Ft) Width: 50.00 (Ft) **True Area:** 11,502.00 (SqFt) Length: Work Thickness Major **Work Date Work Description** Cost Comments Code (in) M&R 1/1/2001 ML-OL Mill and Overlay 0.00 0.00 ~ 1/1/1987 NU-IN New Construction - Initial 0.00 0.00 V

Network: SEBRING REGIONA TAXIWAY A1 Branch: TW A1 Section: 610 Surface: AAC Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P 200.00 (Ft) 55.00 (Ft) **True Area: L.C.D.:** 1/1/2013 Length: Width: 13,223.00 (SqFt) Work Thickness Major **Work Date Work Description** Cost **Comments** Code (in) M&R 1/1/2013 ML-OV MILL and OVERLAY 0.00 0.00 **Y** 1/1/2001 NU-IN 4" Bitum (p401), 12" recyc conc (219), 1 New Construction - Initial 0.00 0.00 V

 Network:
 SEBRING REGIONA
 Branch:
 TW A2
 TAXIWAY A2
 Section:
 105
 Surface:
 APC

 L.C.D.:
 1/1/2013
 Use:
 TAXIWAY
 Rank:
 P
 Length:
 500.00 (Ft)
 Width:
 35.00 (Ft)
 True Area:
 19,296.00 (SqFt)

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2013	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	>	Estimated construction date base on resp
1/1/1987	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	>	SOIL: SM
1/1/1987	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	3.00		1987: MINIMUM 3" P-401 SURFACE ON 1"-1.5" P-401 LEVELING COURS
1/1/1942	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	8.00	>	1942: 8" PCC SLABS

Network: SEBRING REGIONA Branch: TW A2 TAXIWAY A2 Section: 110 Surface: APC

L.C.D.: 1/1/1987 Use: TAXIWAY Rank: P Length: 400.00 (Ft) Width: 50.00 (Ft) True Area: 24,061.00 (SqFt)

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/1987	OL-MR	Overlay	0.00	0.00	<b>V</b>	
1/1/1942	NC-PC	New Construction - PCC	0.00	0.00		"1942:8" PCC SLABS"

 Network:
 SEBRING REGIONA
 Branch:
 TW A2
 TAXIWAY A2
 Section:
 115
 Surface:
 APC

 L.C.D.:
 1/1/1987
 Use:
 TAXIWAY
 Rank:
 P
 Length:
 50.00 (Ft)
 Width:
 50.00 (Ft)
 True Area:
 3,298.00 (SqFt)

Work Thickness Major **Work Date Work Description** Cost **Comments** Code (in) M&R 1/1/1987 Overlay 0.00 OL-MR 0.00 ~ 1/1/1942 New Construction - PCC 0.00 "1942:8" PCC SLABS" NC-PC 0.00 

Page 3 of 5

Pavement Database: FDOT

Notrople	CEDDING	REGIONA	Branch: TW	A 2	TAVI	WAY A3		Section:	205		Surface: APC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1		se: TAXIWAY		Length:			Wid			True Area:	
	Work					Thicknes		Major	30 (1 t)		
Work Date	Code		escription	Co		(in)		M&R			ments
1/1/1987	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY			0.00	0.	00		SOIL:	SM	
1/1/1987	IMPORT	OVERLAY			0.00	3.	00				A P-401 SURFACE
1/1/1942	ED IMPORT	lriii t			0.00	8	00			-1.5" P-401 L 8" PCC SLAF	EVELING COURS
1,1,1,12	ED	BOILI			0.00	0.		<u> </u>	17.2.0		
Nadamada	CEDDING	DECIONA	D	4.2	TAXIX	X/A X/ A 2		G4'	210		Santa ARC
			Branch: TW			WAY A3	*****	Section:		T A	<b>Surface:</b> APC 16,931.00 (SqFt)
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	Work	se: TAXIWAY	Kank; P	Length:	200.	.00 (Ft)			JU (FI)	True Area:	10,931.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Code	Work D	escription	Co	st	(in)	SS	Major M&R		Com	ments
1/1/2013		MILL and OVE			0.00		00	<b>(</b>			
1/1/1987		MILL and OVI			0.00		00	<b>&gt;</b>	1987: 3	3" MINIMUN	1 P-401 SURFACE
1/1/1942	NC-PC	New Construct	ion - PCC		0.00	0.	00	<b>V</b>			
Network: SEBRING REGIONA Branch: TW A3 TAXIWAY A3 Section: 215 Surface: APC											
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1		se: TAXIWAY		Length:	50	.00 (Ft)	Wid			True Area:	2,587.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work	Work D	escription	Co	set	Thicknes	SS	Major		Com	ments
1/1/1987	Code IMPORT	OVERLAY			0.00	(in)	00	M&R ✓	1987- 3		1 P-401 SURFACE
	ED								ON 1"-	-1.5" P-401 L	EVELING COURS
1/1/1987	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY			0.00	0.	00	<b>V</b>	SOIL:	SM	
1/1/1942	IMPORT	BUILT			0.00	8.	00	<b>&gt;</b>	1942: 8	8" PCC SLAE	BS
	ED										
Network:	SEBRING	REGIONA	Branch: TW	A	TAXIV	WAY ALP	Н	Section:	405		Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	001 Us	se: TAXIWAY	Rank: P	Length:	3,700	.00 (Ft)	Wid	lth: 50.0	00 (Ft)	True Area:	191,244.00 (SqFt
Work Date	Work Code	Work D	escription	Co	st	Thicknes	SS	Major M&R		Com	ments
1/1/2001	NU-IN	New Construct	ion - Initial		0.00	(in) 4.	00		4" AC/	6" AB/ 12" A	ASB
Network:	SEBRING	REGIONA	Branch: TW	A	TAXIV	WAY ALP	Н	Section:	420		Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2		se: TAXIWAY	Rank: P	Length:	1,110		Wid	1	00 (Ft)	True Area:	55,719.00 (SqFt
Work Date	Work Code	Work D	escription	Co	st	Thicknes (in)	SS	Major M&R		Com	ments
1/1/2003	NC-AC	New Construct	ion - AC		0.00		00	<b>V</b>			
			Branch: TW			WAY ALP		Section:		TD A	Surface: AAC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	010 Us Work	se: TAXIWAY	Kank: P	Length:	450	.00 (Ft)	Wid	lth: 50.0 Major	JU (Ft)	True Area:	26,514.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Code		escription	Co	st	(in)	5.5	M&R		Com	ments
1/1/2010	ML-OV	MILL and OVI			0.00		00				
1/1/2001	NU-IN	New Construct	ion - Initial		0.00	0.	00				

## Work History Report Pavement Database: FDOT

Page 4 of 5

Network:							320	Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	003 Us	se: TAXIWAY Rank: P	Length:	200	.00 (Ft) <b>Wi</b>	dth: 40.	00 (Ft) True Area:	9,745.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Co	ost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Com	ments
1/1/2003	NC-AC	New Construction - AC		0.00	0.00	<b>V</b>	Anticipated Work	
1/1/1975	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY		0.00	0.00		SOIL: SM	
1/1/1975	IMPORT ED	BUILT		0.00	1.00	<b>V</b>	1" AC OVERLAY -	ESTIMATE 1975
1/1/1942	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY		0.00	8.00		1942: 8" PCC PAVE	EMENT
Network: SEBRING REGIONA Branch: TW A4 TAXIWAY A4 Section: 325 Surface: AAC								
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	013 Us	se: TAXIWAY Rank: P	Length:	225	.00 (Ft) <b>Wi</b>	<b>dth:</b> 60.	00 (Ft) True Area:	15,390.00 (SqFt)

Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2013	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<b>V</b>	
1/1/2003	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00		4" Bitum (p401),12" recycl conc.base(21

Network:	SEBRING	REGIONA Branch: TW C	TAXIV	WAY C	Section:	305 Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	00 (Ft) <b>True Area:</b> 35,167.00 (SqFt)					
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2010	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00	~	Anticipated Work
1/1/1975	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	1.00		1" AC OVERLAY - ESTIMATE 1975
1/1/1975	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00		SOIL: SM
1/1/1942	IMPORT	OVERLAY	0.00	8.00	<b>V</b>	1942: 8" PCC PAVEMENT

Network:	SEBRING	REGIONA Branch: TW C	TAXI	WAY C	Section:	315 S	Surface: AC
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/2	010 Us	se: TAXIWAY Rank: P L	ength: 700	.00 (Ft) <b>Wi</b>	dth: 40.	00 (Ft) True Area:	30,239.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comme	ents
1/1/2010	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00	<b>V</b>	Anticipated Work	
1/1/1942	IMPORT ED	OVERLAY	0.00	0.00		SOIL: SM	
1/1/1942	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	8.00		1942: 8" PCC PAVEM	IENT

Network:	SEBRING	REGIONA	<b>Branch:</b> TW T-HANG TAXIWAY T-HA <b>Section:</b> 505				505 Surfa	05 Surface: AC	
<b>L.C.D.:</b> 1/1/1	L.C.D.: 1/1/1995 Use: TAXILAN Rank: P Length: 1,600.00 (Ft) Width: 20.00 (Ft) True Area: 34,611.00 (SqFt)								
Work Date	Work Code	Work I	Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments		
1/1/1995	IMPORT ED	BUILT		0.00	0.00	>	ESTIMATE 1995 AC PAV	EMENT	

Page 5 of 5

Pavement Database: FDOT

#### **Summary:**

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
BUILT	10	2,119,785.00	5.80	3.37
Complete Reconstruction - AC	2	1,007,671.00	0.00	0.00
MILL and OVERLAY	7	119,787.00	0.00	0.00
New Construction - AC	8	334,541.00	0.00	0.00
New Construction - Initial	6	289,824.00	1.33	1.89
New Construction - PCC	3	44,290.00	0.00	0.00
OVERLAY	17	2,732,745.00	1.47	2.64
Surface Treatment - Slurry Seal	1	527,744.00	0.00	0.00

#### Page 1 of 2

Pavement Database: FDOT

Branch ID	Number of Sections	Sum Section Length (Ft)	Avg Section Width (Ft)	True Area (SqFt)	Use	Average PCI	Standard Deviation PCI	Weighted Average PCI
AP	1	3,000.00	300.00	954,796.00	APRON	26.00	0.00	26.00
AP RU	2	500.00	125.00	65,491.00	APRON	77.50	7.50	77.68
AP T-HAN	3	1,250.00	176.67	170,131.00	APRON	74.00	3.56	72.48
RW 01-19	1	5,200.00	100.00	527,744.00	RUNWAY	93.00	0.00	93.00
RW 14-32	1	4,750.00	100.00	479,927.00	RUNWAY	78.00	0.00	78.00
TW A	3	5,260.00	50.00	273,477.00	TAXIWAY	84.00	0.82	83.40
TW A1	2	400.00	52.50	24,725.00	TAXIWAY	85.00	9.00	85.63
TW A2	3	950.00	45.00	46,655.00	TAXIWAY	69.33	23.89	81.20
TW A3	3	600.00	63.33	45,191.00	TAXIWAY	71.33	21.64	83.22
TW A4	2	425.00	50.00	25,135.00	TAXIWAY	85.50	5.50	86.74
TW C	2	1,500.00	40.00	65,406.00	TAXIWAY	82.00	7.00	81.47
TW T-HAN	1	1,600.00	20.00	34,611.00	TAXILANE	49.00	0.00	49.00

8/3/2017	<b>Branch Condition Report</b>	Page 2 of 2
	Pavement Database: FDOT	

Use Category	Number of Sections	Total Area (SqFt)	Arithmetic Average PCI	Average STD PCI	Weighted Average PCI
APRON	6	1190418.00036388	67.17	19.14	35.49
RUNWAY	2	1007671.00030802	85.50	7.50	85.86
TAXILANE	1	34611.0000105798	49.00	0.00	49.00
TAXIWAY	15	480589.000136302	78.60	16.62	83.19
ALL	24	2713289.00081879	75.08	18.16	62.82

Pavement Database: FDOT

NetworkId: SEF

Pavement Data	buse. I DOI		Networkia: SEF							
Branch ID	Section ID	Last Const. Date	Surface	Use	Rank	Lanes	True Area (SqFt)	Last Inspection Date	Age At Inspec tion	
AP	4105	1/1/1942	PCC	APRON	Р	0	954,796.00	4/5/2017	75	26
AP RU	415	1/1/2003	AC	APRON	Р	0	33,540.00	4/5/2017	14	85
AP RU	5110	1/1/2001	AC	APRON	Т	0	31,951.00	4/5/2017	16	70
AP T-HANG	4115	1/1/2007	AC	APRON	Р	0	125,007.00	4/5/2017	10	72
AP T-HANG	4120	1/1/2007	AC	APRON	Р	0	15,909.00	4/5/2017	10	79
AP T-HANG	4125	1/1/2007	AC	APRON	Р	0	29,215.00	4/5/2017	10	71
RW 01-19	6105	1/1/2013	AC	RUNWAY	Р	0	527,744.00	4/5/2017	4	93
RW 14-32	6205	1/1/2010	AC	RUNWAY	S	0	479,927.00	4/5/2017	7	78
TW A	405	1/1/2001	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	191,244.00	4/5/2017	16	
TW A	420	1/1/2003	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	55,719.00	4/5/2017	14	
TW A	422	1/1/2010	AAC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	26,514.00	4/5/2017	7	85
TW A1	605	1/1/2001	AAC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	11,502.00	4/5/2017	16	76
TW A1	610	1/1/2013	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	13,223.00	4/5/2017	4	94
TW A2	105	1/1/2013	APC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	19,296.00	4/5/2017	4	_
TW A2	110	1/1/1987	APC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	24,061.00		30	
TW A2	115	1/1/1987	APC	TAXIWAY	P	0	3,298.00	4/5/2017	30	37
TW A3	205	1/1/1987	APC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	25,673.00	4/5/2017	30	
TW A3	210	1/1/2013	APC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	16,931.00	4/5/2017	4	90
TW A3	215	1/1/1987	APC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	2,587.00	4/5/2017	30	41
TW A4	320	1/1/2003	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	9,745.00	4/5/2017	14	
TW A4	325	1/1/2013	AAC	TAXIWAY	P	0	15,390.00	4/5/2017	4	91
TW C	305	1/1/2010	AC	TAXIWAY	Р	0	35,167.00	4/5/2017	7	75
TW C	315	1/1/2010	AC	TAXIWAY	P	0	30,239.00	4/5/2017	7	89
TW T-HANG	505	1/1/1995	AC	TAXILANE	Р	0	34,611.00	4/5/2017	22	49


8/3/2017	Section Condition Report (Summary)	Page 2 of 2
	Pavement Database: FDOT	

Age Category	Average Age at Inspection	Total Area (SqFt)	Number of Sections	Arithmetic Average PCI	Standard Deviation PCI	Weighted Average PCI
03-05	4	592,584.00	5	92.40	1.62	92.92
06-10	8	741,978.00	7	78.43	6.14	77.29
11-15	14	99,004.00	3	83.00	2.16	83.95
16-20	16	234,697.00	3	76.33	5.31	80.89
21-25	22	34,611.00	1	49.00	0.00	49.00
26-30	30	55,619.00	4	59.50	20.66	75.72
ALL	16	2,713,289.00	24	75.08	18.16	62.82
Over 50	75	954,796.00	1	26.00	0.00	26.00



# Appendix B

Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and Major Rehabilitation



2017





#### Table B-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair Needs based on Current Condition

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Code	Description	Severity	Distress Qty	Distress Unit	Percent Distress	Work Description	Work Qty	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
SEF	AP	4105	62	CORNER BREAK	Low	74.97	Slabs	2.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	614.83	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 2,620.00
SEF	AP	4105	62	CORNER BREAK	Medium	18.74	Slabs	0.6%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	604.93	SqFt	\$ 100.00	\$ 60,530.00
SEF	AP	4105	63	LINEAR CR	Medium	1480.64	Slabs	48.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	27762.14	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 117,990.00
SEF	AP	4105	63	LINEAR CR	High	18.74	Slabs	0.6%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	1152.81	SqFt	\$ 72.00	\$ 83,020.00
SEF	AP	4105	65	JT SEAL DMG	Low	899.63	Slabs	29.5%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	30832.02	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 84,790.00
SEF	AP	4105	65	JT SEAL DMG	Medium	2155.37	Slabs	70.6%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	73868.11	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 203,140.00
SEF	AP	4105	66	SMALL PATCH	Medium	149.94	Slabs	4.9%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	403.65	SqFt	\$ 72.00	\$ 29,060.00
SEF	AP	4105	66	SMALL PATCH	High	93.71	Slabs	3.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	251.88	SqFt	\$ 72.00	\$ 18,160.00
SEF	AP	4105	67	LARGE PATCH	Medium	37.48	Slabs	1.2%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	4611.26	SqFt	\$ 100.00	\$ 461,180.00
SEF	AP	4105	72	SHAT. SLAB	Low	112.45	Slabs	3.7%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	4216.86	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 17,930.00
SEF	AP	4105	72	SHAT. SLAB	Medium	93.71	Slabs	3.1%	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	29285.37	SqFt	\$ 30.00	\$ 878,550.00
SEF	AP	4105	74	JOINT SPALL	Low	224.91	Slabs	7.4%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	369.09	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 1,570.00
SEF	AP	4105	74	JOINT SPALL	Medium	187.42	Slabs	6.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	1210.94	SqFt	\$ 72.00	\$ 87,160.00
SEF	AP	4105	75	CORNER SPALL	Low	243.65	Slabs	8.0%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	399.61	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 1,700.00
SEF	AP	4105	75	CORNER SPALL	Medium	18.74	Slabs	0.6%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	50.59	SqFt	\$ 72.00	\$ 3,640.00
SEF	AP RU	415	52	RAVELING	Low	1681.11	SqFt	5.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	1681.32	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 930.00
SEF	AP RU	5110	52	RAVELING	Low	15975.47	SqFt	50.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	15975.8	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 8,790.00
SEF	AP T-HANG	4115	45	DEPRESSION	Low	2743.72	SqFt	2.2%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	2959	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 17,760.00
SEF	AP T-HANG	4115	48	L&TCR	Medium	681.56	Ft	0.6%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	681.43	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 2,050.00
SEF	AP T-HANG	4115	52	RAVELING	Low	12941.13	SqFt	10.4%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	12941.45	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 7,120.00
SEF	AP T-HANG	4120	52	RAVELING	Low	1590.91	SqFt	10.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	1590.91	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 880.00
SEF	AP T-HANG	4125	52	RAVELING	Low	11685.95	SqFt	40.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	11686.38	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 6,430.00
SEF	RW 14-32	6205	45	DEPRESSION	Low	452.73	SqFt	0.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	542.5	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 3,260.00
SEF	RW 14-32	6205	48	L&TCR	Medium	1115.91	Ft	0.2%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	1115.81	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 3,350.00
SEF	RW 14-32	6205	52	RAVELING	Low	182.99	SqFt	0.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	182.99	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 110.00
SEF	TW A	405	52	RAVELING	Low	19124.35	SqFt	10.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	19124.24	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 10,520.00
SEF	TW A	420	52	RAVELING	Low	1285.75	SqFt	2.3%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	1285.21	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 710.00
SEF	TW A	422	52	RAVELING	Low	530.23	SqFt	2.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	530.66	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 300.00
SEF	TW A1	605	52	RAVELING	Low	2300.36	SqFt	20.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	2300.25	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 1,270.00
SEF	TW A2	110	45	DEPRESSION	Low	237.88	SqFt	1.0%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	303.54	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 1,830.00
SEF	TW A2	110	52	RAVELING	Low	1204.59	SqFt	5.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	1204.48	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 670.00
SEF	TW A2	115	47	JT REF. CR	Medium	300	Ft	9.1%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	299.87	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 900.00
SEF	TW A2	115	48	L&TCR	Medium	20.01	Ft	0.6%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	20.01	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 60.00
SEF	TW A2	115	52	RAVELING	Low	330.02	SqFt	10.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	330.45	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 190.00
SEF	TW A3	205	52	RAVELING	Low	514.95	SqFt	2.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	514.51	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 290.00
SEF	TW A3	215	47	JT REF. CR	Medium	200	Ft	7.7%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	200.13	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 600.00
SEF	TW A3	215	48	L&TCR	Medium	125	Ft	4.8%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	125	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 380.00
SEF	TW A4	320	52	RAVELING	Low	486.53	SqFt	5.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	486.53	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 270.00

Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program Airport Pavement Evaluation Report

2017

Sebring Regional Airport (SEF)





Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Code	Description	Severity	Distress Qty	Distress Unit	Percent Distress	Work Description	Work Qty	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
SEF	TW A4	325	52	RAVELING	Low	152.74	SqFt	1.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	152.85	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 90.00
SEF	TW C	305	48	L & T CR	Medium	609.32	Ft	1.7%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	609.25	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 1,830.00
SEF	TW T-HANG	505	52	RAVELING	Low	34611.03	SqFt	100.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	34611.35	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 19,040.00






#### Table B-2 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Planning Needs at Section Level

Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	SEF	AP	4105	PCC	954,796	25	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 14,323,000.00
2018	SEF	TW A2	115	APC	3,298	33	AC Reconstruction	\$ 30,000.00
2018	SEF	TW A3	215	APC	2,587	39	AC Restoration	\$ 24,000.00
2018	SEF	TW T-HANG	505	AC	34,611	47	AC Restoration	\$ 258,000.00
2021	SEF	AP RU	5110	AC	31,951	64	AC Restoration	\$ 224,000.00
2022	SEF	AP T-HANG	4115	AC	125,007	64	AC Restoration	\$ 876,000.00
2022	SEF	AP T-HANG	4125	AC	29,215	63	AC Restoration	\$ 205,000.00
2026	SEF	RW 14-32	6205	AC	479,927	63	AC Restoration	\$ 3,360,000.00
2027	SEF	AP T-HANG	4120	AC	15,909	63	AC Restoration	\$ 112,000.00
2027	SEF	TW C	305	AC	35,167	64	AC Restoration	\$ 247,000.00




# Appendix C

Technical Exhibits








### CONSTRUCTION SINCE LAST INSPECTION & ANTICIPATED CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY


& ANTICIPATED CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY											
CONSTRUCTION YEAR	LOCATION	WORK TYPE / PAVEMENT SECTION									
2013	RW 1-19	RECONSTRUCTION/ 4" P-401, 12" P-219, 12" P-160									
2013	TW A1, TW A2, TW A3, TW A4	MILL AND OVERLAY									




#### **LEGEND**



RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.









## Appendix D

Inspection Photograph Documentation








Runway 14-32, Section 6205, Sample Unit 101 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 14-32, Section 6205, Sample Unit 133 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (56) Swelling, Low Severity (57) Weathering







Runway 14-32, Section 6205, Sample Unit 170 - Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 14-32, Section 6205, Sample Unit 198 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (50) Patching, Low Severity (57) Weathering








Runway 01-19, Section 6105, Sample Unit 100 - Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 01-19, Section 6105, Sample Unit 157 - Low Severity (57) Weathering







Runway 01-19, Section 6105, Sample Unit 204 – Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway A, Section 405, Sample Unit 207 - Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering





Taxiway A, Section 405, Sample Unit 233 - Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway A, Section 420, Sample Unit 254 - Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering








Apron, Section 4105, Sample Unit 114 - Medium Severity (72) Shattered Slab



Apron, Section 4105, Sample Unit 301 – Medium Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Medium Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage







Apron, Section 4105, Sample Unit 429 - High Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking




T-Hangar Apron, Section 4125, Sample Unit 102 – Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



## Appendix E

Inspection Distress Details



**FDOT** 

Page 1 of 29

Gene	rated Date		8/3/2	017										Page 1 of 29
Netw	ork: SEF					Nan	ne: SEI	BRING REGI	ONA	L AIRPORT				
Bran	ch: AP		N	ame:	APRO	N		Use:	AP	PRON	Area:	95	54,796 SqFt	
Section	on: 4105	of	1	Fre	om:	-				To: -			Last Const.:	1/1/1942
Surfa	ice: PCC	Family:	C9N5	9-GA-AP-I	PCC	Zon	ie:			Category:			Rank: P	
Area	954,79	96 SqFt	]	Length:		3,000 I	₹t	Width:		300 Ft				
Slabs	3,055	Slab Leng	gth:		13 Ft		Slab Width:		25	Ft	J	oint Length:	104,700 F	t
Shou	lder:	Street Ty					Grade: 0				L	anes: 0		
Section	on Comments:													
	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1942	Wo	rk Tyj	oe: OVERI	LAY			Co	ode:	IMPORTED		Is Major M	<b>1&amp;R:</b> True	
Worl	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1942	Wo	ork Tyj	e: BUILT				Ce	ode:	IMPORTED		Is Major M	1&R: True	
Logt	Insp. Date: 4/5/2017			TotalCom	anles.	195		Surveye	al. 1	10				
				TotalSan	ipies:	193		Surveye	u: 1	10				
	litions: PCI: 26													
Inspe	ection Comments:													
Samp	ole Number: 114	Typ	e:	R	A	Area:	1	8.00 Slabs		<b>PCI:</b> 15				
Samp	ole Comments:													
62	CORNER BREAK		L		1.00	Slabs								
63	LINEAR CR		L		4.00									
63	LINEAR CR		M		5.00									
65	JT SEAL DMG		M		18.00	Slabs								
66	SMALL PATCH		L		4.00	Slabs Slabs								
66 71	SMALL PATCH FAULTING		M L		1.00 1.00	Slabs								
72	SHAT. SLAB		L		1.00									
72	SHAT. SLAB		M		4.00	Slabs								
73	SHRINKAGE CR		N		10.00	Slabs								
74	JOINT SPALL		L			Slabs								
Samp	ole Number: 206	Тур	e:	R		Area:	1	6.00 Slabs		<b>PCI:</b> 31				
_	ole Comments:													
62	CORNER BREAK		L		1.00	Slabs								
62	CORNER BREAK		M			Slabs								
63	LINEAR CR		L		3.00	Slabs								
63	LINEAR CR		M		6.00	Slabs								
65	JT SEAL DMG		M		16.00									
66	SMALL PATCH		M		1.00									
66	SMALL PATCH		Н			Slabs								
67	LARGE PATCH		L			Slabs								
71	FAULTING		L			Slabs								
73 74	SHRINKAGE CR		N		14.00									
74 74	JOINT SPALL JOINT SPALL		L M		4.00	Slabs Slabs								
7 <del>4</del> 75	CORNER SPALL		L			Slabs								
	ole Number: 222	Тур		R		Area:	1	6.00 Slabs		<b>PCI:</b> 21				
_		тур	٠.	Λ	I	MTCd.	1	0.00 51808		1 (1. 21				
_	ole Comments:													
62	CORNER BREAK		L			Slabs								
63	LINEAR CR		L			Slabs								
63	LINEAR CR		M		8.00									
65 66	JT SEAL DMG		L		16.00	Slabs Slabs								
66	SMALL PATCH		L M		4.00									
66 67	SMALL PATCH LARGE PATCH		M L			Slabs								
71	FAULTING		L		3.00									
72	SHAT. SLAB		L			Slabs								
73	SHRINKAGE CR		N N			Slabs								
74	JOINT SPALL		M			Slabs								
75	CORNER SPALL		L			Slabs							E-1	
													<u> </u>	

Samı	ole Number: 228	Type:		R	Area:	17.00 Slabs	PCI:	28		
	ole Comments:									
_	CORNER BREAK		т		1.00 Slabs					
62 63	LINEAR CR		L L		7.00 Slabs					
63	LINEAR CR		M		8.00 Slabs					
65	JT SEAL DMG		M		17.00 Slabs					
66	SMALL PATCH		Н		3.00 Slabs					
67	LARGE PATCH		L		3.00 Slabs					
73	SHRINKAGE CR		N		16.00 Slabs					
74	JOINT SPALL		L		1.00 Slabs					
74	JOINT SPALL		M		1.00 Slabs					
75	CORNER SPALL		L		1.00 Slabs					
Samı	ole Number: 301	Type:		R	Area:	16.00 Slabs	PCI:	23		
Samp	ole Comments:									
63	LINEAR CR		L		4.00 Slabs					
63	LINEAR CR		M		12.00 Slabs					
65	JT SEAL DMG		M		16.00 Slabs					
66	SMALL PATCH		L		2.00 Slabs					
70	SCALING		L		1.00 Slabs					
73	SHRINKAGE CR		N		13.00 Slabs					
75	CORNER SPALL		L		2.00 Slabs					
74	JOINT SPALL		M		1.00 Slabs					
75	CORNER SPALL		M		1.00 Slabs					
Samp	ole Number: 312	Type:		R	Area:	16.00 Slabs	PCI:	23		
Samp	ole Comments:									
63	LINEAR CR		L		6.00 Slabs					
63	LINEAR CR		M		9.00 Slabs					
65	JT SEAL DMG		M		16.00 Slabs					
66	SMALL PATCH		L		8.00 Slabs					
66	SMALL PATCH		Н		1.00 Slabs					
70	SCALING		L		2.00 Slabs					
72	SHAT. SLAB		M		1.00 Slabs					
73	SHRINKAGE CR		N		13.00 Slabs					
74	JOINT SPALL		M		1.00 Slabs					
Samp	ole Number: 414	Type:		R	Area:	16.00 Slabs	PCI:	31		
Samp	ole Comments:									
63	LINEAR CR		L		4.00 Slabs					
63	LINEAR CR		M		9.00 Slabs					
65	JT SEAL DMG		M		16.00 Slabs					
66	SMALL PATCH		L		2.00 Slabs					
66	SMALL PATCH		M		1.00 Slabs					
70	SCALING		L		1.00 Slabs					
72	SHAT. SLAB		L		1.00 Slabs					
73	SHRINKAGE CR		N		15.00 Slabs					
74	JOINT SPALL		L		1.00 Slabs					
Samp	ole Number: 420	Type:		R	Area:	16.00 Slabs	PCI:	32		
Samp	ole Comments:									
63	LINEAR CR		L		9.00 Slabs					
63	LINEAR CR		M		7.00 Slabs					
65	JT SEAL DMG		L		16.00 Slabs					
66	SMALL PATCH		L		4.00 Slabs					
73	SHRINKAGE CR		N		9.00 Slabs					
74	JOINT SPALL		L		1.00 Slabs					
75	CORNER SPALL		L		3.00 Slabs				 	
Samp	ole Number: 429	Type:		R	Area:	16.00 Slabs	PCI:	23	 	
Samp	ole Comments:									
63	LINEAR CR		L		4.00 Slabs					
63	LINEAR CR		M		10.00 Slabs					
63	LINEAR CR		Н		1.00 Slabs					
65	JT SEAL DMG		M		16.00 Slabs					
66	SMALL PATCH		M		1.00 Slabs				<b>-</b> -	
67	LARGE PATCH		L		2.00 Slabs				E-2	
67	LARGE PATCH		M		2.00 Slabs					

73 74 74 75	SHRINKAGE CR JOINT SPALL JOINT SPALL CORNER SPALL	L M	4.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs 2.00 Slabs			
Samp	ole Number: 505	Type: R	Area:	16.00 Slabs	<b>PCI:</b> 31	
Samp	ole Comments:					
66	SMALL PATCH	L	1.00 Slabs			
74	JOINT SPALL	M	1.00 Slabs			
63	LINEAR CR	L	8.00 Slabs			
63	LINEAR CR	M	5.00 Slabs			
65	JT SEAL DMG	L 1	6.00 Slabs			
67	LARGE PATCH	L	2.00 Slabs			
71	FAULTING	L	3.00 Slabs			
72	SHAT. SLAB	L	1.00 Slabs			
73	SHRINKAGE CR	N 1	3.00 Slabs			
74	JOINT SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs			
75	CORNER SPALL	L	1.00 Slabs			

Network:	SEF			Nan	ne: SEBRING RE	GIONAL AIRPORT		
Branch:	AP RU		Name:	RUN UP APR	ON Use	: APRON	Area:	65,491 SqFt
Section: 4	415	of	2 1	From: -		То: -		Last Const.: 1/1/2003
Surface: A	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-A	P-AC Zon	e:	Category:		Rank: P
Area:	33,	540 SqFt	Length:	200 F	t Width:	150 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Leng	gth:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Lengt	<b>h:</b> Ft
Shoulder:		Street Typ	pe:		Grade: 0		Lanes:	0
Section Con	nments:							
Work Date:	: 1/1/2003	Wo	rk Type: New	Construction - AC		Code: NC-AC	Is Majo	or M&R: True
Last Insp. D	Date: 4/5/201	7	TotalS	amples: 7	Surve	eyed: 1		
Conditions:	PCI: 85	i						
Inspection (	Comments:							
Sample Nur	<b>nber:</b> 103	Туре	e: R	Area:	3671.00 SqFt	PCI:	85	
Sample Con	nments:							
48 L&'	T CR		L	7.00 Ft				
52 RAV	'ELING		L	184.00 SqFt				
57 WEA	ATHERING		L	3487.00 SqFt				

Network:	SEF			Name:	SEBRING REGI	ONAL AIRPORT		
Branch:	AP RU		Name:	RUN UP APRON	Use:	APRON	Area:	65,491 SqFt
Section:	5110	0	f 2 I	From:		To:		Last Const.: 1/1/2001
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AI	P-AC Zone:		Category:		Rank: T
Area:		31,951 SqFt	Length:	300 Ft	Width:	100 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Len	ngth:	Ft Sla	ıb Width:	Ft	Joint Lengt	<b>h:</b> Ft
Shoulder:		Street T	ype:	Gr	rade: 0		Lanes: (	)
Section Co	mments:							
Work Date	e: 1/1/2001	W	ork Type: New	Construction - Initial	C	ode: NU-IN	Is Majo	r M&R: True
Last Insp.	<b>Date:</b> 4/5	/2017	TotalS	amples: 6	Surveye	<b>d:</b> 1		
Conditions	s: PCI:	70						
Inspection	Comments	s <b>:</b>						
Sample Nu	ımber: 30	)1 <b>Ty</b> ]	pe: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI:	70	
Sample Co	omments:							
48 L&	T CR		L	48.00 Ft				
52 RA	VELING		L	2500.00 SqFt				
57 WE	EATHERING	G	L	2500.00 SqFt				

Netw	ork: SEF				Na	me: SI	BRING REGI	ONAL AIRPOR	Т			
Bran	ch: AP T-HANG	j	Name:	T-HA	NGAR	APRON	Use:	APRON	Area:	1	70,131 SqFt	
Secti	on: 4115	of	3	From:	-			То: -			Last Const.:	1/1/2007
Surfa	nce: AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-	AP-AC	Zoi	ne:		Category:			Rank: P	
Area	: 125,0	007 SqFt	Lengtl	ı:	800	Ft	Width:	150 F	t			
Slabs		Slab Leng	th:	Ft		Slab Width	:	Ft	Joi	int Length:	I	₹t
Shou	lder:	Street Ty	e:			Grade:	0		La	nes: 0		
-	on Comments:	21200 - 51										
Wor	k Date: 1/1/2007	Wo	rk Type: Ne	w Construction	on - AC	2	C	ode: NC-AC		Is Major I	M&R: True	
Last	Insp. Date: 4/5/201	7	Tota	lSamples:	24		Surveye	ed: 3				
Cond	litions: PCI: 72											
Inspe	ection Comments:											
Samı	ole Number: 101	Туре	: R		Area:	50	38.00 SqFt	PCI:	67			
	ole Comments:	<b>3 F</b>					1					
45	DEPRESSION		L	216.00	SaFt							
48	L & T CR		L	24.00								
48	L & T CR		M	26.00	Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	4484.00	SqFt							
52	RAVELING		L	554.00	SqFt							
Samj	ole Number: 204	Туре	: R	A	Area:	36	43.00 SqFt	PCI:	66			
Samj		ample area/loc yout was upda					on. Section's sa ls.	ample				
45	DEPRESSION		L	98.00	SqFt							
48	L & T CR		L	61.00	Ft							
48	L & T CR		M	27.00	Ft							
52	RAVELING		L	364.00	SqFt							
57	WEATHERING		L	3279.00	SqFt							
Samj	ole Number: 403	Туре	: R	A	Area:	56	25.00 SqFt	PCI:	79			
Samj		ample area/loc yout was upda					on. Section's sa ls.	ample				
48	L & T CR		L	32.00	Ft							
48	L & T CR		M	25.00								
	WEATHERING		L	5062.00								
57												

Network:	SEF				Name: Sl	EBRING REGI	ONAL AIRPORT		
Branch:	AP T-H	ANG	Name:	T-HANG	AR APRON	Use:	APRON	Area:	170,131 SqFt
Section:	4120	(	of 3	From: -			То: -		Last Const.: 1/1/2007
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA	-AP-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank: P
Area:		15,909 SqFt	Lengt	h:	50 Ft	Width:	310 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Le	ngth:	Ft	Slab Width	ı <b>:</b>	Ft	Joint Leng	<b>gth:</b> Ft
Shoulder:		Street T	ype:		Grade:	0		Lanes:	0
Section Co	mments:								
Work Date	e: 1/1/2007	W	ork Type: N	ew Construction -	AC	C	ode: NC-AC	Is Maj	or M&R: True
Last Insp.	<b>Date:</b> 4/5/2	2017	Tota	alSamples: 4		Surveye	<b>d:</b> 1		
Conditions	s: PCI:	79							
Inspection	Comments:	:							
Sample Nu	ımber: 101	1 Ty	pe: R	Area	a: 41	00.00 SqFt	PCI: 79	9	
Sample Co	mments:								
48 L&	: T CR		L	137.00 Ft					
57 WE	ATHERING	3	L	3690.00 Sc	ıFt .				
52 RA	VELING		L	410.00 Sq	Ft				

Network:	SEF			Nam	e: SEBRING REG	IONAL AIRPORT		
Branch:	AP T-HANG	•	Name:	T-HANGAR A	PRON Use:	APRON	Area:	170,131 SqFt
Section:	4125	of	3 <b>F</b>	rom: -		То: -		Last Const.: 1/1/2007
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP	-AC <b>Zone</b>	:	Category:		Rank: P
Area:	29,2	15 SqFt	Length:	400 Ft	Width:	70 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Leng	gth:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Leng	th: Ft
Shoulder:		Street Typ	pe:		Grade: 0		Lanes:	0
Section Co	mments:							
Work Date	: 1/1/2007	Wor	rk Type: New 0	Construction - AC	C	Code: NC-AC	Is Maj	or M&R: True
Last Insp. l	Date: 4/5/2017		TotalSa	mples: 5	Survey	ed: 1		
Conditions	<b>PCI:</b> 71							
Inspection	Comments:							
Sample Nu	mber: 102	Туре	e: R	Area:	6000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 7	1	
Sample Co	mments:							
48 L&	T CR		L	110.00 Ft				
	VELING		L	2400.00 SqFt				
57 WE	ATHERING		L	3600.00 SqFt				

		1,7	CEDDING PEC	ONAL AIDDORT		
						a F
	Name:		Use:			
						Const.: 1/1/2013
•			337.141.		Kank	: P
-	_				Inima I amadha	E4
_				Fl	_	Ft
Street Type.		Grade	. 0		Lanes. 0	
<b>XX</b> 71- 7	T D.I.			L. L. DADODTED	I.M.'MeD.	T
Work 7	Type: Sur	rface Treatment - Slurry Seal	C	ode: ST-SS	Is Major M&R:	False
Work 7	Type: Co	mplete Reconstruction - AC	C	ode: CR-AC	Is Major M&R:	True
	Tota	ISamples: 105	Surveye	ed: 20		
Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94		
	L	5000.00 SqFt				
Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94		
	L	5000.00 SqFt				
Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94		
	L	5000.00 SqFt				
Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94		
	L	5000.00 SqFt				
Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94		
	L	5000.00 SqFt				
Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94		
	L	5000.00 SqFt				
Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94		
	L	5000.00 SqFt				
Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94		
_			-			
	L	5000.00 SqFt				
Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94		
, F			1	2		
	I.	5000 00 SaFt				
		-	5000.00 SaFt	PCI: 94		
1, pc.		micu.	2000.00 bqi t	101.		
	т	5000 00 S-E-				
	L	SUUU.UU SQFt			E	E-9
	SqFt Slab Length: Street Type:  Work Work Work Type:  Type:  Type:  Type:  Type:  Type:  Type:	Family: C9N59-GA-SqFt Length Slab Length: Street Type:  Work Type: OV Work Type: OV Work Type: Co  Work Type: Co  Tota  Type: R  L  Type: R	From: -   Family: C9N59-GA-RW-AC   Zone:     SqFt	Name	Name	Name

Sample Number: 157	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 163	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 94	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 167	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 92	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
48 L & T CR		L	4.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 170	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 90	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
48 L & T CR		L	21.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 180	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 184	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 94	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 188	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 94	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 193	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 94	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 198	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 90	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
48 L & T CR		L	30.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 204	Type:	R	Area:	3500.00 SqFt	PCI: 94	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
57 WEATHERING		L	3500.00 SqFt			

Netwo	rk: SEF				Name:	SEBRING RE	GIONA	L AIRPORT				
Brancl	h: RW 14-32		Name:	RUNW	AY 14-32	Use	: RU	JNWAY	Are	<b>a:</b> 479	9,927 SqFt	
ection	<b>1:</b> 6205	of 1		From: -				То: -			Last Const.:	1/1/2010
urfac	e: AC	Family: C9	N59-GA-	RW-AC	Zone:			Category:			Rank: S	
Area:	479,92	7 SqFt	Lengtl	<b>1:</b> 4	,750 Ft	Width:		100 Ft				
Slabs:	1,549	Slab Length		13 Ft	Slab W		25	Ft		Joint Length:	43,900 Ft	
Should		Street Type:			Grade	: 0				Lanes: 0		
Section	n Comments:											
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1942	Work	Type: O	VERLAY			Code:	IMPORTED	)	Is Major M	&R: True	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1942	Work	Type: BU	JILT			Code:	IMPORTED	)	Is Major M	&R: True	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/2010	Work	Type: Co	omplete Recons	truction - AC		Code:	CR-AC		Is Major M	&R: True	
ast Ir	nsp. Date: 4/5/2017		Tota	lSamples: 9	7	Surve	yed: 2	21				
Condi	tions: PCI: 78											
nspec	tion Comments:											
Sampl	e Number: 101	Type:	R	Aı	rea:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	32			
Sampl	e Comments:											
18	L & T CR		L	201.00	Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00	SqFt							
Sampl	e Number: 105	Type:	R	Aı	rea:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	80			
Sampl	e Comments:											
18	L & T CR		L	266.00	Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00	SqFt							
-	e Number: 110	Type:	R	Aı	rea:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	78			
Sampl	e Comments:											
	L & T CR		L	297.00								
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00		5000 00 G T		DOI /	70			
_	e Number: 114	Type:	R	Ai	rea:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	19			
_	e Comments:											
48 57	L & T CR WEATHERING		L L	284.00 5000.00								
	e Number: 121	Type:	R		rea:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	74			
_	e Comments:	1, pc.		111	· cu·	3000.00 Bqr t		101.	, ,			
-			т.	200.00	F.							
	L & T CR L & T CR		L M	290.00 50.00								
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00								
Sampl	e Number: 128	Type:	R	Aı	rea:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	77			
Sampl	e Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	200.00	Ft							
	L & T CR		M	19.00								
57	WEATHERING	T	L	5000.00		5000 00 g-F		DCT.	70			
_	e Number: 133	Type:	R	Ai	rea:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	19			
_	e Comments:		_		_							
48 56	L & T CR SWELLING		L L	232.00 19.00								
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00								
Sampl	e Number: 138	Type:	R		rea:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	70			
Sampl	e Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	327.00	Ft							
48	L & T CR		M	25.00	Ft							
52 57	RAVELING WEATHERING		L L	40.00	-							
JI	WEATHERING		L	4960.00	oqr.ı						E-11	

Sample Number: 142	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 72	
Sample Comments:						
_			220.00 E			
48 L & T CR 48 L & T CR		L M	329.00 Ft 50.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 145	Type:	R		5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 74	
_	Type.	10	Aica.	3000.00 Sq1 t	1 CI, 74	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
48 L & T CR		L	407.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 149	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 75	
Sample Comments:						
40 I 0 T CD		•	260.00 E			
48 L & T CR 48 L & T CR		L M	260.00 Ft 40.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 154	Type:	R		5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 78	
_	Type.	10	Alca.	3000.00 Sqr t	1 C1. 70	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
48 L & T CR		L	295.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 158	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 83	
Sample Comments:						
_						
48 L & T CR		L	198.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 165	Type:	R	Area:	4938.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 79	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
48 L & T CR		L	138.00 Ft			
48 L & T CR		M	30.00 Ft			
56 SWELLING		L	8.00 SqFt			
57 WEATHERING		L	4938.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 170	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 79	
Sample Comments:				•		
-						
48 L & T CR		L	288.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 173	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 79	
<b>Sample Comments:</b>						
48 L & T CR		L	278.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 177	Type:	R		5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 78	
	Type.		i iii.	2000.00 Bqr t	701. 70	
Sample Comments:						
48 L & T CR		L	198.00 Ft			
48 L & T CR		M	30.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 184	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 81	
Sample Comments:						
48 L & T CR		T	234.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L L	5000.00 SqFt			
	T			5000 00 C-E4	DOL 01	
Sample Number: 191	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 81	
Sample Comments:						
48 L & T CR		L	234.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			
Sample Number: 194	Type:	R		5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 84	
Sample Comments:	J.F.			<b>1</b>		
48 L & T CR		L	169.00 Ft			
57 WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt			E-12

Samp	ple Number: 198	Type:	R	A	rea:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI:	76
Samp	ple Comments:							
50	PATCHING	L		18.00	SqFt			
45	DEPRESSION	L		99.00	SqFt			
48	L & T CR	L		195.00	Ft			
57	WEATHERING	L		4982.00	SqFt			

Bran	ch: T	W A			Na	me:	TAXIWAY	ALPHA	Use	: TA	XIWAY	A	rea:	273,4	77 SqFt	
Section	on: 405			of 3		Fı	rom:				To:			L	ast Const	1/1/200
Surfa	ice: AC		Family	C:	9N59	-GA-TW	-AC Zo	one:			Category:			R	ank: P	
Area	:	19	1,244 SqFt		L	ength:	3,700	Ft	Width:		50 F					
Slabs	:		Slab L	ength	:		Ft	Slab Wi	dth:		Ft		Joint Length	ı:		Ft
Shou	lder:		Street	Туре				Grade:	0				Lanes: 0	)		
Section	on Comme	ents:														
Work	Date: 1/	1/2001	,	Work	Туре	: New C	Construction - Ir	itial		Code:	NU-IN		Is Major	r M&l	R: True	
Last	Insp. Date	: 4/5/2	017			TotalSa	mples: 38		Surve	yed: 4						
Cond	itions:	PCI:	83													
Inspe	ection Com	ments:														
Samn	ole Numbe	r: 207	T	ype:		R	Area:		5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	76				
_	ole Comme								1							
48	L & T C	R			L		96.00 Ft									
52	RAVEL				L		1000.00 SqFt									
57	WEATH				L		4000.00 SqFt									
Samp	ole Numbe	r: 214	Т	ype:		R	Area:		5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	76				
Samp	ole Comme	ents:														
48	L&TC	R			L		49.00 Ft									
52	RAVEL	NG			L		1000.00 SqFt									
57	WEATH	ERING			L		4000.00 SqFt									
Samp	ole Numbe	r: 227	T	ype:		R	Area:		5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90				
Samp	ole Comme	ents:														
48	L&TC	R			L		32.00 Ft									
57	WEATH	ERING			L		5000.00 SqFt									
Samp	ole Numbe	r: 233	Т	ype:		R	Area:		5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90				
Samp	ole Comme	ents:														
48	L&TC	R			L		36.00 Ft									
57	WEATH	ERING			L		5000.00 SqFt									

Name:

SEBRING REGIONAL AIRPORT

SEF

Network:

Network: SEF		Name:	SEBRING REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT		
Branch: TW A	Name:	TAXIWAY ALPHA	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	273,477 SqFt
Section: 420	of 3	rom: -		То: -		<b>Last Const.:</b> 1/1/2003
Surface: AC	Family: C9N59-GA-TV	V-AC Zone:		Category:		Rank: P
Area: 55	,719 SqFt Length:	1,110 Ft	Width:	50 Ft		
Slabs:	Slab Length:	Ft Slab	Width:	Ft	Joint Lengt	th: Ft
Shoulder:	Street Type:	Grad	le: 0		Lanes:	0
<b>Section Comments:</b>						
Work Date: 1/1/2003	Work Type: New	Construction - AC	Co	ode: NC-AC	Is Majo	or M&R: True
Last Insp. Date: 4/5/20	17 TotalS	amples: 11	Surveye	<b>d:</b> 2		
Conditions: PCI: 8		•	•			
<b>Inspection Comments:</b>						
Sample Number: 250	Type: R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 86		
Sample Comments:						
		122.00 E				
48 L & T CR	L	123.00 Ft				
48 L & T CR 57 WEATHERING	L L	123.00 Ft 5000.00 SqFt				
			4274.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 81		
57 WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt	4274.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 81		
57 WEATHERING  Sample Number: 254	L	5000.00 SqFt	4274.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 81		
57 WEATHERING  Sample Number: 254  Sample Comments:	L Type: R	5000.00 SqFt  Area:	4274.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 81		

SEF				Name:	SEBRI	NG REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT		
TW A		Name:	TAXIV	VAY ALPHA		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	273,477 SqFt
122	0	f 3	From:				То: -		Last Const.: 1/1/201
AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA- APC	TW-AAC-	Zone:			Category:		Rank: P
2	6,514 SqFt	Length	ı <b>:</b>	450 Ft	W	Vidth:	50 Ft		
	Slab Ler	ngth:	Ft	Slab	Width:		Ft	Joint Le	ength: Ft
	Street T	ype:		Grad	le: 0			Lanes:	0
nments:									
1/1/2001	W	ork Type: Ne	w Constructio	n - Initial		Co	ode: NU-IN	Is N	Major M&R: True
1/1/2010	W	ork Type: M	LL and OVE	RLAY		Co	ode: ML-OV	Is M	Major M&R: True
ate: 4/5/2	017	Tota	lSamples:	5		Surveyed	<b>1:</b> 1		
PCI:	85								
Comments:									
nber: 246	Tyj	pe: R	A	rea:	5000.00	) SqFt	PCI: 85		
nments:									
ELING		L	100.00	SqFt					
	TW A 222 AAC 2  AAC 2  1/1/2001 1/1/2010 ate: 4/5/20 PCI: Comments: nber: 246	TW A  222 o  AAC Family:  26,514 SqFt Slab Let Street T  nments:  1/1/2001 W  1/1/2010 W  ate: 4/5/2017 PCI: 85  Comments:  nber: 246 Type	TW A Name:  222 of 3  AAC Family: C9N59-GA-APC  26,514 SqFt Length: Slab Length: Street Type:  1/1/2001 Work Type: Ne  1/1/2010 Work Type: MI  ate: 4/5/2017 Tota PCI: 85  Comments:  nber: 246 Type: R	TW A	TW A Name: TAXIWAY ALPHA  222 of 3 From: -  AAC Family: C9N59-GA-TW-AAC- Zone: APC  26,514 SqFt Length: 450 Ft  Slab Length: Ft Slab  Street Type: Grad  nments:  1/1/2001 Work Type: New Construction - Initial  1/1/2010 Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY  ate: 4/5/2017 TotalSamples: 5  PCI: 85  Comments:  nber: 246 Type: R Area:	TW A Name: TAXIWAY ALPHA  222 of 3 From: - AAC Family: C9N59-GA-TW-AAC- Zone: APC  26,514 SqFt Length: 450 Ft W Slab Length: Ft Slab Width: Street Type: Grade: 0  nments:  1/1/2001 Work Type: New Construction - Initial  1/1/2010 Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY  ate: 4/5/2017 TotalSamples: 5 PCI: 85  Comments:  nber: 246 Type: R Area: 5000.00	TW A Name: TAXIWAY ALPHA Use:  22	TW A Name: TAXIWAY ALPHA Use: TAXIWAY  22	TW A

WEATHERING

L

4900.00 SqFt

Network:	SEF				Name:	SEBR	RING REGI	ONAL AIRPORT		
Branch:	TW A1		Name:	TAXI	WAY A1		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	24,725 SqFt
Section:	605	0	f 2	From:	-			То: -		<b>Last Const.:</b> 1/1/2001
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-T APC	W-AAC-	Zone:			Category:		Rank: P
Area:	11,5	02 SqFt	Length:		200 Ft	,	Width:	50 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Ler	igth:	Ft	Slab	Width:		Ft	Joint Leng	gth: Ft
Shoulder:		Street T	ype:		Gra	<b>de:</b> 0			Lanes:	0
Section Co	omments:									
Vork Date	e: 1/1/1987	W	ork Type: Nev	v Construction	on - Initial		C	ode: NU-IN	Is Ma	jor M&R: True
Vork Date	e: 1/1/2001	W	ork Type: Mil	and Overlag	y		C	ode: ML-OL	Is Ma	jor M&R: True
Last Insp.	<b>Date:</b> 4/5/2017		Total	Samples:	2		Surveye	<b>d:</b> 1		
Conditions	s: <b>PCI</b> : 76									
nspection	Comments:									
Sample Nu	ımber: 202	Tyj	pe: R	A	rea:	6600.	00 SqFt	PCI: 7	6	
Sample Co	omments:									
19. I&	T CR		ĭ	146.00	Et					

 48
 L & T CR
 L
 146.00 Ft

 52
 RAVELING
 L
 1320.00 SqFt

 57
 WEATHERING
 L
 5280.00 SqFt

Network:	SEF				Name:	SEBRING REGI	ONAL AIRPORT		
Branch:	TW A1		Name:	TAXIV	VAY A1	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	24,725 SqFt
Section:	610	O	f 2	From: -			То: -		<b>Last Const.:</b> 1/1/2013
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA-T APC	W-AAC-	Zone:		Category:		Rank: P
Area:		13,223 SqFt	Length:		200 Ft	Width:	55 Ft		
Slabs:		Slab Len	gth:	Ft	Slab Wid	lth:	Ft	Joint Lengt	th: Ft
Shoulder:		Street Ty	vpe:		Grade:	0		Lanes:	0
Section Co	omments:								
Work Date	e: 1/1/2001	W	ork Type: New	Constructio	n - Initial	C	ode: NU-IN	Is Majo	or M&R: True
Work Date	e: 1/1/2013	W	ork Type: MIL	L and OVER	RLAY	C	ode: ML-OV	Is Majo	or M&R: True
Last Insp.	<b>Date:</b> 4/5/	2017	TotalS	amples: 2	2	Surveye	ed: 1		
Conditions	s: PCI:	94							
Inspection	Comments	:							
Sample Nu	umber: 20	1 <b>Ty</b> r	e: R	A	rea:	6190.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 9	4	

57 WEATHERING L 6190.00 SqFt

Network:	SEF				Naı	ne:	SEBRING F	EGION	AL AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW A2		Name	e: TAX	XIWAY A	12	τ	se: T	AXIWAY	Area:		46,655 Sql	Ft
Section: 105	5	of	f 3	From:	-				То: -			Last Co	nst.: 1/1/201
Surface: AP	С	Family:	C9N59-G APC	A-TW-AAC-	Zor	ie:			Category:			Rank:	P
Area:	19,29	96 SqFt	Len	gth:	500 1	Ft	Width	:	35 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Len	gth:	F	it	Slab Wid	lth:		Ft	Joint L	ength:		Ft
Shoulder:		Street Ty	ype:			Grade:	0			Lanes:	0		
<b>Section Comm</b>	ents:												
Work Date: 1	/1/1942	W	ork Type:	BUILT				Code	: IMPORTED	Is I	Major N	<b>1&amp;R:</b> Tru	ie
Work Date: 1	/1/1987	W	ork Type:	OVERLAY				Code	: IMPORTED	Is I	Major M	<b>1&amp;R:</b> Tru	ie
Work Date: 1	/1/1987	W	ork Type:	OVERLAY				Code	: IMPORTED	Is I	Major N	<b>1&amp;R:</b> Tru	ie
Work Date: 1	/1/2013	W	ork Type:	MILL and OV	ERLAY			Code	: ML-OV	Is I	Major M	<b>1&amp;R:</b> Tru	ie
Last Insp. Date	<b>e:</b> 4/5/2017		To	otalSamples:	4		Sui	veyed:	1				
<b>Conditions:</b>	<b>PCI:</b> 94												
<b>Inspection Cor</b>	mments:												
Sample Number	er: 103	Тур	e: R		Area:		5606.00 SqF	·t	<b>PCI:</b> 94				

57 WEATHERING L 5606.00 SqFt

Network:	SEF				Nam	e: SEB	RING REGI	ONAL AIRPORT			
Branch:	TW A2		Name:	TAXIV	VAY A	2	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	46,655 SqFt	
Section:	110	of	f 3 1	From: -	-			То: -		Last Const.:	1/1/1987
Surface:	APC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TV APC	W-AAC-	Zone	<b>::</b>		Category:		Rank: P	
Area:	24	,061 SqFt	Length:		400 F	t	Width:	50 Ft			
Slabs:		Slab Len	igth:	Ft		Slab Width:		Ft	Joint Leng	th: Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Ty	ype:			Grade: 0			Lanes:	0	
Section Co	mments:										
Work Date	: 1/1/1942	W	ork Type: New	Constructio	on - PCC	,	C	dode: NC-PC	Is Maj	or M&R: True	
Work Date	: 1/1/1987	W	ork Type: Over	lay			C	ode: OL-MR	Is Maj	or M&R: True	
Last Insp. 1	Date: 4/5/20	17	TotalS	amples:	5		Surveye	ed: 1			
Conditions	: PCI: 7	7									
Inspection	Comments:										
Sample Nu	<b>mber:</b> 107	Тур	pe: R	A	rea:	4854	.00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 7	7		
Sample Co	mments:										
45 DEF	PRESSION		L	48.00	SqFt						
48 L &	T CR		L	48.00	Ft						
52 RAV	VELING		L	243.00	SqFt						
56 SWI	ELLING		L	4.00	SqFt						

Network	: SEF				Name:	SEB	RING REG	IONAL AIRPO	ORT				
Branch:	TW A2		Name:	TAXIWA	Y A2		Use:	TAXIWAY	Z A	Area:	46,65	55 SqFt	
Section:	115	of	f 3 <b>F</b>	rom: -				То: -			La	st Const.: 1	/1/1987
Surface:	APC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TV APC	V-AAC-	Zone:			Categor	y:		Ra	nk: P	
Area:		3,298 SqFt	Length:		50 Ft		Width:	50	) Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Len	gth:	Ft	Sla	ab Width:		Ft		Joint Len	gth:	Ft	
Shoulder	:	Street Ty	pe:		Gı	rade: 0				Lanes:	0		
Section (	Comments:												
Work Da	ate: 1/1/1942	W	ork Type: New	Construction -	PCC		(	Code: NC-PC	•	Is Ma	ajor M&R	: True	
Work Da	nte: 1/1/1987	W	ork Type: Overl	ay			(	Code: OL-MI	₹	Is Ma	ajor M&R	: True	
Last Insp	<b>Date:</b> 4/5/	/2017	TotalSa	imples: 1			Survey	<b>ed:</b> 1					
Conditio	ns: PCI:	37											
Inspectio	on Comments	:											
Sample N	Number: 10	9 <b>Ty</b> p	oe: R	Are	a:	3298	3.00 SqFt	PC	CI: 37				
Sample (	Comments:												
43 B	LOCK CR		L	977.00 So	η <b>F</b> t								
47 J	ΓREF. CR		L	34.00 Ft	•								
47 J7	ΓREF. CR		M	300.00 Ft									
48 L	& T CR		L	67.00 Ft									
48 L	& T CR		M	20.00 Ft									
			_		_								

L L

52

57

RAVELING

WEATHERING

330.00 SqFt 2968.00 SqFt

Network:	SEF				Name:	SEB	RING REGI	ONAL AIRP	ORT			
Branch:	TW A3		Name:	TAXIV	VAY A3		Use:	TAXIWA	Y Are	ea:	45,191 SqFt	
Section:	205	of	3 1	From: -				То: -			Last Con	st.: 1/1/1987
Surface:	APC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TV APC	W-AAC-	Zone:			Catego	ry:		Rank: P	
Area:	25,	673 SqFt	Length:		350 Ft		Width:	7	'0 Ft			
Slabs:		Slab Leng	gth:	Ft	Sla	b Width:		Ft		Joint Length	:	Ft
Shoulder	:	Street Ty	pe:		Gra	<b>ade:</b> 0				Lanes: 0		
Section C	omments:											
Work Da	te: 1/1/1942	Wo	ork Type: BUII	Т			C	ode: IMPO	RTED	Is Major	M&R: True	
Work Da	te: 1/1/1987	Wo	ork Type: OVE	RLAY			C	ode: IMPO	RTED	Is Major	M&R: True	
Work Da	te: 1/1/1987	Wo	ork Type: OVE	RLAY			C	ode: IMPO	RTED	Is Major	M&R: True	
Last Insp	. Date: 4/5/201	7	TotalS	amples: 6	<u> </u>		Surveye	ed: 1				
Condition	ns: PCI: 83	1										
Inspection	n Comments:											
Sample N	umber: 106	Тур	e: R	A	rea:	4587	.00 SqFt	P	CI: 83			
Sample C	omments:											
48 L	& T CR		L	50.00	Ft							
52 RA	AVELING		L	92.00	SqFt							
56 SV	VELLING		L	13.00	SqFt							
57 W.	EATHERING		L	4495.00								

Network:	SEF			Na	me: SE	BRING REGI	ONAL AIRPOR	T		
Branch:	TW A3		Name:	TAXIWAY	A3	Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	45,1	91 SqFt
Section:	210	0	f 3 <b>F</b>	rom: -			То: -		L	ast Const.: 1/1/2013
Surface:	APC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW APC	Z-AAC- Zo	ne:		Category:		R	ank: P
Area:	16,	931 SqFt	Length:	200	Ft	Width:	70 F	<sup>7</sup> t		
Slabs:	62	Slab Ler	ngth:	15 Ft	Slab Width:		15 Ft	Joir	nt Length:	1,597 Ft
Shoulder:		Street T	ype:		Grade: 0	)		Lan	nes: 0	
Section Cor	mments:									
Work Date	: 1/1/1942	W	ork Type: New O	Construction - Po	CC	C	ode: NC-PC		Is Major M&l	R: True
Work Date	: 1/1/1987	W	ork Type: MILL	and OVERLAY	·	C	ode: ML-OV		Is Major M&l	R: True
Work Date	: 1/1/2013	W	ork Type: MILL	and OVERLAY	·	C	ode: ML-OV		Is Major M&l	R: True
Last Insp. I	Date: 4/5/201	.7	TotalSa	mples: 4		Surveye	ed: 1			
Conditions	: <b>PCI</b> : 90	)								
Inspection (	Comments:									
Sample Nu	mber: 101	Ty	pe: R	Area:	402	25.00 SqFt	PCI:	90		
Sample Cor	mments:									

25.00 Ft 4025.00 SqFt

L L

L & T CR WEATHERING

Network:	SEF				Name:	SEBI	RING REGI	ONAL AIRPOR	RT			
Branch:	TW A3		Name:	TAXIW	VAY A3		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	45,	191 SqFt	
Section:	215	0	f 3	From: -				То: -		I	Last Const.:	1/1/1987
Surface:	APC	Family:	C9N59-GA-T APC	W-AAC-	Zone:			Category:		F	Rank: P	
Area:		2,587 SqFt	Length:		50 Ft		Width:	50 H	₹t			
Slabs:		Slab Len	ngth:	Ft	Slab	Width:		Ft	Joint	Length:	F	<sup>2</sup> t
Shoulder:		Street T	ype:		Grad	<b>de:</b> 0			Lanes	s: 0		
Section Co	omments:											
Work Dat	e: 1/1/1942	W	ork Type: BUI	LT			C	ode: IMPORT	ED Is	Major M&	R: True	
Work Dat	e: 1/1/1987	W	ork Type: OVE	RLAY			C	ode: IMPORT	ED Is	Major M&	R: True	
Work Dat	<b>e:</b> 1/1/1987	W	ork Type: OVE	ERLAY			C	ode: IMPORT	ED Is	s Major M&	R: True	
Last Insp.	<b>Date:</b> 4/5/	/2017	TotalS	amples: 1			Surveye	ed: 1				
Condition	s: PCI:	41										
Inspection	Comments	:										
Sample N	umber: 11	0 <b>Ty</b> ]	pe: R	A	rea:	2587.	00 SqFt	PCI:	41			
Sample Co	omments:											
43 BL	OCK CR		L	559.00	SqFt							
47 JT	REF. CR		L	30.00	Ft							
47 JT	REF. CR		M	200.00	Ft							
48 L &	t T CR		L	100.00	Ft							
10 T 9	TCD		M	125.00	E+							

57

L & T CR

WEATHERING

M

L

125.00 Ft

2587.00 SqFt

Netwo	rk: SEF				Name	: SEB	RING REG	IONAI	L AIRPORT				
Branc	h: TW A4		Name:	TAXIV	VAY A4		Use:	TA	XIWAY	Area:	25,	135 SqFt	
Section	n: 320	of	f 2	From:				,	То: -		I	Last Const.:	1/1/2003
Surfac	e: AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-	ΓW-AC	Zone:				Category:		I	Rank: P	
Area:	9,	,745 SqFt	Length	:	200 Ft		Width:		40 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Len	gth:	Ft	5	Slab Width:		]	Ft	Joint Lo	ength:	F	t
Should	ler:	Street Ty	vpe:		(	Grade: 0				Lanes:	0		
Section	n Comments:												
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1942	Wo	ork Type: OV	ERLAY			C	ode:	IMPORTED	Is N	/Iajor M&	R: True	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1975	We	ork Type: OV	ERLAY			C	ode:	IMPORTED	Is N	/ajor M&	R: True	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1975	We	ork Type: BU	TILT			C	ode:	IMPORTED	Is N	Aajor M&	R: True	
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/2003	We	ork Type: Ne	w Construction	n - AC		C	ode:	NC-AC	Is N	Aajor M&	R: True	
Last I	nsp. Date: 4/5/201	17	Total	Samples:	2		Surveye	e <b>d:</b> 1					
Condi	tions: PCI: 80	0											
Inspec	tion Comments:												
Sampl	e Number: 255	Тур	oe: R	A	rea:	6529	.00 SqFt		<b>PCI:</b> 80				
Sampl	e Comments:												
48	L & T CR		L	196.00	Ft								
52	RAVELING		L	326.00	SqFt								
57	WEATHERING		L	6203.00	SqFt								

Network:	SEF				Naı	ne:	SEBRING	REGIO	ONAL AIRPORT			·	·
Branch:	TW A4		Name:	TAX	WAY A	14		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	25,	,135 SqFt	
Section:	325	0	f 2	From:	-				То: -		]	Last Const.	: 1/1/2013
Surface:	AAC	Family:	C9N59-GA APC	-TW-AAC-	Zor	ne:			Category:		]	Rank: P	
Area:	1:	5,390 SqFt	Lengt	h:	225 ]	Ft	Widt	h:	60 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Ler	ngth:	Ft		Slab Wi	dth:		Ft	Joint L	ength:	]	Ft
Shoulder:		Street T	ype:			Grade:	0			Lanes:	0		
Section Co	mments:												
Work Date	e: 1/1/2003	W	ork Type: N	ew Construct	ion - Ini	tial		Co	ode: NU-IN	Is N	Major M&	R: True	
Work Date	e: 1/1/2013	W	ork Type: M	ILL and OVI	ERLAY			Co	ode: ML-OV	Is N	Major M&	R: True	
Last Insp. 1	Date: 4/5/20	)17	Tota	alSamples:	3		Su	rveye	<b>d:</b> 1				
Conditions	s: PCI: 9	91											
Inspection	<b>Comments:</b>												
Sample Nu	mber: 258	Tyj	pe: R		Area:		6044.00 Sq	Ft	PCI: 9	1			
Sample Co	omments:												
52 RA	VELING		L	60.00	SqFt								
57 WE.	ATHERING		L	5984.00									

Network:	SEF						N	ame:	SEE	BRING RE	GIONA	AL AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW C				Name	: TA	AXIWAY	′ C		Use	: TA	AXIWAY	Area:	65,	406 SqFt	
Section:	305		C	of 2		From:	-					To: -		I	ast Const	t.: 1/1/2010
Surface:	AC		Family:	C9	N59-G <i>A</i>	A-TW-AC	Z	one:				Category:		F	Rank: P	
Area:		35,167	7 SqFt		Leng	th:	80	) Ft		Width:		40 Ft				
Slabs:			Slab Le	ngth:			Ft	Slab V	Vidth:			Ft	Joint Le	ength:		Ft
Shoulder:			Street T	ype:				Grade	: 0				Lanes:	0		
Section Co	mments:															
Work Date	e: 1/1/194	2	W	ork '	Гуре: О	VERLAY					Code:	IMPORTED	Is N	Iajor M&	R: True	
Work Date	e: 1/1/197	75	W	ork '	Гуре: (	VERLAY					Code:	IMPORTED	Is M	Iajor M&	R: True	
Work Date	e: 1/1/197	'5	W	ork '	Гуре: Е	BUILT					Code:	IMPORTED	Is M	Iajor M&	R: True	
Work Date	e: 1/1/201	0	W	ork '	Гуре: М	New Constr	uction - A	AC			Code:	NC-AC	Is M	Iajor M&	R: True	
Last Insp.	Date: 4/	5/2017			Tot	talSamples	: 9			Surv	eyed:	1				
Conditions	s: PCI:	75														
Inspection	Commen	ts:														
Sample Nu	ımber:	00	Ту	pe:	R		Area		4040	0.00 SqFt		<b>PCI:</b> 75				
Sample Co	mments:															
48 L&	T CR				L	173	.00 Ft									

M L 70.00 Ft

4040.00 SqFt

L & T CR

WEATHERING

48

Network:	SEF				Nar	ne: SE	BRING REG	IONAI	L AIRPORT				
Branch:	TW C		Name:	TAX	WAY C	;	Use:	TA	XIWAY	Area:	65,40	6 SqFt	
Section:	315	of	f 2	From:	-			,	То: -		Las	t Const.: 1	/1/2010
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA	-TW-AC	Zon	ie:		(	Category:		Rai	nk: P	
Area:	30	),239 SqFt	Lengt	h:	700 I	₹t	Width:		40 Ft				
Slabs:	93	Slab Len	gth:	13 Ft		Slab Width:		25 1	Ft	Joint Lengt	th:	2,715 Ft	
Shoulder:		Street Ty	ype:			Grade: 0	)			Lanes:	0		
Section Co	mments:												
Work Date	: 1/1/1942	W	ork Type: O	VERLAY			C	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Majo	or M&R:	True	
Work Date	: 1/1/1942	W	ork Type: B	UILT			(	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Majo	or M&R:	True	
Work Date	2: 1/1/2010	W	ork Type: N	ew Construct	ion - AC	1	C	Code:	NC-AC	Is Majo	or M&R:	True	
Last Insp. l	Date: 4/5/20	)17	Tota	alSamples:	8		Survey	<b>ed:</b> 1					
Conditions	: PCI: 8	39											
Inspection	Comments:												
Sample Nu	mber: 202	Тур	e: R		Area:	350	00.00 SqFt		<b>PCI:</b> 89				
Sample Co	mments:												
48 L&	TCR		L	42.00	Ft								

WEATHERING

L

3500.00 SqFt

Netw	ork: SEF		N	ame: SEBR	ING REGIO	NAL AIRPORT		
Bran	ch: TW T-HANG	Nar	me: TAXIWAY	T-HANGARS	Use:	TAXILANE	Area:	34,611 SqFt
Section	on: 505	of 1	From: -			То: -		<b>Last Const.:</b> 1/1/1995
Surfa	ce: AC I	Family: C9N59-	GA-TW-AC Z	one:		Category:		Rank: P
Area	34,611	SqFt Le	ength: 1,600	) Ft	Width:	20 Ft		
Slabs	<b>!</b>	Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:		Ft	Joint Length:	Ft
Shoul	der:	Street Type:		Grade: 0			Lanes: 0	
Section	on Comments:							
Work	<b>Date:</b> 1/1/1995	Work Type	: BUILT		Cod	e: IMPORTED	Is Major N	M&R: True
Last	Insp. Date: 4/5/2017		TotalSamples: 8		Surveyed:	2		
Cond	itions: PCI: 49							
Inspe	ction Comments:							
Samp	le Number: 200	Type:	R Area:	4339.0	00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 48		
Samp	le Comments:							
43	BLOCK CR	L	1198.00 SqF	't				
48	L & T CR	L	525.00 Ft					
52	RAVELING	L	4339.00 SqF					
54	SHOVING	L	4.00 SqF					
56	SWELLING	L	200.00 SqF	ît .				
Samp	le Number: 302	Type:	R Area:	3885.0	00 SqFt	<b>PCI:</b> 50		
Samp	le Comments:							
43	BLOCK CR	L	360.00 SqF	't				
48	L & T CR	L	728.00 Ft					
52	RAVELING	L	3885.00 SqF	't				
56	SWELLING	L	370.00 SqF	ît .				