

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
AVIATION AND SPACEPORTS OFFICE

Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program

**Airport Pavement
Evaluation Report
September 2017**



**Marion County
Airport (X35)**
General Aviation Airport
District 5





Florida Department of Transportation

Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program

Prepared by:

*FDOT Aviation and Spaceports Office
605 Suwannee Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0450*




OFFICE OF FREIGHT, LOGISTICS & PASSENGER OPERATIONS

Table of Contents


Executive Summary.....	10
Program Background.....	10
Summary of Results.....	11
Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)	11
Forecasted Pavement Condition Index 2018-2027.....	12
Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027	12
Summary of Marion County Airport	13
Chapter 1 – Introduction	15
1.1 Background	15
1.2 Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) Update 2016-2017	15
1.3 Organization	17
1.3.1 Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office Program Manager	17
1.3.2 Participating Florida Public-Use and Publicly Owned Airports	17
1.3.3 Florida Department of Transportation District Offices.....	17
1.3.4 Consultant	17
1.4 Purpose of Airport Pavement Evaluation Report	19
1.5 History of the Program	19
1.6 Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)	21
1.7 FDOT SAPMP Objectives and Components	21
1.7.1 Program Objectives.....	21
1.7.2 Program Components	21
1.8 References	25
Chapter 2 – Methodology	27
2.1 Airfield Pavement Database	27
2.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory	27
2.2.1 Pavement Management Program Network Definition Terminology	28
2.3 Airfield Pavement Structure	30
2.3.1 Pavement Structure Types	30
2.4 Airfield Pavement Work History.....	32
2.4.1 Airfield Pavement Record Keeping	32
2.5 Airfield Pavement Traffic	32
2.6 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (PCI) Survey.....	32
2.6.1 PCI Survey Methodology	32
2.6.2 Pavement Distress Types	34

2.6.3 PCI Survey Inspection Procedures	38
2.6.4 Updates to the ASTM D5340-12	39
Chapter 3 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory	42
3.1 Airfield Pavement Network Information	42
3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction	42
3.1.2 Estimated Pavement Age	44
3.1.3 Functional Use Classification	46
3.1.4 Pavement Surface Type.....	47
3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details	48
Chapter 4 – Airfield Pavement Condition	52
4.1 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)	52
4.1.1 Network-Level Analysis	52
4.1.2 Branch-Level Analysis.....	52
4.1.3 Section-Level Analysis	55
4.2 Summary of Pavement Condition Evaluation Results	58
4.2.1 Network-Level Observations	58
4.2.2 Branch-Level Observations	58
4.3 Forecasted Pavement Conditions	60
4.3.1 Performance Models and Prediction Curves	60
4.3.2 Branch-Level Pavement Condition Forecast	60
4.3.3 Section-Level Pavement Condition Forecast.....	62
4.3.4 Forecasted PCI Considerations	64
Chapter 5 – Localized Maintenance and Repair Planning.....	66
5.1 Localized Maintenance and Repair	66
5.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy	67
5.3 Localized Maintenance and Repair Analysis and Recommendations	71
Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation Planning.....	75
6.1 Major Rehabilitation	75
6.1.1 Critical PCI	77
6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI	77
6.2 Major Rehabilitation Policy	78
6.2.1 Major Rehabilitation Pavement Section Development.....	78
6.2.2 Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Costs.....	79
6.3 Major Rehabilitation Needs	80
6.3.1 10-Year Unconstrained Budget Major Rehabilitation Needs	80
Chapter 7 – Conclusion.....	84
7.1 Recommendations	84
7.1.1 Continued PCI Survey Inspections	84
7.1.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair.....	84

7.1.3 Major Rehabilitation	84
7.1.4 Pavement Management System.....	84
7.2 Supporting Documents.....	85
001 – Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit.....	85
002 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit	85
003 – Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit	85
004 – Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit	85
Inspection Photograph Documentation.....	85
7.3 Conclusion	86



Appendix A	Airfield Pavement Analysis Tables
Appendix B	Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and Major Rehabilitation
Appendix C	Technical Exhibits
Appendix D	Inspection Photograph Documentation
Appendix E	Inspection Distress Details



List of Figures

Figure E-4 Major Rehabilitation Planning Annual Budget 2018-2027	13
Figure 1.2 Florida Aviation System (Facilities with Pavement) and FDOT Districts	16
Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle	22
Figure 1.7-2 General Pavement Treatments by Condition Range	23
Figure 1.7-3 Flexible Asphalt Concrete	24
Figure 1.7-4 Rigid Portland Cement Concrete	24
Figure 3.1.1-1 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit	43
Figure 3.1.1-2 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit	44
Figure 3.1.2 Average Age of Pavements at Inspection	45
Figure 3.1.3 Airfield Pavement Functional Classification Use by Area	46
Figure 3.1.4 (a) Pavement Surface Type by Area (SF)	47
Figure 3.1.4 (b) Pavement Surface Type by Area (%)	48
Figure 4.1.1 Latest Condition – Overall Network	52
Figure 4.1.2 (a) Latest Condition – Runway Pavements	53
Figure 4.1.2 (b) Latest Condition – Taxiway Pavements	53
Figure 4.1.2 (c) Latest Condition – Apron Pavements	54
Figure 4.1.3 2017 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit	57
Figure 4.2.2 Pavement Condition Summary by Facility Use	58
Figure 4.3.2 (a) Forecasted Runway Pavement Performance	60
Figure 4.3.2 (b) Forecasted Taxiway Pavement Performance	61
Figure 4.3.2 (c) Forecasted Apron Pavement Performance	61
Figure 6.1-1 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, $PCI \leq$ Critical PCI	75
Figure 6.1-2 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, $PCI >$ Critical PCI	76
Figure 6.3.1-1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year	81
Figure 6.3.1-2 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year Exhibit	82

List of Tables

Table E-1 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) – Section Level.....	11
Table E-2 Pavement Condition Index Forecast 2018-2027	12
Table E-3 Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027	12
Table 2.2.1 Airfield Pavement Database Network Definition Terminology	29
Table 2.6.2-1 (a) Pavement Distress Types – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	34
Table 2.6.2-1 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	35
Table 2.6.2-1 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	35
Table 2.6.2-2 (a) Pavement Distresses – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	36
Table 2.6.2-2 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	37
Table 2.6.2-2 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields	37
Table 2.6.3 (a) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Flexible Asphalt Concrete	38
Table 2.6.3 (b) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Rigid Portland Cement Concrete.....	38
Table 2.6.4 Summary of Updates to ASTM D5340-12	40
Table 3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction.....	42
Table 3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details	49
Table 4.1.3 Latest Pavement Condition Index Summary.....	56
Table 4.3.3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027	63
Table 5.2-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Flexible Asphalt Concrete	67
Table 5.2-2 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete.....	68
Table 5.2-3 (a) Localized Repair Planning-Level Unit Costs – Flexible Asphalt Concrete	70
Table 5.2-3 (b) Localized M&R Planning-Level Unit Costs – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete	70

Table 5.3-1 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Network Level	71
Table 5.3-2 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Section Level	72
Table 5.3-3 Summary of Localized Maintenance	73
Table 6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI	77
Table 6.2.1 (a) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Flexible Asphalt Concrete	78
Table 6.2.1 (b) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete	79
Table 6.2.2 General Aviation Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Cost by Pavement Type	80
Table 6.3.1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs	81



Executive Summary



Executive Summary

Program Background

Airport airfield pavement infrastructure facilities represent a large capital investment in the Florida Airport System. Timely and appropriate maintenance and strategic rehabilitation are essential as repair costs increase significantly in proportion to deterioration. Airport pavement distresses can also contribute to the development of loose debris and decreased ride quality, which can be a safety concern for aircraft operations.

In 2016, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO) selected Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. with subconsultants Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC and AVCON, Inc. to provide professional services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of performing a system update to the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP). This work is to be completed from fiscal year 2016 through fiscal year 2019. The SAPMP has 95 public use airport facilities throughout the seven FDOT Districts that participate in the system update. The results of this system update for this specific airport are presented in this report and can be utilized by FDOT and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to identify, prioritize, and schedule pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects.

Pavement condition was assessed utilizing the pavement condition index (PCI) methodology as defined in the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)”** using the documented procedures set forth by ASTM **D5340-12 “Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.”**

Pavement deterioration, in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12, was characterized in terms of distinct distress types, severity level of distress, and quantity of distress. This information is utilized to calculate a PCI numeric that represents the overall condition of the pavement in a numeric index that ranges from 0 (a condition category of FAILED) to 100 (GOOD). The PCI methodology analyzes an overall measure of the pavement condition and provides an indication of the degree of maintenance, repair, or rehabilitation efforts that will be required to sustain functional pavement.

The tasks required for the system update at each participating airport consist of the following:

- Obtain recent and anticipated airfield pavement construction work data.
- Update airport airfield pavement system inventory records (construction history, identification, geometry, and facility classification).
- Perform PCI Survey Inspections at each participating airport.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP PAVER™ database system.
- Update the FDOT SAPMP GIS Airfield Navigation GPS enabled Maps.
- Update airfield pavement performance models and pavement condition forecasting.
- Identification of planning-level maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation to address pavement needs based on functional PCI analysis.
- Development of planning-level opinion of probable construction costs for pavement rehabilitation.



Summary of Results

Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)

Table E-1 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) – Section Level

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	PCI	Condition Rating
X35	RUNWAY 10-28	RUNWAY	6105	273,635	71	Satisfactory
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6205	42,000	89	Good
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6210	428,000	88	Good
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6215	30,000	45	Poor
X35	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	110	183,367	100	Good
X35	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	115	3,750	33	Very Poor
X35	APRON	APRON	4105	128,008	59	Fair
X35	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4210	10,197	57	Fair
X35	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4220	21,334	56	Fair
X35	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4230	8,045	17	Serious
X35	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4235	2,713	51	Poor
X35	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4240	42,917	91	Good
X35	TERMINAL APRON	APRON	4305	67,389	92	Good



Forecasted Pavement Condition Index 2018-2027

Table E-2 Pavement Condition Index Forecast 2018-2027


Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	Forecasted PCI									
				2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
X35	AP	4105	59	56	55	53	52	50	49	47	45	44	42
X35	AP HANGAR	4210	57	54	53	51	50	48	47	45	43	42	40
X35	AP HANGAR	4220	56	53	52	50	49	47	46	44	42	41	39
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	17	15	14	13	12	11	10	8	7	6	5
X35	AP HANGAR	4235	51	48	47	45	44	42	41	39	37	36	34
X35	AP HANGAR	4240	91	88	87	85	84	82	81	79	77	76	74
X35	AP TERM	4305	92	89	88	86	85	83	82	80	78	77	75
X35	RW 10-28	6105	71	68	67	65	64	62	60	59	57	55	54
X35	RW 5-23	6205	89	86	83	81	78	76	73	71	69	67	65
X35	RW 5-23	6210	88	84	82	80	77	75	72	70	68	66	65
X35	RW 5-23	6215	45	43	43	42	41	40	39	38	38	37	36
X35	TW A	110	100	96	93	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	75
X35	TW A	115	33	31	31	30	29	28	27	26	26	25	24

Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027

Table E-3 Major Rehabilitation Planning 2018-2027

Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	X35	AP	4105	AC	128,008	56	AC Restoration	\$ 897,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4210	AC	10,197	54	AC Restoration	\$ 72,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4220	AC	21,334	53	AC Restoration	\$ 150,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4230	PCC	8,045	15	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 121,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4235	AC	2,713	48	AC Restoration	\$ 20,000.00
2018	X35	RW 5-23	6215	PCC	30,000	43	PCC Restoration	\$ 391,000.00
2018	X35	TW A	115	PCC	3,750	31	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 57,000.00
2021	X35	RW 10-28	6105	AC	273,635	64	AC Restoration	\$ 1,916,000.00

*All planning cost values have been rounded to the nearest thousand-dollar.


*Figure E-4 Major Rehabilitation Planning Annual Budget 2018-2027*

Summary of Marion County Airport

Marion County Airport was inspected in September 2016 – the overall weighted PCI value was 80, a condition rating of Satisfactory. The results of the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation analysis identified \$226,380 in localized M&R needs based on current conditions and a 10-Year major rehabilitation need of \$3,624,000 based on forecasted conditions. The current major rehabilitation needs based on the latest inspection consist of \$1,708,000 for pavements below critical condition.

Localized maintenance and repair identified within this report are categorized as preventive or stopgap; the FDOT SAPMP has defined maintenance policies based on FAA recommendations. Major rehabilitation is identified within the FDOT SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or resetting of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Such activities could include: mill and hot-mix asphalt overlay, rigid pavement repair and slab replacement, and full-depth reconstruction. It is recommended that the airport use this as a planning tool for future project development and prioritization – all localized maintenance and repair and major rehabilitation recommendations should be considered as planning-level only. All final localized maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation is subject to change based on airport prioritization and further design-level evaluation.

Chapter 1





Chapter 1 – Introduction

1.1 Background

The State of Florida has 128 public airports of which 100 public-use airports are recognized as part of the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS) that are vital to the Florida economy as well as the economy of the United States. The Florida Aviation System (FAS) provides opportunities for the State to capitalize on an increasingly global marketplace. Florida's system of commercial service and general aviation (GA) airports are important to businesses throughout the entire State. Air travel is essential to tourism, Florida's number one industry.

There are millions of square feet of pavement infrastructure that consists of runways, taxiways, aprons, ramps, and other areas of airports that are vital to the support and safety of aircraft operations. Timely pavement maintenance, repair and major rehabilitation of these pavements will support the airport in operating safely, efficiently, economically and without excessive down time.

In general, adherence to the FAA Advisory Circulars are mandatory for all projects funded with federal grant monies through the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) and with revenue from the Passenger Facilities Charges (PFC) Program. Further information is detailed in FAA Grant Assurance No. 11 "Pavement Maintenance," No. 34 "Policies, Standards, and Specifications," and PFC Assurance No. 9 "Standards and Specifications." The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) performs the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) System Updates for the benefit of participating public-use and publicly owned airports through the Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO).


The SAPMP addresses the requirements of maintaining an effective pavement management program for the participating airports at the network level. Network-level management of pavement assets provides insight for short-term and long-term budget needs, understanding of the overall condition of the network (current and future), and pavement facilities that are subject for project consideration. A network-level evaluation can be supportive in the identification of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs and budgetary planning-level opinions of probable construction costs.

1.2 Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) Update 2016-2017

In 1992, the FDOT established the Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program (SAPMP) to provide program managers, District Aviation and Spaceport Offices, and airport operators a system to proactively manage airport airfield pavement infrastructure within the Florida Aviation System. The SAPMP performs network-level Pavement Condition Index (PCI) survey inspections for airport facilities that are categorized as General Aviation (GA), Reliever (RL), and Commercial (PR). Currently, the program consists of 95 actively participating public-use airports with pavement facilities and provides users with comprehensive data to better manage pavement assets.



Figure 1.2 Florida Aviation System (Facilities with Pavement) and FDOT Districts



In 2016, the Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office contracted Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. along with subconsultants Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC and AVCON, Inc. to provide professional services in support of FDOT in the continued efforts of performing a system update to the SAPMP. This work is to be completed from fiscal year 2016 through fiscal year 2019.



1.3 Organization

1.3.1 Florida Department of Transportation Aviation and Spaceports Office Program Manager

The FDOT Aviation and Spaceports Office (ASO) Aviation Engineering Manager serves as the Program Manager (ASO-PM) for the SAPMP. The ASO-PM monitors the work performed by the designated Consultant for the program. The ASO-PM has review and approval authority for each program task and manages the program's day-to-day details and pertinent updates.

The ASO-PM reports updates and milestones to the FDOT State Aviation and Spaceports Manager and Development Administrator.

1.3.2 Participating Florida Public-Use and Publicly Owned Airports

The airports are the end-user and beneficiary of the SAPMP. The SAPMP provides a specific Airport Pavement Evaluation Report that meets the requirements of the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)."** Individual participating airports will be provided a final Airport Pavement Evaluation Report by the designated Consultant that is specific to each airport's airfield pavement condition index survey. The ASO-PM has full authority and final approval of each report prior to finalization. In advance of each PCI survey and prior to completion of each Airport Pavement Evaluation Report, participating airports are asked to provide the necessary record documentation for the proper analysis efforts. Relevant record documentation artifacts may consist of but are not limited to: Airport Layout Plans (ALP), Construction Bid Tabulations, As-Built Construction Drawings, Engineer's Reports, and/or field pavement inspection reports.

1.3.3 Florida Department of Transportation District Offices

The seven (7) FDOT District Offices, specifically the Aviation representatives (currently the Freight and Logistics personnel), provide essential support to the SAPMP update and the ASO-PM. Each District supports the SAPMP's on-going efforts by providing local construction cost information throughout the State. The construction cost information, typically consisting of plans and bid tabulations, are used as the basis of the development maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation opinions of probable construction costs for planning purposes. Each District Office receives copies of individual Airport Pavement Evaluation Reports for the participating airport facilities located within their respective Districts.

1.3.4 Consultant

The Consultant, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., provides technical and administrative support to the ASO-PM for the SAPMP update. The support consists of airfield pavement system inventory updates, performance of PCI Surveys in accordance with ASTM **D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys,"** evaluation and reporting of the pavement condition in accordance with the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)."**

The Consultant Team consists of Kimley-Horn, Airfield Pavement Management Systems, LLC., and AVCON, Inc.



A brief description of the general scope of work undertaken to update the SAPMP includes but is not limited to:

- ▶ **Research and evaluation of existing record documentation** was performed to identify construction projects that have taken place since the most recent major update of the SAPMP. This data is used to update the pavement inventory and network definition.
- ▶ **An update to the existing Network Definition Map** was made to reflect geometric changes, pavement composition updates, and section characterization. Furthermore, an update to the PCI Survey sample units were made to reflect the field investigation efforts.
- ▶ **A functional pavement evaluation with PCI Survey inspections** was completed on all airfield pavements maintained by the Airport. The PCI Survey procedure, as defined by ASTM D5340-12, was used as the basis of the functional pavement evaluation. For this specific evaluation, the sample units defined by prior studies were inspected as to better develop performance models for prediction curves. Pavement subject to construction or anticipated construction during scheduled PCI Survey inspection or within 2 years were omitted from inspection based on confirmation of airport personnel.
- ▶ **Condition Analysis** was performed based on the distress data observed, rated, measured, and recorded in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 for the calculation of PCI values and ratings. The results of the current condition analysis were used in concert with the historic PCI Survey data and construction work history to develop performance models to forecast future PCI values for each section for a 10-year study duration.
- ▶ **Maintenance, Repair, and Rehabilitation Planning** was performed predicated on the results of the condition analysis with updated policies and planning-level unit costs. The policies, or M&R policies, have been updated to reflect standard practices for maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation as defined by the FAA **AC 150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements.”** Planning-level unit costs were developed based on representative construction bid tabulations provided by participating airports. The bid tabulations consisted of limited airfield pavement construction projects that took place between 2009 and 2015 at participating airports.



1.4 Purpose of Airport Pavement Evaluation Report

The individual airport airfield pavement evaluation report discusses the work performed, a summary of findings, condition analysis results, and recommendations for maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation (M&R) planning associated with the SAPMP system update. It also briefly describes the procedures used to ensure that the appropriate engineering and scientific standards of care, quality, budget, schedules, and safety requirements were implemented during the performance of this work.

The purpose of this Airfield Pavement Evaluation Report is to achieve the following:

- Describe the goals, procedures, and purpose of the SAPMP
- Provide a brief technical explanation of the pavement management methodology, standard practices, and objectives
- Analyze pavement distresses data for the determination of pavement conditions and for identification of airfield pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs based on functional PCI trends

The identification of rehabilitation needs has been determined at the planning level. Design-level investigation is recommended prior to developing construction-level design documents and budgets.

In compliance with FAA Grant Assurances 11 and 19; the FDOT SAPMP provides airports with airfield pavement evaluation reports in accordance with FAA **AC 150/5380-7B Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)** and **AC 150/5380-6C Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements**. The application of the results of a PCI survey are for planning purposes and are limited to the visual observation of deteriorated pavements in limited sampling; design-level investigation is recommended in accordance with the FAA procedures defined in **AC 5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation** and **AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements**. The aforementioned ACs provide the design-level material properties of in-situ pavement and subgrade layers for the determination of appropriate rehabilitation actions. The FDOT Statewide Airfield Pavement Management Program is organized to provide airports with planning-level data and does not intend to preclude the responsible engineer in performing the appropriate level of investigation and analysis in determining the appropriate design details of a pavement rehabilitation. It would not be advisable to solely base design-level rehabilitation without the appropriate level of investigation and determination of pavement deterioration beyond that of a visual functional condition assessment.

1.5 History of the Program

In 1992, the FDOT implemented the SAPMP to understand the pavement conditions at public airports in the FAS, systematically update pavement infrastructure information, and assist airport operators with recommendations of pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation needs. The 1992 SAPMP implementation provided the FDOT and the participating airports valuable information for establishing and performing timely and appropriate pavement rehabilitation.



During the 1992-1993 implementation and again during the 1998-1999 updates; the SAPMP performed the development with proprietary software for pavement management system analysis. This development allowed for the creation of pavement management database file system populated with airport attributes and condition data. The pavement management database was used to establish maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation policies; consider planning-level unit costs; and develop recommendations for performing pavement maintenance. This system, known as AIRPAV, was initially developed during the 1992-1993 SAPMP implementation for the analysis of distress data. The AIRPAV system was used again in the 1998-1999 SAPMP update.

In 2004, the SAPMP system update included the review of the AIRPAV software compared to other industry available non-proprietary software packages. As a result of this review, MicroPAVER™ (currently known as PAVER™) was selected for implementation of the system update. MicroPAVER™ was developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering Research Laboratory for pavement management. Data from the 1998-1999 FDOT SAPMP update, which was built upon the initial 1992-1993 implementation of AIRPAV, was reviewed and converted to be compatible with the MicroPAVER™ system. This data conversion included all documented pavement facilities, classifications, types, histories, geometries, PCI condition data and pertinent attributes gathered from airport feedback at the time. This information was used to develop the inventory of each participating airport's pavement facilities in a consistent format. This was the development of Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits. These inventory exhibits visually depicted the branch, section, and sample units that were based upon the pavement construction history and composition information provided by each airport.

In the 2006-2008 system update, the SAPMP was updated again with continued use of the MicroPAVER™ system. Based on the distress data collected, a maintenance repair and major rehabilitation planning program was developed for each airport. As part of this SAPMP update, the procedures for the inspection and the collection of the pavement distress data were documented, and an interactive website (<http://www.dot.state.fl.us/aviation/pavement.shtm>) was established for input of data.

In the 2010-2012 system update, the SAPMP was updated using new global positioning system (GPS) integrated technology to digitally collect pavement distress data. Interactive geographic information system (GIS) map files were developed from updated Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibits to aid pavement condition inspectors in the collection of sample distress data. The data collected was utilized to develop pavement performance models to predict future pavement PCI values and make recommendations for major rehabilitation.

In the 2013-2015 system update, the SAPMP integrated PAVER™ and FieldInspector™ with the use of GPS and GIS capable field tablets. Furthermore, the update included continued adherence to the ASTM **D5340-12 "Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys."** The ASTM update consisted of refinement of distress definition types and deduction values for select asphalt concrete and Portland Cement Concrete distresses.



1.6 Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

Currently, airports participating in the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Grant Program are required by the FAA to develop and implement a pavement maintenance program to be eligible for funding (FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements”** and **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)”**). This program requires detailed inspection of airfield pavement conditions by trained personnel. The inspections are required to be performed at least once a year using the PASER method or every three years if the pavement is inspected as defined by the PCI survey procedure in accordance with the ASTM **D5340-12 “Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.”**

In general, adherence to the Advisory Circulars are mandatory for all projects funded with federal grant monies through the AIP program and with revenue from the Passenger Facilities Charges (PFC) Program. Further information is detailed in FAA Grant Assurance No. 11 “Pavement Maintenance,” No. 34 “Policies, Standards, and Specifications,” and PFC Assurance No. 9 “Standards and Specifications.”

1.7 FDOT SAPMP Objectives and Components

The FDOT SAPMP is a program that provides the FAS support in implementing and/or maintaining a network-level Pavement Management Program in a consistent and regularly scheduled manner.

In accordance with FAA AC **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)”** an effective Pavement Management Program consists of a system that achieves specific objectives. The FDOT SAPMP objectives are as follows:

1.7.1 Program Objectives

- 1 A systematic means for collecting and storing information regarding existing pavement structure and condition.
- 2 An objective and repeatable system for evaluating pavement condition.
- 3 Procedures for predicting future pavement condition.
- 4 Procedures for modeling both past and future pavement performance conditions.
- 5 Procedures to determine the budget requirements to meet management objectives, such as the maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation budget required to keep a pavement at a specified PCI level or the budget required to improve to target PCI level.
- 6 Procedures for formulating and prioritizing maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects.

The objectives are accomplished by the following components:

1.7.2 Program Components

- A. Database
- B. Pavement Inventory
- C. Pavement Structure
- D. Pavement Work History
- E. Pavement Condition Data




F. Pavement Performance Modeling for the Prediction/Forecast of PCI

G. Maintenance, Repair, and Major Rehabilitation Policies and Budget Simulation

A well-maintained network-level pavement management program may provide airport staff a better understanding of the airfield pavement performance for developing and planning for specific maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation projects. The understanding of specific distress types and severities will assist the airport in addressing pavement maintenance and repair with the appropriate treatments as defined by the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements.”** The development of projects with an understanding of system inventory, deterioration details, and pavement condition forecasts may assist airport staff in developing practical rehabilitation actions and budgets. Furthermore, the understanding of pavements’ past performance and forecasted condition may assist airport staff in addressing pavement rehabilitation in a timely and cost-effective manner. **Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle**, which is based on the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP).”** **Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle**, depicts a general duration of a pavement section and identifies the ideal condition to perform rehabilitative treatments at an optimal cost rather than allowing significant increase in rate of deterioration that would result in increased costs.

Figure 1.7-1 Typical Pavement Condition Life Cycle




**Figure is for conceptual purposes only – unit costs are not specific to airfield pavements (AC vs PCC).*

Figure 1.7-2 General Pavement Treatments by Condition Range depicts generic flexible asphalt concrete (AC) pavement treatments that are effective at specific condition ranges. This graphic is a general concept and will vary based on pavement surface type and overall



composition. The intent is to convey various treatment types that would be effective based on the condition of the pavement along the deterioration model.

Figure 1.7-2 General Pavement Treatments by Condition Range



Pavement maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation would be quite anticipatory if all pavements behaved as depicted in **Figures 1.7-1 and 1.7-2**, however pavement condition performance vary significantly based on several factors. Factors that contribute to a pavement section's condition and deterioration performance may include: functional design life, material type, material construction quality, climatic conditions, aircraft loading type and frequency, non-aircraft loading type and frequency, maintenance history, subgrade conditions, and other infrastructure in the vicinity. The list of factors is not all-inclusive of all factors that may contribute to a pavement's life cycle, it is intended to clarify that unique conditions certainly will affect a pavement's deterioration.

Figures 1.7-3 and Figure 1.7-4 depict visual conditions of pavement facilities, for both AC and PCC respectively, with approximated PCI ranges and corresponding repair and rehabilitation measures.



Figure 1.7-3 Flexible Asphalt Concrete









	PCI Range	Representative PCI	Representative Pavement Surface	Rehabilitation Activities
Routine Maintenance	86-100	90		Pavements with PCI values above 85, or 'Good', may require periodic joint/crack sealing and local patching.
Pavement Preservation	65-85	70		Pavements with PCI conditions ranging from 'Fair' to 'Satisfactory' may require surface treatments (seal coat), thin overlays, and/or joint/crack sealing.
Major Rehabilitation	40-64	50		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 65, or within the range of 'Very Poor' to 'Fair' conditions, may require major rehabilitation such as pavement mill and overlay or partial full-depth reconstruction.
Major Reconstruction	0-39	15		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 40, or within the range of 'Failed' to 'Very Poor' conditions, may require major reconstruction.

Figure 1.7-4 Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

	PCI Range	Representative PCI	Representative Pavement Surface	Rehabilitation Activities
Routine Maintenance	86-100	90		Pavements with PCI values above 85, or 'Good', may require periodic joint/crack sealing and local patching.
Pavement Preservation	65-85	70		Pavements with PCI conditions ranging from 'Fair' to 'Satisfactory' may require patches and/or joint/crack sealing.
Major Rehabilitation	40-64	50		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 65, or within the range of 'Very Poor' to 'Fair' conditions may require major rehabilitation such as slab replacement and PCC restoration activity.
Major Reconstruction	0-39	15		Pavements that have deteriorated below a PCI 40, or within the range of 'Failed' to 'Very Poor' conditions, may require major reconstruction.




1.8 References

The following reference documents were referenced as specific guidelines and procedures for maintaining airport pavements; establishing an effective pavement maintenance program; and identifying specific pavement distresses, probable causes of distresses, inspection guidelines, and recommended methods of repair:

- ASTM D5340-12 “Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.”
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-7B 150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program.”
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements.”
- FAA Advisory Circular 150/5320-6F “Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation.”
- Department of the Air Force, Air Force Civil Engineer Center “Engineering Technical Letter (ETL) 14-3: Preventive Maintenance Plan (PMP) for Airfield Pavements.”
- Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-260-16FA 16 “Airfield Pavement Condition Survey Procedures Pavements.”
- Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 3-260-03 “Airfield Pavement Evaluation.”
- Pavement Management for Airports, Roads, and Parking Lots 2nd Edition, M.Y. Shahin.

Chapter 2





Chapter 2 – Methodology

An effective pavement management program incorporates the regular collection of pavement condition information and communication of information to appropriate sponsors. This chapter of the report defines the specific methods utilized as part of the SAPMP System Update to meet the requirements of an effective pavement management system as defined by the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP).”**

2.1 Airfield Pavement Database

The SAPMP program has historically utilized PAVER™ (formerly MicroPAVER™); the current update has maintained the use of the PAVER™ 7.0 version of the software. The PAVER™ software application was developed by the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory sponsored by the FAA, Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Army, U.S. Air Force, and the U.S. Navy to meet the objectives of an effective pavement management system. The SAPMP consists of a network-level database of the airport's airfield pavement facilities that are part of the program. PAVER™ can achieve the following pavement management objectives: a manageable inventory system, the analysis of the current condition of pavements in accordance with the ASTM D5340, the development of pavement performance models to forecast conditions, and the development of maintenance, repair, and major rehabilitation recommendations based on budgetary scenarios.

PAVER™ inventory management is based on a tiered organizational structure that consists of networks, branches, and sections, with the section being the smallest unit of management. Critical elements of an effective pavement management program are maintained within the network-level PAVER™ database. These elements typically consist of pavement inventory characteristics, pavement structure, work history, historic condition records, and analytical customization.

The SAPMP System Update consisted of the conversion of the previous database from a PAVER™ version 6.5 to a version 7.0.

2.2 Airfield Pavement System Inventory

An airfield pavement system inventory typically maintains the location of all runways, taxiways, and aprons; geometric characteristics; type of pavement structure, year of construction and/or last major rehabilitation; and general composition details of the pavement.

The pavement inventory for an airport's airfield is an assembly of pavement infrastructure information that builds an inventory of branches and sections that codifies the airport's airfield pavement network. General geometry characteristics, estimated length, width, functional classification, pavement surface type, and operational function are among the characteristics identified at this initial phase in the pavement management process. The development of a pavement inventory that reasonably reflects the airport's airfield pavement facilities that are maintained by the airport provides a defined scope of the inspection and analysis efforts. As in the past, the SAPMP scope of work is specific to the airport-maintained airfield pavements as defined in the field network definition exhibits presented to current airport personnel.



A critical input to the pavement system inventory and network definition in the development of the SAPMP update is the date of last major rehabilitation/construction performed on the pavement assets that would set the asset at a PCI of 100 and a condition rating of Good. The airport provided a limited combination of record drawings, reports, and staff input that was pertinent information in developing the construction history of the airport's pavements from inception. Major rehabilitation/construction activities performed in the last 24-months or anticipated in the next 24-months are assumed to restore the PCI to 100. These activities include; pavement overlay, mill and replace, mill and overlay, new construction, and/or complete reconstruction.

Aerial imagery was obtained through the FDOT Surveying & Mapping Office's *Aerial Photo Look Up System (APLUS)*. This spatially projected imagery was utilized with computer-aided drafting software (AutoCAD) in concert with geographical information system software (ArcGIS) to develop a planning-level representative model that reasonably reflects the pavement assets at the airport.

2.2.1 Pavement Management Program Network Definition Terminology

There are several terms that are common in the communication of the results of the SAPMP System Update, these terms are defined as follows:

Pavement Network

A pavement network is a logical unit for organizing pavements into a structure for pavement management. A network will typically consist of one or more pavement *branches*, which are typically comprised of one or many pavement *sections*. The network is the starting point of the hierarchy of pavement management organization. For example, a network can be all the pavements within an airport's airfield or all the pavements in a statewide program. For the FDOT SAPMP, a network represents an individual airport's airfield pavement facilities maintained by the airport.

The SAPMP System Update consists of research and evaluation of existing record documentation for the participating airports' airfield facilities. The pavement network is typically limited to the pavement facilities subject to aircraft use that is also maintained by the airport owner and eligible for public funding.

Pavement Branch

A pavement branch, also known as a facility, is a logical unit of generally identifiable pavement of a network with distinct functional classification. For example, within an airfield each runway, taxiway, or apron is considered a branch. A branch must consist of at least one section.

Pavement Section

A pavement section, also known as a feature, is the most specific management unit when considering the application and selection of maintenance, repair, and/or major rehabilitation treatments on an area of pavement within a branch. Each branch consists of at least one section, but may consist of more if pavement feature characteristics are distinct throughout the branch. Characteristics considered when subdividing branches into sections include, but are not limited to: pavement structure, type, age, condition, and function; traffic composition and frequency (current and future); geometric location; construction history; and other related



infrastructure features (e.g. drainage). A pavement section is defined as a subordinate of a pavement branch, which is a subordinate of a “parent” pavement network.

Pavement Sample Unit

A pavement sample unit is a subdivision of a pavement section that has a standard size range: twenty (20) continuous slabs (± 8 slabs) for Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) pavement and 5,000 contiguous square feet ($\pm 2,000$ ft²) for flexible asphalt concrete (AC) or porous friction course pavements.

Table 2.2.1 Airfield Pavement Database Network Definition Terminology

PMS Network Level	Common Definition	Airport Example
Network	Overall pavement assets maintained by the Airport	“Tallahassee International Airport – Airfield Pavements”
Branch Name	Commonly defined asset name as established by Airport and by use	“Runway 18-36”
Branch ID	Codified shorthand name for commonly defined asset established for database identification	“RW 18-36” RW, Branch Use, “Runway” 18-36, Runway Facility
Section ID	Codified identification for pavement asset that is distinct by the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pavement Composition • Construction Work History • Aircraft Traffic • Condition Records 	“6105”
Sample Unit	A numeric identification of an area of pavement (5,000 \pm 2,000 SF of AC or 20 \pm 8 slabs of PCC) that has been inspected in accordance with ASTM D5340-12.	“300”



2.3 Airfield Pavement Structure

2.3.1 Pavement Structure Types

Airport airfield pavements are constructed to provide adequate support for the loads imposed by aircraft and produce a firm, stable, smooth, all-year, all-weather surface free of debris or other particles that may be blown or dislocated by propeller wash or jet blast. Typical pavement planning and design requires coordination of factors that include but are not limited to; subgrade conditions, material layer types, aircraft fleet mix (type, frequency, and traffic growth), and functional use. A pavement structure is composed of constructed layers that consist of subgrade, subbase, base course, structural courses, and surfaces courses. For the FDOT SAPMP, two major pavement structure types are classified for evaluation and analysis: Flexible Asphalt Concrete Surface and Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Surface. Additionally, Composite Structures known as Whitetopping Pavements are also present at limited airports within the Florida Airports System; these unique pavement structures are evaluated separately.

Flexible Asphalt Concrete Surface

A pavement comprised of aggregate mixture with an asphalt cement binder. The FDOT SAPMP consists of three (3) asphalt concrete surface types: Asphalt Concrete (AC), Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Asphalt Concrete (AAC), and Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Portland Cement Concrete (APC).

Asphalt Concrete (AC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on engineered base course material that is layered on subbase and subgrade soil material.

Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Asphalt Concrete (AAC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on an existing flexible AC pavement section. Flexible airfield pavement sections are AAC when a pavement rehabilitation consists of a pavement milling operation and a resurfacing of asphalt layers; or a direct overlay of asphalt concrete without surface preparation.

Asphalt Concrete Overlaid on Portland Cement Concrete (APC)

A flexible pavement section consisting of aggregate mixture with asphalt cement binder layered on an existing Rigid PCC pavement section. This unique pavement composition may result in distinct pavement distress manifestations known as reflective joint cracking.



Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Surface

A pavement comprised of aggregate mixture with a Portland Cement binder. The FDOT SAPMP recognizes Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) as the primary rigid pavement section.

Portland Cement Concrete (PCC)

A rigid pavement section composed of Portland cement concrete placed on a granular or treated base course that is supported on a compacted subgrade. The concrete surface must provide a texture of nonskid qualities, prevent the infiltration of surface water into the subgrade, and provide structural support to the airplanes. Rigid pavement construction requires the layout of appropriately designed joint spacing.

Composite Structure – Whitetopping Pavement

A composite pavement comprised of relatively thin Portland Cement Concrete overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement structure. There are three (3) types of Whitetopping Pavements; Conventional (WHT), Thin (TWT), and Ultra-Thin (UTW).

Conventional Whitetopping (WHT)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible AC pavement section area. The modified PCC layer is typically greater than 6-inches in thickness.

Thin Whitetopping (TWT)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement section. The modified PCC layer is typically between 4 and 6 inches in thickness.

Ultra-Thin Whitetopping (UTW)

A composite pavement structure consisting of a modified PCC overlaid on an existing flexible asphalt concrete pavement section. The Portland Cement Concrete layer is typically between 2 and 4 inches in thickness.



2.4 Airfield Pavement Work History

2.4.1 Airfield Pavement Record Keeping

It is strongly recommended that airports maintain records of all airfield construction and maintenance related to the pavement facilities. A history of all maintenance and repair performed and its associated costs (construction and soft costs) can provide valuable information on the effectiveness of various treatments on pavements. An airport should maintain detailed records of maintenance (routine, emergency, and proactive) activities. The records should consist of the following:

1. Location and Limits of Work.
2. Types and Severity of Distresses Repaired.
3. Type of Work.
4. Cost of Work.
5. Supporting Documents (contract documents, construction drawings, specifications, bid tabulations, repair product, photograph records, etc.).

2.5 Airfield Pavement Traffic

A pavement section is typically designed to meet the needs of the user (airlines, air cargo, general aviation, and/or military) in providing a safe, smooth, operational surface. Pavement deterioration generally occurs gradually through increased roughness and/or fatigue cracking caused by successive and heavy aircraft traffic.

This study does not consist of a study or analysis of each individual airport's airfield aircraft fleet mix or traffic operations. However, it is strongly recommended that airports incorporate the requirements of FAA Advisory Circular **150/5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation** when developing design-level rehabilitation activities. The AC provides guidance on incorporation of aircraft traffic fleet mix data.

2.6 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (PCI) Survey

2.6.1 PCI Survey Methodology

In adherence to the FAA Advisory Circular **150/5380-7B "Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP),"** the FDOT SAPMP utilizes the PCI Survey Method of inspection to collect pavement distress data and analyze the condition. The PCI Survey Inspection procedure is a visual statistical sampling of pavements for recording primary distress types (e.g. cracking and deformation), associated severities, and quantities as defined by the ASTM D5340-12. This effort is the primary means of obtaining and recording pavement distress data. The survey inspection consists primarily of visual inspection of pavement surfaces for signs of distress and deterioration resulting from loading (aircraft) and environmental influences.

A visual pavement condition survey provides an indication of the cause and rate of deterioration of a pavement section from a functional point of view and can be an indicator of structural distress. The functional condition analysis assesses the rating of the operational surface. A visual PCI Survey Inspection does not predict the remaining structural life of a pavement section, or its ability to support loads. The functional condition determined by the PCI method



can provide a cost-effective means to plan for pavement rehabilitation projects. The timely application of pavement rehabilitation may lead to the extension of functional life of individual pavement sections. This method varies from structural evaluation; functional condition is limited to visually observed distresses and indicative modes of pavement deterioration. A formal structural evaluation analyzes subsurface conditions, material characteristics, and qualitative pavement structure attributes. A structural evaluation may consist of; subsurface geotechnical exploration, falling weight deflectometer testing, petrographic testing, material coring, and/or flexural testing.



2.6.2 Pavement Distress Types

For each section, the severity and quantity of defined distresses are recorded and then analyzed in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 standard. The standard identifies 17 distinct flexible asphalt concrete distress types and 16 distinct rigid Portland Cement Concrete distress types.

Table 2.6.2-1 (a) Pavement Distress Types – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Distress	Common Distress Mechanisms
Alligator Cracking	Load / Fatigue
Bleeding	Construction Quality/ Mix Design
Block Cracking	Climate / Age
Corrugation	Load / Construction Quality
Depression	Load / Subsurface
Jet Blast	Aircraft
Joint Reflection - Cracking	Climate / Subsurface Pavement / Traffic Load
Longitudinal/Transverse Cracking	Climate / Construction Quality
Oil Spillage	Aircraft / Vehicle
Patching	Utility / Pavement Repair / Age
Polished Aggregate	Repeated Traffic Loading
Raveling	Climate / Age
Rutting	Load / Fatigue
Shoving	PCC Pavement Growth / Movement
Slippage Cracking	Load / Pavement Bond / Mix Design
Swelling	Climate / Subsurface
Weathering	Climate / Age



Table 2.6.2-1 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Classification by Possible Causes			
Load	Climate / Durability	Moisture / Drainage	Others
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alligator Cracking • Corrugation • Depression • Patching of Load-based distress • Polished Aggregate • Rutting • Slippage Cracking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bleeding • Block Cracking • Joint Reflection Cracking • L/T Cracking • Patching of climate / durability-caused distresses • Shoving from PCC • Raveling • Weathering • Swelling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alligator Cracking • Depression • Patching of moisture / drainage caused distress • Swelling • Raveling • Weathering 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oil Spillage • Jet Blast Erosion • Polished Aggregate

Table 2.6.2-1 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Flexible Asphalt Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Classification by Possible Effects			
Roughness	Skid / Hydroplaning Potential	FOD Potential	Rate of Deterioration and Maintenance Requirements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corrugation • Depression • Rutting • Shoving of asphalt pavement • Swelling • Raveling • Weathering 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bleeding • Depression • Polished Aggregate • Rutting 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Block Cracking • Joint Reflection Cracking • L/T Cracking • Slippage Cracking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All Distresses



Table 2.6.2-2 (a) Pavement Distresses – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Distress	Common Distress Mechanisms
Blowup	Climate / ASR
Corner Break	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses
Linear Cracking	Load Repetition / Curling Stresses / Shrinkage Stresses
Durability Cracking	Freeze-Thaw Cycling
Joint Seal Damage	Material Deterioration / Construction Quality / Age
Small Patch	Pavement Repair
Large Patch/Utility Cut	Utility / Pavement Repair
Popout	Freeze-Thaw Cycling / ASR / Material Quality
Pumping	Load Repetition / Poor Joint Sealant
Scaling	Construction Quality / Freeze-Thaw Cycling
Faulting	Subgrade Quality / ASR / Inadequate Load Transfer
Shattered Slab	Overloading
Shrinkage Cracking	Construction Quality / Climate
Joint Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material / Deterioration of Dowel (Load Transfer) Bars
Corner Spalling	Load Repetition / Infiltration of Incompressible Material / Deterioration of Dowel (Load Transfer) Bars
Alkali-Silica Reaction (ASR)	Construction Quality / Climate / Chemical Reaction



Table 2.6.2-2 (b) Pavement Distresses Possible Causes – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Classification by Possible Causes			
Load	Climate / Durability	Moisture / Drainage	Others
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corner Break • Shattered Slab • L/T/D Cracking • Pumping • Patching of Load-associated distress • Spalling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Blowup • "D" Cracking • Joint Seal Damage • Popouts • Scaling • Patch of Climate/Durability-associated distress • Shrinkage Cracking • Spalling • L/T/D Cracking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corner Break • Shattered Slab • Pumping • Patching of Moisture/Drainage-associated distress 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Settlement / Faulting

Table 2.6.2-2 (c) Pavement Distresses Possible Effects – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete-Surfaced Airfields

Classification by Possible Effects			
Roughness	Skid / Hydroplaning Potential	FOD Potential	Rate of Deterioration and Maintenance Requirements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Blowup • Corner Break • L/T/D Cracking • Shattered Slab • Settlement / Faulting • Spalling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Settlement / Faulting • Spalling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corner Break • L/T/D Cracking • "D" Cracking • Joint Seal Damage • Shattered Slab • Popouts • Scaling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All distresses



2.6.3 PCI Survey Inspection Procedures

Inspection Sampling Rate

The FDOT SAPMP performs PCI Survey Inspections on sample units defined in the previous update. The sample units are subject to change at the discretion of the inspection personnel and/or to major pavement rehabilitation treatments. Furthermore, access to the sample units based on accessibility or impacts to operations may affect the overall sampling rate effort at each airport. The following **Tables 2.6.3 (a) and (b)** define the sampling criteria used by the FDOT SAPMP. A higher sampling rate may be utilized to achieve a greater statistical confidence should the airport have the available resources to perform PCI Survey Inspections independent of the FDOT SAPMP.

Table 2.6.3 (a) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Number of Total Sample Units in Section	Sample Units to Inspect	
	Runways	Taxiways, Aprons, and Others
1 - 4	1	1
5 - 10	2	1
11 - 15	3	2
16 - 30	5	3
31 - 40	7	4
41 - 50	8	5
51 or more	20% but ≤ 20	10% but ≤ 10

Table 2.6.3 (b) Recommended Sample Rate Schedule for Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Number of Total Sample Units in Section	Sample Units to Inspect	
	Runways	Taxiways, Aprons, and Others
1 - 3	1	1
4 - 6	2	1
7 - 10	3	2
11 - 15	4	2
16 - 20	5	3
21 - 30	7	3
31 - 40	8	4
41 - 50	10	5
51 or more	20% but ≤ 20	10% but ≤ 10



2.6.4 Updates to the ASTM D5340-12

Airfield pavement distresses and conditions were surveyed in accordance with the methods outlined in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5380-6C and ASTM D5340-12. These procedures define distress type, severity, and quantity for sampling areas within each defined pavement section area to analyze and determine the PCI value and condition rating. During the 2013-2015 System Update, the incorporation of the significant changes to the ASTM D5340 (version D5340-12) resulted in an adjusted pavement condition indices on pavement sections subject to the distress types updated. Furthermore, the revision of the PCI deduction curves and the separation of distress types from the original, such as Weathering and Raveling, have in select cases increased the PCI value of the section without any rehabilitation performed.

Flexible Asphalt Concrete Pavement Distress Updates

The previous methodology which featured “(52) Weathering and Raveling” distress has been separated into two distresses “(52) Raveling” and “(57) Weathering.” Previously, areas that were recorded as “Weathering and Raveling” were considered as one distress with a high deduction. Based on the updated methodology, in certain situations where “Weathering” only exists and does not meet the definition of “Raveling,” the PCI deduction is not as high as the former “Weathering and Raveling.” Therefore, areas identified only as “(57) Weathering” based on current ASTM standards, which were previously identified as “(52) Weathering and Raveling,” may be subject to an improvement in PCI. In instances where pavement PCI has increased due to this update, it is not due to an improvement in actual condition, however indicative of the adjusted distress deterioration effects.

Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Pavement Distress Updates


The previous methodology defined “(70) Scaling” as a distress that consisted of surface deterioration caused by construction defects, material defects, and environmental factors. The distress included *Alkali-Silica Reaction*, also known as ASR. The current methodology has separated Alkali-Silica Reaction as a distress identified as “(76) Alkali-Silica Reaction / ASR.” As a result the previous “(70) Scaling” numerical deduction contribution to the PCI has been reduced. Previous inspections that recorded “(70) Scaling,” and currently do not exhibit “(76) Alkali-Silica Reactivity / ASR” may potentially see an increase in PCI. Additionally, “(73) Shrinkage Cracks” has been redefined as “(73) Shrinkage Cracking”. Shrinkage Cracking is characterized in two forms; drying shrinkage and plastic shrinkage. Drying shrinkage occurs over time as moisture leaves the pavement, it develops when hardened pavement continues to shrink as excess water not needed for cement hydration evaporates. It forms when subsurface resistance to the shrinkage is present and may extend through the entire depth of the slab. Plastic shrinkage develops when there is rapid loss of water in the surface of recently placed pavement or can form from over finishing/overworking of the pavement during construction. These shrinkage cracks appear as a series of inter-connected hairline cracks, or pattern cracking, and are often observed throughout the majority of the slab surface. This condition is also referred to as map cracking or crazing.



Table 2.6.4 Summary of Updates to ASTM D5340-12

Distress Updates to Reflect ASTM 5340-12				
Use and Surface Type	Updated Distress	Former Distress in Prior to 5340-10	Deduction Curve	Potential Effect
AC/AAC/APC Airfield	(52) Raveling - Low	(52) Weathering and Raveling - Low	No Change	N/A
	(52) Raveling - Medium	(52) Weathering and Raveling - Medium	No Change	N/A
	(52) Raveling - High	(52) Weathering and Raveling - High	No Change	N/A
	(57) Weathering - Low	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(57) Weathering - Medium	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(57) Weathering - High	N/A – was part of 'Weathering and Raveling'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
PCC Airfield	(70) Scaling - Low	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing - Low	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(70) Scaling - Medium	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing - Medium	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(70) Scaling - High	(70) Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing - High	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – Low	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – Medium	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(76) Alkali Silica Reaction – High	N/A – was part of 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing'	New	Increase in PCI with no maintenance
	(73) Shrinkage Cracking	(73) Shrinkage Cracking	No Change	Prior distress types identified as 'Scaling, Map Cracking, and Crazeing' may now be identified as 'Shrinkage Cracking'

Chapter 3





Chapter 3 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory

A significant element of an effective airfield pavement management system is the appropriate record keeping of changes due to construction or operational use of the pavement facilities. This chapter discusses the inventory data collected from the airport and summarizes network-level characteristics of the airport's airfield pavements. At the start of each FDOT SAPMP System Update, all airports are asked to review the existing Airfield Pavement Network Definition exhibit for accuracy. Furthermore, participating airports are asked to provide documentation for any recent or anticipated construction related to their airfield pavements.

3.1 Airfield Pavement Network Information

3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction

Based on information provided by the airport, the following **Table 3.1.1** summarizes the airfield pavement construction projects that have been incorporated into the SAPMP database system since the 2013-2015 System Update. **Figure 3.1.1-1** and **Figure 3.1.1-2** provides an inset view of the 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit and the 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibits that depict the updated network details for the airport reflected in the PAVER Database. Large format exhibits are referenced in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**.


Table 3.1.1 Previous and/or Anticipated Airfield Pavement Construction

Year	General Work Description
2013	AP TERM - New Construction: 4" P-401, 6" P-211, 12" P-152
2016	TW A - Reconstruction: 2" P-401, 8" P-210, 12" P-152

The airport provided a limited combination of record drawings, reports, and staff input that was pertinent information in developing the construction history of the airport's pavements from inception. Major rehabilitation/construction activities performed in the last 24-months or anticipated in the next 24-months are assumed to restore the PCI to 100. These activities include: pavement overlay, mill and replace, mill and overlay, new construction, and/or complete reconstruction. These pavements were not formally subject to a PCI Survey and actual conditions may vary. Furthermore, any localized maintenance or repair performed that would improve the PCI will be considered in the condition analysis, if performed within inspection areas.




Figure 3.1.1-1 2017 Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit



The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit provides details to the PCI Survey inspection efforts. The exhibit identifies the pavement facilities, surface type, section definition, and sample unit delineation.



Figure 3.1.1-2 2017 Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit




The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit provides details to the work history updates communicated by the Airport. The Exhibit provides the approximate limits of recent and/or anticipated construction on the airfield pavement facilities. The limits are based on documentation provided by the Airport and, if constructed, observed in the field.

3.1.2 Estimated Pavement Age

Standard pavement design practice considers a design life of a 20-year period. Design inputs typically require subgrade soil conditions, pavement section layer material characteristics, and anticipated loading (aircraft fleet mix) for the design-life period. Based on the review of the historic airfield pavement construction, **Figure 3.1.2** summarizes the average age of the pavement sections since any major construction activity has occurred during the PCI Survey inspection. This is intended to be a rough estimate based on interpretation of the limited data available at the time of report.



Figure 3.1.2 Average Age of Pavements at Inspection




The estimation of the pavement age is based on information requested and provided by participating airports. Additionally, data collected in the prior system updates since 1992 have been relied upon.



3.1.3 Functional Use Classification

Pavements are subject to varying aircraft loading patterns based on utilization and overall operations. For this SAPMP Update, the following categories of airfield functional use have been identified and associated with the following possible pavement branch facilities: Apron, Runway, Taxiway, and Taxilane. **Figure 3.1.3** summarizes the identified pavements' functional use by area in square feet. The pavement areas reviewed exclude shoulder pavement facilities.

Figure 3.1.3 Airfield Pavement Functional Classification Use by Area







3.1.4 Pavement Surface Type

The airfield pavement facility surface types within the SAPMP include four common types of pavement: Portland cement concrete (PCC), asphalt concrete (AC), asphalt concrete overlaid on asphalt concrete (AAC), and asphalt concrete overlaid on Portland cement concrete (APC).

Based on the record documentation incorporated within the SAPMP database throughout the years, the pavement surface types have been assigned to the various pavement sections in accordance to its work history composition. The following **Figures 3.1.4 (a) and (b)** summarize the applicable pavement types observed at this specific airport's airfield.

Figure 3.1.4 (a) Pavement Surface Type by Area (SF)



*Figure 3.1.4 (b) Pavement Surface Type by Area (%)*

3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details

The following **Table 3.1.5** displays the section-level details assembled as part of this update. The section-level details are based on the record documentation provided by the airports to FDOT and from SAPMP System Updates. The details assembled rely on the accuracy and the adequacy of data provided; however, it should be noted that characteristics such as pavement areas may be based on aerial interpretation of spatially projected imagery. The accuracy of data is presented with the intention of a network planning-level document; should the airport elect to perform rehabilitation work, it is recommended that further investigation be performed at the project level for construction purposes.

In summary, the scope of the pavement inventory update resulted in the updating of select existing pavement geometry and the development of an AutoCAD model with spatial projection for use within GIS. **Appendix A** includes the Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit and the Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit which visually summarize the results of the Airfield Pavement System Inventory analysis and reporting.




Table 3.1.5 Pavement System Inventory Details

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	Area (SF)	Surface Type	Est. Last Construction Date
X35	APRON	AP	APRON	4105	400	300	128,008	AC	1/1/1991
X35	HANGAR APRON	AP HANGAR	APRON	4210	505	35	10,197	AC	1/1/1999
X35	HANGAR APRON	AP HANGAR	APRON	4220	940	35	21,334	AC	1/1/1999
X35	HANGAR APRON	AP HANGAR	APRON	4230	130	100	8,045	PCC	1/1/1989
X35	HANGAR APRON	AP HANGAR	APRON	4235	20	135	2,713	AC	1/1/1999
X35	HANGAR APRON	AP HANGAR	APRON	4240	1460	30	42,917	AC	1/1/2011
X35	TERMINAL APRON	AP TERM	APRON	4305	200	350	67,389	AC	7/1/2013
X35	RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	RUNWAY	6105	4550	60	273,635	AC	1/1/1993
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6205	375	100	42,000	AAC	12/1/2011
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6210	4300	100	428,000	AAC	12/1/2011
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6215	300	100	30,000	PCC	1/1/1942
X35	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	TAXIWAY	110	3350	50	183,367	AC	4/1/2016
X35	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	TAXIWAY	115	150	25	3,750	PCC	1/1/1942



PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Chapter 4





Chapter 4 – Airfield Pavement Condition


The examination of specific distress types (with causes attributed to load, climate, or other defined distress mechanism), determination of the severity of distress, and determination of the quantity of distress manifestation are required in the computation of a PCI value. The PCI provides valuable information that can be used to determine the existing condition of the pavement, possible cause of the pavement deterioration, and eventually aid in the planning of the rehabilitation of pavements. It should be noted that the PCI method of pavement condition evaluation is strictly a visual and functional evaluation. Further evaluation of the pavement condition may be necessary for design and/or project-level determination of pavement rehabilitation.

4.1 Airfield Pavement Condition Index (Latest Inspection)

4.1.1 Network-Level Analysis

The following **Figure 4.1.1** summarizes the network-level pavement condition analysis based on the most recent PCI Survey inspection results.

Figure 4.1.1 Latest Condition – Overall Network



4.1.2 Branch-Level Analysis

The following **Figures 4.1.2 (a) through (c)** summarize the branch-level pavement condition analysis based on the most recent PCI Survey inspection results; the following Figures provide overall branch-level conditions by branch use.



Figure 4.1.2 (a) Latest Condition – Runway Pavements




Figure 4.1.2 (b) Latest Condition – Taxiway Pavements







Figure 4.1.2 (c) Latest Condition – Apron Pavements





4.1.3 Section-Level Analysis

The following **Table 4.1.3** provides details for each pavement section of its area-weighted average PCI and the percent of distress which is related to load, climate, or other factors. The amount of distress attributed to the various causes provides insight into maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation needs. Load-related distress indicates that pavements are reaching the end of their structural design life, and for those pavements exhibiting a significant amount of these distress types, rehabilitation should be planned to strengthen or reconstruct the pavement.

Appendix C Technical Exhibits provides a technical exhibit that graphically depicts the PCI values and ratings determined from this SAPMP System Update.

Any pavement facilities subject to pavement construction within the past 2 years or anticipated for construction within the next year may have been omitted from inspection. Pavement subject to major rehabilitation will be set to a PCI of 100.




Table 4.1.3 Latest Pavement Condition Index Summary

Network ID	Branch ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	Surface	PCI	PCI Rating	PCI Pct Climate	PCI Pct Load	PCI Pct Other	Sample Units Inspected	Total Sample Units in Section
X35	AP	APRON	APRON	4105	128,008	AC	59	Fair	97%	0%	3%	3	24
X35	AP HANGAR	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4210	10,197	AC	57	Fair	100%	0%	0%	1	3
X35	AP HANGAR	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4220	21,334	AC	56	Fair	100%	0%	0%	2	6
X35	AP HANGAR	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4230	8,045	PCC	17	Serious	7%	72%	21%	1	1
X35	AP HANGAR	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4235	2,713	AC	51	Poor	99%	0%	1%	1	1
X35	AP HANGAR	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4240	42,917	AC	91	Good	100%	0%	0%	3	15
X35	AP TERM	TERMINAL APRON	APRON	4305	67,389	AC	92	Good	100%	0%	0%	2	14
X35	RW 10-28	RUNWAY 10-28	RUNWAY	6105	273,635	AC	71	Satisfactory	100%	0%	0%	18	90
X35	RW 5-23	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6205	42,000	AAC	89	Good	100%	0%	0%	2	8
X35	RW 5-23	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6210	428,000	AAC	88	Good	91%	0%	9%	17	86
X35	RW 5-23	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6215	30,000	PCC	45	Poor	3%	87%	10%	2	6
X35	TW A	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	110	183,367	AC	100	Good	0%	0%	0%	0	36
X35	TW A	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	115	3,750	PCC	33	Very Poor	2%	79%	19%	1	1



Figure 4.1.3 is an inset view of the 2017 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit that visually represents the results of the latest PCI Survey inspection. A large format exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**.

Figure 4.1.3 2017 Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit





4.2 Summary of Pavement Condition Evaluation Results

4.2.1 Network-Level Observations

The field PCI Survey performed at Marion County Airport (X35) was started and completed on 09/26/2016. The resulting overall average area-weighted PCI value was 80 representing a condition rating of Satisfactory. Marion County Airport is served by two runways; Runway 5-23 is 100-ft wide and 5,000-ft long and Runway 10-28 is 60-ft wide and 4,702-ft long. Taxiway A was reconstructed in 2016 and was not inspected for this System Update. The pavement condition index for Taxiway A has been set to 100, a condition rating of Good.

Based on the FAA 5010 Report as of 06/12/2017 the Airport has reported 38,603 operations for 12 months ending 09/09/2015.

4.2.2 Branch-Level Observations

The following branch-level observations are intended to be an overall summary of select pavement facilities identified during the PCI Survey; further detail at the section and sample-level may be referenced for all pavements assessed as part of this System Update. The branch-level observations discussed are limited to select branches based on use and condition.

Runway 10-28

Runway 10-28 consists of 1 section constructed of AC. The last construction year for Runway 10-28 was 1993. The average area-weighted PCI for Runway 10-28 is 71 representing a Satisfactory condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate distress classifications. Distresses observed in Runway 10-28 consist of Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Patching, Raveling, and Weathering. Runway 10-28 received a surface treatment in 2012.

Runway 5-23

Runway 5-23 consists of 3 sections constructed of AAC and PCC. The last construction years range from 1942 to 2011. The average area-weighted PCI for Runway 5-23 is 85 representing a Satisfactory condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate, Load, and Other distress classifications. Distresses observed in Runway 5-23 consist of Depression, Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Patching, Swelling, Weathering, Linear Cracking, Joint Seal Damage, Small Patch, Shattered Slab, and Shrinkage Cracking.

Runway 5-23 had major rehabilitation completed in 2011 and exhibited minimal amounts of longitudinal cracking, weathering, and isolated instances of swelling. A depression was also observed on the edge of the runway towards the end of Runway 23.

The PCC portion at the end of Runway 23 was not rehabbed and is the original 1942 PCC pavement. This section was in Poor condition exhibiting significant quantities of Load related distress.

Hangar Apron

Hangar Apron consists of 5 sections constructed of AC and PCC. The last construction years range from 1989 to 2011. The average area-weighted PCI for Hangar Apron is 69 representing a Fair condition rating. The pavement distresses observed were related to Climate, Load, and



Other distress classifications. Distresses observed in Hangar Apron consist of Block Cracking, Depression, Longitudinal & Transverse Cracking, Raveling, Weathering, Corner Break, Linear Cracking, Joint Seal Damage, Large Patch/Utility Cut, Faulting, Shattered Slab, Shrinkage Cracking, and Joint Spall.

The AC sections of the Hangar Apron had higher PCI numbers than the PCC section and exhibited mostly climate related distresses. The PCC portion of the apron, section 4230, had a PCI value of 17 which is a Serious condition rating. This section exhibited a substantial amount of load related distresses and should be considered for full reconstruction.

Figure 4.2.2 Pavement Condition Summary by Facility Use

Facility Use	Average Area-Weighted PCI	Condition Rating
Runway	80	Satisfactory
Taxiway	98	Good
Apron	70	Fair



4.3 Forecasted Pavement Conditions

4.3.1 Performance Models and Prediction Curves

Pavement Performance Models are developed from the distress data and historic construction records collected for the SAPMP. This data is consolidated in a database and organized by inspection/construction date, pavement type, age, and pavement use. The pavement Performance Models are used to develop broad Prediction Curves, alternatively known as deterioration curves or family curves. These Prediction Curves are utilized to develop forecasted PCI values based on historic trends and statistical models.

4.3.2 Branch-Level Pavement Condition Forecast

The following **Figures 4.3.2 (a) through (c)** depict the branch-level pavement condition forecast by Branch Use (Runway, Taxiway, and/or Apron). The forecasted conditions are for a 10-year duration starting in January 2018 through January 2027.

Figure 4.3.2 (a) Forecasted Runway Pavement Performance






Figure 4.3.2 (b) Forecasted Taxiway Pavement Performance





Figure 4.3.2 (c) Forecasted Apron Pavement Performance





4.3.3 Section-Level Pavement Condition Forecast

The following **Table 4.3.3** provides detail to the forecasted PCI values for each section inspected. Please note the forecasted Branch- and Section-Level PCI's are for planning purposes and are subject to the sensitivities in changes in traffic and maintenance frequency. Airport staff should perform annual visual condition assessments to maintain recent understanding of pavement conditions.



Table 4.3.3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027


Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	Forecasted PCI									
				2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
X35	AP	4105	59	56	55	53	52	50	49	47	45	44	42
X35	AP HANGAR	4210	57	54	53	51	50	48	47	45	43	42	40
X35	AP HANGAR	4220	56	53	52	50	49	47	46	44	42	41	39
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	17	15	14	13	12	11	10	8	7	6	5
X35	AP HANGAR	4235	51	48	47	45	44	42	41	39	37	36	34
X35	AP HANGAR	4240	91	88	87	85	84	82	81	79	77	76	74
X35	AP TERM	4305	92	89	88	86	85	83	82	80	78	77	75
X35	RW 10-28	6105	71	68	67	65	64	62	60	59	57	55	54
X35	RW 5-23	6205	89	86	83	81	78	76	73	71	69	67	65
X35	RW 5-23	6210	88	84	82	80	77	75	72	70	68	66	65
X35	RW 5-23	6215	45	43	43	42	41	40	39	38	38	37	36
X35	TW A	110	100	96	93	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	75
X35	TW A	115	33	31	31	30	29	28	27	26	26	25	24



4.3.4 Forecasted PCI Considerations

As FDOT continues to update the SAPMP with future PCI Survey inspections and assembly of airfield pavement construction work history, the performance models will be further refined. With the refinement of additional PCI and work history data points, the forecasting of pavement conditions will continue to better reflect the performance trends of airfield pavements in the Florida Airports System. Forecasted or predicted pavement conditions for the airport are intended for planning purposes only. Design-level recommendations for pavement rehabilitation and/or reconstruction will require the appropriate application of the procedures defined in FAA **AC 150/5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation** and **AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements** to determine structural and/or functional conditions at the time of project.

Chapter 5





Chapter 5 – Localized Maintenance and Repair Planning

General Maintenance and Rehabilitation (M&R) methods are characterized under three broad categories: localized maintenance and repair, global treatments, and major rehabilitation.

- **Localized Maintenance and Repair** includes patching and crack sealing.
- **Global Treatments** includes surface seals and rejuvenators (flexible pavements).
- **Major Rehabilitation** includes overlays, significant slab replacement, and reconstruction.

This chapter discusses the FDOT SAPMP Localized Maintenance and Repair Planning approach. Proactive localized maintenance and repair, specifically preservation, is highly recommended to the airports. However, it is certainly recognized that once pavements have deteriorated below a certain condition, the facility would benefit from a more substantial rehabilitation in lieu of localized efforts. Chapter 6 Major Rehabilitation Planning discusses the addressing of pavements through timely rehabilitation once it has deteriorated below a critical PCI where localized repairs may not be as cost effective.

5.1 Localized Maintenance and Repair

Localized maintenance and repair is best applied as a conservation measure and is oftentimes applied to slow the rate of deterioration of distress pavements; however, may be applied as a temporary corrective measure in isolated areas. Localized maintenance and repair can be applied either as a safety (“stopgap”) measure or preventive measure. Example distress types subject to localized preventive maintenance and repair may consist of low-severity longitudinal and transverse cracking and low-severity weathering. In many cases however, localized stopgap repair is applied as a safety measure to address high-severity distress manifestations when major rehabilitation is not funded for a given section with a PCI value below critical PCI. Some agencies may elect to define both types; preventative and stopgap, as localized maintenance.

Localized Stopgap/Safety Maintenance and Repair

Localized Stopgap or Safety Maintenance and Repair is defined as the localized distress repair needed to keep pavements operational in a safe condition. These activities are typically applied to high-severity distresses or distresses affecting operational activities. Typical pavement section PCIs will range from 0 to 65.

Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair

Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair is defined as distress maintenance activities performed with the primary objective of slowing the rate of deterioration. These activities typically include crack sealing and patching. Typical pavement section PCIs will be above 65.



5.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy

The resulting Localized Maintenance and Repair recommendations are identified based on the policy defined in **Table 5.2.1** and **Table 5.2.2**, for flexible asphalt concrete and rigid Portland cement concrete pavements, respectively. The activities identified were based on the research of practical pavement treatments in consideration of the FAA **AC 150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements”** and the **FDOT Airfield Pavement Distress Repair Manual**. Additionally, the **Engineering Technical Letter (ETL) 14-3: Preventive Maintenance Plan (PMP) for Airfield Pavements** was referenced for conservative application of pavement treatments. The Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy and associated planning-level unit costs were developed in consideration of a network-level analysis – it is strictly intended to provide a glimpse of the condition of the airport pavements with a limited PCI survey effort.

The developed Localized Maintenance and Repair Policy and associated planning-level unit costs were based on a statewide consideration of pavement treatments and review of state construction costs for both Airfield Pavements and from the FDOT Historical Cost Information archives. Furthermore, a consideration of limited repair quantities was factored in the determination of conservative planning-level unit costs. The identified Localized maintenance activities for both preventive and stopgap activities are based on a statewide network approach; project-specific evaluation and maintenance quantities should be developed prior to any construction.

Table 5.2-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
41	Low	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
41	Medium	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
41	High	ALLIGATOR CR	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
42	N/A	BLEEDING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
43	Low	BLOCK CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
43	Medium	BLOCK CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
43	High	BLOCK CR	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
44	Low	CORRUGATION	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
44	Medium	CORRUGATION	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
44	High	CORRUGATION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	Low	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	Medium	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
45	High	DEPRESSION	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
46	High	JET BLAST	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
46	N/A	JET BLAST	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
47	Low	JT REF. CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
47	Medium	JT REF. CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
47	High	JT REF. CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft



Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
48	Low	L & T CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
48	Medium	L & T CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
48	High	L & T CR	FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	Ft
49	N/A	OIL SPILLAGE	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
50	Low	PATCHING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
50	Medium	PATCHING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
50	High	PATCHING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
51	N/A	POLISHED AG	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
52	Low	RAVELING	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
52	Medium	RAVELING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
52	High	RAVELING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
53	Low	RUTTING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
53	Medium	RUTTING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
53	High	RUTTING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
54	Low	SHOVING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
54	Medium	SHOVING	FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	SqFt
54	High	SHOVING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
55	N/A	SLIPPAGE CR	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
56	Low	SWELLING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
56	Medium	SWELLING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
56	High	SWELLING	FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
57	Low	WEATHERING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
57	Medium	WEATHERING	FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	SqFt
57	High	WEATHERING	FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt

Table 5.2-2 Localized Maintenance and Repair – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
61	Low	BLOW-UP	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
61	Medium	BLOW-UP	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
61	High	BLOW-UP	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
62	Low	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
62	Medium	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
62	High	CORNER BREAK	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
63	Low	LINEAR CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
63	Medium	LINEAR CR	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
63	High	LINEAR CR	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt



Distress	Severity	Description	Code	Work Type	Work Unit
64	Low	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
64	Medium	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
64	High	DURABIL. CR	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
65	Low	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
65	Medium	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
65	High	JT SEAL DMG	FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	Ft
66	Low	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
66	Medium	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
66	High	SMALL PATCH	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
67	Low	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
67	Medium	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
67	High	LARGE PATCH	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
68	N/A	POPOUTS	FDOT-PO-FL	FDOT - POPOUT FILLER	SqFt
69	N/A	PUMPING	FDOT-SB-PC	FDOT - SLAB STABILIZATION - PCC	SqFt
70	Low	SCALING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
70	Medium	SCALING	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
70	High	SCALING	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
71	Low	FAULTING	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
71	Medium	FAULTING	FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	Ft
71	High	FAULTING	FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	Ft
72	Low	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
72	Medium	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
72	High	SHAT. SLAB	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt
73	N/A	SHRINKAGE CR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
74	Low	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
74	Medium	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
74	High	JOINT SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
75	Low	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	Ft
75	Medium	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
75	High	CORNER SPALL	FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	SqFt
76	Low	ASR	FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	N/A
76	Medium	ASR	FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	SqFt
76	High	ASR	FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	SqFt



Table 5.2-3 (a) Localized Repair Planning-Level Unit Costs – Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Code	Name	Cost	Units
FDOT-SS-LO	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	\$0.55	SqFt
FDOT-ML-AC	FDOT - MILLING - AC	\$2.00	SqFt
FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	\$2.00	Ft
FDOT-CS-AC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	\$3.00	Ft
FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	\$0.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-AF	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	\$6.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-AP	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	\$3.00	SqFt

Table 5.2-3 (b) Localized M&R Planning-Level Unit Costs – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Code	Name	Cost	Units
FDOT-PA-PF	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	\$100.00	SqFt
FDOT-SL-PC	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	\$30.00	SqFt
FDOT-SB-PC	FDOT - SLAB STABILIZATION - PCC	\$30.00	SqFt
FDOT-PA-PP	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	\$72.00	SqFt
FDOT-PO-FL	FDOT - POPOUT FILLER	\$0.05	SqFt
FDOT-GR-PP	FDOT - GRINDING (LOCALIZED)	\$2.00	Ft
FDOT-CS-PC	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	\$4.25	Ft
FDOT-MO-PV	FDOT - MONITOR	\$0.00	N/A
FDOT-JS-PC	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	\$2.75	Ft

*PCC Patching (Full Depth and Partial Depth) consider high-early-strength and high-performing repair material.



5.3 Localized Maintenance and Repair Analysis and Recommendations

The SAPMP provides a planning-level estimation of Localized Maintenance and Repair based on the results of the latest PCI Survey Inspection performed at the airport. Based on the limited sample units inspected, a statistical extrapolation of distresses at the section level is used to estimate the quantities of recommended repair activities based on the policies defined in **5.2 Localized M&R Policy**. The PCI Survey Inspections did not consist of 100% inspection of all sample units; therefore, the section-level distress quantities used to estimate the Localized Maintenance and Repair needs are for conceptual planning purposes. The accuracy of the extrapolated distresses, and therefore work quantities, is subject to the amount of sample units inspected and the concentration of distress types observed in sample units. **Appendix B** provides the estimated Localized Maintenance and Repair based on this SAPMP's PCI Survey Inspection efforts. Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair is typically applied to pavements that are in a condition at or above the Critical PCI of 65. Localized Stopgap Maintenance and Repair is typically applied to pavements that are below the Critical PCI of 65. It is recommended that airport staff evaluate the application of Localized Maintenance and Repair in concert with the planning of Major Rehabilitation efforts identified in Chapter 6 Major Rehabilitation Planning. Pavements with Stopgap recommendations that are subject to near-term Major Rehabilitation efforts may remove the need to perform localized maintenance efforts.

The following **Table 5.3-1** summarizes the anticipated Localized Maintenance and Repair efforts based on the PCI Survey Inspection efforts performed at this airport as part of this SAPMP System Update. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

Table 5.3-1 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Network Level

Work Description	Work Category	Rough Estimate of Work Quantity	Work Units	Planning Material Cost
FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	PREVENTIVE	310	SqFt	\$ 1,850.00
FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	PREVENTIVE	12,300	SqFt	\$ 6,770.00
FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	STOPGAP	145,280	SqFt	\$ 79,910.00
FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	STOPGAP	20	SqFt	\$ 100.00
FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	STOPGAP	2,160	SqFt	\$ 6,480.00
FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	STOPGAP	3,405	Ft	\$ 10,220.00
FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	STOPGAP	995	Ft	\$ 4,230.00
FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	STOPGAP	15	SqFt	\$ 940.00
FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	STOPGAP	90	SqFt	\$ 8,620.00
FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	STOPGAP	4,640	Ft	\$ 12,760.00
FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	STOPGAP	3,150	SqFt	\$ 94,500.00



The following **Table 5.3-2** provides further breakdown of the anticipated planning-level cost at the section level for the pavements exhibiting distresses that would benefit from Localized M&R. The table shows the approximate improved “End Condition” of the section after the application of Localized M&R. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

Table 5.3-2 Summary of Airport Localized M&R Planning Cost and Quantity at Section Level

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Area (SF)	Start Condition	End Condition	Cost
X35	AP	4105	128,008	59	74	\$ 80,450.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4210	10,197	57	75	\$ 10,610.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4220	21,334	56	62	\$ 4,070.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	8,045	17	44	\$ 108,290.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4235	2,713	51	58	\$ 1,600.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4240	42,917	91	91	\$ -
X35	AP TERM	4305	67,389	92	92	\$ -
X35	RW 10-28	6105	273,635	71	76	\$ 6,770.00
X35	RW 5-23	6205	42,000	89	89	\$ -
X35	RW 5-23	6210	428,000	88	88	\$ 1,850.00
X35	RW 5-23	6215	30,000	45	69	\$ 11,200.00
X35	TW A	110	183,367	100	100	\$ -
X35	TW A	115	3,750	33	69	\$ 1,560.00



The following **Table 5.3-3** provides a summary of the anticipated planning-level costs for Localized Preventive Maintenance and Repair and Localized Stopgap Maintenance and Repair. The following table depicts planning-level costs rounded to the nearest ten dollars.

Table 5.3-3 Summary of Localized Maintenance

Work Category	Cost
Preventive	\$ 8,620.00
Stopgap	\$ 217,760.00
<i>Planning-Level Localized M&R Needs =</i>	<i>\$ 226,380.00</i>

Chapter 6





Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation Planning

6.1 Major Rehabilitation

Major rehabilitation is recommended to correct or improve structural deficiencies and/or functional deterioration for pavement sections within a network. Often, when pavements are subject to significant changes in the aircraft fleet mix (frequency and type), major rehabilitation is required to provide a pavement section to meet the traffic demand. Major rehabilitation is recommended when a pavement section falls below the Critical PCI value that is defined during the system customization or if a pavement section has a significant observation of load-related distress. Observation of any load-related distress potentially indicates that the section may be structurally deficient or that the aircraft loads being applied to the pavement section are different than what the section was designed for. **Figures 6.1-1 and 6.1-2** depict the decision process for major rehabilitation project identification with the assumption of available funds. Should funding be unavailable for pavement sections in need of major rehabilitation, the airport may elect to apply the appropriate localized stopgap repair.

Figure 6.1-1 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, $PCI \leq \text{Critical PCI}$







Figure 6.1-2 Major Rehabilitation Planning Decision Diagram, $PCI > \text{Critical } PCI$





6.1.1 Critical PCI

For the FDOT SAPMP the development of a major rehabilitation program is based on the Critical PCI concept. The **Critical PCI** concept assumes that it is more cost-effective to maintain pavements above, rather than below their critical PCI. It is assumed that once a pavement section deteriorates to the Critical PCI value that it is more cost-effective to complete a major rehabilitation project rather than continuing to apply preventive maintenance. This method includes defining the Critical PCI and introducing major rehabilitation work types.

Identification of annual and long-range Major Rehabilitation work plans are typically based on the Critical PCI concept. The Critical PCI is defined as the PCI value at which the rate of loss (deterioration) increases with time, or the cost of applying localized maintenance and repair increases or is not effective. A Critical PCI is usually within a range of 55 and 70; the following procedure is standard approach in developing a specific Critical PCI:

1. Develop a pavement performance model and refine a prediction model for the pavements considered.
2. Select a localized maintenance and repair policy to be used in developing a work plan.
3. Apply the selected localized policy to the pavement sections for a range of PCI.
4. Compute the unit cost per area for each PCI range.
5. Plot the cost versus the PCI.
6. Determine the Critical PCI based on the point where the cost is insignificant.

The FDOT SAPMP defines the Critical PCI at 65 – this is based on the historic trends in pavement performance and Statewide planning efforts.

6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI

The FDOT has recommended **Minimum Service-Level PCI** for airports' airfield pavements based on the following characteristics; airport type within FDOT SAPMP, branch use, and expected aircraft operations. For the purposes of Major Rehabilitation, the Critical PCI is typically the threshold condition that triggers major construction, however it is recommended that the airports maintain the Minimum Service-Level PCI with a combination of Localized Maintenance and Repair and timely Major Rehabilitation. **Table 6.1.2** summarizes the FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI.

Table 6.1.2 FDOT Recommended Minimum Service-Level PCI

Branch Use	FDOT Recommended PCI	Additional Consideration
Runway	75	Aircraft Fleet Mix Changes Primary Runway
Taxiway / Taxilane	65	Aircraft Fleet Mix Changes Expected Operations
Aprons / Run-Ups / Ramps	60	Ground Service Equipment Non-Aircraft Operations (e.g. fueling)



6.2 Major Rehabilitation Policy

6.2.1 Major Rehabilitation Pavement Section Development

The review of the existing as-built record documentation within the participating airports' archives was used as the basis of the conceptual pavement design sections. Refinement of the pavement section layers was performed in consideration of the FAA **AC 150/5320-6F "Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation."** It should be noted that no subsurface geotechnical investigation, ALTA/ACSM Survey, topographic survey, utilities survey, environmental, or site specific air traffic study(s) have been utilized in the development of the design criteria. No warranty or assurance is implied in this document for final design nor construction for any airfield pavements discussed within this report. The following **Tables 6.2.1 (a) and (b)** provide details on the conceptual pavement sections developed for this study.

Major rehabilitation is divided into two policy categories as part of this program: Full-Depth Reconstruction (Reconstruction) and Intermediate-Level Major Rehabilitation (Restoration). Based on the pavement type, the general categories are defined as AC Reconstruction and AC Restoration for AC, AAC, and APC flexible pavement types and PCC Reconstruction and PCC Restoration for PCC rigid pavement types. The pavement sections have been based on the average GA Airport Type requirements; no pavement design has been performed in accordance with AC 150/5320-6F for the determined conceptual sections.

Table 6.2.1 (a) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Flexible Asphalt Concrete

Rehabilitation Type	General Aviation (GA) Airport
AC Restoration <i>Combination of asphalt pavement milling and overlay with 25% of the areas subject to full-depth reconstruction.</i> PCI = 41 to 65	75% Mill and Overlay P-101 AC Milling (2") P-603 Bituminous Tack P-401 (HMA) (2") 25% AC Reconstruction P-101 Pavement Removal P-152 Subgrade (12") P-211 Base (6") P-602 Bituminous Prime P-603 Bituminous Tack P-401 HMA (2") <i>Excludes any paved shoulder features.</i>
AC Reconstruction <i>Full-depth asphalt pavement section reconstruction.</i> PCI = 40 or less	P-101 Pavement Removal P-152 Subgrade (12") P-211 Base (6") P-602 Bituminous Prime P-603 Bituminous Tack P-401 HMA (2") <i>Excludes any paved shoulder features.</i>



Table 6.2.1 (b) Conceptual Pavement Section for Major Rehabilitation – Rigid Portland Cement Concrete

Rehabilitation Type	General Aviation (GA) Airport
PCC Restoration <i>Restoration of PCC pavement with a combination of crack sealing, joint seal replacement, and replacement of 25% of slab panels.</i> PCI = 41 to 65	P-101 Pavement Removal P-605 Joint Seal Repair P-152 Subgrade (6") P-211 Base (if needed, typical) (6") P-501 Rigid PCC (10") *Select Slabs (25%) **Crack Seal and Limited Patching
PCC Reconstruction <i>Full-depth rigid pavement section reconstruction.</i> PCI = 40 or less	P-101 Pavement Removal P-605 Joint Seal Repair P-152 Subgrade (6") P-211 Base (6") P-501 Rigid PCC (10")

The identification of rehabilitation needs and conceptual pavement sections have been determined at the planning level. Design-level investigation is recommended prior to developing construction-level design documents and budgets.

In compliance with FAA Grant Assurances 11 and 19, the FDOT SAPMP provides airports with airfield pavement evaluation reports in accordance with **FAA AC 150/5380-7B Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)** and **AC 150/5380-6C Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements**. The application of the results of a PCI survey are for planning purposes and are limited to the visual observation of deteriorated pavements in limited sampling; design-level investigation is recommended in accordance with the FAA procedures defined in **AC 5320-6F Airport Pavement Design and Evaluation** and **AC 150/5370-11B Use of Nondestructive Testing in the Evaluation of Airport Pavements**. The aforementioned ACs provide the design-level material properties of in-situ pavement and subgrade layers for the determination of appropriate rehabilitation actions. The FDOT SAPMP is organized to provide airports with planning-level data and does not intend to preclude the responsible engineer in performing the appropriate level of investigation and analysis in determining the appropriate design details of a pavement rehabilitation. It would not be advisable to solely base design-level rehabilitation without the appropriate level of investigation and determination of pavement deterioration beyond that of a visual functional condition assessment.

6.2.2 Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Costs

Planning-level opinion of probable construction unit costs developed for this System Update was based on archived bid tabulations and records from airfield pavement projects provided by participating airports. A review of cost trends and cost factors have been incorporated to assist airports in planning for project budgets. Neither FDOT nor the Consultant Team has control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable construction costs



provided herein are based on the information known to FDOT at this time and represent only the Consultant Team's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. This report cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable construction costs.

Table 6.2.2 General Aviation Major Rehabilitation Planning-Level Unit Cost by Pavement Type

Rehabilitation Type	PCI Range	Flexible Asphalt Concrete Cost Per SF	Rigid Portland Cement Concrete Cost per SF
Restoration	41 to 65	\$ 7.00	\$ 10.00
Reconstruction	0 to 40	\$ 9.00	\$ 15.00

Planning-level opinion of probable construction unit costs consider factors for non-pavement improvements, QA/QC testing, and administrative costs.

6.3 Major Rehabilitation Needs

The objective of the major pavement rehabilitation needs analysis is to provide planning-level projects within an airport's airfield pavement network. Major rehabilitation activities are recommended when a pavement section has deteriorated below the Critical PCI value, a point at which localized maintenance and repair activities may not be the most cost-effective solution. In addition, major rehabilitation is also recommended when the Section PCI is at or above the Critical PCI but the section has significant load-related PCI distresses. Identification of rehabilitation needs is done at the Airfield Pavement Network Definition's section level. This however does not limit the airport from further refining limits of project planning areas.

Major rehabilitation is identified within the FDOT SAPMP as major construction activity that would result in an improvement or resetting of the pavement section's PCI to a value of 100. Major rehabilitation recommendations (AC Restoration, AC Reconstruction, PCC Restoration, and PCC Reconstruction) should be considered as planning-level only. Additional design-level investigation in accordance to the FAA Advisory Circulars will be required. Recommendations identified within this planning document do not imply final design.

6.3.1 10-Year Unconstrained Budget Major Rehabilitation Needs

An unconstrained budget (unlimited budget) is performed for a 10-year duration to identify pavement rehabilitation needs based on current or forecasted PCI values deteriorating below the Critical PCI. FDOT recognizes airports are constrained by budgets and does not intend to convey an unrealistic approach of addressing pavement rehabilitation. The intent of the 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs analysis is to identify pavements that will warrant rehabilitation. It is highly recommended that airport staff utilize this information in support of the development of a practical Capital Improvement Program based on priorities, further design/project-level investigation, and budgetary constraints. The following **Table 6.3.1** summarizes all identified section-level major rehabilitation needs forecasted for the next 10-year period. It should be noted that the following table depicts planning-level costs and have been rounded for planning purposes.



Table 6.3.1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs

Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	X35	AP	4105	AC	128,008	56	AC Restoration	\$ 897,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4210	AC	10,197	54	AC Restoration	\$ 72,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4220	AC	21,334	53	AC Restoration	\$ 150,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4230	PCC	8,045	15	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 121,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4235	AC	2,713	48	AC Restoration	\$ 20,000.00
2018	X35	RW 5-23	6215	PCC	30,000	43	PCC Restoration	\$ 391,000.00
2018	X35	TW A	115	PCC	3,750	31	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 57,000.00
2021	X35	RW 10-28	6105	AC	273,635	64	AC Restoration	\$ 1,916,000.00

**All values have been rounded to the nearest thousand-dollar.*

The following **Figure 6.3.1-1** summarizes the section-level major rehabilitation needs for a 10-year period between 2018 and 2027. **Figure 6.3.1-2** provides an inset view of Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit, a large format exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit graphically depicts the Major Rehabilitation Needs with rounded costs.

Figure 6.3.1-1 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year








Figure 6.3.1-2 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Needs by Program Year Exhibit



Chapter 7





Chapter 7 – Conclusion

7.1 Recommendations

7.1.1 Continued PCI Survey Inspections

It is recommended that the airport continue to perform regularly scheduled PCI Survey inspections in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 (or latest edition) to monitor the condition of the airfield pavement facilities.

A high priority should be considered for continuous maintenance record keeping and re-inspection of all the airport's maintained pavement facilities to ensure continued safe aircraft operations. A series of scheduled periodic inspections must be carried out for an effective maintenance program. Re-inspection of pavements should be scheduled in a timely manner to ensure that all areas, particularly those that may not come under day-to-day observation, are thoroughly evaluated and reported.

7.1.2 Localized Maintenance and Repair

While deterioration of the pavements due to usage and exposure to the environment cannot be completely prevented, applying timely and effective maintenance efforts can slow the anticipated rate of deterioration. Lack of adequate and timely maintenance is the significant factor in pavement deterioration.

It is recommended that airport sponsors coordinate with their respective Airport Maintenance staff and Airport Engineer when developing project-level maintenance and repair efforts.

7.1.3 Major Rehabilitation

Chapter 6 – Major Rehabilitation Planning identified major pavement rehabilitation project needs from 2018-2027. The identification of the rehabilitation needs was performed at the section level for manageable project areas with the assumption of an unconstrained budget scenario. Given the uncertainty in the airport-specific budget information and prioritization goals, the unconstrained budget scenario was performed to evaluate the worst-case scenario and identify all the inspected pavements' needs in a 10-year period. Certainly, it is understood that most airports are faced with constrained budgets; further evaluation of projects based on prioritization, operational criticality, funding availability, and practicality is recommended.

7.1.4 Pavement Management System

The following recommendations are made to fully implement an effective pavement management program for the airport:

- ▶ Develop a detailed preventive maintenance program for the airport.
- ▶ Further refine and implement the identified 10-year major rehabilitation needs.
- ▶ Maintain detailed records on pavement maintenance, construction, and inspection.
- ▶ Maintain records on major pavement construction projects (year, scope, cost, and construction documents).



7.2 Supporting Documents

001 – Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Network Definition Exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit depicts the airfield layout in a manner that defines the airfield pavement infrastructure as branches, sections, and sample units in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12. The exhibit is intended for planning purposes only – further detail on facilities can be found on the Airport's adopted Airport Layout Plan. Detailed characteristics are tabulated in **Appendix A Pavement Analysis Tables**.

002 – Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement System Inventory Exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit depicts any recent and/or anticipated construction activity within the airfield pavement facilities reported by airport staff. The exhibit is intended to schematically identify the pavement limits of works and general work description. The information reported on the **Airport Response Form** provided by each participating airport was used as the basis of the changes; furthermore, changes are confirmed at the airport with airport staff during the in-brief and debrief meeting.

003 – Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Condition Index Exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit is a visual summary of the latest conditions calculated from the results of the PCI Survey performed at the airport. The analysis of the distresses surveyed in accordance with the ASTM D5340-12 (referenced in **Appendix E Inspection Distress Details**) were analyzed using PAVER™ software to determine PCI values. The PCI values are identified in the exhibit and graphically represented using the standard ASTM D5340-12 colors for condition rating categories.

004 – Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit

The Airfield Pavement Major Rehabilitation Exhibit is located in **Appendix C Technical Exhibits**. The exhibit has been prepared based on the section condition analysis, pavement condition forecasts, and major rehabilitation needs analysis. The exhibit graphically depicts the inventory with the associated rehabilitation type activity, program year, and the planning-level costs. The area limits, rehabilitation type, and planning-level costs should not be considered a design-level recommendation. A tabulation of the 10-Year Major Rehabilitation is located in **Appendix B Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and Major Rehabilitation**.

Inspection Photograph Documentation

Representative field conditions from the PCI Survey are documented with digital photographs located in **Appendix D Inspection Photograph Documentation**. Select photographs are provided with limited caption on the distresses observed – the Appendix does not contain photographs for every sample unit.



7.3 Conclusion

The FDOT SAPMP Update Phase 1 2016-2017 was completed for the airport on behalf of the FDOT ASO in accordance with the Advisory Circulars **150/5380-7B “Airport Pavement Management Program (PMP)”** and **150/5380-6C “Guidelines and Procedures for Maintenance of Airport Pavements.”** FDOT’s implementation of the SAPMP has assisted public airports with this requirement in performing PCI survey inspections and analysis in accordance with the ASTM **D5340-12 “Standard Test Method for Airport Pavement Condition Index Surveys.”**

Appendix A

Airfield Pavement Analysis Tables


Table A-1 Pavement System Inventory Details

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch ID	Branch Use	Section ID	Length (FT)	Width (FT)	Area (SF)	Surface Type	Est. Last Construction Date
X35	APRON	AP	APRON	4105	400	300	128,008	AC	1/1/1991
X35	HANGAR APRON	AP HANGAR	APRON	4210	505	35	10,197	AC	1/1/1999
X35	HANGAR APRON	AP HANGAR	APRON	4220	940	35	21,334	AC	1/1/1999
X35	HANGAR APRON	AP HANGAR	APRON	4230	130	100	8,045	PCC	1/1/1989
X35	HANGAR APRON	AP HANGAR	APRON	4235	20	135	2,713	AC	1/1/1999
X35	HANGAR APRON	AP HANGAR	APRON	4240	1460	30	42,917	AC	1/1/2011
X35	TERMINAL APRON	AP TERM	APRON	4305	200	350	67,389	AC	7/1/2013
X35	RUNWAY 10-28	RW 10-28	RUNWAY	6105	4550	60	273,635	AC	1/1/1993
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6205	375	100	42,000	AAC	12/1/2011
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6210	4300	100	428,000	AAC	12/1/2011
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RW 5-23	RUNWAY	6215	300	100	30,000	PCC	1/1/1942
X35	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	TAXIWAY	110	3350	50	183,367	AC	4/1/2016
X35	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TW A	TAXIWAY	115	150	25	3,750	PCC	1/1/1942

*Table A-2 Pavement Condition Index Summary (Last Inspection) – Section Level*

Network ID	Branch Name	Branch Use	Section ID	Area (SF)	PCI	Condition Rating
X35	RUNWAY 10-28	RUNWAY	6105	273,635	71	Satisfactory
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6205	42,000	89	Good
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6210	428,000	88	Good
X35	RUNWAY 5-23	RUNWAY	6215	30,000	45	Poor
X35	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	110	183,367	100	Good
X35	TAXIWAY ALPHA	TAXIWAY	115	3,750	33	Very Poor
X35	APRON	APRON	4105	128,008	59	Fair
X35	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4210	10,197	57	Fair
X35	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4220	21,334	56	Fair
X35	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4230	8,045	17	Serious
X35	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4235	2,713	51	Poor
X35	HANGAR APRON	APRON	4240	42,917	91	Good
X35	TERMINAL APRON	APRON	4305	67,389	92	Good



Table A-3 Forecasted PCI 2018-2027

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Last PCI	Forecasted PCI									
				2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
X35	AP	4105	59	56	55	53	52	50	49	47	45	44	42
X35	AP HANGAR	4210	57	54	53	51	50	48	47	45	43	42	40
X35	AP HANGAR	4220	56	53	52	50	49	47	46	44	42	41	39
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	17	15	14	13	12	11	10	8	7	6	5
X35	AP HANGAR	4235	51	48	47	45	44	42	41	39	37	36	34
X35	AP HANGAR	4240	91	88	87	85	84	82	81	79	77	76	74
X35	AP TERM	4305	92	89	88	86	85	83	82	80	78	77	75
X35	RW 10-28	6105	71	68	67	65	64	62	60	59	57	55	54
X35	RW 5-23	6205	89	86	83	81	78	76	73	71	69	67	65
X35	RW 5-23	6210	88	84	82	80	77	75	72	70	68	66	65
X35	RW 5-23	6215	45	43	43	42	41	40	39	38	38	37	36
X35	TW A	110	100	96	93	91	88	86	83	81	79	77	75
X35	TW A	115	33	31	31	30	29	28	27	26	26	25	24

4/25/2017

Work History Report

Page 1 of 3

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: AP HANGAR HANGAR APRO		Section: 4210		Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1999		Use: APRON	Rank: T	Length: 505.00 (Ft)	Width: 35.00 (Ft)	True Area: 10,197.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/1999	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: AP HANGAR HANGAR APRO		Section: 4220		Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1999		Use: APRON	Rank: T	Length: 940.00 (Ft)	Width: 35.00 (Ft)	True Area: 21,334.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/1999	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: AP HANGAR HANGAR APRO		Section: 4230		Surface: PCC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1989		Use: APRON	Rank: T	Length: 130.00 (Ft)	Width: 100.00 (Ft)	True Area: 8,045.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/1989	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: AP HANGAR HANGAR APRO		Section: 4235		Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1999		Use: APRON	Rank: T	Length: 20.00 (Ft)	Width: 135.00 (Ft)	True Area: 2,713.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/1999	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION DAT

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: AP HANGAR HANGAR APRO		Section: 4240		Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 1/1/2011		Use: APRON	Rank: T	Length: 1,460.00 (Ft)	Width: 30.00 (Ft)	True Area: 42,917.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2011	NC-AC	New Construction - AC	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: AP TERM TERMINAL APR		Section: 4305		Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 7/1/2013		Use: APRON	Rank: P	Length: 200.00 (Ft)	Width: 350.00 (Ft)	True Area: 67,389.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
7/1/2013	NU-IN	New Construction - Initial	0.00	4.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4" P-401, 6" P-211 LIMEROCK, 12" P-

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: AP APRON		Section: 4105		Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1991		Use: APRON	Rank: P	Length: 400.00 (Ft)	Width: 300.00 (Ft)	True Area: 128,008.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/1991	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	2.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1991: 2" P-401 ON 6" P-211

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: RW 10-28 RUNWAY 10-28		Section: 6105		Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1993		Use: RUNWAY	Rank: S	Length: 4,550.00 (Ft)	Width: 60.00 (Ft)	True Area: 273,635.00 (SqFt)
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments
1/1/2012	SS-RE	Surface Seal - Rejuvenating	0.00	0.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pavement Dressing Conditioner
1/1/1993	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	2.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1993: 2" P-401 AC ON 7" P-211 ON 12" STAB. SUBGRADE P-160

4/25/2017

Work History Report

Page 2 of 3

Pavement Database: FDOT

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: RW 5-23		RUNWAY 5-23		Section: 6205	Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 12/1/2011		Use: RUNWAY	Rank: P	Length: 375.00 (Ft)	Width: 100.00 (Ft)	True Area: 42,000.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments	
12/1/2011	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1993: 2" P-401 ON EXISTING AC	
1/1/1993	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	2.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: RW 5-23		RUNWAY 5-23		Section: 6210	Surface: AAC
L.C.D.: 12/1/2011		Use: RUNWAY	Rank: P	Length: 4,300.00 (Ft)	Width: 100.00 (Ft)	True Area: 428,000.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments	
12/1/2011	ML-OV	MILL and OVERLAY	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1989: 3" P-401 ON EX FLEX. PAVEMENT	
1/1/1989	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	3.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: RW 5-23		RUNWAY 5-23		Section: 6215	Surface: PCC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1942		Use: RUNWAY	Rank: P	Length: 300.00 (Ft)	Width: 100.00 (Ft)	True Area: 30,000.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments	
1/1/1942	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ESTIMATE 1942 PCC PAVEMENT	

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: TW A		TAXIWAY ALPH		Section: 110	Surface: AC
L.C.D.: 4/1/2016		Use: TAXIWAY	Rank: P	Length: 3,350.00 (Ft)	Width: 50.00 (Ft)	True Area: 183,367.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments	
4/1/2016	CR-AC	Complete Reconstruction - AC	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2" P-401, P-210 MIN 8" DEPTH FRO 1989: 3" P-401 ON EX FLEX PAVEMENT	
1/1/1989	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	3.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

Network: MARION COUNTY		Branch: TW A		TAXIWAY ALPH		Section: 115	Surface: PCC
L.C.D.: 1/1/1942		Use: TAXIWAY	Rank: P	Length: 150.00 (Ft)	Width: 25.00 (Ft)	True Area: 3,750.00 (SqFt)	
Work Date	Work Code	Work Description	Cost	Thickness (in)	Major M&R	Comments	
1/1/1942	IMPORT ED	BUILT	0.00	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ESTIMATE: 1942 PCC PAVEMENT	

Work History Report*Pavement Database: FDOT***Summary:**

Work Description	Section Count	Area Total (SqFt)	Thickness Avg (in)	Thickness STD (in)
BUILT	7	1,088,760.00	1.71	1.16
Complete Reconstruction - AC	1	183,367.00	0.00	0.00
MILL and OVERLAY	2	470,000.00	0.00	0.00
New Construction - AC	2	45,630.00	0.00	0.00
New Construction - Initial	4	106,965.00	1.00	1.73
Surface Seal - Rejuvenating	1	273,635.00	0.00	0.00

4/25/2017

Branch Condition Report

Page 1 of 2

Pavement Database: FDOT

Branch ID	Number of Sections	Sum Section Length (Ft)	Avg Section Width (Ft)	True Area (SqFt)	Use	Average PCI	Standard Deviation PCI	Weighted Average PCI
AP	1	400.00	300.00	128,008.00	APRON	59.00	0.00	59.00
AP HANGA	5	3,055.00	67.00	85,206.00	APRON	54.40	23.49	69.91
AP TERM	1	200.00	350.00	67,389.00	APRON	92.00	0.00	92.00
RW 10-28	1	4,550.00	60.00	273,635.00	RUNWAY	71.00	0.00	71.00
RW 5-23	3	4,975.00	100.00	500,000.00	RUNWAY	74.00	20.51	85.50
TW A	2	3,500.00	37.50	187,117.00	TAXIWAY	66.50	33.50	98.66

4/25/2017

Branch Condition Report

Page 2 of 2

Pavement Database: FDOT

Use Category	Number of Sections	Total Area (SqFt)	Arithmetic Average PCI	Average STD PCI	Weighted Average PCI
APRON	7	280603.000085774	60.43	23.72	70.24
RUNWAY	4	773635.000223884	73.25	17.81	80.37
TAXIWAY	2	187117.000057227	66.50	33.50	98.66
ALL	13	1241355.00036689	65.31	24.61	80.84

Pavement Database: FDOT

NetworkId: X35

Branch ID	Section ID	Last Const. Date	Surface	Use	Rank	Lanes	True Area (SqFt)	Last Inspection Date	Age At Inspection	PCI
AP	4105	1/1/1991	AC	APRON	P	0	128,008.00	9/26/2016	25	59
AP HANGAR	4210	1/1/1999	AC	APRON	T	0	10,197.00	9/26/2016	17	57
AP HANGAR	4220	1/1/1999	AC	APRON	T	0	21,334.00	9/26/2016	17	56
AP HANGAR	4230	1/1/1989	PCC	APRON	T	0	8,045.00	9/26/2016	27	17
AP HANGAR	4235	1/1/1999	AC	APRON	T	0	2,713.00	9/26/2016	17	51
AP HANGAR	4240	1/1/2011	AC	APRON	T	0	42,917.00	9/26/2016	5	91
AP TERM	4305	7/1/2013	AC	APRON	P	0	67,389.00	9/26/2016	3	92
RW 10-28	6105	1/1/1993	AC	RUNWAY	S	0	273,635.00	9/26/2016	23	71
RW 5-23	6205	12/1/2011	AAC	RUNWAY	P	0	42,000.00	9/26/2016	5	89
RW 5-23	6210	12/1/2011	AAC	RUNWAY	P	0	428,000.00	9/26/2016	5	88
RW 5-23	6215	1/1/1942	PCC	RUNWAY	P	0	30,000.00	9/26/2016	74	45
TW A	110	4/1/2016	AC	TAXIWAY	P	0	183,367.00	4/1/2016	0	100
TW A	115	1/1/1942	PCC	TAXIWAY	P	0	3,750.00	9/26/2016	74	33

4/25/2017

Section Condition Report (Summary)

Page 2 of 2

Pavement Database: FDOT

Age Category	Average Age at Inspection	Total Area (SqFt)	Number of Sections	Arithmetic Average PCI	Standard Deviation PCI	Weighted Average PCI
00-02		183,367.00	1	100.00	0.00	100.00
03-05	5	580,306.00	4	90.00	1.58	88.76
16-20	17	34,244.00	3	54.67	2.62	55.90
21-25	24	401,643.00	2	65.00	6.00	67.18
26-30	27	8,045.00	1	17.00	0.00	17.00
ALL	22	1,241,355.00	13	65.31	24.61	80.84
Over 50	74	33,750.00	2	39.00	6.00	43.67

Appendix B

Airfield Pavement Localized Maintenance and Repair and
Major Rehabilitation



Table B-1 Localized Maintenance and Repair Needs based on Current Condition

Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Distress Code	Description	Severity	Distress Qty	Distress Unit	Percent Distress	Work Description	Work Qty	Work Unit	Unit Cost	Work Cost
X35	AP	4105	48	L & T CR	Medium	3225.92	Ft	2.5%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	3226.05	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 9,680.00
X35	AP	4105	49	OIL SPILLAGE	N/A	77.93	SqFt	0.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	117.33	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 360.00
X35	AP	4105	52	RAVELING	Low	128007.97	SqFt	100.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	128007.7	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 70,410.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4210	52	RAVELING	Low	8157.64	SqFt	80.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	8157.97	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 4,490.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4210	52	RAVELING	Medium	2039.44	SqFt	20.0%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC PARTIAL DEPTH	2039.76	SqFt	\$ 3.00	\$ 6,120.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4220	48	L & T CR	Medium	177.79	Ft	0.8%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - AC	177.82	Ft	\$ 3.00	\$ 540.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4220	52	RAVELING	Low	6400.22	SqFt	30.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	6400.22	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 3,530.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	62	CORNER BREAK	Low	2	Slabs	8.7%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	16.4	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 70.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	63	LINEAR CR	Medium	2	Slabs	8.7%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	37.4	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 160.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	65	JT SEAL DMG	High	23	Slabs	100.0%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	1162.73	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 3,200.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	67	LARGE PATCH	Medium	1	Slabs	4.4%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC FULL DEPTH	86.11	SqFt	\$ 100.00	\$ 8,620.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	72	SHAT. SLAB	Low	5	Slabs	21.7%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	187.66	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 800.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	72	SHAT. SLAB	Medium	9	Slabs	39.1%	FDOT - SLAB REPLACEMENT - PCC	3149.52	SqFt	\$ 30.00	\$ 94,500.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	74	JOINT SPALL	Low	1	Slabs	4.4%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	1.64	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 10.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4230	74	JOINT SPALL	Medium	2	Slabs	8.7%	FDOT - PATCHING - PCC PARTIAL DEPTH	12.92	SqFt	\$ 72.00	\$ 930.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4235	45	DEPRESSION	Low	3.98	SqFt	0.2%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	16.15	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 100.00
X35	AP HANGAR	4235	52	RAVELING	Low	2713.04	SqFt	100.0%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	2712.51	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 1,500.00
X35	RW 10-28	6105	52	RAVELING	Low	12298.41	SqFt	4.5%	FDOT - SURFACE SEAL	12298.84	SqFt	\$ 0.55	\$ 6,770.00
X35	RW 5-23	6210	45	DEPRESSION	Low	241.65	SqFt	0.1%	FDOT - PATCHING - AC FULL DEPTH	307.85	SqFt	\$ 6.00	\$ 1,850.00
X35	RW 5-23	6215	63	LINEAR CR	Medium	24	Slabs	25.0%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	450.13	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 1,920.00
X35	RW 5-23	6215	65	JT SEAL DMG	Low	96	Slabs	100.0%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	3200.13	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 8,800.00
X35	RW 5-23	6215	72	SHAT. SLAB	Low	3	Slabs	3.1%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	112.53	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 480.00
X35	TW A	115	63	LINEAR CR	Medium	10	Slabs	83.3%	FDOT - CRACK SEALING - PCC	187.66	Ft	\$ 4.25	\$ 800.00
X35	TW A	115	65	JT SEAL DMG	Low	12	Slabs	100.0%	FDOT - JOINT SEAL - PCC	274.93	Ft	\$ 2.75	\$ 760.00





Table B-2 10-Year Major Rehabilitation Planning Needs at Section Level


Program Year	Network ID	Branch ID	Section ID	Surface	Area (SF)	PCI Before	Rehabilitation Type	Planning Cost
2018	X35	AP	4105	AC	128,008	56	AC Restoration	\$ 897,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4210	AC	10,197	54	AC Restoration	\$ 72,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4220	AC	21,334	53	AC Restoration	\$ 150,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4230	PCC	8,045	15	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 121,000.00
2018	X35	AP HANGAR	4235	AC	2,713	48	AC Restoration	\$ 20,000.00
2018	X35	RW 5-23	6215	PCC	30,000	43	PCC Restoration	\$ 391,000.00
2018	X35	TW A	115	PCC	3,750	31	PCC Reconstruction	\$ 57,000.00
2021	X35	RW 10-28	6105	AC	273,635	64	AC Restoration	\$ 1,916,000.00

Appendix C

Technical Exhibits







CONSTRUCTION SINCE LAST INSPECTION
& ANTICIPATED CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY


CONSTRUCTION YEAR	LOCATION	WORK TYPE / PAVEMENT SECTION
2013	AP TERM	NEW CONSTRUCTION/ 4" P-401, 6" P-211, 12" P-152
2016	TW A	RECONSTRUCTION/ 2" P-401, 8" P-210, 12" P-152

LEGEND

- PROJECTS YEAR 2013
- PROJECTS YEAR 2014
- PROJECTS YEAR 2015
- PROJECTS YEAR 2016
- PROJECTS YEAR 2017
- PROJECTS YEAR 2018
- PROJECTS YEAR 2019
- PROJECTS YEAR 2020
- PROJECTS YEAR 2021
- PROJECTS YEAR 2022

RUNWAY LENGTHS DEPICTED IN THIS DRAWING ARE FOR PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT MATCH PUBLISHED RUNWAY LENGTHS.






003 - AIRFIELD PAVEMENT
CONDITION INDEX EXHIBIT

Airport Pavement Evaluation Report
2017

**Statewide Airfield Pavement
Management Program**
MARION COUNTY AIRPORT - X35





Appendix D

Inspection Photograph Documentation



Runway 5-23, Section 6215, Sample Unit 195 – Low Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Medium Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Low Severity (66) Small Patching



Runway 5-23, Section 6215, Sample Unit 198 – Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Low Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage



Runway 10-28, Section 6105, Sample Unit 177 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 10-28, Section 6105, Sample Unit 118 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Runway 10-28, Section 6105, Sample Unit 101 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Taxiway A, Section 115, Sample Unit 135 – Low Severity (63) Longitudinal, Transverse, and Diagonal Cracking, Low Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, Low Severity (66) Small Patching



Apron, Section 4105, Sample Unit 307 – Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Medium Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling



Apron AP, Section 4105, Sample Unit 204 – Medium Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling



Hangar Apron, Section 4230, Sample Unit 401 – Low Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, (73) Shrinkage Cracks, Low Severity (72) Shattered Slab



Hangar Apron, Section 4230, Sample Unit 401 – Low Severity (65) Joint Seal Damage, (73) Shrinkage Cracks, Medium Severity (74) Joint Spalling, Low Severity (62) Corner Break



Hangar Apron, Section 4235, Sample Unit 400 – Low Severity (45) Depression, Low Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (45) Depression, Low Severity (52) Raveling



Hangar Apron, Section 4220, Sample Unit 300 – Low and Medium Severity (48) Longitudinal and Transverse Cracking, Low Severity (52) Raveling, Low Severity (57) Weathering



Terminal Apron, Section 4305, Sample Unit 203 – Low Severity (57) Weathering

Appendix E

Inspection Distress Details

Re-Inspection Report

FDOT

Generated Date 4/25/2017

Page 1 of 15

Network:	X35	Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT				
Branch:	AP	Name:	APRON	Use:	APRON	Area:	128,008 SqFt
Section:	4105	of	1	From:	-	To:	-
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-AC	Zone:		Category:	
Area:	128,008 SqFt	Length:	400 Ft	Width:	300 Ft	Rank:	P
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0
Section Comments:							

Work Date:	1/1/1991	Work Type:	BUILT	Code:	IMPORTED	Is Major M&R:	True
-------------------	----------	-------------------	-------	--------------	----------	--------------------------	------

Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016	TotalSamples:	24	Surveyed:	3
-------------------------	-----------	----------------------	----	------------------	---

Conditions: PCI: 59

Inspection Comments:

Sample Number:	101	Type:	R	Area:	5178.00 SqFt	PCI:	62
-----------------------	-----	--------------	---	--------------	--------------	-------------	----

Sample Comments:

48	L & T CR	M	64.00	Ft
48	L & T CR	L	400.00	Ft
52	RAVELING	L	5178.00	SqFt
49	OIL SPILLAGE	N	3.00	SqFt

Sample Number:	204	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt	PCI:	59
-----------------------	-----	--------------	---	--------------	--------------	-------------	----

Sample Comments:

48	L & T CR	L	203.00	Ft
48	L & T CR	M	250.00	Ft
52	RAVELING	L	5000.00	SqFt
49	OIL SPILLAGE	N	2.00	SqFt

Sample Number:	307	Type:	R	Area:	6250.00 SqFt	PCI:	57
-----------------------	-----	--------------	---	--------------	--------------	-------------	----

Sample Comments:

48	L & T CR	M	100.00	Ft
48	L & T CR	L	821.00	Ft
52	RAVELING	L	6250.00	SqFt
49	OIL SPILLAGE	N	5.00	SqFt

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT					
Branch:	AP HANGAR		Name:	HANGAR APRON		Use:	APRON	Area:	85,206 SqFt
Section:	4210	of	5	From:		To:		Last Const.:	1/1/1999
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	T
Area:	10,197 SqFt	Length:	505 Ft	Width:	35 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1999	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial			Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016	TotalSamples:	3	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	57							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	100	Type:	R	Area:	4100.00 SqFt	PCI:	57		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	254.00	Ft					
52	RAVELING	M	820.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	L	3280.00	SqFt					

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT					
Branch:	AP HANGAR		Name:	HANGAR APRON		Use:	APRON	Area:	85,206 SqFt
Section:	4220	of	5	From:		To:		Last Const.:	1/1/1999
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	T
Area:	21,334 SqFt	Length:	940 Ft	Width:	35 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1999	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial			Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016	TotalSamples:	6	Surveyed:	2				
Conditions:	PCI:	56							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	201	Type:	R	Area:	3000.00 SqFt	PCI:	57		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	621.00	Ft					
52	RAVELING	L	900.00	SqFt					
57	WEATHERING	L	2100.00	SqFt					
Sample Number:	300	Type:	R	Area:	3000.00 SqFt	PCI:	54		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	509.00	Ft					
48	L & T CR	M	50.00	Ft					
52	RAVELING	L	900.00	SqFt					
57	WEATHERING	L	2100.00	SqFt					

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT					
Branch:	AP HANGAR		Name:	HANGAR APRON		Use:	APRON	Area:	85,206 SqFt
Section:	4230	of	5	From:		To:		Last Const.:	1/1/1989
Surface:	PCC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-PCC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	T
Area:	8,045 SqFt	Length:	130 Ft	Width:	100 Ft				
Slabs:	23	Slab Length:	20 Ft	Slab Width:	17 Ft	Joint Length:	1,163 Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1989	Work Type:	New Construction - Initial			Code:	NU-IN	Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016	TotalSamples:	1	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	17							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	401	Type:	R	Area:	23.00 Slabs	PCI:	17		
Sample Comments:									
65	JT SEAL DMG	H	23.00	Slabs					
73	SHRINKAGE CR	N	13.00	Slabs					
63	LINEAR CR	L	6.00	Slabs					
63	LINEAR CR	M	2.00	Slabs					
72	SHAT. SLAB	L	5.00	Slabs					
72	SHAT. SLAB	M	9.00	Slabs					
71	FAULTING	L	3.00	Slabs					
74	JOINT SPALL	M	2.00	Slabs					
74	JOINT SPALL	L	1.00	Slabs					
62	CORNER BREAK	L	2.00	Slabs					
67	LARGE PATCH	M	1.00	Slabs					

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT					
Branch:	AP HANGAR		Name:	HANGAR APRON		Use:	APRON	Area:	85,206 SqFt
Section:	4235	of	5	From:	-	To:	-	Last Const.:	1/1/1999
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-AC	Zone:		Category:		Rank:	T
Area:	2,713 SqFt	Length:	20 Ft	Width:	135 Ft				
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes:	0		
Section Comments:									
Work Date:	1/1/1999	Work Type:	New Construction - AC			Code:	NC-AC	Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016	TotalSamples:	1	Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI:	51							
Inspection Comments:									
Sample Number:	400	Type:	R	Area:	2713.00 SqFt	PCI:	51		
Sample Comments:									
48	L & T CR	L	613.00	Ft					
43	BLOCK CR	L	300.00	SqFt					
45	DEPRESSION	L	4.00	SqFt					
52	RAVELING	L	2713.00	SqFt					

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT		
Branch:	AP HANGAR		Name:	HANGAR APRON		Use: APRON
Area:						Area: 85,206 SqFt
Section:	4240	of 5	From:			To:
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-AC	Zone:		Category:
Area:	42,917 SqFt	Length:	1,460 Ft	Width:	30 Ft	
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft	Slab Width:	Ft	Joint Length: Ft
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0	Lanes: 0
Section Comments:						
Work Date:	1/1/2011		Work Type:	New Construction - AC		Code: NC-AC
Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016		TotalSamples:	15		Surveyed: 3
Conditions:	PCI: 91					
Inspection Comments:						
Sample Number:	101	Type:	R	Area:	3000.00 SqFt	PCI: 94
Sample Comments:						
57	WEATHERING	L		3000.00	SqFt	
Sample Number:	201	Type:	R	Area:	3000.00 SqFt	PCI: 92
Sample Comments:						
48	L & T CR	L		2.00	Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L		3000.00	SqFt	
Sample Number:	400	Type:	R	Area:	2693.00 SqFt	PCI: 88
Sample Comments:						
48	L & T CR	L		48.00	Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L		2693.00	SqFt	

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT							
Branch:	AP TERM		Name:	TERMINAL APRON		Use:	APRON	Area:	67,389 SqFt		
Section:	4305	of 1	From:	-			To:	-	Last Const.:	7/1/2013	
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-AP-AC		Zone:		Category:		Rank:	P	
Area:	67,389 SqFt	Length:	200 Ft		Width:	350 Ft					
Slabs:		Slab Length:	Ft		Slab Width:	Ft		Joint Length:	Ft		
Shoulder:		Street Type:		Grade:	0		Lanes:	0			
Section Comments:											
Work Date:	7/1/2013		Work Type:	New Construction - Initial			Code:	NU-IN		Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016		TotalSamples:	14		Surveyed:	2				
Conditions:	PCI:	92									
Inspection Comments:											
Sample Number:	105	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	90			
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR	L	35.00 Ft								
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt								
Sample Number:	203	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	94			
Sample Comments:											
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt								

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT							
Branch:	RW 10-28		Name:	RUNWAY 10-28		Use:	RUNWAY	Area:	273,635 SqFt		
Section:	6105 of 1		From:	-		To:	-		Last Const.:	1/1/1993	
Surface:	AC		Family:	C9N59-GA-RW-AC		Zone:			Rank:	S	
Area:	273,635 SqFt		Length:	4,550 Ft		Width:	60 Ft				
Slabs:			Slab Length:	Ft		Slab Width:	Ft		Joint Length:	Ft	
Shoulder:			Street Type:			Grade:	0		Lanes:	0	
Section Comments:											
Work Date:	1/1/1993		Work Type:	BUILT			Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	1/1/2012		Work Type:	Surface Seal - Rejuvenating			Code:	SS-RE		Is Major M&R:	False
Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016		TotalSamples:	90		Surveyed:	18				
Conditions:	PCI: 71										
Inspection Comments:											
Sample Number:	101		Type:	R		Area:	3000.00 SqFt		PCI:	69	
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	250.00 Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	2850.00 SqFt							
52	RAVELING		L	150.00 SqFt							
Sample Number:	105		Type:	R		Area:	3000.00 SqFt		PCI:	72	
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	206.00 Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	2850.00 SqFt							
52	RAVELING		L	150.00 SqFt							
Sample Number:	108		Type:	R		Area:	3000.00 SqFt		PCI:	72	
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	200.00 Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	2700.00 SqFt							
52	RAVELING		L	300.00 SqFt							
Sample Number:	112		Type:	R		Area:	3000.00 SqFt		PCI:	72	
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	200.00 Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	2700.00 SqFt							
52	RAVELING		L	300.00 SqFt							
Sample Number:	115		Type:	R		Area:	3000.00 SqFt		PCI:	75	
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	150.00 Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	2900.00 SqFt							
52	RAVELING		L	100.00 SqFt							
Sample Number:	118		Type:	R		Area:	3000.00 SqFt		PCI:	70	
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	235.00 Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	2900.00 SqFt							
52	RAVELING		L	100.00 SqFt							
Sample Number:	122		Type:	R		Area:	3000.00 SqFt		PCI:	70	
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	255.00 Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	2950.00 SqFt							
52	RAVELING		L	50.00 SqFt							
Sample Number:	129		Type:	R		Area:	3000.00 SqFt		PCI:	73	
Sample Comments:											
48	L & T CR		L	200.00 Ft							
57	WEATHERING		L	2950.00 SqFt							
52	RAVELING		L	50.00 SqFt							

E-8

Sample Number: 136		Type: R	Area: 3000.00 SqFt	PCI: 73
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	200.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	2950.00 SqFt	
52	RAVELING	L	50.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 143		Type: R	Area: 3000.00 SqFt	PCI: 72
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	200.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	2900.00 SqFt	
52	RAVELING	L	100.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 150		Type: R	Area: 3000.00 SqFt	PCI: 72
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	200.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	2900.00 SqFt	
52	RAVELING	L	100.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 157		Type: R	Area: 3000.00 SqFt	PCI: 72
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	200.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	2900.00 SqFt	
52	RAVELING	L	100.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 164		Type: R	Area: 3000.00 SqFt	PCI: 72
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	200.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	2900.00 SqFt	
52	RAVELING	L	100.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 171		Type: R	Area: 3000.00 SqFt	PCI: 72
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	200.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	2700.00 SqFt	
52	RAVELING	L	300.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 175		Type: R	Area: 3000.00 SqFt	PCI: 71
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	250.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	2950.00 SqFt	
52	RAVELING	L	50.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 177		Type: R	Area: 4624.00 SqFt	PCI: 58
Sample Comments:				
50	PATCHING	L	2000.00 SqFt	
52	RAVELING	L	100.00 SqFt	
48	L & T CR	L	266.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	2524.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 181		Type: R	Area: 3000.00 SqFt	PCI: 75
Sample Comments:				
52	RAVELING	L	100.00 SqFt	
48	L & T CR	L	150.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	2900.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 185		Type: R	Area: 3000.00 SqFt	PCI: 75
Sample Comments:				
52	RAVELING	L	300.00 SqFt	
48	L & T CR	L	153.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	2700.00 SqFt	

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT						
Branch:	RW 5-23		Name:	RUNWAY 5-23		Use:	RUNWAY	Area:	500,000 SqFt	
Section:	6205		of	3	From:	-		To:	-	
Surface:	AAC		Family:	C9N59-GA-RW-AAC-APC		Zone:			Category:	Rank: P
Area:	42,000 SqFt		Length:	375 Ft		Width:	100 Ft			
Slabs:			Slab Length:	Ft		Slab Width:	Ft		Joint Length:	Ft
Shoulder:			Street Type:			Grade:	0		Lanes:	0
Section Comments:										
Work Date:	1/1/1993		Work Type: BUILT				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R: True
Work Date:	12/1/2011		Work Type: MILL and OVERLAY				Code:	ML-OV		Is Major M&R: True
Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016		TotalSamples:	8		Surveyed: 2				
Conditions:	PCI: 89									
Inspection Comments:										
Sample Number:	101		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	89
Sample Comments:										
48	L & T CR		L	70.00 Ft						
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt						
Sample Number:	105		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	89
Sample Comments:										
48	L & T CR		L	41.00 Ft						
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt						

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT										
Branch:	RW 5-23		Name:	RUNWAY 5-23		Use:	RUNWAY	Area:	500,000 SqFt					
Section:	6210		of	3		From:	-		To:	-		Last Const.:	12/1/2011	
Surface:	AAC		Family:	C9N59-GA-RW-AAC-APC		Zone:			Category:			Rank:	P	
Area:	428,000 SqFt		Length:	4,300 Ft		Width:			100 Ft					
Slabs:			Slab Length:	Ft		Slab Width:			Ft	Joint Length:			Ft	
Shoulder:			Street Type:			Grade:	0		Lanes:	0				
Section Comments:														
Work Date:	1/1/1989		Work Type:	BUILT				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True		
Work Date:	12/1/2011		Work Type:	MILL and OVERLAY				Code:	ML-OV		Is Major M&R:	True		
Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016		TotalSamples:	86		Surveyed:	17							
Conditions:	PCI: 88													
Inspection Comments:														
Sample Number:	108		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	87				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	62.00 Ft										
56	SWELLING		L	15.00 SqFt										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	114		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	88				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	63.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										
56	SWELLING		L	5.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	120		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	88				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	93.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	124		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	86				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	124.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	127		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	89				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	44.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	134		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	87				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	108.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	141		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	88				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	92.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	144		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	89				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	65.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										
Sample Number:	148		Type:	R		Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	88				
Sample Comments:														
48	L & T CR		L	89.00 Ft										
57	WEATHERING		L	5000.00 SqFt										

Sample Number: 155		Type: R	Area: 5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 87
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	75.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	4991.00 SqFt	
50	PATCHING	L	9.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 162		Type: R	Area: 5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 89
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	55.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 166		Type: R	Area: 5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 89
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	60.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 169		Type: R	Area: 5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 87
Sample Comments:				
50	PATCHING	L	5.00 SqFt	
48	L & T CR	L	72.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	4995.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 176		Type: R	Area: 5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 89
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	63.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 183		Type: R	Area: 5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 89
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	46.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 187		Type: R	Area: 5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 84
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	75.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt	
45	DEPRESSION	L	48.00 SqFt	
Sample Number: 190		Type: R	Area: 5000.00 SqFt	PCI: 90
Sample Comments:				
48	L & T CR	L	35.00 Ft	
57	WEATHERING	L	5000.00 SqFt	

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT										
Branch:	RW 5-23		Name:	RUNWAY 5-23		Use:	RUNWAY	Area:	500,000 SqFt					
Section:	6215		of	3		From:	-		To:	-		Last Const.:	1/1/1942	
Surface:	PCC		Family:	C9N59-GA-RW-TW-PCC		Zone:			Category:			Rank:	P	
Area:	30,000 SqFt		Length:	300 Ft		Width:	100 Ft							
Slabs:	96		Slab Length:	13 Ft		Slab Width:	25 Ft		Joint Length:	3,200 Ft				
Shoulder:			Street Type:			Grade:	0		Lanes:	0				
Section Comments:														
Work Date:	1/1/1942		Work Type:	BUILT		Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True				
Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016		TotalSamples:	6		Surveyed:	2							
Conditions:	PCI: 45													
Inspection Comments:														
Sample Number:	195		Type:	R		Area:	16.00 Slabs		PCI:	40				
Sample Comments:														
65	JT SEAL DMG		L	16.00		Slabs								
72	SHAT. SLAB		L	1.00		Slabs								
66	SMALL PATCH		L	5.00		Slabs								
63	LINEAR CR		L	9.00		Slabs								
73	SHRINKAGE CR		N	2.00		Slabs								
63	LINEAR CR		M	5.00		Slabs								
Sample Number:	198		Type:	R		Area:	16.00 Slabs		PCI:	51				
Sample Comments:														
65	JT SEAL DMG		L	16.00		Slabs								
63	LINEAR CR		L	12.00		Slabs								
73	SHRINKAGE CR		N	7.00		Slabs								
66	SMALL PATCH		L	2.00		Slabs								
63	LINEAR CR		M	3.00		Slabs								

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT							
Branch:	TW A		Name:	TAXIWAY ALPHA		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	187,117 SqFt		
Section:	110	of 2	From:	-			To:	-			
Surface:	AC	Family:	C9N59-GA-TW-AC		Zone:				Category:	Rank: P	
Area:	183,367 SqFt		Length:	3,350 Ft		Width:	50 Ft				
Slabs:	Slab Length:		Ft	Slab Width:		Ft	Joint Length:		Ft		
Shoulder:	Street Type:		Grade:		0		Lanes:		0		
Section Comments:											
Work Date:	1/1/1989		Work Type: BUILT				Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True
Work Date:	4/1/2016		Work Type: Complete Reconstruction - AC				Code:	CR-AC		Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	6/12/2013		TotalSamples:	33		Surveyed: 4					
Conditions:	PCI:	54	NOTE: *** Pre-Construction PCI ***								
Inspection Comments:											
Sample Number:	105	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	49			
Sample Comments:											
48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	M		140.00	Ft						
48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L		350.00	Ft						
48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L		544.00	Ft						
57	WEATHERING	L		5000.00	SqFt						
52	RAVELING	L		500.00	SqFt						
56	SWELLING	L		260.00	SqFt						
Sample Number:	113	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	49			
Sample Comments:											
48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L		100.00	Ft						
57	WEATHERING	L		5000.00	SqFt						
52	RAVELING	L		500.00	SqFt						
56	SWELLING	L		162.00	SqFt						
43	BLOCK CRACKING	L		1000.00	SqFt						
48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	M		200.00	Ft						
48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L		345.00	Ft						
Sample Number:	121	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	55			
Sample Comments:											
57	WEATHERING	L		5000.00	SqFt						
48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L		629.00	Ft						
52	RAVELING	L		600.00	SqFt						
56	SWELLING	L		116.00	SqFt						
48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L		200.00	Ft						
Sample Number:	131	Type:	R	Area:	5000.00 SqFt		PCI:	62			
Sample Comments:											
48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	M		67.00	Ft						
48	LONGITUDINAL/TRANSVERSE CRACKING	L		429.00	Ft						
57	WEATHERING	L		5000.00	SqFt						
52	RAVELING	L		800.00	SqFt						
56	SWELLING	L		12.00	SqFt						

Network:	X35		Name:	MARION COUNTY AIRPORT							
Branch:	TW A		Name:	TAXIWAY ALPHA		Use:	TAXIWAY	Area:	187,117 SqFt		
Section:	115	of 2	From:	-			To:	-			
Surface:	PCC		Family:	C9N59-GA-RW-TW-PCC		Zone:	Category:		Rank: P		
Area:	3,750 SqFt		Length:	150 Ft		Width:	25 Ft				
Slabs:	12	Slab Length:	13 Ft		Slab Width:	25 Ft		Joint Length:	275 Ft		
Shoulder:	Street Type:		Grade:		0		Lanes:	0			
Section Comments:											
Work Date:	1/1/1942		Work Type:	BUILT			Code:	IMPORTED		Is Major M&R:	True
Last Insp. Date:	9/26/2016		TotalSamples:	1		Surveyed:	1				
Conditions:	PCI: 33										
Inspection Comments:											
Sample Number:	135	Type:	R	Area:	12.00 Slabs		PCI:	33			
Sample Comments:											
65	JT SEAL DMG		L	12.00	Slabs						
63	LINEAR CR		L	2.00	Slabs						
66	SMALL PATCH		L	2.00	Slabs						
67	LARGE PATCH		L	3.00	Slabs						
73	SHRINKAGE CR		N	1.00	Slabs						
63	LINEAR CR		M	10.00	Slabs						